

Programming Assignment 2: Distributed Hash Table

Part 1: Routing

CSE 486/586: Distributed Systems

Introduction

For this project, you will be implementing a routing table for a distributed data structure called k-DHT that is similar to the distributed hash table Kademlia [1]. It is less robust and less sophisticated than the full Kademlia DHT protocol, but it will serve to deepen your understanding of distributed hash tables, distributed data structures and communication patterns in general, and peer-to-peer architectures. Think of it as Kademlia, the Patek to Kademlia's Rolex. It turns your wrist a little bit green if you wear it all day, but it was only \$20 and from across the street it looks pretty suave.

In Part 1 of this project you will learn about simple content-based addressing methods, and distributed routing. You will use cryptographic primitives and more complex Go interfaces and data structures than we have seen in previous projects.

Part 2 of this project will use the routing table you implement in Part 1 in a distributed hash table. Because this would otherwise create a dependency of success in Part 1 for success in Part 2, you will be provided with a working routing table implementation that you can use for Part 2 if your Part 1 implementation is not fully functional.

This is a three week project.

Academic Integrity

This is an *individual assignment*. You are responsible for completing it on your own, in accordance with the course, department, and University Academic Integrity policies. In particular, sharing code or specific design decisions is a violation of the AI policy, as is the use of assistance from sites such as Stack Overflow or any other Internet site, or from large language models or other assistants such as Copilot or ChatGPT. The allowable resources for this project are: the documentation and tutorials on [go.dev](https://golang.org/) and protobuf.dev, lecture notes, the course text *Distributed Computing* by Kshemkalyani and Singhal, required and optional readings from this handout and the lecture notes, and the course Piazza instance.

Remember that it's always OK to ask a TA or an instructor!

For this project, note that *there are absolutely open source implementations of Kademlia, and implementations of Kademlia in Go*. Using, or even looking at, those implementations for this assignment is a violation of academic integrity and will result in failure in the course. They're not Kademlia anyway!

1 Getting Started

First, read *Kademlia: A Peer-to-peer Information System based on the XOR Metric* [1]. Pay special attention to Section 2 of that document, which describes the routing table structure and the interactions of the data structure as nodes are added and removed.

Next, read this handout and all of the given code carefully. The given code contains numerous comments and some tests that will help you understand the requirements of this project. In particular, the tests in the directory `tests/` in the given code are tests for the public API of the routing table, and demonstrate how it will be used.

Pay special attention to the figures in this section, as they will help you understand the structure of the routing table. Note that, while the routing table is repeatedly described as a tree (and it is a tree!), it can also be thought of as a particular division of the key space into logarithmically decreasing partitions surrounding the local node's own key. At each partitioning, the remaining key space is divided in half, until the node is alone in its own "bucket" of the key space. While this forms a tree, it is a tree with nodes representing non-overlapping

portions of the key space, and so you will probably want to represent it in your implementation as an array or list. Keep this in mind when reading the paper, and it may help to understand the requirements.

Notice that some data structures used in the routing table (such as `kdht.NodeInfo`) are defined in messages. proto and require building the Protobuf definitions. Running `make` in the top-level directory of the given code should build the Protobuf implementation (as well as `update go.sum`).

2 Requirements

You must implement the `api/kdht.RoutingTable` API in the given code of this project. Note that the given code includes another API, `api/kdht.Node`, which you will not need to implement yet. You may find it helpful to read the comments for that API to put your routing table in context, however.

You must use the same version of Go and the Protobuf external package for this project as you did for the previous project. You may not use any other external packages, although any package in the Go standard libraries is acceptable. (This corresponds approximately to allowing any package that does not begin with a domain name.)

Your implementation of the API will conform to the description of the Kademlia API in the original Kademlia paper [1], with a few simplifying differences. When in doubt, consult the paper! It is difficult to understand at first, but a few read-throughs of Section 2 (in particular) and some careful drawings will make things clearer.

There are no specific requirements for the method in which you implement the routing table or the data structures that you use, but your implementation should be reasonably efficient. In particular, if a very large number of nodes are placed into your routing table, your implementation must be able to find any given node in the table in time logarithmic in the size of the key space. If you do not meet this requirement, you may find that some tests time out and fail when your project is graded. No sophisticated analysis of algorithms or data structures is required to meet this requirement; if you follow this handout and the Kademlia paper, your implementation's performance will be satisfactory.

Your implementation of this API must not produce any output on standard output (`os.Stdout` in Go, used by default for certain output operations).

2.1 API

The entry point to your API is the function `impl.NewRoutingTable()`, which has the following function signature and requirements:

- `func NewRoutingTable(node *kdht.NodeInfo, k int)(kdht.RoutingTable, error)`: This function must create an instance of some struct that implements the `api/kdht.RoutingTable` interface (defined below). The given node's `Id` field is the key around which the routing table is structured, and represents the local k-DHT node. The parameter `k` is the `k` described in the Kademlia paper, and represents the maximum number of nodes placed in any given bucket of the routing table.

