IE 534/CS 598 Deep Learning

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Fall 2018

Lecture 6

Project proposals due October 1.

Tutorial Monday, September 17 at 5:00 PM in 1404 Siebel.

- Usernames/passwords for Blue Waters
- Introduction to running jobs on Blue Waters
- Introduction to PyTorch

Finite difference formula:

Let $g(v, z) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$.

$$rac{\partial g}{\partial v}pprox rac{g(v+\Delta,z)-g(v,z)}{\Delta}.$$

For example, let $g = \rho(f(x; \theta), y)$, let $v = K_{i,j,p}$, and

$$z = \{\text{All other parameters}\} = \{b, W, K_{m,n,a\neq(i,i,p)}\}.$$

(1)

(2)

3-d convolutions:

Let the input image be $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d}$ and a filter $K \in \mathbb{R}^{k_y \times k_x \times k_z}$.

We define a 3-dimensional convolution of the matrix X with the filter K as the map

$$X * K : \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d} \times \mathbb{R}^{k_y \times k_x \times k_z} \to \mathbb{R}^{(d-k_y+1) \times (d-k_x+1) \times (d-k_z+1)}, (3)$$

where

$$(X * K)_{i,j,q} = \sum_{m=0}^{k_y - 1} \sum_{n=0}^{k_x - 1} \sum_{r=0}^{k_z - 1} K_{m,n,r} X_{i+m,j+n,q+r}.$$
 (4)

A convolution network with a bias parameter:

 $f(x; \theta) = F_{\text{softmax}}(U).$

$$Z = b^{1} + X * K,$$

 $H = \sigma(Z),$
 $U_{k} = W_{k,:,:} \cdot H + b_{k}^{2}, \quad k = 0, ..., K - 1,$

(5)

Multi-layer convolution networks:

- The input image is $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times C^0}$.
- ullet The ℓ -th convolution layer contains C^ℓ "feature maps".
- The number of feature maps C^{ℓ} is often called the "number of channels" for layer ℓ .
- The ℓ -th hidden layer is $H^{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_y^{\ell} \times d_x^{\ell} \times C^{\ell}}$. The first feature map $H^0 = X$.
- The filters for the ℓ -layer are given by the variable $K^\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{d_y^\ell \times d_x^\ell \times C^\ell \times C^{\ell-1}}$.

$$H_{i,j,p}^{\ell} = \sigma \left(\sum_{p'=0}^{C^{\ell-1}-1} \sum_{m=0}^{k_y^{\ell}-1} \sum_{n=0}^{k_x^{\ell}-1} K_{m,n,p,p'}^{\ell} H_{i+m,j+n,p'}^{\ell-1} \right).$$
 (6)

The height d_{ν}^{ℓ} and width d_{ν}^{ℓ} of the feature maps in the ℓ -th layer depend upon the height $d_v^{\ell-1}$ and width $d_x^{\ell-1}$ of the feature maps

depend upon the height
$$d_y^{\ell}$$
 and width d_x^{ℓ} of the feature maps in the previous layer and the size of the filters $k_y^{\ell} \times k_x^{\ell}$:
$$d_y^{\ell} = d_y^{\ell-1} - k_y^{\ell} + 1,$$

$$d_x^{\ell} = d_x^{\ell-1} - k_x^{\ell} + 1. \tag{7}$$

Padding

Expand the matrices $H^{\ell-1}_{:,:,p}$ by adding P zeros on all sides to form a larger tensor

$$\hat{H}^{\ell-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{(d_y^{\ell-1} + 2P) \times (d_x^{\ell-1} + 2P) \times C^{\ell-1}}.$$
 (8)

$$H_{i,j,p}^{\ell} = \sigma \left(\sum_{p'=0}^{C^{\ell-1}-1} \sum_{m=0}^{k_y^{\ell}-1} \sum_{n=0}^{k_x^{\ell}-1} K_{m,n,p,p'}^{\ell} \hat{H}_{i+m,j+n,p'}^{\ell-1} \right).$$
 (9)

 H^{ℓ} therefore has dimensions

$$(d_y^{\ell-1} - k_y^{\ell} + 2P + 1) \times (d_x^{\ell-1} - k_x^{\ell} + 2P + 1) \times C^{\ell}.$$
 (10)

