

# CS312 Notes

October 13, 2024

## Contents

<b>1 Pushdown Automata</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Terminology . . . . .	2
1.2 Informal Algorithm for $\{0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ . . . . .	2
1.3 Formalization . . . . .	3
1.4 Formal Def . . . . .	3
1.5 PDA Computation . . . . .	3
1.6 Stack Notation . . . . .	4
1.7 Empty Stack . . . . .	4
1.8 Theorem . . . . .	5
1.9 Difficulties . . . . .	5
1.10 Informal Description . . . . .	5
1.11 Generic State Diagram . . . . .	6

## 1 Pushdown Automata

A pushdown automata (or PDA) is similar to an NFA but it has a stack. The stack provides additional memory beyond finite memory available in control; it allows the PDA to recognize some nonregular languages.

2 options to prove that a language is context-free

- Construct a CFG that generates it
- Construct a PDA that recognizes it

Some CFLs are more easily described in terms of their generators, whereas others are more easily described in terms of their recognizers. Let's draw a schematic representation of the difference between an NFA and a PDA.

## 1.1 Terminology

- Writing a symbol on the stack is called pushing the symbol
- Removing a symbol from the stack is called popping the symbol
- All access to the stack may be done only at the top (LIFO storage device)

The primary benefit of that stack is that it can hold an **unlimited** amount of data; a PDA can recognize  $\{0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 0\}$  because it can use the stack to remember that number of 0s it has seen (read)

## 1.2 Informal Algorithm for $\{0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 0\}$

1. Read symbols from the input, push a 0 for each 0 you see
2. As soon as a 1 is read, pop a 0 off the stack (for each 1 read).
3. If input finishes when the stack become empty, accept; if stack becomes empty while there is still input or input finishes while the stack is not empty, reject.

A PDA may be nondeterministic. Languages as the one above do not require nondeterminism. However, the language  $\{ww^R \mid w \in \{0,1\}^*\}$  would require nondeterminism. Why?

### 1.3 Formalization

- A PDA may have different alphabets for input ( $\Sigma$ ) and stack ( $\Gamma$ )
- Nondeterminism allows for the PDA to make transitions on empty input. Define  $\Sigma_\epsilon = \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$  and  $\Gamma_\epsilon = \Gamma \cup \{\epsilon\}$
- The domain of the PDA transition function is  $Q \times \Sigma_\epsilon \times \Gamma_\epsilon$ , where  $Q$  is the set of states
- The range of the PDA transition function is  $P(Q \times \Gamma_\epsilon)$ .

$$\delta : Q \times \Sigma_\epsilon \times \Gamma_\epsilon \rightarrow P(Q \times \Gamma_\epsilon)$$

### 1.4 Formal Def

A PDA is a 6-tuple  $(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$ , where  $Q, \Sigma, \Gamma$  are finite sets of states

### 1.5 PDA Computation

A PDA  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$  computes as follows. . .

$M$  inputs  $w = w_1 w_2 \dots w_m$ , where each  $w_i \in \Sigma_\epsilon$

1.  $r_0 = q_0, s_0 = \epsilon$ ; begin with state  $q_0$  and empty stack
2.  $(r_{i+1}, b) \in \delta(r_i, w_{i+1}, a)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ , where  $s_i = at$  and  $s_{i+1} = bt$  for some  $a, b \in \Gamma$  and  $t \in \Gamma^*$
3.  $r_m \in F$ ; accept state encountered at end of input

## 1.6 Stack Notation

$a, b \rightarrow$  or simply  $abc$

- $a$  = input
- $b$  = what are you popping
- $c$  = push onto stack

$A$  is read from the input,  $b$  is popped from the stack, and  $c$  is pushed onto the stack

$\epsilon$ -cases

- If  $a = \epsilon$ , machine can transition without reading any input
- if  $b = \epsilon$ , machine can transition without popping any symbol from the stack
- if  $c = \epsilon$ , machine can transition without writing any symbol onto the stack

read pop push

## 1.7 Empty Stack

The PDA does not consider the testing of an empty stack. We can achieve this by initially placing a special char (say  $\epsilon$ ) *on the stack*. When the PDA encounters that char() again (on the stack), it knows the stack is effectively empty.

Both CFGs and PDAs specify context-free languages; we can always convert a CFG into a PDA that recognizes the language of the CFG

## 1.8 Theorem

CFG - specifies a program language

PDA - specifies/implements the compiler

A language is context-free  $\iff$  *some PDA recognizes it*

## 1.9 Difficulties

How do we decide which substitutions to make for a derivation? (PDA P nondeterminism can help)

- At each step of the derivation one of the rules for a particular variable is selected non-deterministically
- P has to start by writing the start variable on the stack and then continue working the string w
- If while consuming the string w, P arrives at a string of terminals that equals w

## 1.10 Informal Description

Place marker symbol \$ and start variable on the stack

Repeat:

- If TOS is a variable symbol A, non-deterministically select a rule r such that  $\text{lhs}(r) = A$  and substitute A by the string  $\text{rhs}(r)$
- If TOS is a terminal symbol, a, read the next input symbol and compare it with a; if they match, pop the stack; if they do not match, reject this branch of nondeterminism
- If TOS is a \$ and all the text has been read, accept; otherwise reject

### 1.11 Generic State Diagram

1. TOS = variable: set  $\delta(q_{\text{loop}}, \epsilon, A) = \{(q_{\text{loop}}, w) \mid A \rightarrow w \in R\}$ , where  $R$  is the set of CFG rules
2. TOS = terminal: set  $\delta(q_{\text{loop}}, a, a) = \{(q_{\text{loop}}, \epsilon)\}$
3. TOS = \$:  $\delta(q_{\text{loop}}, \epsilon, \$) = \{(q_{\text{accept}}, \epsilon)\}$