

Index

- Aaton Company, 47
- adjustment costs
 - economic geography and, 31–32
 - factor mobility and, 56
 - geographic concentration and, 93–96
- Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures (WTO) (SCM Agreement), 208–213
- agriculture
 - geographic concentration in, 29–30
 - government partisanship and policies in, 55n.15
 - subsidies in, 71n.17
- Airbus, 210–213
- Anderson, Kim, 71n.17
- Appellations d'Origine Contrôlées* (AOC) (France), 109–110
- Ardelean, Adina, 11–12
- asset specificity
 - subsidy spending and, 145–156
 - geographic concentration and, 93–96
- Association Viticole Champenoise*, 109–110
- audio-visual services industry (France), 46–48, 210–213
- Australia
 - subsidies in, 1–2, 18–19, 20–21, 43n.4
 - wine industry subsidies in, 70–72
- Austria
 - electoral system in, 120–124
 - farm-gate wine subsidy in, 23–24, 120–130, 201–202
 - geographic concentration in, 73–74
 - non-EU-compliant wine subsidies in, 106
 - policy-targeting incentives in, 197–198
 - special interests and subsidies in, 33–34
 - wine industry subsidies in, 97–99
- Austrian People's Party (ÖVP), 127–128
- automotive industry, 33–34
- ballot access
 - open-list systems, 143–144n.8
 - measurement of, 79
 - geographically concentrated sectors and, 92
- Bawn, Kathleen, 96, 145–156
- Beauchaud, Jean-Claude, 117–120
- Belgium, subsidies in, 170
- beneficiaries of subsidies, diversity of, 18
- biotechnology industry (United States)
 - government subsidies to, 61–62
 - economic geography of, 32
- Blum-Byrnes agreement (1946), 46–48
- Boeing Aircraft, 210–213
- Brazil, policy-targeting in, 197–198
- British Airways, government subsidies for, 20–21
- British Steel, government subsidies for, 20–21
- Brühlhart, Marius, 27, 30, 75n.24, 76–78
- budget process (Norway), 183, 185n.26, 192n.57
- bureaucrats
 - interviews with, 173
 - control of, 184, 186–188
 - preferences of, 186
- bureaucratic decision-making
 - government control over, 184, 186–188
 - letters of assignment (Norway), 187
 - Norwegian government structure and, 188–190
 - Norwegian subsidies and, 184–188
 - subsidies and, 173, 185–188
- Busch, Marc L., 36–38
- business subsidies, 64–65. *See also* industrial subsidies; particularistic economic policies.
- Bussereau, Dominique, 111, 114, 116–120

- Canada
 legislative dynamics in, 56–58
 political effects of geographic concentration in, 36–38
 dairy subsidies in, 16–17, 210–213
 candidate-centered electoral competition, 48–51
 Austria and absence of, 124
 ballot systems and, 79
 geographic diffusion and, 92
 legislative dynamics and, 56–58
 list type and district magnitude, 205
 open-list systems, 140–142
 capital mobility, economic geography and, 31–32
 Carey, John M., 142–144
 Cassing, James, 27–29
 causal complexity, 61–62
 Center Party (*Senterpartiet*) (Norway), 188–190
 Chang, Eric C. C., 83n.36
 “checker board problem,” in geographic concentration, 74–75
 China
 government subsidies in, 20–21
 exports from, 13–15, 34–35
 Chirac, Jacques, 117n.38
 closed-list systems
 in Austria, 123–124
 characteristics of, 137–138
 cross-electoral district subsidy variations in, 25–26
 cross-national subsidy comparisons, 147–156
 de facto, 142–144
 electoral competitiveness and, 59–60
 economic geography and, 138–140, 147–156, 169, 202–203
 institutional differences in, 134–137
 measurement of, 142–144
 in Norway, 156–161, 178–179
 personal vote seeking and, 166
 policy outcomes and, 138–140, 170–182
 policy-targeting incentives and, 197–198
 proportional representation systems, 24–25, 170–182
 coalition governments, 156
 Cognac producer subsidies, 107–120, 201–202
 Austrian wine subsidies compared to, 128–129
 electoral competitiveness and, 117–120
 electoral institutions and, 110–112
 interest group politics and, 109–110
 parliamentary questions about, 113–116
 partisanship and, 112–113, 116–117
 concentration, geographic measurement
 cross-national comparisons of subsidy spending and, 145–156
 disproportionality and, 88–92
 district magnitude and list type measurement, 161–166
 electoral systems, 82–83, 87–88
 manufacturing subsidies, 83–87
 measurements of, 28–29, 144
 constitutional design and reform, 16–17, 207
 consumer prices
 impact of subsidies on, 64–69
 in proportional rule democracies, 12–13, 83n.36
 corporate welfare. *See* industrial subsidies.
 costs of production, economic geography and, 31–32
 cotton industry, subsidies for, 39–42
 country size
 cross-national comparisons of subsidy spending and, 145–156
 manufacturing subsidies and, 88
 country-specific geographic concentration data, 76–78
 country size and, 81–83
 wine industry subsidies and, 106
 Cox, Gary W., 56–58
 cross-national comparisons
 economic geography and, 22, 31–32
 electoral competitiveness, 58–60, 145–156, 171n.2
 electoral systems, 76, 136
 of geographic concentration, 74–75
 government expenditure/subsidy spending ratios, 145–156, 201
 government partisanship, 55
 manufacturing subsidies, 72
 methodologies for, 142–156
 of non-EU-compliant subsidies, 99–104
 results analysis, 147–156
 sector-specific subsidies, 70–72, 97–99
 of subsidies, 21–22, 144–156
 data availability 73–74
 decomposed values
 entropy indices, 75–76
 geographic concentration measurements, 74–75
 de Mesquita, Bueno, 12–13
 democratically-elected governments
 politics of economic policies and, 1–3

