

Index

- Afghanistan 219
- Africa, electoral rules 43
- Aland Islands 86, 95, 244
- Albania 154
- alliance, electoral 80
 - India 82–84, 272–273
 - in OLPR 218, 310–311
 - in open and closed lists 92–93, 95–96
 - presidential 189–190, 192
- “all politics is national” 154, 157–158, 164, 173
- alternative vote (AV) 43–45, 47, 50, 53, 286–287, 306
 - more “two-party” than FPTP 118
- Amorim Neto, Octavio 119, 121, 202, 206
- Argentina 61
- Arab representation in Israel 97
- assembly size (S)
 - cube root law of 29, 317, 322
 - impact on district politics 156–158, 166–167, 169–170, 173
 - impact on number of parties 35, 191, 288
 - in sample countries 78, 86, 272
 - key ingredient of Seat Product 17, 28–30, 32, 101, 308
 - neglected in previous research 120
- Australia 118, 286–288
 - electoral rules 43, 51, 73, 306
- Austria 315
- average politics produces average number of parties 113
- ballot/vote
 - categorical 28, 31–32, 72, 91
 - dividual* 31, 57
 - ordinal 28, 31
 - structure 31–32
- Barbados 35, 157
- basic laws and equations for Seat Product
 - Model 139–140, 149, 172
- basic laws of party seats and votes
 - 139–140, 309
- basic seat model 11–12
- Belden, C.R. 110, 159
- Benin 193
- bloc vote *see* MNTV
- Bolivia 57
- bonus-adjusted PR 60
- Borda, Jean-Charles de 44, 114
 - count 44
- Britain *see* UK
- Brazil 27, 61, 189, 191
 - OLPR 218, 220
 - alliance lists 237, 240–242, 246, 247–249, 251, 252
 - high fragmentation 183, 190, 192–193
- cabinet duration 321
 - deduced from SPM 108–110, 150
 - inverse square law 108, 317
- California 42, 46
- Canada 32, 68, 73, 117, 173, 314
 - Greens in 2015 3, 153–154
- candidate-based rules 41, 72
- Carey, John M. 198, 208
- Caribbean countries 29
- celebrity candidates 72, 91–92
- ceteris paribus* 14, 15

