

ECE326

PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES

Lecture 2 : Classification of Programming Languages

Kuei (Jack) Sun

ECE

University of Toronto

Fall 2019

Programming Languages

- Thousands of them
- Goal
 - How to describe a programming language in one line?
- Buzz words!
 - Intended audience (i.e. programmers)
 - Implementation
 - Level of abstraction
 - Dominant style, syntax, or feature
 - etc...

By Intended Users

- General-purpose language
 - Used by various application domains
 - E.g. C++, Python, Java, ...etc
- Domain-specific language (DSL)
 - Specialized use
 - E.g. *Galaxy*
 - Designed for StarCraft 2's map editor by Blizzard Entertainment
- No clear boundary
 - Perl and SQL used to be considered DSL



By Implementation

- Compiled
 - Source code compiled to machine code (executable)
 - Runs faster/more efficient
 - Requires recompilation for any source code change
 - Can impact productivity, especially for large software
- Portability concerns
 - Compiler must support all target architectures
 - Bugs may be introduced when porting to another architecture
 - E.g. `long` in C is architecture dependent – can break developer assumption
 - `long long` – at least 64 bits
 - In couple of years: `long long long` for 128-bits?

By Implementation

- Interpreted
 - Usually associated with high-level languages
 - Interpreter directly executes instructions of a program
 - Usually done in one of the following way:
 1. Parse as you go
 - Syntax errors not caught until line is (about to be) executed
 - E.g. Bash script
 2. Translate to some intermediate representation first
 - E.g. Python
 - Limited form of optimization possible

By Implementation

- Mixture of both
 - Virtual machine
 - Source code compiled to bytecode
 - Run bytecode on virtual machine
 - Virtual machine can run on any hardware platform (portability)
 - E.g. Java Virtual Machine (JVM)
 - Just-in-time compilation
 - Compile while program is running!
 - Compile when “needed”
 - E.g. if compilation can result in speed up over running on the interpreter

Programming Idioms

- A language-specific convention of accomplishing a task
 - E.g. the “Pythonic” way
 - Create a string of numbers delimited by white space
 - `nums` is an array of numbers

```
def slow(nums):  
    text = str(nums[0])  
    for n in nums[1:]:  
        text += " %d"%n  
    return text
```

```
def fast(nums):  
    return " ".join(  
        map(str, nums))
```

- `fast` is about 50% faster than `slow` (on my laptop)
- ∴ Performance can still be good if you know the way

By Level of Abstraction

- *more abstraction*
- *easier to write*



- *more direct*
hardware access
- *better performance*

High-Level Languages	Python, Ruby Java, Kotlin, Scala, Clojure Haskell, Racket Visual Basic, C#
Systems Languages	C/C++ Ada, D, Rust Swift (by Apple, for iOS apps)
Low-Level Languages	Assembly Languages Machine Languages

By Level of Abstraction

- Systems programming languages
 - Designed for performance
 - Allows some level of hardware awareness
 - Optimization hints (e.g. `restrict`, `volatile`, ...etc)
 - Inline assembly
 - Still provides some high-level concepts
- High-level programming languages
 - Designed for convenience
 - Designed for expressiveness
 - Functional programming languages, E.g. Haskell

By Programming Style

- Imperative Programming
 - Writing commands and statements, changing program state
 - Concerns with *how* a program operates
 - E.g. procedural programming, object-oriented programming
- Declarative Programming
 - Writing expressions and desired result
 - Concerns with *what* a program should achieve
 - E.g. SQL queries, functional programming

```
SELECT firstName, LastName FROM Customers WHERE city="Toronto";
```

Turing Complete

- A programming language that can solve any computation problems (theoretically)
- Requirements
 1. Supports conditional branching
 - Allows for conditional (e.g. *if else*) and loops
 2. Can work with unlimited amount of memory
- Some languages are *not* Turing complete
 - E.g. regular languages, vanilla SQL, Datalog

By Type System

- Type system
 - the rules governing the use of types in a program, and how types affect the program semantics

```
unsigned sum_of_squares(unsigned a, unsigned b);  
// which one of these is an error in C++11?  
char res = area(3.3, -2);
```

- Statically Typed
 - Types of variables checked before runtime
- Dynamically Typed
 - Types are checked at runtime, on the fly