Strides

A convolution layer with a stride s is

$$H_{i,j,p}^{\ell} = \sigma \left(\sum_{p'=0}^{C^{\ell-1}-1} \sum_{m=0}^{k_y^{\ell}-1} \sum_{n=0}^{k_x^{\ell}-1} K_{m,n,p,p'}^{\ell} H_{is+m,js+n,p'}^{\ell-1} \right).$$
 (11)

 H^{ℓ} therefore has dimensions

$$\left(\left|\frac{d_{y}^{\ell-1}-k_{y}^{\ell}}{s}\right|+1\right)\times\left(\left\lfloor\frac{d_{x}^{\ell-1}-k_{x}^{\ell}}{s}\right\rfloor+1\right)\times C^{\ell}.\tag{12}$$

Padding and Strides

$$H_{i,j,p}^{\ell} = \sigma \left(\sum_{p'=0}^{C^{\ell-1}-1} \sum_{m=0}^{k_y^{\ell}-1} \sum_{n=0}^{k_x^{\ell}-1} K_{m,n,p,p'}^{\ell} \hat{H}_{is+m,js+n,p'}^{\ell-1} \right).$$
 (13)

 H^{ℓ} has dimensions

$$\left(\left\lfloor \frac{d_y^{\ell-1} - k_y^{\ell} + 2P}{s} \right\rfloor + 1\right) \times \left(\left\lfloor \frac{d_x^{\ell-1} - k_x^{\ell} + 2P}{s} \right\rfloor + 1\right) \times C^{\ell}.(14)$$

Pooling:

- A form of downsampling
- Some invariance to local translation

Average pooling with size *h* and stride *s*:

$$V_{i,j,p} = \frac{1}{h^2} \sum_{m=0}^{h-1} \sum_{n=0}^{h-1} H_{is+m,js+n,p}.$$
 (15)

Max pooling with size *h* and stride *s*:

$$V_{i,j,p} = \max_{0 < m, n < h} H_{is+m,js+n,p}.$$
 (16)

- Example of overlapping pooling: s = 2, h = 3.
- Example of non-overlapping pooling: s = 2, h = 2.
- If the input to a pooling layer has dimensions $d_y \times d_x \times C$,

then the output has dimensions
$$dy \times dx \times C$$
,

$$\left(\left|\frac{d_{y}-h}{s}\right|+1\right)\times\left(\left|\frac{d_{x}-h}{s}\right|+1\right)\times C. \tag{17}$$

Dropout

$$Z^{1} = W^{1}X + b^{1},$$

$$Z^{\ell} = W^{\ell}H^{\ell-1} + b^{\ell}, \quad \ell = 1, ..., L,$$

$$H^{\ell} = R^{\ell} \odot \sigma(Z^{\ell}), \quad \ell = 1, ..., L,$$

$$U = W^{L+1}H^{L} + b^{L+1},$$

$$f(X, R; \theta) = F_{\text{softmax}}(U)$$
(18)

- $R^{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a vector of independent Bernoulli random variables with parameter p.
- Let $R = \{R^1, \dots, R^L\}$. R is sometimes called a "mask".
- The vector R^{ℓ} removes a random subset of the hidden units in layer ℓ from the model. This is a form of **regularization** since it reduces the model complexity.

At each update step, a random data sample (X, Y) is drawn and a mask R is randomly generated. The stochastic gradient descent algorithm with dropout is:

- Randomly select a data sample (X, Y)
- Generate a random sample R
- Calculate the gradient

$$G^{(k)} = \nabla_{\theta} \rho \Big(f(X, R; \theta), Y \Big).$$

• Take a stochastic gradient descent step

$$\theta^{(k+1)} = \theta^{(k)} - \alpha^{(k)} G^{(k)}. \tag{19}$$

The dropout algorithm minimizes the objective function

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{(X,Y),R} \left[\rho \left(f(X,R;\theta), Y \right) \right]. \tag{20}$$

Note that, similar to (X, Y), the samples of R are i.i.d.

