

[12.16] (Observe the use of the Einstein Summation Convention in this problem). Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an  $n$ -manifold,  $q \leq n$ , and  $M = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a  $p$ -form

$$\alpha = \alpha_{r \dots t} dx^r \wedge \dots \wedge dx^t \text{ and let } \psi \text{ be its } q\text{-vector Hodge-like dual } \psi = \psi^{uv \dots w} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^u} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial x^w}.$$

(a) Confirm the equivalency of the 3 conditions for simplicity:

1.  $\alpha$  is simple iff  $\alpha_{[r \dots t} \alpha_{r' \dots t']} = 0$  for all  $p$ -tuples  $(r, \dots, t)$  and  $(r', \dots, t')$  in  $M^p$
2.  $\psi$  is simple iff  $\psi^{[u \dots w} \psi^{u' \dots w']} = 0$  for all  $q$ -tuples  $(u, \dots, w)$  and  $(u', \dots, w')$  in  $M^q$
3.  $\alpha$  and  $\psi$  are both simple iff  $\psi^{u \dots w} \alpha_{ws \dots t} = 0$  for all  $p$ -tuples  $(w, s, \dots, t)$  and  $q$ -tuples  $(u, \dots, w)$ .

(b) Show that a 2-form  $\alpha = \alpha_{rs} dx^r \wedge dx^s$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  is simple iff  $\alpha_{[rs} \alpha_{r's']} = 0$  for all pairs  $(r, s)$  and  $(r', s')$  in  $M^2$

Proof: [Einstein summation convention is used throughout this proof.](#)

This is a rework for myself of Juergen Beckmann's proof with additional details and different wording so that I can more easily follow it. It also simplifies his part (b) proof by skipping the vectors that Penrose mentions in his hint.

(a)

Preface: I find it easier to use equations rather than proportions for the Hodge-like duals  $\alpha$  and  $\psi$ . The most logical choice is to make both proportionality constants the same,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}, \text{ as in}$$

- (i)  $\alpha_{r \dots t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \varepsilon_{r \dots t u \dots w} \psi^{u \dots w}$  where  $\varepsilon$  is an  $n$ -form, and
- (ii)  $\psi^{u \dots w} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \alpha_{r \dots t} \epsilon^{r \dots t u \dots w}$  where  $\epsilon$  is an  $n$ -vector such that
- (iii)  $\epsilon \bullet \epsilon = \varepsilon_{r \dots t u \dots w} \epsilon^{r \dots t u \dots w} = n!$  :

To see that this works, set the constant to  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}$  in (ii). We then see that (i) must have the same constant [due to equation (iii)] since

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{r\dots t} &\stackrel{(iii)}{=} \alpha_{r\dots t} \frac{\varepsilon \bullet \in^{(iii)}}{n!} = \frac{1}{n!} \alpha_{r\dots t} \varepsilon_{r\dots t u\dots w} \in^{r\dots t u\dots w} = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \alpha_{r\dots t} \in^{r\dots t u\dots w} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \varepsilon_{r\dots t u\dots w} \right) \\
&\stackrel{(ii)}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \varepsilon_{r\dots t u\dots w} \psi^{u\dots w} \quad \checkmark
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the indices used in the  $p$ -tuple in (3) are slightly different than in (1) and (2). Because in this proof we will be switching often between the expressions in (1), (2), and (3) we require a set of non-conflicting indices. To do this we re-write (i) and (ii):

- (iv)  $\alpha_{ws\dots t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \varepsilon_{ws\dots tx\dots z} \psi^{x\dots z}$  where  $r\dots t$  are given distinct members of  $M$  and  $x\dots z$  sums over the remaining members of  $M$ , and
- (v)  $\psi^{u\dots w} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \alpha_{a\dots c} \in^{a\dots cu\dots w}$  where  $u\dots w$  are given distinct members of  $M$  and  $a\dots c$  sums over the remaining members of  $M$ .

We also must keep in mind the following fact:

- (vi) Each set  $r\dots t x\dots z$  and  $a\dots c u\dots w$  is a permutation of the members of  $M = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

To prove part (a) it is sufficient to show that  $\alpha_{[r\dots t} \alpha_{r']s'\dots t'} = 0$  iff  $\psi^{[u\dots w} \psi^{u']v'\dots w'} = 0$  iff  $\psi^{u\dots w} \alpha_{ws\dots t} = 0$  since then we will have  $\alpha$  is simple iff  $\alpha_{[r\dots t} \alpha_{r']s'\dots t'} = 0$  iff  $\psi^{[u\dots w} \psi^{u']v'\dots w'} = 0$  iff  $\psi$  is simple iff  $\psi^{u\dots w} \alpha_{ws\dots t} = 0$  iff  $\alpha$  and  $\psi$  are both simple.