- democratically-elected governments (cont.)
 - single member districts and, 79–80
 - special interests and, 199–201, 204–206
 - subsidy spending in, 97–99
- Démocratie libérale (DL) party (France), 116–117
- D'Hondt election method, 123, 178–179
- dispersion bonus, 9–10, 138–140
- disproportionality
 - measuring electoral systems and, 79, 88–92
 - Norwegian electoral system, 178–179, 203–204
 - policy targeting and, 25–26, 203–204
 - subsidy budget shares and, 92
- distributive policies, 17–21
- district magnitude
 - economic geography and, 35–36
 - empirical expectations concerning, 51–53
 - list systems and, 136–137, 161–166
 - measurement of, 79–80
 - Norwegian district-specific electoral competitiveness and, 190–192
 - personal vote seeking and, 162
 - in proportional representation systems, 24–25
- district-specific electoral competitiveness
 - Norwegian subsidy distribution and, 193–194
 - Norwegian vote margins and, 190–192
 - in plurality-based systems, 46–48, 175
 - policy-targeting incentives and, 197–198
 - proportional representation systems, 171–173, 175–176
 - wine subsidies and, 130–133
- Duverger, Maurice, 79–80
- e-certificates, as subsidies, (Norway) 66–67
- economic geography
 - causal complexity and, 61–62
 - constitutional design and, 207
 - cross-national variations in, 22, 31–32
 - defined, 29–30
 - electoral district size and, 35–36
 - electoral systems and, 3–5, 13–15, 23–24, 39–42, 199–201
 - factor mobility and, 56
 - government expenditure on
 - subsidies, 169
 - government partisanship and, 53–55
 - international implications, 208–213
 - legislative dynamics and, 56–58
 - measurements of, 28–29, 144
 - non-economic politics and, 16–17, 206–208
 - particularistic economic policies
 - and, 2–3
 - plurality electoral systems, 5–7
 - politics and, 31–32, 34–35
 - proportional representation and, 7–13
 - quantitative analysis of, 22
 - subsidies and, 17–21
 - wine subsidies and, 130–133
 - within-country subsidy measurement
 - and, 156–161
- economic policy
 - democracy and politics of, 1–3, 199–201
 - electoral competition and, 39–42, 48–51, 58–60
 - electoral institutions and, 39–42
 - factor mobility and, 56
 - government partisanship and, 53–55
 - income and production redistribution
 - and, 27–29
 - special interests and, 64–65
 - uneven dispersion of employment and
 - production and, 27–29
- economic security
 - effects of subsidies, 40, 67–70, 130–133
 - voters shared preferences and, 3–5
- “effective votes,” 43–44
- electoral competitiveness
 - in closed-list PR systems, 170–182, 202–203
 - Cognac subsidies and, 117–120
 - cross-national comparisons of subsidy
 - spending and, 58–60, 145–156, 171n.2
 - defined, 173n.5
 - dispersion bonus of subsidies, 50–51
 - district-level competitiveness, 58–60, 171–176
 - economic policy and, 39–42, 48–51
 - empirical expectations concerning, 51–53
 - in France, 117–120
 - international subsidy restrictions and, 210–213
 - legislative dynamics and, 56–58
 - measurement of, 188–190
 - in Norway, 176–177
 - in open-list systems, 197–198, 202–203
 - in proportional representation systems, 50–51
 - wine subsidies and, 130–133
- electoral institutions
 - in Austria, 122–124
 - comparison of, 134–137
 - district size and, 35–36