- Chile 218, 240, 242, 244–245
 electoral reform 241
 party alliances 66, 273
- China 219
- Clark, W.R. 101, 121–122, 206, 262, 264–265, 271
- closed list PR 76
 akin to FPTP 72–73
 alliances in 96–97
 case studies 85, 88
 definition 27, 34–35
- Colombia 50, 218, 239, 253, 289
- Colomer, Josep 43
- Comte, Auguste 321
- connections among connections 320–321, 324
- coordination among voters, parties 26, 46, 80–81, 119, 309
- Costa Rica 43, 193, 314
- Cox, Gary W. 46, 119, 121, 127–128, 132, 202, 206, 216, 262
- cumulative vote 49
- Czechia (Czech Republic) 54, 153–154
- Dahl, Robert 1
- data sets used, district and nationwide 110
- Denmark 2, 57–58
- deterministic vs. probabilistic 140
- deviation from PR 74, 80
 Gallagher's index (D_2) 68–71, 141
 logical model 142–148, 309
 impact of M 69–70, 86–87
 Loosemore-Hanby index (D_1) 68, 141
 in two-tiers 273–275, 278–280
- D'Hondt (Jefferson) divisor *see* divisor
- directional prediction, insufficiency of 17, 320–322, 324
- district magnitude (M) 2, 6, 72, 191
 contrasts with plurality and PR rules 33
 indispensable 30, 32, 101
 impact on deviation from PR 69–70, 87
 district parties 157–158, 166, 169
 variation in 292
- districted PR 87–98
- divisor methods for seat allocation
 Danish 38–39
 D'Hondt (Jefferson) 6, 37–39
 as remainderless or “sufficient” quota 39
 impact on deviation from PR 69, 144–145
 in sample countries 74, 86–87, 93, 245
 modified 38
- Imperiali 38–39
- Sainte-Lagüe (Webster) 37, 39, 56
 modified 38–39
- Dominican Republic 218, 314
- Droop, Henry R. 49, 114, 116
- Droop quota *see* quota
- Duverger, Maurice 87, 114–118
- Duvergerian agenda 103, 118–120
- Duverger's
 “hypothesis” 115
 law, so-called 26, 46, 115, 117–118, 127, 271
 district level flaws 156–157, 166–167, 170–171
 non-testable 316
 mechanical effect 115–116, 120
 propositions 66, 114, 158, 171
 psychological effect 116–117, 119–120, 136
 tendency 117–118, 173, 271
- “effective magnitude” to be discarded 316
- effective number of
 ethnic groups (N_E) 17, 262
 lack of impact 269–270, 311–312
 party alliances 66, 272–273
 lists 190
 presidential candidates (N_P) 190, 194
 erroneous input to assembly parties 122, 202, 309
 predictable from MS 183, 192, 198, 208, 310
 predictable from N_V 150, 185
- effective number of parties (N) 71, 115, 150
- definition and use 63–67, 106
- in presidential systems 198, 200, 202–203, 208
- seat-winning (N_S) 64, 67, 121, 191–192
 district level 156, 166, 172
 for two-tier 262, 276
 output of MS and s_1 101, 107
 vote-earning (N_V) 65, 67, 78, 183, 262, 309
 district level 156–157, 159, 169–171
 output of MS and N_S 107, 126, 127–129, 135, 140
 in alliance 247
- Einstein, Albert 323
- electoral district, definition 2
- electoral system design and reform
 advocates 62, 133, 306
 best practices in 317–318
 in Chile 241
 in Japan 315
 in New Zealand 137, 315
 in Thailand 291, 301

- electoral systems
 - complex 28
 - components 41–62
 - SPM useful 285–307, 311
 - single-tier 286–307
 - components of 25–31
 - design of 120, 317–320
 - not a control box between votes and seats 119
 - relation to party system 15–17, 86, 110, 114
- Elgie, R. 122
- El Salvador 218
- embedded districts 309, 311, 323
 - concept and model 155, 157–162
 - link to MS 164–171, 173
 - doubly
 - in OLPR alliance 243–245
 - in two-tier 276–277
- Estonia 38, 97
- ethnic diversity 121, 133, 136–137, 280
 - impact on number of parties 261–262, 264–266, 268–271, 273
- F-test 13–14, 110, 112, 265, 267
- Finland 85, 314
 - OLPR 218, 220
 - alliance lists 240–242, 246, 247, 249–250, 254
 - electoral practice 85, 89, 92
 - electoral rules 34, 37, 52, 86, 91, 95
- First-Past-The-Post (FPTP) 26–27
 - as single seat PR 33, 37, 40, 73, 102
 - apparently simple 32–35
 - British 35, 137
 - district level fragmentation 166, 173
 - number of parties rises with *S* 156–157, 170–171
 - seat totals not tied to vote totals 78
- “FPTP vs. PR” subsumed by Seat Product 102
- flag showing, by parties in districts 160, 250
- France 286–289, 314
 - presidential elections 45, 47, 193, 202
- Galileo 10, 324
- Gallagher, Michael 68
 - index *see* deviation from PR
- Georgia (US state) 42
- Germany 3, 54, 57, 66, 315
 - electoral rules 28, 53–55, 57, 274
- geometric means of lower and upper bounds 12, 105–106, 111, 161, 188, 220, 234, 295, 322
- gerrymandering 2, 61–62, 318
- Ghana 157
- Golder, M. 101, 120–122, 206, 262, 264–265, 271
- Greece 60
- graphing
 - need for 9–10, 12–13, 324
 - of forbidden areas 11, 107, 129
 - on logarithmic scales 11, 101, 131
- Hagenbach-Bischoff quota *see* quota
- Hare, Thomas 36, 114
 - quota *see* quota
- Hawking, Stephen 323
- Hicken, A. 121, 262
- Honduras 218
- Hong Kong 219
- Hungary 43, 60, 299
- India 35, 146
 - electoral system 82, 84, 116
 - ethnic diversity 137, 265–266
 - largest democracy 72–73
 - not exceptional 157, 173
 - party alliances 82–83, 91, 271–273
- Indonesia 218, 253
- instant runoff *see* alternative vote
- institutional constraints and design 8, 120–121, 123 *see also* electoral system design, presidential system design
- institutionalist approach 101
- “in the absence of other information” 105, 111, 113, 140, 161, 188, 200, 322–323
- intraparty 27
 - competition 90, 215–241, 247, 311
 - representation 6, 26, 27, 310
 - design of 253–254, 319–320 *see also* OLPR, SNTV
- Iraq 89, 96
- Ireland 289, 306, 314
- Israel 314
 - electoral practice 73–77, 84, 97
 - electoral rules 10, 27, 33, 40, 53, 87
 - nationwide district 86, 92, 96, 160
- issue dimensions 119, 317
- Italy 60, 299
- Jamaica 137, 267
- Japan 289, 291
 - electoral rules 59–60, 127, 219, 237, 239, 299
 - reform 315

