[11.12] I first work a simple case of this problem. It has all the ingredients needed for the general case but it is much easier to understand and it then makes it much easier to do the general case.

Simple case:

Let
$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_1 \eta_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 \eta_2 + \mathbf{a}_3 \eta_3$$
, $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}_1 \eta_1 + \mathbf{c}_2 \eta_2 + \mathbf{c}_3 \eta_3$, and λ a scalar.

We wish to show $(\boldsymbol{a} + \lambda \boldsymbol{c}) \wedge \boldsymbol{c} = \boldsymbol{a} \wedge \boldsymbol{c}$

Recall that
$$\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 = 0 = \eta_2 \wedge \eta_2 = \eta_3 \wedge \eta_3$$
.

So,
$$\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{c} = [\mathbf{a}_{1}\mathbf{c}_{1} \ \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{1} + \mathbf{a}_{2}\mathbf{c}_{2} \ \eta_{2} \wedge \eta_{2} + \mathbf{a}_{3}\mathbf{c}_{3} \ \eta_{3} \wedge \eta_{3}]$$

$$+ [(\mathbf{a}_{1}\mathbf{c}_{2} \ \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{2} + \mathbf{a}_{2}\mathbf{c}_{1} \ \eta_{2} \wedge \eta_{1}) + (\mathbf{a}_{1}\mathbf{c}_{3} \ \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{3} + \mathbf{a}_{3}\mathbf{c}_{1} \ \eta_{3} \wedge \eta_{1}) + (\mathbf{a}_{2}\mathbf{c}_{3} \ \eta_{2} \wedge \eta_{3} + \mathbf{a}_{3}\mathbf{c}_{2} \ \eta_{3} \wedge \eta_{2})]$$

$$= [\mathbf{0}] + [(\mathbf{a}_{1}\mathbf{c}_{2} - \mathbf{a}_{2}\mathbf{c}_{1}) \ \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{2} + (\mathbf{a}_{1}\mathbf{c}_{3} - \mathbf{a}_{3}\mathbf{c}_{1}) \ \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{3} + (\mathbf{a}_{2}\mathbf{c}_{3} - \mathbf{a}_{3}\mathbf{c}_{2}) \ \eta_{2} \wedge \eta_{3}]$$

$$= (\mathbf{a}_{1}\mathbf{c}_{2} - \mathbf{a}_{2}\mathbf{c}_{1}) \ \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{2} + (\mathbf{a}_{1}\mathbf{c}_{3} - \mathbf{a}_{3}\mathbf{c}_{1}) \ \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{3} + (\mathbf{a}_{2}\mathbf{c}_{3} - \mathbf{a}_{3}\mathbf{c}_{2}) \ \eta_{2} \wedge \eta_{3}$$

Replacing **a** by $\mathbf{a} + \lambda \mathbf{c}$ (and, also, \mathbf{a} , by \mathbf{a} , $+ \lambda \mathbf{c}$, etc.) in this expression yields

$$\begin{split} \left(\boldsymbol{a} + \lambda \boldsymbol{c} \right) \wedge \boldsymbol{c} &= \left[\left(\boldsymbol{a}_1 + \lambda \boldsymbol{c}_1 \right) \boldsymbol{c}_2 - \left(\boldsymbol{a}_2 + \lambda \boldsymbol{c}_2 \right) \boldsymbol{c}_1 \right] \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 + \left[\left(\boldsymbol{a}_1 + \lambda \boldsymbol{c}_1 \right) \boldsymbol{c}_3 - \left(\boldsymbol{a}_3 + \lambda \boldsymbol{c}_3 \right) \boldsymbol{c}_1 \right] \eta_1 \wedge \eta_3 \\ &\quad + \left[\left(\boldsymbol{a}_2 + \lambda \boldsymbol{c}_2 \right) \boldsymbol{c}_3 - \left(\boldsymbol{a}_3 + \lambda \boldsymbol{c}_3 \right) \boldsymbol{c}_2 \right] \eta_2 \wedge \eta_3 \\ &= \left[\left(\boldsymbol{a}_1 \boldsymbol{c}_2 - \boldsymbol{a}_2 \boldsymbol{c}_1 \right) + \lambda \left(\boldsymbol{c}_1 \boldsymbol{c}_2 - \boldsymbol{c}_2 \boldsymbol{c}_1 \right) \right] \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 + \left[\left(\boldsymbol{a}_1 \boldsymbol{c}_3 - \boldsymbol{a}_3 \boldsymbol{c}_1 \right) + \lambda \left(\boldsymbol{c}_1 \boldsymbol{c}_3 - \boldsymbol{c}_3 \boldsymbol{c}_1 \right) \right] \eta_1 \wedge \eta_3 \\ &\quad + \left[\left(\boldsymbol{a}_2 \boldsymbol{c}_3 - \boldsymbol{a}_3 \boldsymbol{c}_2 \right) + \lambda \left(\boldsymbol{c}_2 \boldsymbol{c}_3 - \boldsymbol{c}_3 \boldsymbol{c}_2 \right) \right] \eta_2 \wedge \eta_3 \\ &= \left[\left(\boldsymbol{a}_1 \boldsymbol{c}_2 - \boldsymbol{a}_2 \boldsymbol{c}_1 \right) \right] \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 + \left[\left(\boldsymbol{a}_1 \boldsymbol{c}_3 - \boldsymbol{a}_3 \boldsymbol{c}_1 \right) \right] \eta_1 \wedge \eta_3 + \left[\left(\boldsymbol{a}_2 \boldsymbol{c}_3 - \boldsymbol{a}_3 \boldsymbol{c}_2 \right) \right] \eta_2 \wedge \eta_3 \\ &= \boldsymbol{a} \wedge \boldsymbol{c} \end{split}$$

