ECE326 PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES

Lecture 10 : Composition and Mixins

Kuei (Jack) Sun

ECE

University of Toronto

Fall 2019

Fragile Base Class

- Changing base class can break derived classes
- Root cause
 - Implementation inheritance breaks encapsulation
 - Subclass depends on implementation of base class
- Solution?
 - Never change the base class
 - Author of base class must control all its subclasses
 - E.g. all subclasses are contained within same module
 - Don't use implementation inheritance

New Interface Problem

```
/* Dictionary with key-value pair type (cstring, int) */
class Dict {
    /* some sort of hash table implementation */
public:
    /* both functions return true on success, false on fail */
    virtual bool set(const char * key, int value);
    bool get(const char * key, int & value);
};
/* Dictionary that only stores prime numbers */
struct PrimeDict : public Dict {
    virtual bool set(const char * key, int value) override {
        if (!is prime number(value)) return false;
        return Dict::set(key, value);
```

New Interface Problem

What happens if we add a new interface?

```
class Dict {
    ...
public:
    virtual bool set(const char * key, int value);
    bool get(const char * key, int & value);

    /* new interface */
    int & operator[](const char * key);
};
```

 We just opened a backdoor to bypass the prime number check made by PrimeDict::set

Override Problem

```
class List { // list of integers
public:
    /* both functions return true on success, false on fail */
    virtual bool add(int value);
    virtual bool extend(const List & other);
};
/* Stores statistics on the numbers in the list */
struct StatsList : public List {
    int prime counter = 0; // and other stats...
    virtual bool add(int value) override {
        if (is_prime_number(value)) prime_counter++;
        return List::add(value);
    virtual bool extend(const List & other) override {
        for (iterator it = other.begin(); it != other.end(); it++)
            if (is_prime_number(*it)) prime_counter++;
        return List::extend(other);
```

Override Problem

• What if List::extend uses List::add?

```
bool List::extend(const List & other) {
    for (iterator it = other.begin(); it != other.end(); it++)
        if (!this->add(*it)) return false;
    return true;
bool StatsList::add(int value) {
    if (is_prime_number(value)) prime_counter++;
    return List::add(value);
bool StatsList::extend(const List & other) {
    for (iterator it = other.begin(); it != other.end(); it++)
        if (is prime number(*it)) prime counter++;
    return List::extend(other);
```

Override Problem

• What if List::extend uses List::add?

```
bool List::extend(const List & other) {
    for (iterator it = other.begin(); it != other.end(); it++)
        if (!this->add(*it)) return false;
    return true;
                                                     prime counter
                                                     is incremented
                                                        twice!
bool StatsList::add(int value) {
    if (is_prime_number(value)) prime_counter++;
    return List::add(value);
bool StatsList::extend(const List & other) {
    for (iterator it = other.begin(); it != other.end(); it++)
        if (is_prime_number(*it)) prime_counter++;
    return List::extend(other);
```

New Implementation Problem

```
class Album {
    std::vector<Photo> current, archived;
public:
    bool add(const Photo & photo);
    bool archive(const Photo & photo);
};
/* allows for removal of photos from album */
struct SecureAlbum : public Album {
    void remove(const Photo & photo) {
        current.erase(std::remove(current.begin(),
            current.end(), photo), current.end());
        archived.erase(std::remove(archived.begin(),
            archived.end(), photo), archived.end());
```

New Implementation Problem

What if we made album track location and people?

 Now SecureAlbum will leave behind dangling references to deleted photos, eventually causing a crash!

Solution

- Disallow inheritance
 - final keyword
 - Prevents class from being inherited
- Alternative: composition
 - Instead of subclassing, make it a field in your class
 - Instead of is-a, now a has-a
 - Forward methods to contained instance
 - Treats existing class as a blackbox
 - No more dependency of implementation
 - Enforces encapsulation

New Interface

```
struct Dict {
    bool set(const char * key, int value);
                                                        Adding new
    bool get(const char * key, int & value);
                                                      interface to Dict
    int & operator[](const char * key);
                                                       does not affect
};
                                                       the interface of
class PrimeDict {
                                                         PrimeDict
    Dict dict;
public:
    bool set(const char * key, int value) {
        if (!is_prime_number(value)) return false;
        return dict.set(key, value);
    bool get(const char * key, int & value) {
                                                       Forwarding
        return dict.get(key, value); ←
```

No Override

```
struct List {
    bool add(int value);
                                              Avoid changing behaviour of base
    bool extend(const List & other);
};
                                              class when overriding methods by
                                                using composition instead.
class StatsList {
    List list;
                                             Question: Is there a trade-off here?
    int prime_counter = 0;
public:
    bool add(int value) {
        if (is_prime_number(value)) prime_counter++;
        return list.add(value);
    bool extend(const List & other)
        for (iterator it = other.begin(); it != other.end(); it++)
             if (is_prime_number(*it)) prime_counter++;
        return list.extend(other);
```

