

# HOMEWORK 01

## MATH 8300

JACOB HEGNA

### Problem 1.

- (1) Describe all the isomorphism classes of representations of  $\mathbb{C}[x]$  of dimension 1. How many are there?

*Proof.* The modules  $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x - z)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  are all of dimension one (they are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$ ) and they are not mutually isomorphic for  $(x - z) \neq (x - s)$ , as the action of  $x$  differs between them. Appealing to the structure theorem of modules over a PID, we see that these are indeed the only possible modules of dimension 1.

There are uncountably many such modules of distinct isomorphism classes when considered as  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ -modules. Base changing to  $\mathbb{C}$  collapses these to a single isomorphism class.  $\square$

- (2) Describe also the isomorphism classes of representations of  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  of dimension 2. Can they all be generated by a single element? If not, identify the representations that can be generated by a single element. Are any of these representations of dimension 2 simple?

*Proof.* Once again, an appeal to the structure theorem says that any such module must be of the form

$$\mathbb{C}[x] \bigoplus_i \frac{\mathbb{C}[x]}{I}.$$

Indeed, such modules of dimension two are necessarily of the form  $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x - a) \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - b)$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x - a)^2$  for  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ . By the Chinese remainder theorem,  $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x - a) \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - b) \cong \mathbb{C}/((x - a)(x - b))$  for  $a, b$  distinct. Thus, we reduce our classification to the modules which can be generated by a single element and those which cannot. The former class is of the form  $\mathbb{C}[x]/(f)$  for  $f$  degree 2. The latter is of the form  $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x - a) \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - a)$ . To see this cannot be generated by a single element, suppose that there was such a generator  $z$ . Then, as the map from  $\mathbb{C}[x]$  that multiplies by  $z$  is surjective, which gives an isomorphism  $\mathbb{C}[x]/(a)$  with the aforementioned module. However, this cannot be true, as the action of  $x - a$  on the module  $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x - a) \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - a)$  is trivial, and if that were true for  $\mathbb{C}[x]/(z)$ , then  $z = x - a$  up to units and the  $\mathbb{C}[x]/(z)$  has dimension 1 as a module, which does not equal the dimension of  $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x - a) \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - a)$ , which is 2.  $\square$

### Problem 2.

- (1) Let  $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  be an irreducible polynomial. Show that every finitely generated module for the ring  $A = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(f^r)$  is a direct sum of modules isomorphic to  $V_s := \mathbb{Q}[x]/(f^s)$ , where  $1 \leq s \leq r$ . Show that  $A$  has only one simple module up to isomorphism. When  $r = 5$ , calculate  $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_A(V_2, V_4)$  and  $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(V_4, V_2)$ .

*Proof.* Of course, that every finitely generated module over  $A$  is a direct sum of  $V_s$  comes immediately from the structure theorem, as we have that the module must be of the form

$$\frac{\mathbb{Q}[x]}{f^r} \bigoplus_i \frac{\mathbb{Q}[x]/(f^r)}{(f^s)/(f^r)},$$

which is isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_i V_{s_i}$  for  $s_i \leq r$ .

That  $A$  has only one simple module comes from a more general fact that any (commutative, Noetherian) local ring  $(R, m)$  has a unique simple module isomorphic to  $R/m$ —to demonstrate this fact, consider the map  $A \rightarrow M$  given by fixing a nonzero element  $a$  of the simple module  $M$  and

taking the map to be multiplication by  $a$ . Surjectivity is implied by simplicity of  $M$ , and thus  $M$  is only of length 1 if the kernel of this map is  $m$ .

Let  $r = 5$ .  $\text{Hom}_A(V_2, V_4)$  is the collection of maps  $A \rightarrow V_4$  which annihilate  $f^2$ . This only occurs if 1 is mapped into  $(f^2)$ . The length of  $\text{Hom}_A(V_2, V_4)$  is given by considering that the submodules are classified by which ideal 1 is sent to in the underlying map  $A \rightarrow V_4$ , of which there are three choices,  $0 \subset (f^3) \subset (f^2)$ . This gives the desired length: 2. The module  $\text{Hom}_A(V_4, V_2)$  is similarly classified, and 1 may be mapped into either of the ideals  $0 \subset (f) \subset V_2$ , which gives a length of 2 as well.  $\square$

**Problem 2.** Show that  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/((x-1)^5) \cong \mathbb{Q}[x]/((x-2)^5)$  as algebras.