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi^{u\dots w} \alpha_{ws\dots t} &\stackrel{(v)}{=} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \alpha_{a\dots c} \in^{a\dots cu\dots w} \right) \alpha_{ws\dots t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \alpha_{a\dots c} \alpha_{ws\dots t} \in^{a\dots cu\dots w} \\
&\stackrel{(vi)}{=} \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{n!}} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}} \text{sign}(\pi) \alpha_{\pi(a)\dots\pi(c)} \alpha_{\pi(w)s\dots t} \in^{12\dots n} \quad (\pm \text{ because } \in \text{ is antisymmetric}) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Defn of antisym}}{=} \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{n!}} \alpha_{[a\dots c} \alpha_{w]s\dots t} \in^{12\dots n} \\
&\stackrel{\text{Rename indices}}{=} \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{n!}} \alpha_{[r\dots t} \alpha_{r']s'\dots t'} \in^{12\dots n} \text{ where } \mathcal{P} \text{ is the set of permutations of } (a, \dots, c, w).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\psi^{u\dots w} \alpha_{ws\dots t} = 0$  iff  $\alpha_{[r\dots t} \alpha_{r']s'\dots t'} = 0$ .  $\checkmark$

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi^{u \dots w} \alpha_{ws \dots t} &\stackrel{(iv)}{=} \psi^{u \dots w} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \varepsilon_{ws \dots t x \dots z} \psi^{x \dots z} \right) \alpha_{ws \dots t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \psi^{x \dots z} \psi^{u \dots w} \varepsilon_{ws \dots t x \dots z} \\
&= \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{n!}} \psi^{x \dots z} \psi^{wu \dots v} \varepsilon_{x \dots zw s \dots t} \stackrel{(vi)}{=} \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{n!}} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}^*} \text{sign}(\pi) \psi^{\pi(x) \dots \pi(z)} \psi^{\pi(w) u \dots v} \varepsilon_{1 2 \dots n} \\
&\stackrel{\text{Defn of antisym}}{=} \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{n!}} \psi^{[x \dots z} \psi^{w] u \dots v} \varepsilon_{1 2 \dots n} \\
&\stackrel{\text{Rename indices}}{=} \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{n!}} \psi^{[u \dots w} \psi^{u'] v' \dots w'} \varepsilon_{1 2 \dots n} \text{ where } \mathcal{P}^* \text{ is the set of permutations of } (x, \dots, z, w).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\psi^{u \dots w} \alpha_{ws \dots t} = 0$  iff  $\psi^{[u \dots w} \psi^{u'] v' \dots w'} = 0$ . ✓

(b).

Recall that  $\alpha$  is antisymmetric in  $(r, s)$ :

$\alpha$  is a sum of, say,  $q$  simple 2-forms. So there are simple 1-forms  $\gamma^k$  and  $\delta^k$  such

$$\text{that } \alpha = \sum_{k=1}^q \gamma^k \wedge \delta^k = \sum_{k=1}^q \gamma_{[r}^k \delta_{s]}^k dx^r \wedge dx^s = \alpha_{rs} dx^r \wedge dx^s$$

That is,

$$(*) \quad \alpha_{rs} = \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_{[r}^k \delta_{s]}^k = -\sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_{[s}^k \delta_{r]}^k = -\alpha_{rs}$$

Thus  $\alpha$  is antisymmetric in  $(r, s)$ . ✓

$\alpha$  is simple iff  $\exists$  1-forms  $\gamma = \gamma_r dx^r$  and  $\delta = \delta_s dx^s$   $\ni \alpha = \gamma \wedge \delta = \gamma_{[r} \delta_{s]} dx^r \wedge dx^s$ . That is,

$\alpha$  is simple iff the components  $\alpha_{rs}$  of  $\alpha$  satisfy  $\alpha_{rs} = \gamma_{[r} \delta_{s]} \forall r, s$ .

"IF"

Suppose  $\alpha_{[rs} \alpha_{r'] s'} = 0$  for all pairs  $(r, s)$  and  $(r', s')$  in  $M^2$ .

If  $\alpha = 0$ , clearly  $\alpha$  is simple (e.g.,  $\alpha = dx^1 \wedge dx^1$ ). So, suppose  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Then

$\exists a, b \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\alpha_{ab} \neq 0$ . Define

$$(i) \quad \gamma = \gamma_r dx^r \text{ where } \gamma_r = 2 \frac{\alpha_{rb}}{\alpha_{ab}} \quad \text{and} \quad (ii) \quad \delta = \delta_s dx^s \text{ where } \delta_s = \alpha_{as}.$$

Consequently (i')  $\gamma_s = 2 \frac{\alpha_{sb}}{\alpha_{ab}}$  and (ii')  $\delta_r = \alpha_{ar}$ .

