# STA663 Statistical Computation Final Project

### Implementation of the Indian Buffet Process

Christine P. Chai

## 1 Introduction

The paper I selected is the Infinite Latent Feature Models and the Indian Buffet Process (IBP) [5]. In unsupervised machine learning, discovering the hidden variables that generate the observations is important. Many statistical models [1, 3] can provide a latent structure in probabilitistic modeling, but the problem lies in the unknown dimensionality, i.e. how many classes/features to express the latent structure. Bayesian nonparametric methods are able to determine the number of latent features; the Chinese Restaurant Process (CRP) is an example [4], but it assigns each customer to a single component (table). The Indian Buffet Process allows each customer to be assigned to multiple components (dishes), and the process can serve as a prior for an potentially infinite array of objects. In my implementation, IBP is regarded as a prior for the linear-Gaussian binary latent feature model, and I referred to some Matlab code online [8, 7].

#### 1.1 Algorithm Description

The Indian Buffet Process is a metaphor of Indian restaurants offering buffets with a close-to-infinite number of dishes, and the number of dishes sampled by a customer is a Poisson distribution. Assume N customers enter a restaurant one after another, and the first customer takes a Poisson( $\alpha$ ) of dishes. Starting from the second person, the ith customer takes dish k with probability  $\frac{m_k}{i}$ , where  $m_k$  is the number of previous customers who have sampled that dish. In this way, the ith customer samples dishes proportional to their popularity. After reaching the end of all previously sampled dishes, the ith customer tries a Poisson( $\frac{\alpha}{i}$ ) number of new dishes. Which customer sampled which dish is recorded in a binary array Z with N rows (representing customers) and infinitely many columns (representing dishes), where  $z_{ik} = 1$  if customer i sampled the dish k. Note that the customers are not exchangeable, i.e. the dishes a customer samples is dependent on whether previous customers have sampled that dish [5].

In terms of probability,

$$P(z_{ik} = 1 | \mathbf{z_{-i,k}}) = \frac{m_{-i,k}}{N}$$

The subscript  $_{-i,k}$  indicates dish k and all customers except for the ith one. If the number of dishes is truncated to K, then the above equation becomes

$$P(z_{ik} = 1 | \mathbf{z}_{-i,k}) = \frac{m_{-i,k} + \frac{\alpha}{K}}{N + \frac{\alpha}{K}}$$

# 1.2 Applications and Evaluation

Many applications and variations of the Indian Buffet Process exist. For example, the linear-Gaussian binary latent feature model I implemented [8] can be used to model "noisy" matrices and reveal the latent features. In this way, image data can be processed because we can interpret binary matrices with structured representations. For another example, Yildirim and Jacob [9] proposed an IBP-based Bayesian nonparametric approach to multisensory perception in an unsupervised manner. Furthermore, variations of the Indian Buffet Process include focused topic modeling [6], hierarchical beta processes [6], and variational inference [2].

The advantages and disadvantages of IBP are clear. Using a Poisson distribution, IBP is able to model an infinite sequence of integers, and the sequence can be truncated as needed. In the implementation of IBP, the advantages of Gibbs sampling and Metropolis-Hastings (MH) can be combined. Nevertheless, IBP relies on the assumption that datapoints (dishes) in a single string are exchangeable; each dish is assumed to be equally desired by customers. Another drawback is that the number of parameters increase as the dataset gets large, but Bayesian nonparameteric methods generally have this problem [8].

#### 2 Code Structure and Simulated Data

Write your code structure here

- 3 Testing
- 4 Optimization
- 4.1 Remove Redundant Calculations
- 4.2 Cythonized Code
- 5 Comparative Analysis
- 5.1 Chinese Restaurant Process
- 5.2 Another Matlab Version Online
- 5.3 Another Python Version Online
- 6 Results

Table 1 shows a simple version of tables.

# 7 Conclusion

Write your conclusion here

dog	cat
2.0000	3.0000
4.0000	7.0000
5.0000	8.0000

Table 1: Our top noise discoveries.

## References

- [1] David M Blei, Andrew Y Ng, and Michael I Jordan. Latent dirichlet allocation. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 3:993–1022, 2003.
- [2] Finale Doshi, Kurt Tadayuki Miller, Jurgen Van Gael, and Yee Whye Teh. Variational inference for the indian buffet process. 2008.
- [3] Samuel J Gershman and David M Blei. A tutorial on bayesian nonparametric models. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 56(1):1–12, 2012.
- [4] DMBTL Griffiths and MIJJB Tenenbaum. Hierarchical topic models and the nested chinese restaurant process. Advances in neural information processing systems, 16:17, 2004.
- [5] Thomas Griffiths and Zoubin Ghahramani. Infinite latent feature models and the indian buffet process. 2005.
- [6] Sinead Williamson, Chong Wang, Katherine Heller, and David Blei. Focused topic models. In NIPS workshop on Applications for Topic Models: Text and Beyond, Whistler, Canada, 2009.
- [7] Ilker Yildirim. Indian buffet process sample code. http://www.mit.edu/~ilkery/. Online; accessed 2015.
- [8] Ilker Yildirim. Bayesian statistics: Indian buffet process. Technical report, Department of Brain and Cognitive Sciences, 2012. Gatsby Unit Technical Report GCNU-TR-2005-001.
- [9] Ilker Yildirim and Robert A Jacobs. A bayesian nonparametric approach to multisensory perception. 2010.