

[12.8] Let  $\alpha = \sum_{r=1}^n \alpha_r dx^r, \dots, \gamma = \sum_{u=1}^n \gamma_u dx^u, \lambda = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j dx^j, \dots, v = \sum_{m=1}^n v_m dx^m$  be independent 1-forms in  $\mathfrak{R}^n$ . Let  $\phi = \alpha \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma$  be a  $p$ -form and  $\chi = \lambda \wedge \dots \wedge v$  be a  $q$ -form. Show that  $\phi \wedge \chi = \alpha \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma \wedge \lambda \wedge \dots \wedge v$ .

**Proof:** I adopt Juergen Beckmann's excellent mathematical notation for the antisymmetrization concepts per his solution to this same problem.

**Notation.** Let  $M = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{r \dots u} =$  set of permutations of the  $p$ -tuple  $(r, \dots, u)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{j \dots m} =$  set of permutations of the  $q$ -tuple  $(j, \dots, m)$ . Then

$$\phi = \alpha \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma = \sum_{(r, \dots, u) \in M^p} \alpha_{[r} \dots \gamma_{u]} dx^r \wedge \dots \wedge dx^u \quad (1)$$

where

$$\alpha_{[r} \dots \gamma_{u]} = \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_{r \dots u}} \text{sign}(\pi) \alpha_{\pi(r)} \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma_{\pi(u)}$$

and

$$\chi = \lambda \wedge \dots \wedge v = \sum_{(j, \dots, m) \in M^q} \lambda_{[j} \dots v_{m]} dx^j \wedge \dots \wedge dx^m \quad (2)$$

where

$$\lambda_{[j} \dots v_{m]} = \frac{1}{q!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_{j \dots m}} \text{sign}(\pi) \lambda_{\pi(j)} \dots \gamma_{\pi(m)}.$$

Thus

$$\phi \wedge \chi = \sum_{(r, \dots, u) \in M^p} \sum_{(j, \dots, m) \in M^q} \alpha_{[[r} \dots \gamma_{u]} \lambda_{[j} \dots v_{m]]} dx^r \wedge \dots \wedge dx^u \wedge dx^j \wedge \dots \wedge dx^m, \quad (3)$$

where the brackets inside a bracket  $[[r \dots u] [j \dots m]]$  means to antisymmetrize the two antisymmetrizations or, as Juergen states in his proof of this problem, to take the “average” of the two “averages”.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma \wedge \lambda \wedge \dots \wedge v \\ &= \sum_{(r, \dots, u, j, \dots, m) \in M^{p+q}} \alpha_{[r} \dots \gamma_u \lambda_j \dots v_{m]} dx^r \wedge \dots \wedge dx^u \wedge dx^j \wedge \dots \wedge dx^m. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

So the gist of this problem is to show that

$$\sum_{(r, \dots, u) \in M^p} \sum_{(j, \dots, m) \in M^q} \alpha_{[r} \cdots \gamma_{u]} \lambda_{[j} \cdots v_{m]} = \sum_{(r, \dots, u, j, \dots, m) \in M^{p+q}} \alpha_{[r} \cdots \gamma_{u]} \lambda_{[j} \cdots v_{m]},$$

that the average of all the terms equals the average of the two sub-averages.

**Lemma.** The sum of the antisymmetrized quantities  $\alpha_{[r} \cdots \delta_{u]}$  equals the sum of the original quantities  $\alpha_r \cdots \delta_u$ . That is,

$$\sum_{(r, \dots, u) \in M^p} \alpha_{[r} \cdots \gamma_{u]} = \sum_{(r, \dots, u) \in M^p} \alpha_r \cdots \gamma_u \quad (5)$$

Proof: Fix a  $p$ -tuple  $(r_0, \dots, u_0)$  and consider the RHS term  $\alpha_{r_0} \cdots \gamma_{u_0}$ . Where does it appear in the LHS? One place is in the term

$$\alpha_{[r_0} \cdots \gamma_{u_0]} = \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_{r_0 \dots u_0}} \text{sign}(\pi) \alpha_{\pi(r_0)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma_{\pi(u_0)} \text{ where it appears precisely once as}$$

$\frac{1}{p!} \alpha_{r_0} \cdots \gamma_{u_0}$ . In fact, there are  $p!$  permutations of  $\alpha_{[r_0} \cdots \gamma_{u_0]}$  and it appears as  $\frac{1}{p!} \alpha_{r_0} \cdots \gamma_{u_0}$  precisely once in each such permutation, and nowhere else. (Careful examination shows that it always appears as  $\pm \frac{1}{p!} \alpha_{r_0} \cdots \gamma_{u_0}$ , whether  $\pi$  is even or odd.) Thus the term

$\alpha_{r_0} \cdots \gamma_{u_0}$  appears precisely once on both sides of the equation. This proves that the terms on the RHS are precisely matched by the terms on the LHS, which proves the lemma. ✓

An example is helpful to clarify the notation in (1 – 4) and the lemma (5).

