

## Chapter 16: Introduction to Bayesian Methods of Inference

**16.1** Refer to Table 16.1.

- a.  $\beta(10, 30)$
- b.  $n = 25$
- c.  $\beta(10, 30), n = 25$
- d. Yes
- e. Posterior for the  $\beta(1, 3)$  prior.

**16.2** a.-d. Refer to Section 16.2

**16.3** a.-e. Applet exercise, so answers vary.

**16.4** a.-d. Applet exercise, so answers vary.

**16.5** It should take more trials with a beta(10, 30) prior.

**16.6** Here,  $L(y | p) = p(y | p) = \binom{n}{y} p^y (1-p)^{n-y}$ , where  $y = 0, 1, \dots, n$  and  $0 < p < 1$ . So,

$$f(y, p) = \binom{n}{y} p^y (1-p)^{n-y} \times \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{\alpha-1} (1-p)^{\beta-1}$$

so that

$$m(y) = \int_0^1 \binom{n}{y} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{y+\alpha-1} (1-p)^{n-y+\beta-1} dp = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(y + \alpha)\Gamma(n - y + \beta)}{\Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta)}.$$

The posterior density of  $p$  is then

$$g^*(p | y) = \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(y + \alpha)\Gamma(n - y + \beta)} p^{y+\alpha-1} (1-p)^{n-y+\beta-1}, \quad 0 < p < 1.$$

This is the identical beta density as in Example 16.1 (recall that the sum of  $n$  i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables is binomial with  $n$  trials and success probability  $p$ ).

**16.7** a. The Bayes estimator is the mean of the posterior distribution, so with a beta posterior with  $\alpha = y + 1$  and  $\beta = n - y + 3$  in the prior, the posterior mean is

$$\hat{p}_B = \frac{Y+1}{n+4} = \frac{Y}{n+4} + \frac{1}{n+4}.$$

$$\text{b. } E(\hat{p}_B) = \frac{E(Y)+1}{n+4} = \frac{np+1}{n+4} \neq p, \quad V(\hat{p}) = \frac{V(Y)}{(n+4)^2} = \frac{np(1-p)}{(n+4)^2}$$

**16.8** a. From Ex. 16.6, the Bayes estimator for  $p$  is  $\hat{p}_B = E(p | Y) = \frac{Y+1}{n+2}$ .

b. This is the uniform distribution in the interval  $(0, 1)$ .

c. We know that  $\hat{p} = Y/n$  is an unbiased estimator for  $p$ . However, for the Bayes estimator,

$$E(\hat{p}_B) = \frac{E(Y)+1}{n+2} = \frac{np+1}{n+2} \text{ and } V(\hat{p}_B) = \frac{V(Y)}{(n+2)^2} = \frac{np(1-p)}{(n+2)^2}.$$

$$\text{Thus, } MSE(\hat{p}_B) = V(\hat{p}_B) + [B(\hat{p}_B)]^2 = \frac{np(1-p)}{(n+2)^2} + \left( \frac{np+1}{n+2} - p \right)^2 = \frac{np(1-p) + (1-2p)^2}{(n+2)^2}.$$

**d.** For the unbiased estimator  $\hat{p}$ ,  $MSE(\hat{p}) = V(\hat{p}) = p(1-p)/n$ . So, holding  $n$  fixed, we must determine the values of  $p$  such that

$$\frac{np(1-p) + (1-2p)^2}{(n+2)^2} < \frac{p(1-p)}{n}.$$

The range of values of  $p$  where this is satisfied is solved in Ex. 8.17(c).

**16.9 a.** Here,  $L(y | p) = p(y | p) = (1-p)^{y-1} p$ , where  $y = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $0 < p < 1$ . So,

$$f(y, p) = (1-p)^{y-1} p \times \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{\alpha-1} (1-p)^{\beta-1}$$

so that

$$m(y) = \int_0^1 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{\alpha} (1-p)^{\beta+y-2} dp = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(y+\beta-1)}{\Gamma(y+\alpha+\beta)}.$$

The posterior density of  $p$  is then

$$g^*(p | y) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+y)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\beta+y-1)} p^{\alpha} (1-p)^{\beta+y-2}, \quad 0 < p < 1.$$

This is a beta density with shape parameters  $\alpha^* = \alpha + 1$  and  $\beta^* = \beta + y - 1$ .

