[13.18] Let V be an n-dimensional vector space. The linear transformation $T: V \rightarrow V$ is nonsingular \Rightarrow T has an inverse

Proof: Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ be a basis for V. Let $b_k = T a_k$. Then $\{b_k\}$ is also a basis for V:

Suppose $\left\{\beta^k\right\}$ is collection such that $\beta^k b_k = 0$. (Einstein Summation Convention.) Then $0 = \beta^k b_k = \beta^k T a_k = T\left(\beta^k a_k\right) \stackrel{\text{[13.17]}}{\Leftrightarrow} \beta^k = 0 \ \forall k$. Thus $\{b_k\}$ is a collection of linearly independent vectors of size n, and hence $\{b_k\}$ is a basis for V.

Define $S:V \to V:Sb_k = a_k$. We show $S = T^{-1}$ by showing $STv = v = TSv \ \forall v \in V$. (This is equivalent to ST = I = TS.)

Let
$$v = \alpha^k a_k \in V$$
. Then $STv = S\alpha^k b_k = \alpha^k a_k = v$
Since $\{b_n\}$ is a basis, $v = \beta^k b_k$. So $TSv = TS\beta^k b_k = T\beta^k a_k = \beta^k b_k = v$

Note: Penrose said not to use explicit expressions. By that, he may have meant to do a coordinate-free construction, which I didn't because I assumed a basis $\{a_k\}$. Beckmann avoided using a basis but otherwise his proof seems as "explicit" as mine. He proved that nonsingular \Rightarrow 1-1 and onto. Therefore $\forall w \in V \exists \text{ unique } v_w \in V \text{ such that } T(v_w) = w$. He defined $S: V \to V: S(w) = v_w$. Thus $TS(w) = T(v_w) = w$.

Next, he fixed v so that there is a w such that w = T(v). So $ST(v) = S(w) = v_w$. Since $T(v_w) = w$ and T is 1-1, then $v_w = v$; i.e., ST(v) = v

Therefore he concluded that $S = T^{-1}$.