- economic geography and, 3–5, 23–24, 199–201
- empirical expectations concerning, 51–53
- endogeneity of, 92–93
- factor mobility and, 56
- in France, 110–112
- geographic concentration and, 36–38, 81
- government partisanship and, 53–55
- institutional differences in, 134–137
- legislative dynamics and, 56–58
- measurement of, 78–80, 81–83, 88–92
- multiple parties in, 96
- plurality systems, 5–7
- policy outcomes and, 39–42, 204–206
- proportional representation and, 44–45
- subsidy budget shares and effect of, 88–92
- wine subsidies and, 110–112, 120–124, 130–133, 201–202
- within-country subsidy measurement and, 156–161
- electoral tactics, 196n.66
- Ellison, Glenn, 74–75
- employment
 - economic geography and patterns of, 22, 29–30
 - electoral competition and concentration of, 48–51
 - geographic concentration of, 31–32, 73–78
 - geographic diffusion of, 86
 - manufacturing sector, 82
 - Norwegian policies for equality in, 190–192
 - proportional systems and distribution of, 44–45
 - relative concentration benchmarks for, 76
 - sector-specific subsidies and, 159
 - subsidies' impact on, 40
 - uneven distribution of, 27–29
 - vote maximization and, 43–44
 - wine industry subsidies and levels of, 105–106
- entertainment industry, 29–30
- entropy indices
 - limitations of, 76
 - EU employment data, 76–78
 - geographic concentration measurement, 75–76
- ethnic politics, 16–17, 206–208
- European Commission
 - bias in rulings by, 101n.9
 - member-states subsidy data from, 99–104
 - non-EU-compliant subsidy cases and, 100–101
 - reform to subsidy rules by, 213–215
- European Council, EU subsidy rules and, 100n.6
- European Court of Justice, 100–101
- European Union
 - Austrian subsidy violation, 122
 - case studies of subsidy programs, 99–104
 - entropy indices for employment data from, 76–78
 - French subsidy violation and, 106
 - manufacturing subsidies in, 67–68
 - non-EU compliant subsidies cases, 99–104
 - State Aid rules in, 23–24, 72, 97–99, 208–215
 - subsidies by, 18–19, 66–67, 72
 - subsidy wars and, 210–213
 - wine industry subsidies and rules of, 106
- Evans, Carolyn L., 11–12, 92–93, 151–156
- exchange rate manipulation, 68
- Export-Import Bank, 210–213
- exports, 40
- factor mobility, economic geography and, 56
- farm-gate wine merchants,
 - Austrian subsidies for, 120–130, 201–202
- electoral system and, 122–124
- policy outcome for, 129–130
- politics and, 124–129, 197–198
- federalism
 - cross-national comparisons of subsidy spending and, 145–156
 - electoral system measurement and, 82
- Fédération des Syndicats de la Champagne*, 109–110
- fishing industry, 41, 159, 161
- financial sector, 29–30
- Finland
 - open-list system in, 137–138
 - particularistic economic policies in, 1–2
- firm-based subsidy targeting (Norway), 184–188
- firm location decisions, 32
- first-best reelection strategy using subsidies, 51–53
- foreign steel imports, duty imposition on, 6
- foreign trade
 - manufacturing subsidies and reliance on, 87–88
 - subsidies and, 19

- forest sector
 geographic diffusion of, 10–13, 40–41, 44–45
 subsidies for, 10–13, 40–41, 44–45
- France
 Cognac subsidies in, 23–24, 107–120, 170, 201–202
 economic geography in, 29–30
 electoral competition in, 48–51, 117n.38
 electoral institutions in, 110–112
 EU wine subsidies in, 108–109n.18
 film industry in, 46–48, 210–215
 government subsidies in, 20–21
 non-EU-compliant wine subsidies in, 106
 particularistic economic policies in, 1–2
 reform to subsidy policies in, 213–215
 resistance to subsidy restrictions in, 210–213
 wine industry subsidies in, 97–99
- Freedom Party of Austria/Freiheitliche Partei Österreichs/FPÖ, 126–127
- “Frozen” (film), distribution and marketing in Norway of, 10–13
- Gallagher’s disproportionality index, 88–92
 Norwegian electoral system and, 178–179
 Least squares index, 79
- GDP per capita
 cross-national comparisons of subsidy spending and, 145–156
 electoral system measurement and, 81–83
 subsidies and, 88
- geographic concentration
 country-specific measures of, 76–78
 customer base and, 29–30
 causal complexity and, 61–62
 closed-list systems, 169
 defined, 33–34
 district magnitude and list type, 161–166
 electoral competition and, 48–51, 62–63
 electoral systems and, 88–92
 entropy indices, 75–76
 French electoral institutions and, 110–112
 French wine subsidies and, 109–110
 Gini indices, 74–75
 government subsidy spending and, 156–161, 169
 measurement of, 73–78, 158–160
 manufacturing subsidies and, 83–87
 “no-concentration” benchmark for, 76
 in Norway, 158–160
 open-list systems, 147–156, 169
 in plurality systems, 5–7, 23–24, 46–48, 87–92, 147–156, 169
 political consequences of, 204–206
 production factors and, 93–96
 in proportional systems, 36–38, 50–51, 92–93, 169
 relative concentration benchmarks, 76
 special interests and, 169
 trade policies and, 36–38
 of unemployment, 206–208
 vote maximization and, 39–42
 wine industry subsidies and, 97–99, 105–106
 within-country subsidy measurement and, 156–161
- geographic diffusion
 closed-list systems, 138–140, 147–156
 district magnitude and list type, 161–166
 electoral competition and, 48–51
 government subsidy spending and, 169, 172–173
 manufacturing subsidies and, 83–87
 in Norway, 160–161
 party-centered *vs.* candidated-centered systems and, 92
 personal vote seeking and, 162
 production factors and, 93–96
 proportional representation and, 10–13, 23–24, 44–45, 88–92, 147–156, 169, 202–203
 of subsidies, 50–51, 160–161
 vote maximization and, 39–42, 43–44
- Germany
 geographically diffuse industries in, 43–44
 geographic diffusion and subsidies in, 44–45
 government subsidies in, 20–21
 mixed-member electoral system in, 78–80
 non-EU-compliant wine subsidies in, 106
 ballot system measurement in, 142–144
 particularistic economic policies and, 1–2
 vote maximization in, 40–41
- Gini indexes, geographic concentration measurements and, 74–75
- Glaeser, Edward L., 74–75
- globalization
 economic geography and, 13–15, 20–21
 politics and, 34–35
 subsidies and, 87–88
 uneven distribution of economic activity and, 27–29