**b.** The Bayes estimators are

$$(1) \quad \hat{p}_B = E(p | Y) = \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+\beta+Y},$$

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad [p(1-p)]_B &= E(p | Y) - E(p^2 | Y) = \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+\beta+Y} - \frac{(\alpha+2)(\alpha+1)}{(\alpha+\beta+Y+1)(\alpha+\beta+Y)} \\ &= \frac{(\alpha+1)(\beta+Y-1)}{(\alpha+\beta+Y+1)(\alpha+\beta+Y)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second expectation was solved using the result from Ex. 4.200. (Alternately,

the answer could be found by solving  $E[p(1-p) | Y] = \int_0^1 p(1-p) g^*(p | Y) dp$ .

**16.10 a.** The joint density of the random sample and  $\theta$  is given by the product of the marginal densities multiplied by the gamma prior:

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_1, \dots, y_n, \theta) &= \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n \theta \exp(-\theta y_i) \right] \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \theta^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\theta/\beta) \\ &= \frac{\theta^{n+\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \exp\left(-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - \theta/\beta\right) = \frac{\theta^{n+\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \exp\left(-\theta / \frac{\beta}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i + 1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

**b.**  $m(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \int_0^\infty \theta^{n+\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\theta / \frac{\beta}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i + 1}\right) d\theta$ , but this integral resembles

that of a gamma density with shape parameter  $n + \alpha$  and scale parameter  $\frac{\beta}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i + 1}$ .

Thus, the solution is  $m(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \Gamma(n + \alpha) \left( \frac{\beta}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i + 1} \right)^{n+\alpha}$ .

**c.** The solution follows from parts (a) and (b) above.

**d.** Using the result in Ex. 4.111,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}_B = E(\mu | \mathbf{Y}) = E(1/\theta | \mathbf{Y}) &= \frac{1}{\beta^* (\alpha^* - 1)} = \left[ \frac{\beta}{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i + 1} (n + \alpha - 1) \right]^{-1} \\ &= \frac{\beta \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i + 1}{\beta(n + \alpha - 1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{n + \alpha - 1} + \frac{1}{\beta(n + \alpha - 1)} \end{aligned}$$

**e.** The prior mean for  $1/\theta$  is  $E(1/\theta) = \frac{1}{\beta(\alpha - 1)}$  (again by Ex. 4.111). Thus,  $\hat{\mu}_B$  can be written as

$$\hat{\mu}_B = \bar{Y} \left( \frac{n}{n + \alpha - 1} \right) + \frac{1}{\beta(\alpha - 1)} \left( \frac{\alpha - 1}{n + \alpha - 1} \right),$$

which is a weighted average of the MLE and the prior mean.

**f.** We know that  $\bar{Y}$  is unbiased; thus  $E(\bar{Y}) = \mu = 1/\theta$ . Therefore,

$$E(\hat{\mu}_B) = E(\bar{Y}) \left( \frac{n}{n + \alpha - 1} \right) + \frac{1}{\beta(\alpha - 1)} \left( \frac{\alpha - 1}{n + \alpha - 1} \right) = \frac{1}{\theta} \left( \frac{n}{n + \alpha - 1} \right) + \frac{1}{\beta(\alpha - 1)} \left( \frac{\alpha - 1}{n + \alpha - 1} \right).$$

Therefore,  $\hat{\mu}_B$  is biased. However, it is asymptotically unbiased since

$$E(\hat{\mu}_B) - 1/\theta \rightarrow 0.$$

Also,

$$V(\hat{\mu}_B) = V(\bar{Y}) \left( \frac{n}{n + \alpha - 1} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{\theta^2 n} \left( \frac{n}{n + \alpha - 1} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{\theta^2} \frac{n}{(n + \alpha - 1)^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

So,  $\hat{\mu}_B \xrightarrow{p} 1/\theta$  and thus it is consistent.

