# **Problem Set: Support Vector Machines**

### Problem 1

Consider the following training data,

class	$\mathbf{x}_1$	$\mathbf{x}_2$
+	1	1
+	2	2
+	2	0
_	0	0
_	1	0
_	0	1

- a) Plot these six training points. Are the classes {+, -} linearly separable?
- b) Construct the weight vector of the maximum margin hyperplane by inspection and identify the support vectors.
- c) If you remove one of the support vectors, does the size of the optimal margin decrease, stay the same, or increase?

# **Problem 2**

Beginning with the optimization problem on slide 11 on the slides "SVM: Part 1," prove that the solution to the hard-margin optimization problem on page 13 provides a separating hyper-plane with maximum margin

- a) Suppose that **w**, b is optimal for the hard margin optimization problem on page 13. We must show that **w**, b gives a hyperplane that maximizes the margin. First show that the margin for **w**, b (distance from hyperplane to nearest training example) is  $1/||\mathbf{w}||$ . To do this, you'll want to use the explicit expression derived in class for the distance between a training example  $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$ ,  $\mathbf{y}^{(i)}$  and the the hyperplane defined by **w** and b. You'll also need to make use of the fact **w**, b satisfy the constraints in the hard margin optimization problem (since it is feasible) and that meets at least one of the constraints with equality (since it is optimal).
- b) Now let  $\mathbf{z}$ , d be any other separable hyperplane, and let M denote its margin for the data set. Define  $\mathbf{z}' = \mathbf{z}/|\mathbf{z}||M$  and  $\mathbf{d}' = \mathbf{d}/||\mathbf{z}||M$ . Show that  $\mathbf{z}'$ , d' is a feasible solution for the hard-margin optimization problem, and therefore  $||\mathbf{w}||^2 <= ||\mathbf{z}'||^2$  and hence  $||\mathbf{w}|| <= ||\mathbf{z}'||$ .
- c) Use (a) and (b) to show that margin for  $\mathbf{w}$ , b (=1/|| $\mathbf{w}$ ||) is greater than or equal to the margin for  $\mathbf{z}$ , d (= M).

Hint: Feel free to take a look at Andrew Ng's lecture notes. If you borrow material from those notes, be sure to use the notation used in class.

### Problem 3

Consider a supervised machine learning problem with two features  $(x_1, x_2)$  and 4 training points  $\underline{x}^{(1)}, \underline{x}^{(2)}, \underline{x}^{(3)}, \underline{x}^{(4)}$ :

data	class	$\mathbf{x}_1$	X2
<u>x</u> <sup>(1)</sup>	+	0	0
$\frac{\underline{x}}{\underline{x}}^{(2)}$	+	2	2
<u>x</u> <sup>(3)</sup>	-	0	2
$\underline{\underline{x}}^{(4)}$	_	2	0

Denote  $w_0 \& w_1$  for the weights and b for the bias.

- a) Argue that there is no solution that satisfies the constraints for the hard-margin SVM problem.
- b) Show that there is a solution for the soft margin SVM problem. Explicitly provide such a solution  $(w_0, w_1, b, \xi^{(1)}, \xi^{(2)}, \xi^{(3)}, \xi^{(4)})$ .

## **Problem 4**

- a) For any two documents x and z, define K(x, z) to equal the number of unique words that occur in both x and z (i.e., the size of the intersection of the sets of words in the two documents). Is this function a kernel? Justify your answer. (Hint: K(x, z) is a kernel if there exists  $\phi(x)$  such that  $K(x, z) = \phi(x) \cdot \phi(z)$ ).
- b) Assuming that  $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, x_2]$ ,  $\mathbf{z} = [z_1, z_2]$  (i.e., both vectors are two-dimensional) and  $\beta > 0$ , show that the following is a kernel:

$$K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = (1 + \beta \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{z})^2 - 1$$

Do so by demonstrating a feature mapping  $\Phi(x)$  such that  $K(x, z) = \Phi(x) \cdot \Phi(z)$ .

#### Problem 5

In class we introduced the Multi-class SVM to generalize the binary SVM to multiclass classification. This involved introducing parameters  $\mathbf{w}_k$  and  $b_k$  for each class k = 1, ..., K (where K is the number of classes), and performing prediction for a new data point  $\mathbf{x}$  using

$$\hat{y} = \underset{k}{\text{arg max}} \mathbf{w}_{k} \bullet \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_{k}$$

For this problem, prove that this is equivalent to the binary prediction rule  $sign(\mathbf{w}^{\bullet}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})$  in the case that K = 2. That is, suppose the data is separable and that  $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = arg \max_{k \in \{1,2\}} \mathbf{w}_k \cdot \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_{k}$  predicts the correct label for all data points  $\mathbf{x}$ . Find  $\mathbf{w}$ , b (as a function of  $\mathbf{w}^{(1)}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}^{(1)}$ ,  $\mathbf{w}^{(2)}$ , and  $\mathbf{b}^{(2)}$ ) that gives an equivalent decision rule. As always, you must show all of your work to obtain full credit.