- Jefferson, Thomas 39
divisors *see* divisor
- Knesset 74, 76, 97
- Kurdish representation
in Iraq 88
in Turkey 304–305
- Laakso, Markku 63, 115
- largest remainders *see* quota
- largest seat share
logical model for 106, 108, 139
in districts 161–162, 164
connection to number of parties 106, 130
- largest vote share 129–130, 133
logical model for 139–140
- law of ideal gases 140
- legal thresholds *see* thresholds
- Li, Y. 110, 115, 122, 125, 265, 271, 311
- limited vote (LV) 49
- Lijphart, Arend 29, 66, 308
- list PR 7, 91 *see* closed, open lists
flexible, hybrid, semiopen 97–98, 252
preferential 27–28
- Lithuania 299, 301
- logarithmic data transformation 9, 162, 324
- Loosemore and Hanby 68
index *see* deviation from PR
- lower and upper bounds as model building tools
104, 108, 111
- Lublin, David 281
- M+1 rule 127–129, 137, 309 *see also* winners
plus one rule
- Mali 48, 290–291, 301
- magnitude *see* district magnitude
- majoritarian electoral rules 25–26, 59, 61
- majority-preferential *see* AV
- majority runoff 43
- malapportionment 2, 61–62, 86
- Malta 289
- manufactured majority 26, 81
- Maori Party 56
- mechanical effect *see* Duverger
- Mexico 60, 299
- Mill, John Stuart 114
- mixed member majoritarian (MMM) 59–60, 291, 299–302
- mixed member proportional (MMP) 28, 55, 57–60, 62, 261, 299
- Mlodinow, Leonard 322
- models
basic seat model 11–12
“empirical models” not models 324–325
ignorance-based 322–323
interlocking 165, 190 *see also* connections
among connections
logical *see* quantitatively predictive logical
models
logically derived without data 103–104, 111, 156–157, 322
- multiple nontransferable vote (MNTV) 48, 290–292
- multiseat (multimember) districts 30–32, 35
- multitier elections *see* two-tier
- nationwide district 30, 72, 160
- nationwide PR 73–77
- Netherlands 127, 160, 314
electoral rules 33, 40, 53, 97
- New Hampshire 48
- New Zealand 1, 18, 55–57
electoral rules 28, 54–55, 57, 73, 299
reform 137, 315
- Newton’s laws of motion 121
- North Dakota 48
- Northern Ireland 116
- Norway 315–316
- number of parties 13
derived from lower and upper bounds 11–12, 104–105
effective *see* effective number of parties
PR formulas have little effect on 113
seat-winning 15, 111, 130
district level 159, 166
vote-earning 16–17, 189
pertinent 128, 134, 137, 166, 173, 267, 309
- number of relevant components 83
- number of rounds of voting 31
- Ohm’s law 14–15
- open list PR (OLPR) 27, 34–35, 89, 216–241, 253
alliance lists 236, 240–253
first winner’s vote share 222–225
free list *see* panachage
hybrid D’Hondt/SNTV 237, 276, 310
last winner’s vote share 226–230
number of candidates 219–221, 231
in one-seat district 95
vote pooling 216, 252, 310
- Ordeshook 121

