**Name:** Huize Shi - A92122910

Discussion: A04 Homework: 5

1. (a) Prove that  $\sqrt{-10}$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-10}] = \{a + \sqrt{-1}b \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ 

$$\sqrt{-10} = (a + \sqrt{-10}b)(c + \sqrt{-10}d)$$
$$10 = (a^2 + 10b^2)(c^2 + 10d^2)$$
$$a^2 + 10b^2 \in \{1, 2, 5, 10\}$$

If  $b \neq 0$ ,  $a^2 + 10b^2 \geq 10$ . This means that  $(c^2 + 10d^2) = 1$ , which implies  $(c + \sqrt{-10}d)(c - \sqrt{-10}d) = 1$ , and this shows that  $(c + \sqrt{-10}d) \in \mathbb{U}(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-10}])$ . If b = 0,  $a^2 + 10b^2 \in \{1, 2, 5, 10\} \Rightarrow a^2 = a = 1$  since 1 is the only perfect square option. This implies the following:

$$a^{2} + 10b^{2} = 1$$
$$(a + \sqrt{-10}b)(a - \sqrt{-10}b) = 1$$
$$a + \sqrt{-10}b \in \mathbb{U}(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-10}])$$

Hence shown  $\sqrt{-10}$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-10}]$ .

(b) Show that  $2 \times 5 \in \langle \sqrt{-10} \rangle$  and  $2 \notin \langle \sqrt{-10} \rangle$  and  $5 \notin \langle \sqrt{-10} \rangle$ .

$$2 \times 5 = 10 = -\sqrt{-10} \times \sqrt{-10} \in \langle \sqrt{-10} \rangle$$

Assume towards contradiction that  $2 \in \langle \sqrt{-10} \rangle$ .

$$2 = \sqrt{-10} \cdot (a + b\sqrt{-10})$$
$$= \sqrt{-10}a - 10b$$
$$\Rightarrow a = 0, \ b = -\frac{1}{5}$$

 $b = -\frac{1}{5} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , Contradiction!!

Assume towards contradiction that  $5 \in \langle \sqrt{-10} \rangle$ .

$$5 = \sqrt{-10} \cdot (a + b\sqrt{-10})$$
$$= \sqrt{-10}a - 10b$$
$$\Rightarrow a = 0, \ b = -\frac{1}{2}$$

 $b = -\frac{1}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , Contradiction!!

Hence shown  $2 \times 5 \in \langle \sqrt{-10} \rangle$  and  $2 \notin \langle \sqrt{-10} \rangle$  and  $5 \notin \langle \sqrt{-10} \rangle$ .

(c) Prove that  $\mathbb{Z}[-10]$  is not a PID.

*Proof.* Assume towards contrary that  $\mathbb{Z}[-10]$  is a PID. By part a, we have shown that  $\sqrt{-10}$  is irriducible. This means that  $\langle \sqrt{-10} \rangle$  is maximal therefore prime. By part b, we have shown that it is not prime. This means that the assumption is false,  $\mathbb{Z}[-10]$  is not a PID.

2. We are told that  $p(x) = x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 - 2x + 2$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  is a zero of p(x). Let

$$\phi_{\alpha} : \mathbb{Q}[x] \mapsto \mathbb{C}$$
$$\phi_{\alpha}(f(x)) := f(\alpha)$$

We know that  $\phi_{\alpha}$  is a ring homomorphism.

- (a) Prove that  $\ker \phi_{\alpha} = \langle p(x) \rangle$   $\langle p(x) \rangle \subseteq \ker \phi_{\alpha} \text{ since } \phi_{\alpha}(p(x)) = 0.$  Since we know p(x) is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ ,  $\langle p(x) \rangle$  is therefore a maximal ideal. Since we have shown  $\langle p(x) \rangle \subseteq \ker \phi_{\alpha} \subsetneq \mathbb{Q}[x]$ , by definition of maintal idea,  $\ker \phi_{\alpha} = \langle p(x) \rangle$ .
- (b) Prove that  $Im \ \phi_{\alpha} = \{c_0 + c_1\alpha + c_2\alpha^2 + c_3\alpha^3 \mid c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{Q}\}\$ First show that  $\{c_0 + c_1\alpha + c_2\alpha^2 + c_3\alpha^3 \mid c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{Q}\} \subseteq Im \ \phi_{\alpha}$ :

$$\phi_{\alpha}(c_0 + c_1x + c_2x^2 + c_3x^3) = c_0 + c_1\alpha + c_2\alpha^2 + c_3\alpha^3$$