The `api/kdht.RoutingTable` interface includes the following methods:

- `func (rt *RoutingTable)K()int`: This function simply returns the value `k` that was passed to `NewRoutingTable()` at the time that the routing table was created.
- `func (rt *RoutingTable)InsertNode(node *NodeInfo)`: This method must attempt to insert a node into the routing table, splitting `k`-buckets if necessary to do so. If the `k`-bucket into which the inserted node would be placed is already full, the insertion must do nothing. This method therefore cannot fail.
- `func (rt *RoutingTable)RemoveNode(key []byte)error`: `RemoveNode` must remove the node specified by the given key from the routing table, if it exists in the routing table. If the specified node does not exist, or if the specified node is the local k-DHT node (which must not ever be removed), then it must return `kdht.InvalidNodeError`.
- `func (rt *RoutingTable)Lookup(key []byte)(node *NodeInfo, ok bool)`: This looks up a node by its key in the routing table, and must return the node and a true `ok` value if it exists in the routing table. If it does not exist in the routing table, it must return a false `ok` value.

- `func (rt *RoutingTable)GetNodes(bucket int)[]*NodeInfo`: This function *must* return a slice containing exactly those nodes in the numbered bucket of the routing table. The bucket numbering is as described in the Kademlia paper, with specific requirements in Section 2.3 of this document. This operation *must* always succeed and *must* simply return `nil` if the specified bucket is empty or does not yet exist.
- `func (rt *RoutingTable)ClosestK(key []byte)[]*NodeInfo`: This function *must* return the closest k known nodes to the specified key. It *must not* fail and *must not* return an empty slice, but it may return fewer than k nodes if the routing table does not contain k entries.
- `func (rt *RoutingTable)Buckets()int`: Buckets *must* return the number of buckets in the current routing table, according to the rules in the Kademlia paper, with the clarification that you do *not* need to ever remove or coalesce buckets if nodes are removed from the routing table.

No key or `NodeInfo` structure passed to your routing table will be modified after it is given to your routing table, so you may retain references to these things if you wish to do so. Such data structures *may*, however, be used after passing them to your routing table, and the user will expect that they have not been modified. You therefore *must not* modify any argument that is passed to your routing table by reference. You may in any case find that it is more useful to create your own data structures (possibly with associated methods) to store this information, rather than using the data structures that are passed to you. In particular, the tests *will* never assume that the nodes returned by `Lookup()`, `GetNodes()`, etc., are the same references that were passed into `InsertNode()`, so you may recreate those nodes as necessary.

No node should ever be removed from your routing table except by a `RemoveNode()` operation.

2.2 Key Space

The key space in your implementation *must* be 160 bits, following the constants provided for you in `api/kdht`. The key values provided by the `given/keys` API *must* be treated as 160-bit big-endian integers for ordering and comparison purposes. You should find that the `given/keys` API and the `bytes.Compare()` function maintain this requirement without any particular additional effort on your part.

2.3 Bucket Numbering and Splitting

Buckets are numbered from 0 to 159, as follows, with the caveat that not all buckets may exist. (The rules for this are described later.)

- Bucket 0 represents the k nodes which differ from the local k -DHT node's identifier in the *least significant bit* of the *least significant byte* of the node address. These are the nodes closest to the local node by the XOR metric.
- Each bucket $i > 0$ represents nodes which share a prefix of $159 - i$ bits with the local k -DHT node's identifier; that is, all bits from the most significant bit to the i th least significant bit of the identifier of the nodes in bucket i are the same as the corresponding bits in the local k -DHT node's identifier. Thus bucket 159 is the "other half" of the key space from the local node, bucket 158 is one quarter of the key space that has the same most significant bit as the local node but a different second most significant bit, etc.
- Nodes in the *lowest-numbered non-empty bucket* may have *either bit value* in their corresponding bit position. For example, if there are 32 buckets (that is, bucket number 127 is the last non-empty bucket), every node in buckets $128 \leq i < 159$ shares an identifier prefix of *exactly* $159 - i$ bits with the local k -DHT identifier, and has the *opposite bit value* in the $159 - i$ st most significant (counting from zero) bit. The nodes in bucket 127 share a 31-bit prefix with the local k -DHT node, but the 32nd bit may have *either bit value*.

Note that unless there are an enormous number of nodes in the buckets, small-numbered buckets will probably not exist, or will be empty. The index of each bucket is where nodes that differ at the identified bit *would* be located if all buckets were full, but in practice they may be in some bucket of a larger index when the routing table is not full.

These rules will be naturally maintained if the splitting of buckets as described in the Kademlia paper is followed.

In certain pathological circumstances, it is possible that there may be empty buckets between bucket 159 and the last-occupied bucket of the routing table. This may occur either because of non-uniform distribution of node identifiers in the key space or due to node removals. Your implementation *must not* renumber buckets or coalesce adjacent buckets to prevent this. The good news is that the required behavior is *strictly easier* than dealing with re-balancing buckets in this situation.

