

[13.31] Let  $\mathbf{V}$  be a vector space and  $\mathbf{T}$  be a linear transformation on  $\mathbf{V}$  with distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ , where  $m \leq n$ . We furthermore assume

- (a) For each  $\lambda_j$  of multiplicity  $r_j \geq 2$  (if any), there are  $r_j$  independent eigenvectors.

Prove there is a basis for  $\mathbf{V}$  composed of eigenvectors.

Note: I have reworked Beckmann's proof to help my understanding of it. I have filled in some details and changed the way he did a few things.

**Solution.** Let  $r_j$  be the multiplicity of eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$ . Since there are  $n$  eigenvalues, we have that  $\sum_{j=1}^m r_j = n$ .

If  $m = 1$ , all the eigenvectors arise from a single eigenvalue and are thus independent by condition (a). From the definition of "basis", those eigenvectors constitute a basis for  $\mathbf{V}$ , and we are done. So, we assume  $m > 1$ . Without loss of generality, if there is a zero eigenvalue, we label it  $\lambda_1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}_j = \{v_{j1}, v_{j2}, \dots, v_{jr_j}\}$  be the set of  $r_j$  independent eigenvectors

corresponding to  $\lambda_j$ . We wish to prove  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{j=1}^m \mathcal{B}_j = \{v_{ji} : i = 1, \dots, r_j, j = 1, \dots, m\}$

comprises a basis for  $\mathbf{V}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  contains  $n$  vectors, it suffices to show that these vectors are linearly independent. So, assume

$$(*) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{r_j} \alpha_{ji} v_{ji} = 0.$$

We will be done if we can show that  $\alpha_{ji} = 0 \quad \forall j, i$ . Set  $E_j = \sum_{i=1}^{r_j} \alpha_{ji} v_{ji}$ . Since

$$T v_{ji} = \lambda_j v_{ji} \quad \forall i, \text{ then } T E_j = \sum_{i=1}^{r_j} \alpha_{ji} T v_{ji} = \sum_{i=1}^{r_j} \alpha_{ji} \lambda_j v_{ji} = \lambda_j \sum_{i=1}^{r_j} \alpha_{ji} v_{ji} = \lambda_j E_j. \text{ So,}$$

$$(1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m E_j = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{r_j} \alpha_{ji} v_{ji} \stackrel{(*)}{=} 0, \quad \text{and thus}$$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j E_j = \sum_{j=1}^m T E_j = T \left( \sum_{j=1}^m E_j \right) = 0.$$

Since  $m > 1$ ,  $\lambda_m \neq 0$ , and so we can solve (2) for  $E_m$ :

$$(3) \quad E_m = -\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_m} E_j. \quad \text{Plugging (3) into (1) yields}$$

$$(4) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_m}\right) E_j = 0.$$

Set  $a_j = 1 - \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_m}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m-1$ .  $a_j \neq 0$  since  $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_m$  (because we are given that the  $\lambda_j$ 's are distinct). We rewrite (4) as

$$(1') \quad \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} a_j E_j = 0. \quad \text{So}$$

$$(2') \quad \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} a_j \lambda_j E_j = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} a_j T E_j = T \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} a_j E_j \right) = T(0) = 0.$$

If  $m > 2$ , we continue this process. Since  $\lambda_{m-1} \neq 0$ , we can solve (2') for  $a_{m-1} E_{m-1}$ :

$$(3') \quad a_{m-1} E_{m-1} = -\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \frac{a_j \lambda_j}{\lambda_{m-1}} E_j. \quad \text{Plugging (3') into (1') yields}$$

$$0 = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} a_j E_j \right) + a_{m-1} E_{m-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} a_j \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_{m-1}}\right) E_j, \quad \text{or}$$

$$(4') \quad \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} a_j \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_{m-1}}\right) E_j = 0.$$

$$\text{Set } b_j = a_j \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_{m-1}}\right) \neq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq m-2.$$

$b_j \neq 0$  (since  $a_j \neq 0$  and  $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_m$ ), so we next rewrite (4') as

$$(1'') \quad \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} b_j E_j = 0. \quad \text{Thus}$$

$$(2'') \quad \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} b_j \lambda_j E_j = \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} b_j T E_j = T \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} b_j E_j \right) = T(0) = 0.$$

Continuing ...

$$(1^{m-2}) \quad d_1 E_1 + d_2 E_2 = \sum_{j=1}^2 d_j E_j = 0, \text{ where } d_1, d_2 \neq 0.$$

...

$$\left(1^{m-1}\right) \quad e_1 E_1 = 0, \text{ where } e_1 \neq 0.$$

Thus  $E_1 = 0$ .

Plugging  $E_1 = 0$  into  $(1^{m-2})$  yields  $E_2 = 0$ .

Continuing, we get  $E_j = 0 \quad \forall j$ .

From Condition (a),  $\alpha_{ji} = 0 \quad \forall j, i$ , which is what we are trying to prove. ✓