Therefore, under suitable technical conditions for the model f,

$$\mathbb{P}\bigg[\lim_{k\to\infty}\|\nabla_{\theta}\mathcal{L}(\theta)\|=0\bigg]=1. \tag{21}$$

- Dropout minimizes the "average loss" from a collection of models.
- The number of models grows exponentially with the total number of the hidden units $L \times d_H$.
- Therefore, directly optimizing over $\mathbb{E}_R \left[\rho \left(f(X, R; \theta), Y \right) \right]$ (for a single sample of X, Y) is not feasible.
- Instead, we also apply stochastic gradient descent to the collection of models, i.e. we sample 1 specific model at each training step.

What model to use for predictions (i.e., for the test dataset)?

A heuristic algorithm is typically used to address this problem.

The random variable R^{ℓ} in (18) is replaced with $\mathbb{E}[R^{\ell}] = (p, \ldots, p).$

$$Z^1 = W^1 X + b^1,$$
 $Z^{\ell+1} = W^{\ell} H^{\ell} + b^{\ell}, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, L-1,$
 $H^{\ell} = p\sigma(Z^{\ell}), \quad \ell = 1, \dots, L,$
 $U = W^L H^L + b^L.$

 $f_{\text{prediction}}(X;\theta) = F_{\text{softmax}}(U).$ (22) This is heuristic, since it is equivalent to interchanging an expectation and a nonlinear function. The prediction rule (22) corresponds to a different objective function:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{prediction}}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{(X,Y)} \left[\rho \left(f(X, \mathbb{E}[R]; \theta), Y \right) \right]. \tag{23}$$

Since neural networks are nonlinear:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{prediction}}(\theta) \neq \mathbb{E}_{(X,Y,R)} \Big[\rho \Big(f(X,R;\theta), Y \Big) \Big]$$

$$= \mathcal{L}(\theta). \tag{24}$$

Nonetheless, the prediction network (22) has proven effective in practice for many applications.

 Alternatively, a more mathematically correct approach would
be Monte Carlo simulation.

• Monte Carlo simulation is more computationally costly.

• See Hinton's original paper on dropout for a comparison.

- (Stochastic) gradient descent is a first-order optimization method, i.e. it only uses the gradient and not the Hessian.
- Why not use second-order optimization such as Newton's method?

$$\theta^{(\ell+1)} = \theta^{\ell} - \left(H^{(\ell+1)}\right)^{-1} g^{(\ell)}.$$
 (25)

- Second-order optimization builds a quadratic model of the local landscape, while first-order optimization builds a linear model.
- Consequently, second-order algorithms can take larger steps.

$$heta^{(\ell+1)} = heta^\ell - \left(H^{(\ell+1)}
ight)^{-1} g^{(\ell)}.$$

There is no stochastic gradient descent scheme for second-order optimization..Why?

To accurately approximate $\left(H^{(\ell+1)}\right)^{-1}g^{(\ell)}$, a large mini-batch is required.

$$\theta^{(\ell+1)} = \theta^{\ell} - \left(H^{(\ell+1)}\right)^{-1} g^{(\ell)}. \tag{26}$$

Two interesting features that are absent from gradient descent:

- Adaptive learning rate
- Affine invariance

AdaGrad

RMSprop

RMSprop and ADAM are widely used in practice.

ADAM

Data augmentation:

- Randomly flip images
- Randomly rotate images
- Random crop/ Resize (i.e., rescale)
- Randomly adjust brightness or contrast

- Dataset A: very large dataset.
- Dataset B: smaller dataset for which we want a predictive model.
- **Transfer learning**: Train the model on Dataset A, then "fine-tune" on Dataset B.
- This can help build large models with less overfitting!

Parameter initialization.

Let d^{ℓ} be the number of hidden units in the ℓ -th layer. The weight $W_{i,i}^{\ell}$ is typically initialized to

$$\frac{C}{\sqrt{d^{\ell-1}}}U_{i,j},\tag{27}$$

where $U_{i,j}$ are independent standard normal RVs or uniform RVs.

If $H_i^{\ell-1}$ are mean zero and have variance 1, the input to the ℓ -th layer

$$Z_{i} = \sum_{j=0}^{d^{\ell-1}-1} \frac{C}{\sqrt{d^{\ell-1}}} U_{i,j} H_{j}^{\ell-1}.$$
 (28)

Suppose $U_{i,j}$ are independent, standard normal RVs and C=1. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_i] = 0,$$

$$Var[Z_i] = 1.$$
(29)

Linearization.