- government expenditure on subsidies
 cross-national comparisons,
 145–156, 201
 district magnitude and list type, 161–166
 economic geography and, 199–201
 federal systems and, 82
 geographic concentration and,
 156–161, 169
 geographic diffusion and, 169, 172–173
 list type and district magnitude, 161–166
 Norwegian subsidies, 156–161, 190–192
 plurality-based electoral systems,
 83–87, 169
 proportional representation systems, 22,
 50–51, 83–87, 93–96, 147–156, 169
 ratio of subsidies to total spending,
 67–68, 69–70, 144–156
 reform initiatives for, 213–215
 sector-specific subsidies, 70–72, 183–184
 spending patterns in, 69–70
 Grossman, Gene M., 11–12, 27–29,
 179–182
- Hankla, Charles R., 35–36
 Hansen, Wendy L., 13–15, 36–38
 Helpman, Elhanan, 11–12, 27–29, 179–182
- ideology, 116–117. *See also* partisanship.
 left-leaning governments, 82–83,
 145–156
- imports, 6, 68
- income distribution, 27–29
- incumbents
 district-level competitiveness and, 175
 legislative dynamics and, 56–58
 in open-list systems, 140–142
 PR *vs.* plurality electoral systems and
 subsidies by, 102–103
 subsidy funding by, 39–42
- India
 government subsidies in, 64–65
 trade negotiations and subsidies in, 16–17
- industrial policy 20, 54. *See also* sector-
 specific subsidies.
- industrial strategy. *See* sector-specific
 subsidies; May, Theresa.
- industrial sector
 cross-national subsidy comparisons,
 97–99
 economic and political benefits of
 subsidies in, 20
 electoral district size and, 35–36
 geographic concentration in, 3–5, 13–15
 government partisanship and, 55
 stock prices and political influence of,
 69–70
 trade policies and geographic
 concentration in, 208
 vote maximization and concentration in,
 39–42
- Industry Act of 1972 (United Kingdom),
 20–21
- inequality
 distribution of subsidies and, 18–19
 uneven distribution of production and
 employment and, 27–29
- Innovation Norway, 184–188
- international accounting rules, sector-
 specific subsidies and, 70–72
- international agreements
 economic geography and, 16–17,
 208–213
 resistance to, 210–213
 restraints on subsidies in, 72, 98–99
 subsidies and, 19
- international conflict, 213–215
- Inter-Parliamentary Union PARLINE
 database, 5n.9, 143–144n.8
- Italy
 EU wine subsidies in, 108–109n.18
 government subsidies in, 20–21
 policy-targeting incentives in, 197–198
- Japan,
 subsidies in, 19, 68
 unemployment programs, 208
- job security
 economic geography and, 29–30
 subsidies as tool for, 40
- Johnson, Joel W., 142–144
- Jospin, Lionel, 117n.38, 118–120
- Kayser, Mark Andreas, 12–13, 60n.20
Kongeriget Norges Hypotekbank, 176–177
- Krugman, Paul, 74–75
- labor mobility
 cross-national comparisons of subsidy
 spending and, 145–156
 economic geography and, 31–32
 geographic concentration and, 93–96
- Labour Party (DNA) Norway, 188–190
- least-squares index, 79
- left-leaning governments, 82–83, 145–156
- legislative dynamics
 Austrian farm-gate wine merchant
 subsidy, 124–129
 closed-list systems, 138–140

- legislative dynamics (cont.)
 economic geography and, 56–58
 French electoral institutions and, 110–112
 in open-list systems, 140–142
 parliamentary questions, 113–116
 sector-specific subsidies and, 71–72
 letters of assignment, for bureaucrats (Norway) 187
 Liberal Party (Venstre) (Norway), 9–10
 Lindstädt, René, 60n.20
 list-type, 78–80, 134–137. *See also* closed-list systems; open-list systems
 in Austria, 120–123
 district magnitude and, 161–166
 measurement of, 142–144
 policy outcomes and, 138–142
 in proportional representation systems, 24–25
 variations in, 137–138
 lobbying activities
 cross-national comparisons of subsidy spending and, 145–156
 French wine industry subsidies and, 109–110
 government partisanship and, 53–55
 ministry-level decision making and, 56–58
 in Norway, 176n.9
 by trade organizations, 47–48

Maisons de Champagne, 109–110
 majoritarian systems, policy-targeting incentives and, 11–12. *See also* plurality electoral systems.
 manufacturing sector
 cross-national comparisons of subsidy spending in, 145–156
 employment variations in, 82
 entropy indices for employment in, 75–76
 geographic concentration in, 27–30, 74
 Norwegian subsidies for, 173–176
 subsidies for, 18, 19–20, 64–65, 67–68, 72, 83–87
 voter turnout and, 195–197
 market mechanisms
 cognac producers subsidies and, 112–113
 geographic concentration and, 31–32
 Mary Kay (United States), 33–34
 May, Theresa, 19–20, 54–55
 McGillivray, Fiona, 13–15, 35–38, 171n.3
 McKeown, Timothy J., 27–29
 mean district magnitude
 measurements of, 79–80
 geographically concentrated sectors and, 92

