Tables

6.1	Share of Brazilians requesting help from politicians,	
	1988-2014	bage 151
D.1	Declared support and post-election benefits, rural Northeast	
	Brazil, 2013 – Regressions in Figure 5.1, rows 1 and 2	242
D.2	Declared support and post-election benefits, rural Northeast	
	Brazil, 2013 – Regressions in Figure 5.1, rows 3 and 4	243
D.3	Declared support and post-election benefits, rural Northeast	
	Brazil, 2013 – Regressions in Figure 5.1, row 5	244
D.4	Declared support and post-election water delivery, rural	
	Northeast Brazil, 2013	245
D.5	Declared support and post-election benefits, Brazil, online	
	survey, 2016 – Regressions in Figure 5.2, rows 1 and 2	246
D.6	Declared support and post-election benefits, Brazil, online	
	survey, 2016 – Regressions in Figure 5.2, row 3	247
D.7	Declared support and campaign handouts, rural Northeast	
	Brazil, 2012 – Regressions in Figure 5.3	248
D.8	Declared support and campaign handouts, Brazil, online survey.	,
	2012 – Regressions in Figure 5.4, rows 1 and 2	249
D.9	Declared support and perceptions of victorious mayoral	
	candidate, rural Northeast Brazil, 2012–13 – Regressions in	
	Figure 5.6, rows 1–3	250
	Declared support and perceptions of victorious mayoral	
	candidate, rural Northeast Brazil, 2012–2013 – Regressions in	
	Figure 5.6, rows 4 and 5	251
D.11	Declared support and perceptions of defeated mayoral	
	candidate, rural Northeast Brazil, 2012–2013 – Regressions in	
	Figure 5.6, rows 6 - 8	252

xii List of tables

D.12	Declared support and perceptions of defeated mayoral candidate, rural Northeast Brazil, 2012–2013 – Regressions in	
	Figure 5.6, rows 9 and 10	253
Е.1	Citizen requests and post-election benefits, rural Northeast	433
L.1	Brazil, 2013 – Regressions in Figure 6.2, rows 1 and 2	255
E.2	Citizen requests and post-election benefits, rural Northeast	255
L, 2	Brazil, 2013 – Regressions in Figure 6.2, row 3 (and club	
	goods)	256
E.3	Citizen requests and campaign benefits, rural Northeast Brazil,	230
1.3	2012 – Regressions in Figure 6.2, row 4	257
E.4	Citizen requests and campaign benefits, rural Northeast Brazil,	23/
L.4	2012 – Regressions in Figure 6.2, row 5	258
E. 5	Correlates of post-election benefits by request, rural Northeast	230
L. y	Brazil, 2013 – Regressions in Figure 6.3, row 1	259
E.6	Correlates of post-election requests, rural Northeast Brazil,	239
L.U	2013 – Regressions in Figure 6.3, row 2	260
E.7	Correlates of pre-election benefits by request, rural Northeast	200
L. /	Brazil, 2012 – Regressions in Figure 6.3, row 3	261
E.8	Correlates of pre-election requests, rural Northeast Brazil,	201
L.O	2012 – Regressions in Figure 6.3, row 4	262
E.9	Perception of Councilor in trust game, unfulfilled vs. fulfilled	
2.,	request, rural Northeast Brazil, 2013 – Regressions in	
	Figure 6.4	263
Е.то	Voting for same mayoral candidate/party in 2008 and 2012,	,
	unfulfilled vs. fulfilled request, rural Northeast Brazil, 2012 –	
	Regressions in Figure 6.5	264
F.1	Citizen requests and clientelism during campaigns – Regressions	
	in Figure 7.2 – Africa (Afrobarometer Round 3, 2005–2006)	265
F.2	Citizen requests and clientelism during campaigns – Regressions	,
	in Figure 7.2 – Latin America (LAPOP, 2010)	266
F. 3	Citizen requests and clientelism during campaigns – Regressions	
,	in Figure 7.2 – Argentina (LAPOP, 2010)	266
F.4	Citizen requests and clientelism during campaigns – Regressions	
•	in Figure 7.2 – Brazil (LAPOP, 2010)	267
F. 5	Citizen requests and clientelism during campaigns – Regressions	,
,	in Figure 7.2 – Mexico (LAPOP, 2010)	267
F.6	Argentina: Citizen strategies and campaign handouts, 2001 –	,
	Regressions in Figure 7.2	2.68