If the hidden units are $\tanh(\cdot)$ and $W^\ell H^{\ell-1}$ is "small" (let $b^\ell=0$ to simplify analysis),

$$H^{\ell} = \sigma(W^{\ell}H^{\ell-1})$$

$$\approx W^{\ell}H^{\ell-1}.$$
(30)

Let C=1, $U_{i,j}$ are indep. standard normal RVs, and W^{ℓ} are initialized according to (27). Suppose X_i are mean zero and have variance 1. Then,

$$Z_{i}^{\ell} = \sum_{j=0}^{d^{\ell-1}-1} W_{i,j}^{\ell} H_{j}^{\ell-1},$$

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_{i}^{\ell}] = 0,$$

$$\text{Var}[Z_{i}^{\ell}] = 1.$$
(31)

Batch normalization: A normalization which is *learned* during training.

$$H^{\ell} = \sigma \left(BN_{\theta}[Z^{\ell}] \right),$$

$$Z^{\ell} = W^{\ell}H^{\ell-1} + b^{\ell}.$$
(32)

The goal is to learn a transformation such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{X}\left[\mathrm{BN}_{\theta^{(k)}}[Z^{\ell}]\right] = 0,$$

$$\mathrm{Var}_{X}\left[\mathrm{BN}_{\theta^{(k)}}[Z_{i}^{\ell}]\right] = 1. \tag{33}$$

Consider

$$\hat{Z}_i^{\ell} = \frac{Z_i^{\ell} - \mathbb{E}_X[Z_i^{\ell}]}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}_X[Z_i^{\ell}]}}.$$
(34)

Then, we of course have that $\mathbb{E}_X[\hat{Z}_i^\ell] = 0$ and $\mathrm{Var}_X[\hat{Z}_i^\ell] = 1$.

However, expectations over the entire dataset are too computationally expensive, so we instead normalize over the mini-batch of data samples x^1, \ldots, x^M .

Let

$$\mu_{B} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} Z^{\ell,i},$$

$$\sigma_{B}^{2} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} (Z^{\ell,i} - \mu_{B,i})^{2}.$$
(35)

 Z^{ℓ} is then normalized using these mini-batch statistics:

$$\hat{Z}_i^{\ell} = \frac{Z_i^{\ell} - \mu_{B,i}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{B,i}^2 + \epsilon}}.$$
 (36)

The final Batch Normalization transformation is

$$BN_{\theta}(Z^{\ell}) = \gamma \odot \hat{Z}^{\ell} + \beta, \tag{37}$$

where (γ, β) are additional parameters.

- $\mathrm{BN}_{\theta}(\cdot)$ is a function of $Z^{\ell,1},\ldots,Z^{\ell,M}$, and therefore **depends** upon both θ and x^1,\ldots,x^M .
- See original paper "Batch normalization: Accelerating Deep Network Training by Reducing Internal Covariate Shift" by Ioffe and Szegedy (2015) for modified backpropagation rule.
- Batch normalization simultaneously learns (1) the best normalization and (2) the best network parameters.
- The normalization depends upon the network parameters.

Overfitting.

- Dropout
- Transfer learning
- ℓ^2 penalty
- Ensembles
- Early stopping

Example of convolution network for CIFAR10:

- Convolution layer 1: 64 channels, k = 4, s = 1, P = 2.
- Batch normalization
- Convolution layer 2: 64 channels, k = 4, s = 1, P = 2.
- Max Pooling: s = 2, k = 2.
- Dropout
 Convolution layer 3: 64 channels k 4 s 1 P 2
- Convolution layer 3: 64 channels, k = 4, s = 1, P = 2.
- Convolution layer 4: 64 channels, k = 4, s = 1, P = 2.
- Convolution layer 4: 64 channels, k = 4, s = 1, P = 2
- Max Pooling → Dropout

Batch normalization

(Continued)

- Convolution layer 5: 64 channels, k = 4, s = 1, P = 2.
- Batch normalization
- Convolution layer 6: 64 channels, k = 3, s = 1, P = 0.
- Dropout
- Convolution layer 7: 64 channels, k = 3, s = 1, P = 0.
- Batch normalization
- Convolution layer 8: 64 channels, k = 3, s = 1, P = 0.
- Batch normalization, Dropout
- Fully connected layer 1: 500 units.
- Fully connected layer 2: 500 units.
- Linear → Softmax function