Then show that  $Im \ \phi_{\alpha} \subseteq \{c_0 + c_1\alpha + c_2\alpha^2 + c_3\alpha^3 \mid c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ :

$$\forall f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x], \exists q(x), r(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$$
$$f(x) = q(x)p(x) + r(x)$$
$$\phi_{\alpha}(f) = q(\alpha)p(\alpha) + r(\alpha)$$
$$\phi_{\alpha}(f) = 0 + r(\alpha)$$
$$\phi_{\alpha}(f) = c_0 + c_1\alpha + c_2\alpha^2 + c_3\alpha^3$$

Hence shown  $Im \ \phi_{\alpha} = \{c_0 + c_1 \alpha + c_2 \alpha^2 + c_3 \alpha^3 \mid c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{Q}\}.$ 

(c) Prove that  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle p(x)\rangle \simeq \{c_0 + c_1\alpha + c_2\alpha^2 + c_3\alpha^3 \mid c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ By  $1^{st}$  isomorphism theorem,  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle er \phi_\alpha \simeq Im \phi_\alpha$ . By part a, we have shown that  $\ker \phi_\alpha = \langle p(x) \rangle$ . By part b, we have shown that  $Im \phi_\alpha = \{c_0 + c_1\alpha + c_2\alpha^2 + c_3\alpha^3 \mid c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ . By substituting the corresponding parts we obtain the following:

$$\mathbb{Q}[x]_{\langle p(x)\rangle} \simeq \{c_0 + c_1 \alpha + c_2 \alpha^2 + c_3 \alpha^3 \mid c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{Q}\}$$

(d) Prove that  $\{c_0 + c_1\alpha + c_2\alpha^2 + c_3\alpha^3 \mid c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  is a field. We know that p(x) is irreducible, therefore  $\langle p(x) \rangle$  is a maximal ideal. This means that  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$  is a field. By isomorphism established in part c, we have  $\{c_0 + c_1\alpha + c_2\alpha^2 + c_3\alpha^3 \mid c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  is a field.

- 3. We are told that  $R = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$  is a unital commutative ring. Let  $\phi : R \mapsto \mathbb{Z}, \phi \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \right) = a b$ 
  - (a) Prove that  $\phi$  is a ring homomorphism.

## Addition:

$$\phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix}\right) = \phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a+c & b+d \\ b+d & a+c \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$= (a+c) - (b+d)$$

$$= (a-b) + (c-d)$$

$$= \phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}\right) + \phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

## **Multiplication:**

$$\phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix}\right) = \phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} ac + bd & ad + bc \\ bc + ad & bd + ac \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$= \phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} ac + bd & ad + bc \\ ad + bc & ac + bd \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$= (ac + bd) - (ad + bc)$$

$$= ac - ad - bc + bd$$

$$= (a - b)(c - d)$$

$$= \phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}\right) \phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

Hence shown  $\phi$  is a ring homomorphism.

(b) Find  $ker \phi$ .

$$\phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}\right) = a - b = 0$$

$$a = b$$

$$ker \ \phi = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & a \\ a & a \end{bmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

(c) Prove that  $R_{ker \phi} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ By  $1^{st}$  isomorphism theorem, showing that  $\phi : R \mapsto \mathbb{Z}$  is surjective completes the isomorphism proof.

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{Z}, \phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix}\right) = z - 0 = z$$

By  $1^{st}$  isomorphism theorem,  $R_{ker \phi} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ .

- (d) Is  $ker \phi$  a prime ideal? Yes, since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a integral domain.
- (e) Is  $ker \phi$  a maximal ideal? No, since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not a field.
- 4. (a) Show that  $x^2 5 = 0$  has no zero in  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ . Suppose towards contrary that  $\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$  such that  $m_{\alpha}(x) = x^2 - 5 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

$$\alpha = a + b\sqrt{2}$$

$$\phi_{\alpha}(m_{\alpha}) = (a + b\sqrt{2})^{2} - 5 = 0$$

$$0 = a^{2} + 2ab\sqrt{2} + 2b^{2} - 5$$

$$ab = 0$$

$$a^{2} + 2b^{2} = 5$$

Since  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ , it is an integral domain hence contain no zero divisors. This means either a or b must be 0.

Case a=0:

$$2b^2 = 5$$
$$b = \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}}$$

Since  $b \in \mathbb{Q}$ , this is impossible.