#### Problem 6

The MNIST dataset is a database of handwritten digits. This problem will apply SVMs to automatically classify digits; the US postal service uses a similar optical character recognition (OCR) of zip codes to automatically route letters to their destination. The original dataset can be downloaded at <a href="http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/">http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/</a>. For this problem, we randomly chose a subset of the original dataset. We have provided you with two data files, mnist\_train.txt, mnist\_test.txt. The training set contains 2000 digits, and the test set contains 1000 digits. Each line represents an image of size 28×28 by a vector of length 784, with each feature specifying a grayscale pixel value. The first column

contains the labels of the digits, 0–9, the next 28 columns represent the first row of the image, and so on. We also provide a scripts written in Python, show show\_img.py to show a single image; using these will help you have a better understanding of what the data looks like and how it is represented.

Using a Gaussian kernel, you will obtain less than 7% test error. Had you used more training data, SVM with Gaussian kernel can get down to 1.4% test error (degree 4 polynomial obtains 1.1% test error). With further fine-tuning (e.g., augmenting the training set by adding deformed versions of the existing training images), a SVM-based approach can obtain 0.56% test error [2]. The state-of-the-art, which uses a convolutional neural network, obtains 0.23% test error [1].

- a. Read in mnist\_train.txt, mnist\_test.txt and transform them into feature vectors. Normalize the feature vectors so that each feature is in the range [-1, 1]. Since in this dataset each feature has minimum value 0 and maximum value 255, you can do this normalization by transforming each column  $\vec{v}$  to  $2\vec{v}/255-1$ . The normalization step can be crucial when you incorporate higher-order features. It also helps prevent numerical difficulties while solving the SVM optimization problem.
- b. We explore the use of non-linear kernels within Support Vector Machines. In this problem you will use **Python** and and the widely-used package **sklearn.SVM.SVC** (http://scikitlearn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.svm.SVC.html) and explore some of its functions. The library is built on top of libsvm and implements the SMO algorithm, which performs block coordinate descent in the dual SVM [3,4]. Try the default settings, which uses the Gaussian kernel ('rbf') with  $\gamma$ =1/numfeatures, and C = 1. Make sure that each feature is scaled to [-1, 1]. Note that in the library, the Gaussian kernel is of the form  $K(\vec{u}, \vec{v}) = \exp(-\gamma || \vec{u} \vec{v} ||^2)$  (equivalent to what we showed in class when  $\gamma = 1/2\sigma^2$ ) and the optimization problem is of the form

$$\min_{\substack{w,b,\xi\\ w,b,\xi}} \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{w}||^2 + C \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_j$$
subject to 
$$y_j(w \cdot x_j + b) \ge 1 - \xi_j$$

$$\xi_j \ge 0.$$

Train on the full training set. What is the test error?

c. Rather than using the default settings, we can choose the two parameters to be tuned (C and  $\gamma$ ) using cross-validation. If you prefer, sklearn has a helper function for this purpose (<a href="http://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/cross\_validation.html">http://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/cross\_validation.html</a>) which is called cross\_val\_score. Report the 5-fold cross-validation error when  $\gamma$  and C are at their default settings. Finally, try different  $\gamma$  and C values to find a model with small cross-validation error. What were the best values that you found? What is the cross-validation error? What is the test error for this setting?

# **Problem 7** (Extra Credit)

Consider the optimization problem for the support vector machines with Lagrange multipliers:

$$\max_{\overrightarrow{\alpha} \geq 0} \min_{\overrightarrow{w}, b} \frac{1}{2} \; \| \boldsymbol{w} \|^2 - \sum_{i} \alpha_i \, [(\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{x} + b) y(i) - 1].$$

Show that it is equivalent to maximizing the dual problem:

$$\sum_{\vec{\alpha} \geq 0, \sum_{j}^{max} \alpha_{j} y_{j} = 0} \sum_{j}^{\infty} \alpha_{j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} y_{i} y_{j} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} (\vec{x}_{i} \cdot \vec{x}_{j})$$

Show all work in your derivation.

# References

- [1] Dan Ciresan, Ueli Meier, and J¨urgen Schmidhuber. Multi-column deep neural networks for classification. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2012 IEEE Conference on, pages 3642–3649. IEEE, 2012.
- [2] Dennis Decoste and Bernhard Sch"olkopf. Training invariant support vector machines. *Machine Learning*, 46(1-3):161–190, 2002.
- [3] Rong-En Fan, Pai-Hsuen Chen, and Chih-Jen Lin. Working set selection using second order information for training support vector machines. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 6:1889–1918, 2005.
- [4] John C. Platt. Fast training of support vector machines using sequential minimal optimization. In Bernhard Schölkopf, Christopher J. C. Burges, and Alexander J. Smola, editors, *Advances in kernel methods*, pages 185–208. MIT Press, 1999.