- Palestine 48, 291–292
 Papua New Guinea 44
panachage (free list) 34, 35, 218
 parties within parties 66
 party block vote (PBV) 47–48
 permissive electoral systems 133
 “personal vote-seeking” 91
 Peru 52, 54, 183, 193, 218, 253
 Philippines 53, 239
 Pilet, J. 98
 plurality allocation rule 32–33
 multiseat 33, 192
 qualified 43, 193
 Poland 267
 2015 election 3–8, 10, 26, 304
 electoral rules 27, 34, 53–54, 85, 218
 political consequences of electoral rules 90, 97
 political culture 18, 136–137
 Portugal 27, 85, 91, 267
 electoral practice 86–87, 90, 96
 Potter, J.D. 281
 prediction
 directional, insufficiency of 10, 13, 16, 17
 quantitative 14–15
 presidential
 coattails 171, 187, 194, 198
 elections
 concurrent 185–187, 198–201, 204
 counter-honeymoon 198, 200, 202–205, 207–209, 310
 elapsed time 198–199, 201, 203, 205, 207–208
 honeymoon 6, 13, 198, 200–202, 205
 midterm 202–204, 206–208
 “proximity” 122, 202–203, 205–209, 310
 timing 4, 202, 310
 vs. assembly elections 309 *see* effective
 number of presidential candidates
 systems 208
 affected by seat product 185, 191
 data more scattered 150, 183, 186–187
 design of 318–319
 little impact on party system 102, 110, 122, 159, 171
 primaries 28, 46, 76, 203, 310
 proportional representation (PR) 4, 26, 33–34
 formulas do not affect number of parties 113
 reduced to FPTP at $M=1$ 52, 95
 psychological effect *see* Duverger
 quantitatively predictive logical models 8, 12, 317, 320, 322–323, 325 *see also* models
 Quebec 116
 quota, method for seat allocation, with largest remainders
 Droop 36, 39–40, 50–51
 Hagenbach-Bischoff 36, 39–40
 Hare-LR (simple quota and LR, Hamilton) 36, 39–40, 53, 74, 145
 Imperiali 36, 38–39
 sufficient, equivalent to D’Hondt 38
 using subtraction philosophy 37
 R-squared, limited importance of 12
 Rae, Douglas W. 308
 rank-size principle 31–32, 217
 Reed, Steven R. 127–128, 137
 regression, statistical 16
 for testing logical models 8, 312, 324
 tests of SMP 109–113, 133, 147
 district level 158, 162, 164–165, 167
 presidential elections 185, 189, 199, 201, 203
 intraparty competition 220, 244–245
 two-tier 262–266, 268–269
 legal threshold 294–295
 think before you regress 9, 12–13, 325
 relative majority *see* plurality
 remainder pooling 58
 Renwick, A. 98
 representation thresholds *see* thresholds
 Riker, William H. 117, 271
 runoff elections 4, 42
 St. Kitts and Nevis 114
 Sainte-Lagüe (Webster) divisors 37 *see* divisors
 San Francisco 44
 San Marino 160
 science walks on two legs: data and thinking 9–10, 320, 323–324 *see also* connections among connections
 scatter accumulation 149–150
 Scotland 57, 116
 seat allocation formula 2, 31–32
 seat product model (SPM) 19
 as baseline for country studies 113–114, 133, 308, 312
 basic laws and collected equations 139–140, 149, 172
 congruence with culture 137
 extended to two-tier compensatory 261–282
 deviation from PR 273–275
 basic tier 275–278
 for seats 262–267