$$(iii) \quad \gamma_{[r} \delta_{s]} = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_r \delta_s - \gamma_s \delta_r) \stackrel{(i, ii, i', ii')}{=} \frac{\alpha_{rb} \alpha_{as} - \alpha_{sb} \alpha_{ar}}{\alpha_{ab}}.$$

Now  $0 = \alpha_{[rs}\alpha_{a]b} = \frac{1}{6}(\alpha_{rs}\alpha_{ab} + \alpha_{sa}\alpha_{rb} + \alpha_{ar}\alpha_{sb} - \alpha_{sr}\alpha_{ab} - \alpha_{as}\alpha_{rb} - \alpha_{ra}\alpha_{sb})$ , or

$$(iv) \quad \alpha_{rb}\alpha_{as} - \alpha_{sb}\alpha_{ar} = \alpha_{ab}\alpha_{rs} + \alpha_{rb}\alpha_{sa} - \alpha_{ab}\alpha_{sr} - \alpha_{sb}\alpha_{ra}$$

Plugging (iv) into (iii) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{[r}\delta_{s]} &= \frac{\alpha_{rb}\alpha_{as} - \alpha_{sb}\alpha_{ar}}{\alpha_{ab}} = \frac{\alpha_{ab}\alpha_{rs} + \alpha_{rb}\alpha_{sa} - \alpha_{ab}\alpha_{sr} - \alpha_{sb}\alpha_{ra}}{\alpha_{ab}} \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \alpha_{rs} - \left(\frac{\alpha_{rb}}{\alpha_{ab}}\right)\alpha_{as} - \alpha_{sr} + \left(\frac{\alpha_{sb}}{\alpha_{ab}}\right)\alpha_{ar} \\ &\stackrel{(i, ii, i', ii')}{=} \alpha_{rs} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_r\delta_s - \alpha_{sr} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_s\delta_r \stackrel{(*)}{=} 2\alpha_{rs} - \gamma_{[r}\delta_{s]} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_{rs} = \delta_{[r}\gamma_{s]}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha \text{ is simple} \quad \checkmark$$

“ONLY IF”

Suppose  $\alpha = \alpha_{rs} dx^r \wedge dx^s$  is simple. Then  $\exists$  1-forms  $\gamma = \gamma_r dx^r$  and  $\delta = \delta_s dx^s$  such that

$$(v) \quad \alpha_{rs} = \gamma_{[r}\delta_{s]} \quad \forall r, s.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{[rs}\alpha_{u]v} &= \frac{1}{6}(\alpha_{rs}\alpha_{uv} + \alpha_{su}\alpha_{rv} + \alpha_{ur}\alpha_{sv} - \alpha_{sr}\alpha_{uv} - \alpha_{us}\alpha_{rv} - \alpha_{ru}\alpha_{sv}) \\ &= \frac{1}{6}[(\alpha_{rs} - \alpha_{sr})\alpha_{uv} + (\alpha_{su} - \alpha_{us})\alpha_{rv} + (\alpha_{ur} - \alpha_{ru})\alpha_{sv}] \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \frac{1}{3}(\alpha_{rs}\alpha_{uv} + \alpha_{su}\alpha_{rv} + \alpha_{ur}\alpha_{sv}) \\ &\stackrel{(v)}{=} \frac{1}{3}(\gamma_{[r}\delta_{s]}\gamma_{[u}\delta_{v]} + \gamma_{[s}\delta_{u]}\gamma_{[r}\delta_{v]} + \gamma_{[u}\delta_{r]}\gamma_{[s}\delta_{v]}) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left\{ \begin{aligned} &([\gamma_r\delta_s - \gamma_s\delta_r][\gamma_u\delta_v - \gamma_v\delta_u]) + ([\gamma_s\delta_u - \gamma_u\delta_s][\gamma_r\delta_v - \gamma_v\delta_r]) \\ &+ ([\gamma_u\delta_r - \gamma_r\delta_u][\gamma_s\delta_v - \gamma_v\delta_s]) \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{12} \left[ \begin{aligned} &\gamma_r\delta_s\gamma_u\delta_v - \gamma_r\delta_s\gamma_v\delta_u - \gamma_s\delta_r\gamma_u\delta_v + \gamma_s\delta_r\gamma_v\delta_u \\ &+ \gamma_s\delta_u\gamma_r\delta_v - \gamma_s\delta_u\gamma_v\delta_r - \gamma_u\delta_s\gamma_r\delta_v + \gamma_u\delta_s\gamma_v\delta_r \\ &+ \gamma_u\delta_r\gamma_s\delta_v - \gamma_u\delta_r\gamma_v\delta_s - \gamma_r\delta_u\gamma_s\delta_v + \gamma_r\delta_u\gamma_v\delta_s \end{aligned} \right] \\ &\stackrel{(4)}{=} 0. \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$