**16.11 a.** The joint density of  $U$  and  $\lambda$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f(u, \lambda) &= p(u | \lambda) g(\lambda) = \frac{(n\lambda)^u \exp(-n\lambda)}{u!} \times \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\lambda/\beta) \\ &= \frac{n^u}{u! \Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \lambda^{u+\alpha-1} \exp(-n\lambda - \lambda/\beta) \\ &= \frac{n^u}{u! \Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \lambda^{u+\alpha-1} \exp\left[-\lambda / \left(\frac{\beta}{n\beta + 1}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

**b.**  $m(u) = \frac{n^u}{u! \Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{u+\alpha-1} \exp\left[-\lambda / \left(\frac{\beta}{n\beta + 1}\right)\right] d\lambda$ , but this integral resembles that of a gamma density with shape parameter  $u + \alpha$  and scale parameter  $\frac{\beta}{n\beta + 1}$ . Thus, the

solution is  $m(u) = \frac{n^u}{u! \Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \Gamma(u + \alpha) \left(\frac{\beta}{n\beta + 1}\right)^{u+\alpha}$ .

**c.** The result follows from parts (a) and (b) above.

**d.**  $\hat{\lambda}_B = E(\lambda | U) = \alpha^* \beta^* = (U + \alpha) \left(\frac{\beta}{n\beta + 1}\right).$

**e.** The prior mean for  $\lambda$  is  $E(\lambda) = \alpha\beta$ . From the above,

$$\hat{\lambda}_B = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i + \alpha \right) \left( \frac{\beta}{n\beta + 1} \right) = \bar{Y} \left( \frac{n\beta}{n\beta + 1} \right) + \alpha\beta \left( \frac{1}{n\beta + 1} \right),$$

which is a weighted average of the MLE and the prior mean.

**f.** We know that  $\bar{Y}$  is unbiased; thus  $E(\bar{Y}) = \lambda$ . Therefore,

$$E(\hat{\lambda}_B) = E(\bar{Y}) \left( \frac{n\beta}{n\beta + 1} \right) + \alpha\beta \left( \frac{1}{n\beta + 1} \right) = \lambda \left( \frac{n\beta}{n\beta + 1} \right) + \alpha\beta \left( \frac{1}{n\beta + 1} \right).$$

So,  $\hat{\lambda}_B$  is biased but it is asymptotically unbiased since

$$E(\hat{\lambda}_B) - \lambda \rightarrow 0.$$

Also,

$$V(\hat{\lambda}_B) = V(\bar{Y}) \left( \frac{n\beta}{n\beta + 1} \right)^2 = \frac{\lambda}{n} \left( \frac{n\beta}{n\beta + 1} \right)^2 = \lambda \frac{n\beta}{(n\beta + 1)^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

So,  $\hat{\lambda}_B \xrightarrow{p} \lambda$  and thus it is consistent.

**16.12** First, it is given that  $W = vU = v \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \mu_0)^2$  is chi-square with  $n$  degrees of freedom. Then, the density function for  $U$  (conditioned on  $v$ ) is given by

$$f_U(u | v) = v |f_W(uv) = v \frac{1}{\Gamma(n/2)2^{n/2}} (uv)^{n/2-1} e^{-uv/2} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n/2)2^{n/2}} u^{n/2-1} v^{n/2} e^{-uv/2}.$$

**a.** The joint density of  $U$  and  $v$  is then

$$\begin{aligned} f(u, v) = f_U(u | v)g(v) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n/2)2^{n/2}} u^{n/2-1} v^{n/2} \exp(-uv/2) \times \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} v^{\alpha-1} \exp(-v/\beta) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n/2)\Gamma(\alpha)2^{n/2}\beta^\alpha} u^{n/2-1} v^{n/2+\alpha-1} \exp(-uv/2 - v/\beta) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n/2)\Gamma(\alpha)2^{n/2}\beta^\alpha} u^{n/2-1} v^{n/2+\alpha-1} \exp\left[-v/\left(\frac{2\beta}{u\beta+2}\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

**b.**  $m(u) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n/2)\Gamma(\alpha)2^{n/2}\beta^\alpha} u^{n/2-1} \int_0^\infty v^{n/2+\alpha-1} \exp\left[-v/\left(\frac{2\beta}{u\beta+2}\right)\right] dv$ , but this integral resembles that of a gamma density with shape parameter  $n/2 + \alpha$  and scale parameter  $\frac{2\beta}{u\beta+2}$ . Thus, the solution is  $m(u) = \frac{u^{n/2-1}}{\Gamma(n/2)\Gamma(\alpha)2^{n/2}\beta^\alpha} \Gamma(n/2 + \alpha) \left(\frac{2\beta}{u\beta+2}\right)^{n/2+\alpha}$ .