 Meier, Erhard, 125–127
 Mexico
 electoral competition and policy in, 60–61
 government partisanship in, 54
 subsidies in, 71–72
 unemployment program, 208
 Milner, Helen V., 13–15, 36–38
 minimum threshold requirements, in proportional systems, 50–51
 mining industry
 electoral competitiveness, 60
 geographic concentration of, 29–30
 mixed-member proportional systems in Germany, 78–80
 measuring list systems in, 142–144
 modifiable areal unit problem (MAUP), 76–78
 Movement for the Defense of Family Farmers (MODEF) (France), 112–113
 multicollinearity
 cross-national comparisons of subsidy spending and, 145–156
 electoral system measurement and, 81–83
 multi-party government coalitions
 cross-national comparisons of subsidy spending and, 145–156
 subsidy spending in, 96

 narrow interests. *See* special interests
 National Assembly Elections Act (2000) (Slovenia), 40–41
 national price levels, 69–70
 national vote-share thresholds, proportional representation and, 8
 nation-wide constituencies, proportional representation and, 7
 neo-institutional theory, economic geography and, 204–206
 Netherlands, industry concentration in, 36–38
 New Zealand, vote maximization in, 40–41
 “no-concentration” benchmark
 geographic concentration measurement and, 75–76
 relative concentration *vs.*, 76
 Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics (NUTS), 76–78
 nominal-tier elections, 78–80
 non-EU-compliant subsidies
 cases involving, 99–104
 predicted number of, 102–103
 proportional representation and, 101–102

- non-tariff barriers, 12–13
- non-zero tariffs, plurality-based electoral systems, 11–12
- North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA)
 - import protections and, 13–15
 - industry concentration and, 36–38
- Norway
 - bureaucrats in, 173
 - construction industry subsidies in, 9, 138–140
 - dispersion bonus in, 9–10
 - district-level subsidy distribution and vote margins, 193–194
 - electoral competitiveness in, 176–177
 - electoral systems in, 177–179
 - employment concentration in, 29–30
 - Farmer's Party in, 55n.15
 - firm-based subsidy targeting in, 184–188
 - firm location and subsidies in, 32
 - geographic concentration measurement in, 76, 152, 158–160
 - geographic diffusion of subsidy distribution in, 160–161
 - government structure in, 188–190
 - income and production redistribution in, 27–29
 - lobbying activities in, 176n.9
 - manufacturing-sector subsidies in, 190–192
 - list type in, 142–144
 - policy outcomes in, 170–182
 - policy-targeting incentives in, 179–182, 197–198, 203–204
 - population density and subsidy distribution in, 195–197
 - proportional representation in, 7, 170–182
 - sector-specific subsidies in, 71–72, 170–171, 183–184
 - subsidies in, 66–67
 - variations in subsidies within, 173–176
 - vote maximization in, 40–41, 44–45
 - within-country subsidy measurement in, 156–161
- number of parties in government, 156
- Ochs, Jack, 27–29
- OECD countries
 - agricultural subsidies in, 71n.17
 - geographic concentration in, 29–30
- Office of Fair Trading (United Kingdom), 40n.2
- Oloffson, Maud, 39–42
- open-list systems
 - ballot access and, 143–144n.8
 - characteristics of, 137–138
 - cross-national subsidy spending comparisons, 147–156
 - empirical expectations concerning, 51–53
 - geographic concentration in, 147–156, 169
 - geographic diffusion of subsidies in, 202–203
 - measurement of, 142–144
 - personal vote strategies in, 169
 - policy outcomes and, 140–142
 - policy-targeting incentives in, 197–198, 202–203
 - in proportional representation, 24–25
- parliamentary questions (PQs), 113–116
- parochial interests. *See* special interests
- particularistic economic policies
 - absence in Norway of, 176–177
 - definitions of, 204–206
 - electoral institutions and, 39–42
 - plurality electoral systems, 5–7
 - proportional representation systems and, 12–13
 - subsidies as, 1–3
- partisanship. *See also* ideology.
 - electoral systems and, 53–55
 - French cognac subsidy and, 114
 - in Mexico, 54
 - policy outcomes and, 53–55
 - Socialist Party (France), 114, 117n.38
- party-based electoral competition, 48–51
 - in Austria, 23, 122–124
 - closed-list systems, 138–140, 156–161
 - French electoral system and, 48–51, 114, 117n.38, 118–120
 - geographic diffusion and, 92
 - legislative dynamics and, 56–58
 - measurement of, 78–80
 - Norwegian electoral system, 178–179
 - open-list systems and, 140–142
 - vote margins in, 188–190
- People's Action Future for Finnmark (*Folkeaksjonen Framtid for Finnmark*), 8, 40–41
- People's Republic of China (PRC),
 - government subsidies in, 20–21. *See also* China.
- personal vote seeking
 - district magnitude and list type, 162–167, 169
 - geographic diffusion and, 162