The Kademlia paper includes a special rule which guarantees that the k closest nodes to the local k -DHT node's identifier are always maintained, even if this distorts the k -bucket tree's structure. Kademlia, and thus your implementation, does not have this feature. This makes your implementation somewhat simpler at the cost of unreliability for certain node distributions.

2.4 Assumptions

You may assume that all keys and node identifiers passed to your routing table are properly formed and of the required length (`kdht.KeyBytes` bytes, or `kdht.KeyBits` bits). You may wish to check this for debugging purposes, but your code will not be graded on its ability to handle invalid key sizes.

It is bad style to hard-code things like key lengths into your implementation, so you should avoid this, but feel free to use the defined constants in `api.kdht` for this purpose. In particular, this allows you to define a routing table of fixed length, which you may find simplifies your implementation.

2.5 Concurrency

Your routing table *must* be safe for concurrent access, but you do not need to provide any particular performance or ordering guarantees regarding concurrent accesses.. In particular, you may simply acquire a mutex upon entering any method of the `RoutingTable` interface, and immediately `defer()` unlocking that mutex. In Part 2 of this project you may find that you want to use more sophisticated concurrency control (or you may not), but you do not need to worry about that for Part 1.

2.6 Other APIs

The given code contains definitions for APIs that you *are not required to implement for Part 1 of this project*. In particular, the following methods and APIs are *not necessary* at this time:

- The function `impl.NewNode()`
- The interface `kdht.Node`
- The Protobuf enumerated type `MessageType`
- The Protobuf message `Message`

In addition, while the structure `kdht.NodeInfo` is a Protobuf message, you will only need to use its `Id` and `Address` fields for Part 1 of this project.

3 Guidance

I cannot stress enough the importance of reading and understanding the Kademlia paper. Please ask questions on Piazza or in office hours if you have them! You *will absolutely find it helpful* to draw some diagrams of the key space and how the k -buckets of the routing table react as nodes are added and the buckets are divided up.

3.1 Software Design

You will probably find it helpful to define several simple structures with associated methods for this project. For example:

- A routing table structure holding the metadata provided to `NewRoutingTable()` and some sub-structures.
- A k -bucket structure that understands when it is full, whether the nodes stored in it differ from the local k -DHT identifier in exactly the bit associated with the bucket or in some bit farther down, *etc.*
- A data structure representing a k -DHT node that holds frequently-needed metadata such as the length of its identifier's shared prefix with the local k -DHT node.

3.2 Testing

This data structure is not large or complicated in terms of its fundamental structure, but it is *quite subtle*. You will want to write additional tests for your routing table in the style of the tests in the `tests/` directory in the given code, and you may find it helpful to write tests in the same package as your implementation that can examine its internal structure. Run `make test` often to be sure you are making forward progress.

3.3 Incremental Development

When you begin writing code, start with creating a routing table that contains exactly one node (the local k -DHT node given to `NewRoutingTable()`) and verifying that the structure is correct. Then ensure that you can add k nodes to the data structure and look them up, before moving on to splitting k -buckets and forming the tree structure. We will test your data structure with both small and large numbers of nodes during grading, so ensuring that it works well for k or fewer nodes (which will require only one k -bucket) will be worth at least some credit. As you write and pass tests, commit and push to GitHub so that you can examine what has changed if you break a test later.

4 Grading

This project is worth 10% of your course grade. It will be evaluated for correctness according to the requirements in Section 2, above. Note that the Autograder provided to you during implementation of this assignment will not reflect this complete grading rubric. The provided Autograder tests will ensure only that your project compiles and implements some basic subset of the required functionality. You will have to implement your own tests!

Some requirements (such as the requirement that your API implementation does not print extraneous information to standard output) do not have specific grading criteria, but failing to maintain them will cause many criteria to lose points.

The point breakdown for this project is out of 20 points, as follows.

Points	Description
1	The <code>K()</code> method functions correctly
2	The <code>Buckets()</code> method returns the correct number of k -buckets based on preceding operations on the routing table
2	Nodes inserted into the routing table can be looked up by their identifier
4	Nodes inserted into the routing table are inserted into the correct numbered k -bucket
2	Attempting to insert nodes into full k -buckets does not change the routing table
2	Nodes removed from the routing table no longer appear in any node retrieval operations
3	The <code>ClosestK()</code> method retrieves the closest k nodes to a specified identifier when its closest k -bucket is full
2	The <code>ClosestK()</code> method retrieves the closest k nodes to a specified identifier when its closest k -bucket is not full
2	The routing table displays reasonable performance as the number of nodes it contains grows large

References

- [1] Petar Maymounkov and David Mazières. "Kademlia: A Peer-to-peer Information System based on the XOR Metric". In: *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Peer-to-Peer Systems*. Mar. 2002, pp. 53–65. URL: <https://pdos.csail.mit.edu/~petar/papers/maymounkov-kademlia-lncs.pdf>.