**c.** The result follows from parts (a) and (b) above.

**d.** Using the result in Ex. 4.111(e),

$$\hat{\sigma}_B^2 = E(\sigma^2 | U) = E(1/v | U) = \frac{1}{\beta^*(\alpha^* - 1)} = \frac{1}{n/2 + \alpha - 1} \left( \frac{U\beta + 2}{2\beta} \right) = \frac{U\beta + 2}{\beta(n + 2\alpha - 2)}.$$

**e.** The prior mean for  $\sigma^2 = 1/v = \frac{1}{\beta(\alpha - 1)}$ . From the above,

$$\hat{\sigma}_B^2 = \frac{U\beta + 2}{\beta(n + 2\alpha - 2)} = \frac{U}{n} \left( \frac{n}{n + 2\alpha - 2} \right) + \frac{1}{\beta(\alpha - 1)} \left( \frac{2(\alpha - 1)}{n + 2\alpha - 2} \right).$$

**16.13 a.** (.099, .710)

**b.** Both probabilities are .025.

c.  $P(.099 < p < .710) = .95$ .

d.-g. Answers vary.

h. The credible intervals should decrease in width with larger sample sizes.

**16.14 a.-b.** Answers vary.

**16.15** With  $y = 4$ ,  $n = 25$ , and a  $\text{beta}(1, 3)$  prior, the posterior distribution for  $p$  is  $\text{beta}(5, 24)$ . Using R, the lower and upper endpoints of the 95% credible interval are given by:

```
> qbeta(.025, 5, 24)
[1] 0.06064291
> qbeta(.975, 5, 24)
[1] 0.3266527
```

**16.16** With  $y = 4$ ,  $n = 25$ , and a  $\text{beta}(1, 1)$  prior, the posterior distribution for  $p$  is  $\text{beta}(5, 22)$ . Using R, the lower and upper endpoints of the 95% credible interval are given by:

```
> qbeta(.025, 5, 22)
[1] 0.06554811
> qbeta(.975, 5, 22)
[1] 0.3486788
```

This is a wider interval than what was obtained in Ex. 16.15.

**16.17** With  $y = 6$  and a  $\text{beta}(10, 5)$  prior, the posterior distribution for  $p$  is  $\text{beta}(11, 10)$ . Using R, the lower and upper endpoints of the 80% credible interval for  $p$  are given by:

```
> qbeta(.10, 11, 10)
[1] 0.3847514
> qbeta(.90, 11, 10)
[1] 0.6618291
```

**16.18** With  $n = 15$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i = 30.27$ , and a  $\text{gamma}(2.3, 0.4)$  prior, the posterior distribution for  $\theta$  is  $\text{gamma}(17.3, .030516)$ . Using R, the lower and upper endpoints of the 80% credible interval for  $\theta$  are given by

```
> qgamma(.10, shape=17.3, scale=.0305167)
[1] 0.3731982
> qgamma(.90, shape=17.3, scale=.0305167)
[1] 0.6957321
```

The 80% credible interval for  $\theta$  is  $(.3732, .6957)$ . To create a 80% credible interval for  $1/\theta$ , the end points of the previous interval can be inverted:

$$\begin{aligned} .3732 < \theta < .6957 \\ 1/(\.3732) > 1/\theta > 1/(\.6957) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $1/(\.6957) = 1.4374$  and  $1/(\.3732) = 2.6795$ , the 80% credible interval for  $1/\theta$  is  $(1.4374, 2.6795)$ .