- personal vote seeking (cont.)
 list systems and, 140–142, 150
 subsidy spending and, 147–156, 197–198
- Persson, Torsten, 11–12, 27–29, 92–93, 151–156
- petroleum industry, 76
- plurality electoral systems
 conventional wisdom concerning, 11–12
 cross-national comparisons of subsidy spending and, 145–156
 district-level competitiveness in, 46–48, 175
 district size and, 35–36, 80n.33
 economic geography and, 13–15, 32, 36–38
 electoral competitiveness in, 48–51, 58–60, 171–173
 empirical expectations concerning, 51–53
 federalism in, 82
 in France, 110–112
 geographically-concentrated subsidies in, 5–7, 23–24, 46–48, 87–92, 147–156, 169
 government expenditure on subsidies, 83–87, 169
 Grossman and Helpman model, 11–12
 institutional differences in, 134–137
 legislative dynamics and, 56–58
 manufacturing subsidies in, 83–87
 non-EU-compliant subsidies and, 102–103
 party discipline in, 58
 policy outcomes and, 204–206
 reform to subsidy policies in, 213–215
 single-party governments and, 96
 social welfare spending in, 206–208
 special interests in, 64–65
 subsidy budget shares in, 83–87, 169
 vote types in, 43–44
 vote maximization in, 39–42, 46–48
 wine industry subsidies and, 97–99, 105–106, 110–112
- Poland, 40–41
- polarization in politics, 34–35
- policy outcomes
 Austrian farm-gate wine merchant subsidies, 129–130
 closed-list systems, 138–140, 170–182
 district size and, 35–36
 economic geography and, 13–15, 23–24, 31–32, 204–206
 electoral institutions and, 39–42, 204–206
 French Cognac subsidy, 120
 government partisanship and, 53–55
 list-based proportional electoral systems, 138–142
 open-list systems, 140–142
 proportional representation systems, 170–182
 wine subsidies and, 130–133
- policy-targeting incentives
 in closed-list systems, 138–140, 156–161
 subsidies and, 25–26
 district magnitude and list type, 161–166
 electoral competition and, 58–60
 empirical expectations concerning, 51–53
 firm-based subsidy targeting, 184–188
 legislative power and, 11
 in Norway, 179–182
 in open-list systems, 140–142
 in plurality-based electoral systems, 11–12
 in proportional representation systems, 171–173, 179–182
- proportional systems and, 197–198
- sector-based targeting, 183–184
- special interests and, 64–65
- subsidies defined as, 66–67
- wine subsidies as, 130–133
- politics
 Austrian farm-gate wine merchant subsidy and, 124–129
 Cognac producers subsidies and, 112–113
 economic geography and, 31–32, 34–35
 economic policy and, 39–42
 electoral district size and, 35–36
 EU-compliant subsidies, 100n.6
 manufacturing subsidies and, 72
 of non-EU-compliant subsidies, 101–102
 sector-specific subsidies and, 70–72
 subsidies' effect on, 20, 67–70
 wine industry subsidies, 105–106
- population density, subsidy distribution and, 195–197
- populism, 15–16
- pork, fiscal, 5, 65, 172–176
- procurement, by governments, 29, 66
- producer subsidies, 1n.2, 64–65
 economic and political benefits of, 64–65
- Norwegian firm-based subsidy targeting and, 184–188
- Norwegian policies for employment equality and, 190–192

- production factors
- cross-national comparisons of subsidy spending and, 145–156
 - economic geography and costs of, 31–32
 - geographic concentration and, 73–78, 93–96
 - geographic diffusion and, 93–96
 - uneven distribution of, 27–29
- “Project Socrates,” 54–55
- promotional activities, Cognac producer subsidies, 112–113
- proportional representation (PR)
- systems, 3–5
 - in Austria, 120–124
 - causal complexity, 61–62
 - conventional wisdom about, 12–13
 - cross-electoral district subsidies and, 25–26
 - cross-national comparisons of subsidies in, 24–25, 145–156
 - dispersion bonus in, 138–140
 - district-level competitiveness in, 171–173, 175–176
 - district size and, 35–36, 80n.33, 161–166
 - economic geography in, 7–15, 32
 - electoral competitiveness in, 50–51, 58–60
 - empirical expectations concerning, 51–53
 - geographic concentration and, 36–38, 50–51, 92–93, 169
 - geographic diffusion and, 10–13, 23–24, 44–45, 88–92, 147–156, 169, 199–201
 - government expenditure on subsidies, 22, 50–51, 83–87, 93–96, 147–156, 169
 - government partisanship and, 53–55
 - institutional differences in, 134–137
 - international trade dependency and, 81–83
 - left governments associated with, 82–83
 - list-type variations, 137–138, 142–144
 - measurement of, 78–80
 - multi-party governments and, 96
 - non-EU compliant subsidies and, 99–104
 - in Norway, 7, 170–182
 - open lists and subsidy spending in, 147–156
 - personal vote seeking in, 162
 - policy outcomes in, 170–182, 204–206
 - policy-targeted incentives in, 171–173, 179–182, 197–198
 - preference votes in, 138
 - reform to subsidy policies in, 213–215
 - single-country study, 24–25
 - social welfare spending in, 206–208
 - special interests in, 33–34, 64–65
 - subsidy budget share in, 22, 50–51, 83–87, 93–96, 147–156, 169, 202–203
 - vote categories in, 43–44
 - vote maximization in, 39–42, 44–45
 - wine industry subsidies and, 97–99, 105–106
 - within-country subsidy measurement and, 156–161
- quarrying, geographic concentration of, 29–30
- Quentin, Didier, 111, 114
- Reagan, Ronald, 54–55
- recovery cases, of non-EU-compliant subsidies, 100–101
- Reinfeldt, Fredrik, 39–42
- Reinhardt, Eric, 36–38
- relative concentration measure, of sector-specific employment, 76
- rent seeking
- electoral competition and, 58–60
 - Norway, 188
 - particularistic economic policies and, 2–3
- resource redistribution, economic policies and, 1–3
- Reynaud, Marie-Line, 111, 114
- Roads to Recovery Program (Australia), 43n.4
- Rodrik, Dani, 72
- Rogowski, Ronald, 12–13, 81–83
- Roland, Gerard, 11–12, 27–29
- Rosenbluth, Frances, 96, 145–156
- Saab (Sweden), 33–34, 39–42
- safe districts
- electoral competitiveness and, 58–60
 - subsidy distribution and, 193n.58
- Sainte Laguë allocation formula, 177–178
- Scania AB (Sweden), 33–34
- Schreiner, Erich, 126–128
- sector employment
- cross-national comparisons of subsidy spending and, 145–156
 - economic geography and, 29–30
 - manufacturing employment, 82
 - Norwegian policies for, 190–192
 - subsidy distribution of, 65
- sector-specific subsidies
- government priorities for, 70–72
 - in Norway, 176n.10
 - Norwegian vote margins and, 190–192
 - policy-targeting incentives, 183–184
 - within-country measurements of, 156–161

