

LECTURE 10

256B

LECTURE: PROFESSOR MARK HAIMAN
NOTES: JACKSON VAN DYKE

1. TENSOR PRODUCT OF SHEAVES

Recall we defined the tensor products on sheaves to be the sheafification of the natural presheaf tensor product:

$$\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} = \text{sh}(\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{pr} \mathcal{N}) .$$

This has the universal property:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}\text{-bil.}} & \mathcal{L} \\ -\otimes- \downarrow & \exists! \nearrow & \\ \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{N} & & \end{array}$$

just like for ordinary modules. The stalks are just $\mathcal{M}_p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_p} \mathcal{N}_p$ as we would expect.

1.1. Affine schemes. Let $X = \text{Spec } R$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_X$, $\mathcal{M} = \tilde{M}$, and $\mathcal{N} = \tilde{N}$. Then we have that

$$\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{N} = (M \otimes_R N)^{\sim} .$$

The easiest way to see this is by the universal property of the \sim operation. There is certainly a map

$$M \otimes_R N \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \tilde{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \tilde{N}\right)$$

which sends $m \otimes n \rightarrow m \otimes n$. Then we just want to check this is the identity on stalks. The stalks are

$$(M \otimes_R N) \otimes_r R_p = M_p \otimes_{R_p} N_p$$

so this is an isomorphism. So this is a good fact to know:

$$(M \otimes_R N)^{\sim} = \tilde{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \tilde{N} .$$

Warning 1. So we have seen that for affines, taking global sections commutes with tensor products. Note that this is not the case for non-affine X . I.e. (for \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} qco) the following is NOT generally true:

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{N}) .$$

Example 1. There is always a map from the RHS to the LHS above, but it's not always an isomorphism. Let $X = \mathbb{P}_k^n$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{O}(1)$. Then $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{O}(2)$. There are of course qco, however we can calculate that:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{O}(d)) &= k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_{(d)} \\ \Gamma(\mathcal{M}) &= k \cdot \{x_0, \dots, x_n\} \\ \Gamma(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}) &= k \cdot \{x_0^1, x_0x_1, \dots\} .\end{aligned}$$

2. EXTENSION OF SCALARS

Let X and Y be ringed spaces. A map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is specified by the data $(\varphi, \varphi^\#, \varphi^\flat)$. For \mathcal{N} an \mathcal{O}_Y -module, we can take $\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{N}$ which is a $\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y$ module. However the map $\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ doesn't make this an \mathcal{O}_X module unless we tensor it:

$$\varphi^*\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} \varphi^{-1}\mathcal{N} .$$

We should think of this as being like the usual extension of scalars for modules.

Let \mathcal{M} be a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X modules. Then we want to consider

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\varphi^*\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y}(\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}')$$

where \mathcal{M}' is just \mathcal{M} viewed as a $\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module. Since φ^{-1} and φ_* are adjoint, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y}(\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}') = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{N}, \varphi_*\mathcal{M}) .$$

This tells us that φ^* is left adjoint to φ_* as functors between \mathcal{O}_X -modules and \mathcal{O}_Y -modules.¹

Consider the scheme morphism $\varphi : X = \mathrm{Spec} A \rightarrow Y = \mathrm{Spec} B$ corresponding to a ring homomorphism $\alpha : B \rightarrow A$. Then for N a B -module,

$$\varphi^*\tilde{N} = (A \otimes_B N)^\sim .$$

A map

$$(A \otimes_B N)^\sim \rightarrow \varphi^*\tilde{N}$$

corresponds to a map of A -modules

$$A \otimes_B N \rightarrow (\varphi^*\tilde{N})(X)$$

which sends $a \otimes n \mapsto a \otimes n$. A stalk of $(A \otimes_B N)^\sim$ looks like $A_P \otimes_B N_Q$ where Q is the preimage of P under α . A stalk on the other side is $\mathcal{A}_P \otimes_{B_Q} N_Q$ so this is an isomorphism.