- 16.19** With  $n = 25$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i = 174$ , and a  $\text{gamma}(2, 3)$  prior, the posterior distribution for  $\lambda$  is  $\text{gamma}(176, .0394739)$ . Using R, the lower and upper endpoints of the 95% credible interval for  $\lambda$  are given by

```
> qgamma(.025, shape=176, scale=.0394739)
[1] 5.958895
> qgamma(.975, shape=176, scale=.0394739)
[1] 8.010663
```

- 16.20** With  $n = 8$ ,  $u = .8579$ , and a  $\text{gamma}(5, 2)$  prior, the posterior distribution for  $v$  is  $\text{gamma}(9, 1.0764842)$ . Using R, the lower and upper endpoints of the 90% credible interval for  $v$  are given by

```
> qgamma(.05, shape=9, scale=1.0764842)
[1] 5.054338
> qgamma(.95, shape=9, scale=1.0764842)
[1] 15.53867
```

The 90% credible interval for  $v$  is (5.054, 15.539). Similar to Ex. 16.18, the 90% credible interval for  $\sigma^2 = 1/v$  is found by inverting the endpoints of the credible interval for  $v$ , given by (.0644, .1979).

- 16.21** From Ex. 6.15, the posterior distribution of  $p$  is  $\text{beta}(5, 24)$ . Now, we can find

$P^*(p \in \Omega_0) = P^*(p < .3)$  by (in R):

```
> pbeta(.3, 5, 24)
[1] 0.9525731
```

Therefore,  $P^*(p \in \Omega_a) = P^*(p \geq .3) = 1 - .9525731 = .0474269$ . Since the probability associated with  $H_0$  is much larger, our decision is to not reject  $H_0$ .

- 16.22** From Ex. 6.16, the posterior distribution of  $p$  is  $\text{beta}(5, 22)$ . We can find

$P^*(p \in \Omega_0) = P^*(p < .3)$  by (in R):

```
> pbeta(.3, 5, 22)
[1] 0.9266975
```

Therefore,  $P^*(p \in \Omega_a) = P^*(p \geq .3) = 1 - .9266975 = .0733025$ . Since the probability associated with  $H_0$  is much larger, our decision is to not reject  $H_0$ .

- 16.23** From Ex. 6.17, the posterior distribution of  $p$  is  $\text{beta}(11, 10)$ . Thus,

$P^*(p \in \Omega_0) = P^*(p < .4)$  is given by (in R):

```
> pbeta(.4, 11, 10)
[1] 0.1275212
```

Therefore,  $P^*(p \in \Omega_a) = P^*(p \geq .4) = 1 - .1275212 = .8724788$ . Since the probability associated with  $H_a$  is much larger, our decision is to reject  $H_0$ .

- 16.24** From Ex. 16.18, the posterior distribution for  $\theta$  is  $\text{gamma}(17.3, .0305)$ . To test

$$H_0: \theta > .5 \text{ vs. } H_a: \theta \leq .5,$$

we calculate  $P^*(\theta \in \Omega_0) = P^*(\theta > .5)$  as:

```
> 1 - pgamma(.5, shape=17.3, scale=.0305)
[1] 0.5561767
```

Therefore,  $P^*(\theta \in \Omega_a) = P^*(\theta \geq .5) = 1 - .5561767 = .4438233$ . The probability associated with  $H_0$  is larger (but only marginally so), so our decision is to not reject  $H_0$ .

**16.25** From Ex. 16.19, the posterior distribution for  $\lambda$  is  $\text{gamma}(176, .0395)$ . Thus,  $P^*(\lambda \in \Omega_0) = P^*(\lambda > 6)$  is found by

```
> 1 - pgamma(6, shape=176, scale=.0395)
[1] 0.9700498
```

Therefore,  $P^*(\lambda \in \Omega_a) = P^*(\lambda \leq 6) = 1 - .9700498 = .0299502$ . Since the probability associated with  $H_0$  is much larger, our decision is to not reject  $H_0$ .

**16.26** From Ex. 16.20, the posterior distribution for  $v$  is  $\text{gamma}(9, 1.0765)$ . To test:  
 $H_0: v < 10$  vs.  $H_a: v \geq 10$ ,

we calculate  $P^*(v \in \Omega_0) = P^*(v < 10)$  as

```
> pgamma(10, 9, 1.0765)
[1] 0.7464786
```

Therefore,  $P^*(\lambda \in \Omega_a) = P^*(v \geq 10) = 1 - .7464786 = .2535214$ . Since the probability associated with  $H_0$  is larger, our decision is to not reject  $H_0$ .