- service sector, geographic distribution of, 29–30
- Shugart, Matthew Soberg, 78–80, 142–144
- single member districts (SMDs)
 - Cognac producer subsidies politics and, 112–113
 - measuring, 79–80
- single-party governments
 - cross-national comparisons of subsidy spending and, 145–156
 - subsidy spending in, 96
- single transferable vote systems, 134n.1, 137n.4
- Slovenia, 40–41
- Social Democrats (SPÖ) party (Austria), 124
- Socialist Left Party (*Sosialistisk Venstreparti*) (Norway), 188–190
- Socialist Party (France), 114, 117n.38
- social welfare programs
 - economic geography and, 206–208
 - subsidies' impact on spending for, 64–69
- Soziale Marktwirtschaft* (Germany), 1–2, 20–21
- Spain
 - EU wine subsidies in, 108–109n.18
 - list type in, 142–144
- special interests
 - democracies' responsiveness to, 199–201, 204–206
 - economic geography and, 3–5, 33–34, 204–206
 - electoral systems and, 64–65
 - French wine subsidies and, 109–110
 - geographic concentration and, 82–83, 169
 - government subsidies as response to, 69–70
 - particularistic economic policies and, 2–3
- spending priorities, 64–69
- state-owned institutions, 1–2
- State Aid. *See* European Union State Aid rules; government expenditure of subsidies
- statistical analysis of subsidies
 - electoral politics and, 22
 - list systems and, 24–25
- steel industry (United States), 5–7, 33–34
- stickiness of government policies, 54n.14
 - causal complexity and, 61–62
 - subsidy persistence and, 82–83
- structural adjustment program, 116
- subsidies
 - benefits and limitations of, 72
 - budgeting process (Norway), 186
 - case studies of, selection criteria for, 99–104
 - categories of, 66–67
 - causal complexity of, 61–62
 - in closed-list systems, 139
 - cross-district variations in, 25–26
 - cross-national comparisons, 20–22, 24–25, 145–156
 - dispersion bonus from geographically diffuse subsidies, 9–10
 - economic geography and, 17–21
 - electoral competitiveness and, 48–51, 58–60, 170–182
 - empirical expectations concerning, 51–53
 - firm location and, 31–32
 - for geographically diffuse industries, 43–44
 - statistics on, 67–68
 - government partisanship and, 53–55
 - international restraints on, 23–24, 72
 - in left-leaning governments, 82–83
 - measurement of, 144–156
 - ministry-level decisions on, 56–58
 - Norway's history of, 176–177
 - in open-list systems, 140–142
 - particularistic economic policies, 1–3
 - plurality electoral systems, 5–7, 46–48
 - political benefits of, 9, 67–70
 - producer's demands for, 1n.2
 - in proportional systems, 13, 50–51, 83–87, 93–96
 - as share of government expenditure, 67–68
 - socioeconomic benefits of, 3, 67–70
 - vote maximization and, 39–42
 - voter preferences distribution and, 81
 - within-country measurements of, 156–161, 173–176
- subsidy wars, international agreements and, 210–213
- sugar industry (United States)
 - subsidies for, 64–69
 - vote maximization and concentration in, 39–42
- surplus votes
 - in Austrian electoral system, 122–124
 - economic geography and, 43
 - in plural systems, 46–48
 - vote maximization and, 43–44
- Sweden
 - dispersion bonus of subsidies in, 50–51
 - district-specific electoral competitiveness in, 191–192n.55
 - geographically diffuse groups in, 10–13