- seat product model (SPM) (cont.)
 - for votes 267–271
 - upper tier 262, 278–280
 - for deviation from PR 142–147
 - for districts 159–179
 - for presidential elections 183–195, 198–199, 204, 208
 - for seats 101–123
 - for votes 125–138
 - not a threat to politics 113, 311–312
 - performance in prediction 120, 313–316
- Seats and Votes* (1989) 316–317
- “seat-sharing” 82–83
- semipresidential systems 185, 191, 193, 198
- Shvetsova, Olga 121
- significance tests 14
- Sikk, Allan 109
- simple electoral systems 25, 31–32, 40
 - not so simple 156
- simple quota *see* Hare quota
- single member districts *see* single seat districts
- “single-member plurality” 73
- single non-transferable vote (SNTV) 48–51, 53, 91, 93, 289, 310
 - first winner’s vote share 222–225
 - last winner’s vote share 226–230
 - number of candidates 219–221, 231, 236, 238, 253
 - Reed’s M+1 127–128
 - vote management 217, 231, 236, 239–240
- SNTV-like strategies in the face of high threshold 304–305
- single seat districts 30–32, 41, 86
 - as limiting cases of multiseat districts 53
- single transferable vote (STV) 49–53, 62, 289
- Slovenia 44
- social science methods 320–325
 - hopping on one leg 324–325
- socioethnic diversity *see* ethnic diversity
- South Africa 58, 274
- South Korea 60, 299
- Spain 49, 54, 61, 146, 314
- statistical analysis
 - data description vs. model testing 13
 - limits of 12, 321, 325 *see also* regression
- Stoll, H. 121, 262, 281
- strategic adaptation 80, 83
- Sweden 54, 315
- Switzerland 34n13, 40, 218, 314
- systematic notation for seats, votes, district, nationwide 104
- Taiwan 219, 237
- Thailand 48, 291–292, 299, 301
- thinking before regressing 9, 12–13, 325
- threshold of representation 5, 8, 26
 - effective, magnitude-imposed 54
 - legal 41, 51, 303
 - alternative 56
 - district level 53
 - nationwide 54, 74
 - logical model for 293–296, vs SMP 296–303, 306
- top-two rule 46
- Trinidad and Tobago 73, 78–84, 92
- Turkey 54, 297, 303–305
- two-rounds (TR) 4, 28, 42
 - majority 45–46, 193
 - majority-plurality 43, 286–288
 - multiseat 290
- two-party system 84, 117, 171
 - Duvergerian 118, 271
- two-tier elections
 - compensatory 55–58, 261–271, 273–282, 311
 - basic tier 275–279
 - logical model 263–271
 - upper tier 121, 261, 278–280, 311 *see also* MMP
 - parallel 59–60 *see also* MMM
 - partially compensatory 60
- Ukraine 297
- United Kingdom (UK) 73, 137, 146
 - 2015 election 15–16
 - district level politics 157, 173
 - electoral rules and practice 10, 35, 81, 117
- United States (USA) 44, 60, 70, 73, 157
 - presidential elections 1, 47, 192, 202, 206
 - Electoral College 33, 47
 - low number of parties 117, 183, 190, 192, 314
 - presidential election rules 192
- unlimited vote (UV) *see* MNTV
- unrealistic extreme cases as conceptual tool 37
- Uruguay 52
- US Senate 61
- Vanuatu 289
- Votes from Seats*
 - district level 166
 - key equations 148

meaning of 18, 107, 119, 125
vote *see also* ballot
vote-splitting 80–81, 84, 91–92

Wales 57, 116
wasted votes 125, 128
Webster, Daniel 39

divisors *see* divisor
“winners plus one” rule ($N_{s0}+1$) 128–129,
132–133, 137, 149, 173, 309
Wolinetz, Steven B. 63
women’s representation 35, 89

Zambia 157