There are lots of other ways to see this. One is that we could use the universal property on the right to get a map in the other direction. Another way to do this would be to take a presentation of N

$$B^{(I)} \rightarrow B^{(I)} \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

and then we get a presentation

$$\mathcal{O}_Y^{(I)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y^{(I)} \rightarrow \tilde{N} \rightarrow 0$$

¹ φ^{-1} was left adjoint to φ_* when dealing with sheaves of sets or abelian groups rather than modules.

and then φ^* gives us

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}_X^{(I)} &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y^{(I)} \longrightarrow \varphi^* \tilde{N} \rightarrow 0 \\ A^{(I)} &\rightarrow A^{(I)} \rightarrow A \otimes_B N \rightarrow 0\end{aligned}$$

and then we just compare these last two.

2.1. Generic schemes. This was just for affine schemes but for any morphisms of schemes $X \rightarrow Y$, we can cover Y with affines, take the preimage of these, then cover these preimages, and then just patch everything together. So for all schemes

$$\varphi^*(\mathbf{QCoh}(Y)) \subseteq \mathbf{QCoh}(X) .$$

3. BACK TO Proj

Let $X = \text{Proj } R$, and M be a graded R -module. Then \tilde{M} is an \mathcal{O}_X module. On $X_f = \text{Spec}(R_f)_0$

$$\tilde{M}|_{X_f} = (M_f)_0^\sim .$$

Now we can shift degrees

$$(M[d])_n = M_{n+d}$$

to get

$$\mathcal{O}_X(d) = R[d]^\sim .$$

Now suppose that the degree of f divides d , i.e. for some k $\deg f \cdot k = d$. Then

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_f}(d) = (R_f[d])_0^\sim = (R_f)_d^\sim$$

thought of as an $(R_f)_d$ -module. But now we actually have an isomorphism of modules:

$$(R_f)_0 \rightarrow (R_f)_d$$

given by multiplication by f^k , and f^{-k} respectively. Therefore we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_f}^{(d)} \cong \mathcal{O}_X|_{X_f} .$$

From here on, we will assume² X_f s for $\deg f = 1$ cover, or said differently:

$$V(R_1) = V(R_+)$$

(or $V(R_1) = \emptyset$ in $X = \text{Proj } R$). This will imply that $\mathcal{O}_X(d)$ is:

- (1) locally free of rank 1, or
- (2) a line bundle³, or
- (3) an invertible sheaf.

² This is justified by this thinning argument from a few lectures ago.

³ This terminology will be justified later.

4. INVERTIBLE SHEAVES

Let X be a ringed space, and let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf, i.e. we can cover X with opens U such that

$$\mathcal{L}|_U \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(U) .$$

The nice thing about \mathcal{O}_X is that it has a distinguished section: 1. Under this isomorphism, this will go to $\sigma_U \in \mathcal{L}(U)$. Now we can consider $\mathcal{L}(U \cap V)$. Then we have two sections σ_U and σ_V which are each local generating sections. I.e. there is some g_{UV} such that $\sigma_U = g_{UV}\sigma_V$ and some g_{VU} such that $\sigma_V = g_{VU}\sigma_U$, i.e. $g_{UV}g_{VU} = 1$, so $g_{UV}g_{VU} \in \mathcal{O}(U \cap V)^\times$ and $g_{VU} = g_{UV}^{-1}$. Then there is some sort of compatibility which says that on $U \cap V \cap W$ we have

$$\sigma_W = g_{VW}\sigma_V = g_{VW}g_{UV}\sigma_U = g_{UW}\sigma_U$$

which means $g_{UW} = g_{VW}g_{UV}$. Given this compatible data, this determines \mathcal{L} completely.

We could also have alternative sections $\sigma'_U = h_U\sigma_U$, where $h_U \in \mathcal{O}(U)^\times$. What is really happening here is something cohomological. The idea is that the σ s are the 0-cycles, the choices of these g s are the 1-cycles, and the 2-cycles are the things on triple intersections:

$$Z^1 \rightarrow Z^1 \rightarrow Z^2 .$$

Then the sheaf cohomology

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times) = \text{Pic}(X) ,$$

which is called the Picard group of X , consists of the invertible sheaves on X . This is a group with respect to tensor products.