General case:

Let
$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_1 \eta_1 + \dots + \mathbf{a}_t \eta_t$$

 $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}_1 \eta_1 + \dots + \mathbf{b}_t \eta_t$
 \vdots
 $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{d}_1 \eta_1 + \dots + \mathbf{d}_t \eta_t$

Following DimBulb's approach, we wish to show $(\mathbf{a} + \lambda \mathbf{c}) \wedge \mathbf{b} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathbf{d}$, where \mathbf{c} is one of the vectors \mathbf{a} , \mathbf{b} , \cdots , \mathbf{d}

This is equivalent to showing $(\mathbf{a} + \lambda \mathbf{c}) \wedge \mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{b} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{b} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathbf{d}$, or to showing $[(\mathbf{a} + \lambda \mathbf{c}) \wedge \mathbf{c}] \wedge [\mathbf{b} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathbf{d}] = [\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{c}] \wedge [\mathbf{b} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathbf{d}]$.

Thus, we need but show that $(\mathbf{a} + \lambda \mathbf{c}) \wedge \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{c}$, just as in the simple case.

We proceed as in the simple case, ignoring all terms $\,\eta_{_{\!P}}\wedge\eta_{_{\!P}}\,$ and grouping terms $\,\eta_{_{\!P}}\wedge\eta_{_{\!Q}}\,$ and $\,\eta_{_{\!Q}}\wedge\eta_{_{\!P}}\,$.

$$\begin{split} \left(\boldsymbol{a} + \lambda \boldsymbol{c} \right) \wedge \boldsymbol{c} &= \left[\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{1} + \lambda \boldsymbol{c}_{1} \right) \boldsymbol{c}_{2} - \left(\boldsymbol{a}_{2} + \lambda \boldsymbol{c}_{2} \right) \boldsymbol{c}_{1} \right] \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{2} + \dots + \\ &+ \left[\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{p} + \lambda \boldsymbol{c}_{p} \right) \boldsymbol{c}_{q} - \left(\boldsymbol{a}_{q} + \lambda \boldsymbol{c}_{q} \right) \boldsymbol{c}_{p} \right] \eta_{p} \wedge \eta_{q} + \dots + \\ &+ \left[\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{t-1} + \lambda \boldsymbol{c}_{t-1} \right) \boldsymbol{c}_{t} - \left(\boldsymbol{a}_{t} + \lambda \boldsymbol{c}_{t} \right) \boldsymbol{c}_{t-1} \right] \eta_{t-1} \wedge \eta_{t} \\ &= \left[\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{1} \boldsymbol{c}_{2} - \boldsymbol{a}_{2} \boldsymbol{c}_{1} \right) \right] \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{2} + \left[\lambda \left(\boldsymbol{c}_{1} \boldsymbol{c}_{2} - \boldsymbol{c}_{2} \boldsymbol{c}_{1} \right) \right] \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{2} + \dots \\ &+ \left[\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{p} \boldsymbol{c}_{q} - \boldsymbol{a}_{q} \boldsymbol{c}_{p} \right) \right] \eta_{p} \wedge \eta_{q} + \left[\lambda \left(\boldsymbol{c}_{p} \boldsymbol{c}_{q} - \boldsymbol{c}_{q} \boldsymbol{c}_{p} \right) \right] \eta_{p} \wedge \eta_{q} + \dots \\ &+ \left[\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{t-1} \boldsymbol{c}_{t} - \boldsymbol{a}_{t} \boldsymbol{c}_{t-1} \right) \right] \eta_{t-1} \wedge \eta_{t} + \left[\lambda \left(\boldsymbol{c}_{t-1} \boldsymbol{c}_{t} - \boldsymbol{c}_{t} \boldsymbol{c}_{t-1} \right) \right] \eta_{t-1} \wedge \eta_{t} \\ &= \left[\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{1} \boldsymbol{c}_{2} - \boldsymbol{a}_{2} \boldsymbol{c}_{1} \right) \right] \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{2} + \dots \left[\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{p} \boldsymbol{c}_{q} - \boldsymbol{a}_{q} \boldsymbol{c}_{p} \right) \right] \eta_{p} \wedge \eta_{q} + \dots \left[\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{t-1} \boldsymbol{c}_{t} - \boldsymbol{a}_{t} \boldsymbol{c}_{t-1} \right) \right] \eta_{t-1} \wedge \eta_{t} \\ &= \boldsymbol{a} \wedge \boldsymbol{c} \end{split}$$

That concludes the proof.