8WH

```
library(tidyverse)
-- Attaching packages ----- tidyverse 1.3.1 --
v ggplot2 3.3.5
                  v purrr 0.3.4
v tibble 3.1.4
                 v dplyr 1.0.7
v tidyr 1.1.3
                  v stringr 1.4.0
                 v forcats 0.5.1
v readr
         2.0.1
-- Conflicts ----- tidyverse conflicts() --
x dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()
x dplyr::lag()
              masks stats::lag()
library(lme4)
Loading required package: Matrix
Attaching package: 'Matrix'
The following objects are masked from 'package:tidyr':
    expand, pack, unpack
library(reshape2)
Attaching package: 'reshape2'
The following object is masked from 'package:tidyr':
    smiths
dat <- read.csv("table11_1_modified.csv")</pre>
dt.l <- melt(dat, id.vars=c("id", "group"), measure.vars=paste("week",1:8,sep=""),</pre>
             variable.name="week", value.name="fas")
dt.l$time <- recode(dt.l$week, "week1"=1, "week2"=2, "week3"=3, "week4"=4, "week5"=5, "week6"=6,
 dt.1 <- dt.1[order(dt.1$id),]</pre>
```

Question 2

localhost:5400 1/6

Part A

Consider "Model 3" in the lecture note. Your collaborator Dr. Smith Lee, a clinician, email you to ask you explain the random intercept and random slope in the model. Write a response email to Dr. Lee. Use plain language as much as possible without numerical results in your reply.

```
fit1 <- lmer(fas ~ time + (1 + time | id), data=dt.l)</pre>
 summary(fit1)
Linear mixed model fit by REML ['lmerMod']
Formula: fas ~ time + (1 + time | id)
   Data: dt.1
REML criterion at convergence: 1335
Scaled residuals:
         1Q Median
   Min
                            3Q
                                   Max
-2.8482 -0.5401 -0.0040 0.4944 3.2309
Random effects:
 Groups Name
                     Variance Std.Dev. Corr
         (Intercept) 190.225 13.792
                       8.273 2.876
         time
                                      -0.17
 Residual
                      27.638
                               5.257
Number of obs: 192, groups: id, 24
Fixed effects:
           Estimate Std. Error t value
(Intercept) 27.637 2.937 9.410
time
              5.030
                         0.610 8.245
Correlation of Fixed Effects:
     (Intr)
time -0.225
```

In this model we are predicting the functional ability scores (FAS) of an individual. We allow for a random intercept, meaning that we expect individuals to have a random effect on the intercepts of the model, or the predicted FAS value for an individual at time = 0.

We also are allowing for a random slope, meaning that we are allowing for individuals to have different effects on the slope of the model, or, in other words, an individuals effect on FAS over time changes different between individuals.

Part B

Consider "Model 3" in the lecture note. You now want to study if the temporal effect differs by group.

Consider GROUP to be a continuous variable. Assume random intercept and random slope for the time localhost:5400

effect only in the model. Write down the linear mixed effects model. Fit the model to the provided dataset. Interpret the fixed effect for the interaction. In addition, what are the estimated variances of random effects? How do you interpret them?

```
dt.l$group <- unclass(as.factor(dt.l$group))</pre>
 fit3 <- lmer(fas ~ time + group + time*group + (1+ time|id), data = dt.l)</pre>
 summary(fit3)
Linear mixed model fit by REML ['lmerMod']
Formula: fas ~ time + group + time * group + (1 + time | id)
   Data: dt.1
REML criterion at convergence: 1322.4
Scaled residuals:
    Min
               1Q Median
                                 3Q
                                         Max
-2.8242 -0.5415 0.0040 0.5127 3.2420
Random effects:
 Groups
                         Variance Std.Dev. Corr
 id
           (Intercept) 181.966
                                   13.489
           time
                           6.475
                                     2.545
                                              -0.03
 Residual
                          27.638
                                     5.257
Number of obs: 192, groups: id, 24
Fixed effects:
              Estimate Std. Error t value
(Intercept)
             17.7798
                             7.6137
                                       2.335
time
                8.5119
                             1.4423
                                       5.901
                4.9286
group
                             3.5244
                                       1.398
time:group
               -1.7411
                             0.6677
                                     -2.608
Correlation of Fixed Effects:
            (Intr) time
                             group
time
             -0.102
group
            -0.926 0.095
time:group 0.095 -0.926 -0.102
                     Y_{ij} = \beta_0 + a_i + (\beta_1 + b_i)t_{ij} + \beta_2 * \text{Group} + \beta_3 t_{ij} * \text{Group} + e_{ij}
                    For a given time, t : \text{Group} = 1 : \beta_0 + a_i + (\beta_1 + b_i + \beta_3)t + \beta_2
             Group = 0: \beta_0 + a_i(\beta_1 + b_i)tThe difference between these two is: \beta_3 t + \beta_2
              For a given time, t + 1: Group = 1: \beta_0 + a_i + (\beta_1 + b_i + \beta_3)(t + 1) + \beta_2
      Group = 0: \beta_0 + a_i(\beta_1 + b_i)(t+1)The difference between these two is: \beta_3(t+1) + \beta_2
```