No Override

```
struct List {
    bool add(int value);
    bool extend(const List & other);
                                                  Answer: the extend function
};
                                                 will no longer accept StatsList
                                                  as an argument because it is
class StatsList {
    List list;
                                                    not a subclass of List.
    int prime_counter = 0;
public:
    bool add(int value) {
        if (is_prime_number(value)) prime_counter++;
        return list.add(value);
    bool extend const List & other)
        for (iterator it = other.begin(); it != other.end(); it++)
             if (is_prime_number(*it)) prime_counter++;
        return list.extend(other);
```

Drawback

- Forwarding requires re-implementation
 - Can be tedious for large number of methods
 - In contrast, subclassing allows for immediate code reuse
- Solutions
 - Automatic forwarding (delegation)
 - Type embedding
 - Mixin
 - Traits
 - Protocol (in Java, called Interface)

- Kotlin
 - Google's preferred language for Android app development
 - Statically-typed language that runs on JVM

```
interface Base {
    fun print()
                                                 Automatically forwards
                                                   this method call to
class BaseImpl(val x: Int) : Base {
                                                 b.print(), where b is an
    override fun print() { print(x) }
                                                  instance of BaseImpl
class Derived(b: Base) : Base by b
fun main() {
    val b = BaseImpl(10)
    Derived(b).print()
```

Can also override delegation

```
interface Base {
    fun print()
class BaseImpl(val x: Int) : Base {
    override fun print() { print(x) }
                                               Prints "abc" instead
class Derived(b: Base) : Base by b {
    override fun print() { print("abc") }
fun main()
    val b = BaseImpl(10)
    Derived(b).print()-
```

- Java Delegate Annotation
 - Allows for even more fine-grained control over delegation

```
public class DelegationExample {
    // only allow add and remove to be forwarded
    private interface SimpleCollection {
        boolean add(String item);
        boolean remove(Object item);
    @Delegate(types=SimpleCollection.class)
    private final Collection<String> collection =
        new ArrayList<String>();
```

- Java Delegate Annotation
 - Can exclude methods from being forwarded

```
public class DelegationExample {
    private interface Add {
        boolean add(String item);
        boolean addAll(Collection<? extends String> x);
    // do NOT forward add and addAll
    @Delegate(excludes=Add.class)
    private final Collection<String> collection =
        new ArrayList<String>();
```

Type Embedding

- Allows for composition with automatic forwarding
- Introduced in Go
 - Does not have (implementation) inheritance
 - Uses composition for code reuse

Mixin

- Code reuse without becoming the parent class
 - Inclusion rather than inheritance
- Provides functionality for another class
- Can contain states (e.g. have member variables)
- Frequently same as implementation inheritance
 - Convention: do not use mixin as an abstract base type
 - isinstance(obj, mixin) is semantically meaningless
- Also implemented using metaprogramming techniques

Mixin

Python Comparable

```
# Comparable Mixin, you must supply ___lt__ to enable these
class Comparable:
   def eq (self, other):
        return not (self < other) and not (other < self)
   def ge (self, other):
        return not (self < other)</pre>
   def __ne__(self, other):
        return self < other or other < self
   def le (self, other):
        return self < other or not (other < self)
   def gt (self, other):
        return not (self < other) and other < self</pre>
```

Mixin

```
class Student(Person, Comparable): —
    def __init__(self, name, score):
        Person.__init__(self, name)
        self.score = score
   def lt (self, other):
                                                 the less than operator
        return self.score < other.score
>> a = Student("Alice", 50)
>> b = Student("Bob", 60)
>> a == b, a != b, a >= b, a > b, a <= b, a < b
(False, True, False, False, True, True)
>> c = Student("Clive", 50)
>> a == c, a != c, a >= c, a > c, a <= c, a < c
(True, False, True, False, True, False)
```

Receives all the other

comparison operators

just by implementing

Mixin, Traits, and Protocol

Provides functionality without subtyping

	Mixin	Trait	Protocol
Allows for states (member variables)	Yes	No	No
Interface only (no method definition)	No	No	Yes
Code Reuse	Yes	Yes	No
Composability	Inheritance	Commutative	Commutative

- The Comparable example is also a trait
 - It contained no states (no member variables were used)

Conclusion

- Use inheritance only for interface (i.e. subtyping)
- Use composition and other techniques for code reuse
 - Avoid fragile software, difficult to maintain and extend
- Dependency Inversion Principle
 - High level modules should not depend on low level modules.
 - Both should depend on interfaces.
 - Interface should not depend on implementation.
 - Implementation should depend on interface.
 - Can swap out any component without behaviour change
 - Performance change is possible, and improvement is preferred