**Example.** Let  $\alpha = \alpha_1 dx^1 + \alpha_2 dx^2$  and  $\beta = \beta_1 dx^1 + \beta_2 dx^2$  be 1-forms in  $\mathfrak{R}^2$ . Then  $p = 2$ ,  $M = \{1, 2\}$ , so  $M^p = \{1, 2\}^2 = \{1, 2\} \times \{1, 2\} = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2)\}$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \alpha \wedge \beta = \sum_{(r, s) \in M^2} \alpha_{[r} \beta_{s]} dx^r \wedge dx^s \\ &= \alpha_{[1} \beta_{1]} dx^1 \wedge dx^1 + \alpha_{[1} \beta_{2]} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + \alpha_{[2} \beta_{1]} dx^2 \wedge dx^1 + \alpha_{[2} \beta_{2]} dx^2 \wedge dx^2 \\ &= \alpha_{[1} \beta_{2]} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + \alpha_{[2} \beta_{1]} dx^2 \wedge dx^1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \beta_1) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_2 \beta_1 - \alpha_1 \beta_2) dx^2 \wedge dx^1 \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \beta_1) - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_2 \beta_1 - \alpha_1 \beta_2) \right] dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \beta_1) + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \beta_1) \right] dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha_1 \beta_2 dx^1 \wedge dx^2 - \alpha_2 \beta_1 dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \\
&= \alpha_1 \beta_2 dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 dx^2 \wedge dx^1 \\
&= \alpha_1 \beta_1 dx^1 \wedge dx^1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 dx^2 \wedge dx^1 + \alpha_2 \beta_2 dx^2 \wedge dx^2 \\
&= \sum_{(r,s) \in M^2} \alpha_r \beta_s dx^r \wedge dx^s
\end{aligned}$$

This illustrates both the summation notation and the lemma.

$\mathcal{P}_{12} = \{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$  where

$$\pi_1: \begin{cases} 1 \rightarrow 1 \\ 2 \rightarrow 2 \end{cases}, \quad \pi_2: \begin{cases} 1 \rightarrow 2 \\ 2 \rightarrow 1 \end{cases}, \quad \text{sign}(\pi_1) = +1, \text{ and } \text{sign}(\pi_2) = -1.$$

So, for example,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{11} \beta_{21} &= \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_1 \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \beta_1) = \frac{1}{2!} \left( \text{sign}(\pi_1) \alpha_{\pi_1(1)} \beta_{\pi_1(2)} + \text{sign}(\pi_2) \alpha_{\pi_2(1)} \beta_{\pi_2(2)} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_{12}} \text{sign}(\pi) \alpha_{\pi(1)} \beta_{\pi(2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This illustrates the permutation notation and concludes the example.

Continuing the proof, we can use the lemma (5) to rewrite equations (3) and (4):

$$\phi \wedge \chi = \sum_{(r, \dots, u) \in M^p} \sum_{(j, \dots, m) \in M^q} \alpha_r \dots \gamma_u \lambda_j \dots v_m dx^r \wedge \dots \wedge dx^u \wedge dx^j \wedge \dots \wedge dx^m \quad (3')$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma \wedge \lambda \wedge \dots \wedge v \\
&= \sum_{(r, \dots, u, j, \dots, m) \in M^{p+q}} \alpha_r \dots \gamma_u \lambda_j \dots v_m dx^r \wedge \dots \wedge dx^u \wedge dx^j \wedge \dots \wedge dx^m. \quad (4')
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that both expressions (3') and (4') have  $n^{p+q}$  terms that are identical. Thus

$\phi \wedge \chi = \alpha \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma \wedge \lambda \wedge \dots \wedge v$ , concluding the proof. ✓

Note. Juergen solved this problem by showing directly that antisymmetrization of the  $p$  and  $q$  antisymmetrizations equals the single  $p+q$  antisymmetrization. He argued that the inner transpositions are self-cancelling. (Transpositions between one member of  $\{r, \dots, u\}$  and one member from  $\{j \dots, m\}$  are “**inner transpositions**”. Transpositions that involves terms only from  $\{r, \dots, u\}$  or only from  $\{j \dots, m\}$ , but not both, are “**outer transpositions**”). This perhaps is what Penrose looking for in this problem.

By collapsing the antisymmetrizations I side-stepped the very difficult issue of showing that the outer antisymmetrizations cancel, leaving just the inner antisymmetrizations.