Case b = 0:

$$a^2 = 5$$
$$a = \sqrt{5}$$

Since  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ , this is impossible.

Hence shown  $x^2 - 5 = 0$  has no zero in  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ .

(b) Prove that  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] \not\simeq \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]$ .

Suppose that  $\phi: \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] \to \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]$  is an isomorphism.

$$\phi(1) = 1$$

$$\phi(a) = a, \forall a \in \mathbb{Q}$$

$$\phi(2) = \phi(\sqrt{2}^2)$$

$$2 = \phi(\sqrt{2})^2$$

$$2 = (a + b\sqrt{5})^2$$

$$2 = a^2 + 2ab\sqrt{5} + 5b^2$$

$$ab = 0$$

$$a^2 + 5b^2 = 2$$

Since  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ , it is an integral domain hence contain no zero divisors. Either a or b must be 0. If a = 0:

$$5b^2 = 2$$
$$b = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$$

This is a contradiction since  $b \in \mathbb{Q}$ . If b = 0:

$$a^2 = 2$$
$$a = \sqrt{2}$$

This is a contradiction since  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

Hence shown such an isomorphic mapping does not exist,  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] \not\simeq \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]$ .

5. (a) Suppose p is an odd prime, and there is  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $a^2 = -1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Prove that the multiplicative order of a is 4.

$$a^{2} \stackrel{p}{\equiv} -1$$

$$a^{2} \stackrel{p}{\equiv} p - 1$$

$$(a^{2})^{2} \stackrel{p}{\equiv} (p - 1)^{2}$$

$$a^{4} \stackrel{p}{\equiv} p^{2} - 2p + 1$$

$$a^{4} \stackrel{p}{\equiv} 1$$

We know  $a \neq 1$  since  $a^2 = -1$  which also tells us  $a^2 \neq 1$ .  $a^3 \neq 1$  because as shown above,  $a^4 = 1$ ,  $a^3 = 1$  implies that a = 1 which is a contradiction.

Hence shown the multiplicative order of a is 4.

(b) Use Lagrange's theorem to deduce: if p is a prime and  $p \stackrel{4}{\equiv} 3$ , then there is no  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $a^2 = -1$ .

First we examine the unit of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$ . The order of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  is p-1. A subgroup of this unit would be one generated by a with multiplication,  $\langle a \rangle = \{a^n \mid n \in [0,3]\}$ . Order of  $\langle a \rangle$  is 4. By Lagrange's theorem, 4 divides p-1:

$$4 \mid p-1$$
$$p-1 \stackrel{4}{=} 0$$
$$p \stackrel{4}{=} 1$$

Hence shown if  $p \stackrel{4}{\equiv} 3$ , then there is no  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $a^2 = -1$ 

(c) Suppose p is a prime and  $p \stackrel{4}{\equiv} 3$ . Prove that p is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .  $p \neq 0$  and p has no multiplicative inverse in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , hence not a unit.

$$p = (a + bi)(c + di)$$
$$|p|^2 = |a + bi|^2|c + di|^2$$
$$p^2 = (a^2 + b^2)(c^2 + d^2)$$

This means  $(a^2 + b^2)$  must be either  $1, p, p^2$ .

Case  $(a^2 + b^2) = 1$ :

$$(a2 + b2) = 1$$
$$(a + bi)(a - bi) = 1$$

Hence shown (a + bi) is a unit.

Case  $(a^2 + b^2) = p^2 \Rightarrow (c^2 + d^2) = 1$ :

$$(c2 + d2) = 1$$
$$(c + di)(c - di) = 1$$

This means that (c+di) is a unit.

Case  $(a^2 + b^2) = p$ :

$$(a^2 + b^2) \stackrel{p}{\equiv} 0$$

We know that  $b \neq 0$  since that would implie  $a^2 = p$  which is impossible since p is prime.

$$\frac{a^2}{b^2} + 1 \stackrel{p}{\equiv} 0$$
$$\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^2 \stackrel{p}{\equiv} -1$$

Since  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a field therefore  $b \neq 0 \Rightarrow b^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p \Rightarrow \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Hence by part b, we know that this is impossible. By contradiction, we have shown that p is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

(d) Use part (c) to show  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/\langle p \rangle$  is a field if p is a prime if p is a prime and  $p \stackrel{4}{=} 3$ . Since we know if p is a prime and  $p \stackrel{4}{=} 3$ , p is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . This means  $\langle p \rangle$  is a maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . The factor ring of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  over its maximal ideal,  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/\langle p \rangle$  is therefore a field by lemma proven in class.