The difference of the differences as shown above is $\neq 0$, so a 1 unit increase in time changes the effect of group on FAS, by a value of β_3 .

localhost:5400 3/6

The estimated variance of random effects for id is 181.966, and the variance for the random effect of time is 6.475. The variance is pretty large for for id, meaning our intercept varies a large amount between individuals. The variance for time or slope is pretty small, meaning the slope over time does not change particularly a lot between individuals.

Part C

Now, add a random slope for the group effect (so there are two random slopes) to the model in (b). Write down the updated model. Fit the model to the provided dataset. How do you explain the random slope for the group effect to Dr. Lee? Compare fixed effect coefficients in (b) and (c). Are they the same? Now, compared with results in (b), is the inference for the fixed effect of the interaction changed? Explain it. In addition, what are the estimated variances of random effects? Which main covariate effect does vary more across individuals, time or group?

$$Y_{ij} = eta_0 + a_i + (eta_1 + b_i)t_{ij} + (eta_2 + c_i) * \operatorname{Group} + eta_3 t_{ij} * \operatorname{Group} + e_{ij}$$

```
fit3c <- lmer(fas ~ time + group + time*group + (1+ time + group|id), data = dt.1)
summary(fit3c)</pre>
```

```
Linear mixed model fit by REML ['lmerMod']
Formula: fas ~ time + group + time * group + (1 + time + group | id)
   Data: dt.1
REML criterion at convergence: 1320.3
Scaled residuals:
    Min
             10 Median
                             30
                                    Max
-2.8209 -0.5266 0.0199 0.5041 3.2518
Random effects:
 Groups
                      Variance Std.Dev. Corr
 id
          (Intercept) 309.242 17.585
          time
                        6.487
                                2.547
                                        -0.70
          group
                       32.185
                                5.673
                                        -0.68 1.00
 Residual
                       27.622
                                5.256
Number of obs: 192, groups: id, 24
```

Fixed effects:

```
Estimate Std. Error t value (Intercept) 19.3877 7.7937 2.488 time 8.1286 1.4112 5.760 group 4.2669 3.5704 1.195 time:group -1.5494 0.6507 -2.381
```

Correlation of Fixed Effects:

```
(Intr) time group
```

time -0.363

localhost:5400 4/6

```
group -0.928 0.260
time:group 0.252 -0.922 -0.153
```

To explain the random slope for group effect to Dr. Lee, I would say that each group has its own effect on slope across time. Each individual has its own slope, but for a 1 unit increase in group and time, FAS increases by 4.27.

The fixed effects coefficients are somewhat similar, the first model intercept value is 17.78, and the second model is 19.39. The other estimates are pretty similar as well. They are not the same.

```
library(car)
Loading required package: carData
Attaching package: 'car'
The following object is masked from 'package:dplyr':
    recode
The following object is masked from 'package:purrr':
    some
Anova(fit3)
Analysis of Deviance Table (Type II Wald chisquare tests)
Response: fas
            Chisq Df Pr(>Chisq)
          85.1243 1 < 2.2e-16 ***
time
group
           1.2932 1
                       0.255453
time:group 6.7999 1
                       0.009116 **
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Anova(fit3c)
Analysis of Deviance Table (Type II Wald chisquare tests)
Response: fas
            Chisq Df Pr(>Chisq)
          84.9760 1
                        < 2e-16 ***
time
           0.7085 1
                        0.39994
group
time:group 5.6695 1
                        0.01726 *
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

localhost:5400 5/6

The p-value for both of the interaction terms are significant, thus the inference for the interaction term does not change.

The estimated variances of random effects for the intercept in the first model is 181.97, and 309.24, which are pretty different. For time, it is 6.475 and 6.487. The residual variance is nearly equal, at 27.638 and 27.622.

The main covariate effect of group varies more across individuals. This is because the variance is larger for group than it is for time

localhost:5400 6/6