- open-list system in, 137–138
- particularistic economic policies in, 2
- special interests and economic geography in, 33–34
- subsidies in, 20–21
- vote maximization in, 39–42
- Swinnen, Johan F. M., 71n.17
- Syndicat du Commerce des Vins de Champagne*, 109–110
- Tabellini, Guido, 11–12, 27–29, 92–93, 151–156
- targeted transfers, government spending patterns and, 69–70
- tariffs. *See also* trade policy.
 - electoral district size and, 35–36
 - plurality systems and incentives for, 11–12
 - subsidies and, 19, 54n.14, 68, 99
- taxpayers, cost of subsidies for, 64–69
- tax policy
 - Austrian farm-gate wine merchant tax break, 124–129
 - Austrian wine subsidies and, 121–122
 - government-funded subsidies and, 17–21
 - tax incentives in, 66–67
- technical support, Cognac producer subsidies, 112–113
- Thomas, Kenneth P., 82–83
- tourism, Norwegian support for, 10–13
- Trade Adjustment Assistance, 206–208
- Trade Expansion Act of 1962 (United States), 6
- trade organizations, lobbying for subsidies by, 47–48
- trade policy
 - cross-national comparisons of subsidy spending and, 145–156
 - electoral systems and, 81–83
 - district size and, 35–36
 - factor mobility and, 56, 58
 - geographic concentration and, 36–38
 - plurality systems and, 11–12, 46–48
 - single member districts and, 79–80
 - subsidy restrictions and, 19, 210–213
 - unemployment and, 206–208
- Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP), 210–213
- Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP), 16–17, 210–213
 - subsidies as response to, 19, 68
- Transvideo (France), 47
- Trump, Donald, 6, 19–20
- turnout, voter (Norway) 195–197
- unemployment
 - economic geography and programs for, 206–208
 - Norwegian subsidy programs and, 191n.50
 - voting patterns and, 195–197
- Union pour la Démocratie Française (UDF) (France), 117n.38, 118–120
- United Kingdom
 - Chinese imports and Brexit support in, 13–15
 - electoral competitiveness in, 60
 - film industry in, 213–215
 - firm location and subsidies in, 32
 - geographic concentration and, 74–75
 - government partisanship and policy in, 54–55
 - legislative dynamics in, 56–58
 - manufacturing subsidies in, 19–20
 - particularistic economic policies in, 1–2
 - reform to subsidy policies in, 213–215
 - sector-specific subsidies in, 70–72
 - subsidy programs in, 20–21
 - surplus votes and economic geography in, 43
 - textile industry subsidies in, 40
 - uneven distribution of production and employment in, 27–29
- United States
 - biotechnology industry in, 32
 - district size in, 35–36
 - geography of Chinese imports and political outcomes in, 13–15
 - government partisanship and policy in, 54–55
 - government subsidies and vote maximization in, 39
 - import penetration and politics in, 34–35
 - legislative dynamics in, 56–58
 - manufacturing subsidies in, 19–20
 - plurality electoral systems in, 5–7
 - political effects of geographic concentration in, 36–38
 - special interests and geography in, 33–34
 - subsidies in, 18–19
 - subsidy wars in, 210–213
 - Trade Adjustment Assistance program, 206–208
 - uneven distribution of production and employment in, 27–29

- Verdier, Daniel, 82–83
 Volvo (Sweden), 33–34
 vote margins
 electoral competitiveness measurement
 and, 188–190
 Norwegian manufacturing-sector
 subsidies and, 190–192
 subsidy distribution in Norway and,
 193–194
 turnout and unemployment and, 195–197
 vote maximization
 cross-national comparisons of subsidy
 spending and, 145–156
 economic policy and, 39–42
 electoral competition and, 48–51
 mechanisms for, 43–48
 in open-list systems, 140–142
 in plural systems, 39–42, 46–48
 in proportional systems, 39–42, 44–45
 voters' shared preferences
 district magnitude and, 161–166
 economic geography and, 3–5
 geographic distribution of, 81,
 204–208
 open-list systems and, 140–142
 vote swing
 electoral competition and, 58–60
 proportional representation and, 8–9,
 44–45
 vote maximization and, 43–44
 wages, subsidies' impact on, 40
 Wales (United Kingdom), 29–30
 Wallack, Jessica S., 142–144
 “wasted votes,” 43–44
 Wattenberg, Mark P., 78–80
 Welfare
 Social, spending in plurality systems,
 206–208
 Social, spending and economic geography
 and, 206–208
 Social, subsidies' impact on spending for,
 64–69
 wealth redistribution, subsidies and, 17–21
 wine industry subsidies
 Austrian farm-gate merchants' subsidy,
 120–130, 201–202
 characteristics of, 106–107
 cross-national comparisons of, 97–99
 electoral institutions and, 110–112,
 120–124, 201–202
 EU subsidies, 108–109n.18
 exogeneity of geography in, 105–106
 French Cognac producers subsidies,
 107–120, 201–202
 politics of, 130–133
 Wine Tax Act (Austria), 129–130
 winning coalitions, 12–13
 within-country measurements
 geographic concentration, 74–75
 policy outcomes, closed-list systems,
 170–182
 subsidy spending, 156–161, 173–176
 World Trade Organization (WTO)
 Agreement on Subsidies and
 Countervailing Measures, 208–213
 subsidy regulation by, 23–24, 66–67, 72,
 97–99
 trade disputes and, 79–80
 wine subsidies and, 107n.15