

[13.22] Show why the following diagram equalities in Fig 12.18 hold

$$(a) \quad \epsilon^{a \dots c} \epsilon_{f \dots h} = \frac{|| \dots ||}{|| \dots ||} = \frac{|| \dots ||}{|| \dots ||} = n! \delta_{f \dots h}^a \dots \delta_h^c$$

$$(b) \quad \epsilon^{a \dots c d \dots f} \epsilon_{a \dots c n \dots t} = \begin{array}{c} \overbrace{a \dots c}^p \quad \overbrace{n \dots t}^p \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \\ \underbrace{a \dots c d \dots f}_{n-p} \quad \underbrace{\quad \quad \quad}_p \end{array} = (n-p)! \frac{|| \dots ||}{|| \dots ||} = (n-p)! p! \delta_n^{[d} \dots \delta_t^{f]}$$

Solution: To avoid confusion, we do not use Einstein summation convention.

$$\text{Th: } \epsilon^{1 \dots n} \epsilon_{1 \dots n} = 1$$

Pf: Let π be a permutation of $(1, \dots, n)$. Observe that $\epsilon^{\pi(1) \dots \pi(n)} \epsilon_{\pi(1) \dots \pi(n)} = \epsilon^{1 \dots n} \epsilon_{1 \dots n}$. For example $\epsilon^{213 \dots n} \epsilon_{213 \dots n} = \epsilon^{123 \dots n} \epsilon_{123 \dots n}$ because both ϵ and ϵ are antisymmetric. There are $n!$ permutations, π , of $(1, \dots, n)$

$$n! = \sum_{\pi} \epsilon^{\pi(1) \dots \pi(n)} \epsilon_{\pi(1) \dots \pi(n)} = n! \epsilon^{1 \dots n} \epsilon_{1 \dots n} \Rightarrow \epsilon^{1 \dots n} \epsilon_{1 \dots n} = 1 \quad \square$$

$$\text{Cor 1. } \boxed{\epsilon^{a \dots c} \epsilon_{a \dots c} = 1 \text{ for any permutation } (a, \dots, c) \text{ of } (1, \dots, n)}$$

$$\text{Cor 2. } \boxed{\epsilon^{a \dots c} \epsilon_{d \dots f} = \text{sign}(\pi_0) = \pm 1, \text{ where } \pi_0(d, \dots, f) = (a, \dots, c)}$$

Pf: Let $\pi_0(d, \dots, f) = (a, \dots, c)$. $\text{sign}(\pi_0) = \pm 1$ depending on whether π_0 is even or odd. So $\epsilon^{a \dots c} \epsilon_{d \dots f} = \text{sign}(\pi_0) \epsilon^{a \dots c} \epsilon_{\pi_0(d, \dots, f)} = \text{sign}(\pi_0) \epsilon^{a \dots c} \epsilon_{a \dots c} = \text{sign}(\pi_0) = \pm 1 \quad \square$

Proof of (a): Let π_0 be the permutation $(\pi_0(d), \dots, \pi_0(f)) = (a, \dots, c)$.

LHS $\stackrel{(\text{Cor 2})}{=} \text{sign}(\pi_0)$. The terms in the summation of RHS are zero except for $\delta_a^a \dots \delta_c^c$. So,

$$\text{RHS} = n! \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi} \text{sign}(\pi) \delta_{\pi(f)}^a \dots \delta_{\pi(h)}^c = \text{sign}(\pi_0) \delta_{\pi_0(h)}^a \dots \delta_{\pi_0(h)}^c = \text{sign}(\pi_0) = \text{LHS} \quad \square$$

Note: The corollaries make ϵ and ϵ much less cryptic and easier to work with.

[13.22]

2

Proof of (b): Let π_0 be the permutation $(\pi_0(d), \dots, \pi_0(f)) = (r, \dots, t)$.

$$(1) \quad \epsilon^{a \dots c d \dots f} \epsilon_{a \dots c r \dots t} = \text{sign}(\pi_0) \epsilon^{a \dots c \pi_0(d) \dots \pi_0(f)} \epsilon_{a \dots c r \dots t} \\ \text{L.H.S.} = \text{sign}(\pi_0) \epsilon^{a \dots c r \dots t} \epsilon_{a \dots c r \dots t} \stackrel{(a)}{=} \text{sign}(\pi_0)$$

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{ \pi : \pi \text{ is a permutation of } (a, \dots, c) \}$.

$$\text{L.H.S.} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}} \epsilon^{\pi(a) \dots \pi(c) d \dots f} \epsilon_{\pi(a) \dots \pi(c) r \dots t}$$

$$= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}} \epsilon^{a \dots c d \dots f} \epsilon_{a \dots c r \dots t} \quad (\text{same number of permutations for } \epsilon \text{ and } \epsilon)$$

$$\stackrel{(1)}{=} (n-p)! \text{sign}(\pi_0) \quad (\mathcal{P} \text{ has } (n-p)! \text{ terms})$$

$$\text{R.H.S.} = (n-p)! \cdot p! \cdot \left(\frac{1}{p!}\right) \sum_{\pi} \delta_{\pi(d)}^{\pi(a)} \dots \delta_{\pi(f)}^{\pi(c)}$$

$$= (n-p)! \text{sign}(\pi_0) \delta_{\pi(d)}^{\pi_0(d)} \dots \delta_{\pi(f)}^{\pi_0(f)}$$

$$= (n-p)! \text{sign}(\pi_0) \delta_{\pi}^{\pi_0} \dots \delta_{\pi}^{\pi_0}$$

$$= (n-p)! \text{sign}(\pi_0)$$

$$= \text{L.H.S.}$$

□