

Name: Huize Shi

Pid: A92122910

1. Alice and Bob has several apples and bananas and they want to split these fruits. Both of them like both fruits, but value them differently. For Alice, 1 apple is exactly equivalent to 2 bananas. For Bob, 2 apples are exactly equivalent to 1 banana.

Show that the way to split all the fruits is Pareto optimal if and only if

- either Alice has no bananas
- or Bob has no apples.

Solution: To prove that the following are Pareto optimal,

- either Alice has no bananas
- or Bob has no apples.

two conditions must be checked:

1. $A(x^*, y^*) < A(x, y) \Rightarrow B(x^*, y^*) > B(x, y)$
2. $B(x^*, y^*) < B(x, y) \Rightarrow A(x^*, y^*) > A(x, y)$

Let function A represent income for Alice, function B represent income for Bob. a stands for the number of apples. b stands for the number of bananas.

$$A(x^*, y^*) = 2a$$

$$B(x^*, y^*) = 2b$$

$$A(x, y) = 2(a - n) + k$$

$$B(x, y) = n + 2(b - k)$$

In case 1 (Alice trade n apples for k bananas and Alice income rose):

$$A(x^*, y^*) < A(x, y)$$

$$2a < 2(a - n) + k \Rightarrow k > 2n$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 > 2n - k$$

$$\Rightarrow 2b > 2b + 2n - k$$

$$k > 2n \Rightarrow 2b > 2b + 2n - k - k - n$$

$$\Rightarrow 2b > 2(b - k) + n$$

$$A(x^*, y^*) < A(x, y) \Rightarrow B(x^*, y^*) > B(x, y)$$

In case 2 (Alice trade n apples for k bananas and Bob income rose):

$$B(x^*, y^*) < B(x, y)$$

$$2b < 2(b - k) + n \Rightarrow n > 2k$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 > 2k - n$$

$$\Rightarrow 2a > 2a + 2k - n$$

$$n > 2k \Rightarrow 2a > 2a + 2k - n - n - k$$

$$\Rightarrow 2a > 2(a - n) + k$$

$$B(x^*, y^*) < B(x, y) \Rightarrow A(x^*, y^*) > A(x, y)$$

As proven above, either conditions are satisfied for the given states to be Pareto optimal.

2. Let us consider a modified game of Nim: on each turn a player may remove some number of pebbles from one pile or split this pile into two piles. Compute the Grundy function for this game for all the initial states with one pile.

Solution:

x	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
g(x)	0	1	$\text{mex}\{0, 1, 1 \oplus 1 = 0\} = 2$	$\text{mex}\{0, 1, 2, 2 \oplus 1 = 3\} = 4$	3	5	6

The function switches order on every 3rd and 4th counting from the 1st. $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$

$$g(0) = 0$$

$$g(4x + 1) = 4x + 1$$

$$g(4x + 2) = 4x + 2$$

$$g(4x + 3) = 4x + 4$$

$$g(4x + 4) = 4x + 3$$

Proof by induction:

4x+1 A single pile would have Grundy function value from 0 to 4x. Two pile tuples $(4x, 1), (4x - 1, 2), \dots, (2x + 1, 2x)$, have even (because the parity bit between the two piles are the same) Grundy values. This means that $g(4x + 1) = 4x + 1$.

4x+2 A single pile would have Grundy function value from 0 to 4x+1. Two pile tuples $(4x + 1, 1), (4x, 2), \dots, (2x + 1, 2x + 1)$, have odd (because the parity bit between the two piles are different), and divisible by 4 Grundy values. This means that $g(4x + 2) = 4x + 2$.

4x+3 A single pile would have Grundy function value from 0 to 4x+2. Two pile tuples $(4x + 2, 1), (4x + 1, 2), \dots, (2x + 2, 2x + 1)$, have odd (because the parity bit between the two piles are different), Grundy values. However, $g(4x + 2, 1) = 4x + 3$. This means that the next element in mex set would be $g(4x + 3) = 4x + 4$.

4x+4 A single pile would have Grundy function value from 0 to 4x+2 and by last part, 4x+4. Two pile tuples $(4x + 3, 1), (4x + 2, 2), \dots, (2x + 2, 2x + 2)$, have 1 mod 4, and even, Grundy values. This means that the next element in mex set would be $g(4x + 4) = 4x + 3$ since that is not taken.