Implicit Type Conversion

```
#include <stdio>
#include <stdlib>

unsigned sum_of_squares(unsigned a, unsigned b) {
    return a*a + b*b;
}

int main(int argc, const char * argv[]) {
    int neg = atoi(argv[argc-1]);
    char res = sum_of_squares(3.3, neg);
    printf("sos = %d\n", res);
    return 0;
}

> ./err -2
sos = 13
> ☹
```

By Memory Safety

- Protection from invalid memory access
- Example
 - Buffer overflow
 - Use after free (dangling pointers)
 - Double free
- Memory *unsafe* languages
 - Languages that allows arbitrary pointer arithmetic (C/C++)
- Solution
 - runtime error detection (e.g. Java)
 - Static program analysis (e.g. Rust)

By Type Safety

- Protection from incorrect use of a variable

- Example

- untagged union (C/C++)
- Union
 - All member variables share *the same* memory location
 - Can lead to type-unsafe usage!
- Solution: tagged union
 - Adds a tag field to indicate which member is in use

```
union Foo {  
    int i;  
    float f;  
};
```

```
// in main()  
Foo u;  
u.i = atoi(argv[argc-1]);  
printf("%f\n", u.f);
```

```
> ./union 1237864534  
1640970.750000
```

By Features

- Generic Programming
 - Functions and classes defined in terms of *parameterized types*
 - Parameterized types
 - Instantiation of a generic type with actual type arguments
- E.g. Java

```
public class Box<T> {  
    private T content;  
    public void set(T repl) { this.content = repl; }  
    public T get() { return content; }  
}  
...  
Box<Fruit> fruit_box = new Box<Fruit>();
```


By Simplicity

- E.g. Java vs. C++
- E.g. Visual Basic
- Simple is good
 - “Focus on debugging your application rather than debugging your programming language knowledge” – Zig developers
 - Design language for average programmers, not pros
 - Reduces chance of allowing for mistakes
 - Cheaper to hire 😞

By Syntax

- E.g. Off-side rule
 - Blocks in the language are expressed by indentation
 - Python:

```
def sum(n):  
    if n == 0:  
        return 0  
    return 2*n + sum(n-1)
```
- Free-form languages
 - Whitespace characters serve only as delimiters
 - Scheme:

```
(define (sum n) (if (= n 0)  
                    0  
                    (+ (* n 2) (sum (- n 1)))))
```

By Seriousness

- Esoteric programming languages
 - Programming as art, or a joke
 - Sometimes a subset of another language
 - E.g. JSFuck (sanitized)
 - A subset of JavaScript
 - Uses only 6 characters: [] () ! +
 - Prints “Hello world” in 26,924 characters

```
[ ] [ ( ! [ ] + [ ] ) [ + [ ] ] + ( [ ! [ ] ] + [ ] [ [ ] ] ) [ + ! + [ ] + [ + [ ] ] ] + ( ! [ ] + [ ] ) [ ! + [ ]
+ ! + [ ] ] + ( ! ! [ ] + [ ] ) [ + [ ] ] + ( ! ! [ ] + [ ] ) [ ! + [ ] + ! + [ ] + ! + [ ] ] + ( ! ! [ ] + [ ] ) [
+ ! + [ ] ] ] [ ( [ ] [ ( ! [ ] + [ ] ) [ + [ ] ] + ( [ ! [ ] ] + [ ] [ [ ] ] ) [ + ! + [ ] + [ + [ ] ] ] + ( ! [ ]
+ [ ] ) [ ! + [ ] + ! + [ ] ] + ( ! ! [ ] + [ ] ) [ + [ ] ] + ( ! ! [ ] + [ ] ) [ ! + [ ] + ! + [ ] + ! + [ ] ] + (
! ! [ ] + [ ] ) [ + ! + [ ] ] ] + [ ] ) [ ! + [ ] + ! + [ ] + ! + [ ] ] + ( ! ! [ ] + [ ] [ ( ! [ ] + [ ] ) [ + [ ]
] + ( [ ! [ ] ] + [ ] [ [ ] ] ) [ + ! + [ ] + [ + [ ] ] ] + ( ! [ ] + [ ] ) [ ! + [ ] + ! + [ ] ] ...
```