*Proof.* As  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras, they are each isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^5)$  under the map sending  $\epsilon$  to  $x-1$  and  $x-2$ , respectively.

They are not isomorphic as  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ -algebras, however, as there is no surjective  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ -linear arrow making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{Q}[x] & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ \frac{\mathbb{Q}[x]}{(x-1)^5} & & \frac{\mathbb{Q}[x]}{(x-2)^5} \end{array}$$

This can be seen by the fact that  $x-2$  is sent to a unit in the left map and sent to a nonunit in the right map. To prove that  $x-2$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/((x-1)^5)$ , it is sufficient to observe that as  $(x-1)^5$  and  $(x-2)$  are coprime in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ , there are polynomials  $p(x)$ ,  $q(x)$  so that

$$p(x)(x-2) + q(x)(x-1)^5 = 1,$$

and so  $p(x)$  is the multiplicative inverse for  $(x-2)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/((x-1)^5)$ .

Perhaps easier to see is that each of these algebras are local, and their residue fields are not isomorphic as  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras as the action of  $x$  are different in either.  $\square$

**Problem 3.** Let  $A$  be a ring and let  $V$  be an  $A$ -module.

- (1) Show that  $V$  is simple if and only if for all nonzero  $x \in V$ ,  $x$  generates  $V$ .

*Proof.* Suppose there is a nonzero element  $x$  which does not generate  $V$ . What it generates is a nonzero submodule strictly contained in  $V$  which is absurd as  $V$  is simple. In the other direction, there can be no nonzero submodules of  $V$  as all of their elements generate the entirety of  $V$ .  $\square$

- (2) Show that  $V$  is simple if and only if  $V$  is isomorphic to  $A/I$  for some maximal left ideal  $I$ .

*Proof.* Fix a nonzero  $v \in V$  and consider the map  $A \rightarrow V$  which sends  $a$  to  $av$ . This is surjective by the prior question. The kernel of this map must be maximal, otherwise the maximal ideal containing the kernel would yield a nonzero strict submodule of  $V$  as a quotient of  $A$ . Thus  $V \cong A/I$  for  $I$  maximal.  $\square$

- (3) Show that if  $A$  is a finite dimensional algebra over a field then every simple  $A$ -module is a composition factor of the free rank 1 module  ${}_A A$ , and hence that a finite dimensional algebra only has finitely many isomorphism classes of simple modules.

*Proof.* Fix a simple module  $M \cong A/m$  for  $m$  a maximal left ideal. We write a composition series for  ${}_A A$  which begins with the inclusion  $m \rightarrow A$  (we will drop the left-module subscript notation, e.g.  ${}_A A$ , as from now on everything in sight is acted on the left by  $A$ ), this begins a composition series as  $A/m \cong M$  is simple. We may extend this to 0 by observing that ideals are vector subspaces of  $A$ , and thus we may inductively choose a maximal subideal of each element of the composition series, which terminates as each step decreases the dimension by 1. By Jordan-Hölder, there are only finitely many such (isomorphism classes of) simple modules, as the composition series is unique up to reordering of the quotient modules.  $\square$

**Problem 4..** Let  $K$  be a field, and let  $Q_2 = y \bullet \xleftarrow{\beta} \bullet x$  be the quiver in the notes with representations  $S_x = 0 \xleftarrow{0} K$ ,  $S_y = K \xleftarrow{0} 0$ , and  $V = K \xleftarrow{1} K$ .

- (1) Compute  $\dim \text{Hom}_{K(F(Q_2))}(S_x, V)$ ,  $\dim \text{Hom}_{K(F(Q_2))}(V, S_x)$  and  $\dim \text{Hom}_{K(F(Q_2))}(V, V)$ .

*Proof.* We consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & K \\ \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow 1 \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{\beta} & K \end{array}$$

The only choice for  $\beta$  is 0. To make things commute, we require  $\alpha = 0$  as well, which implies the dimension of the Hom-module is 0. Similarly, we may consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & K \\ \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow 0 \\ K & \xrightarrow{\beta} & 0 \end{array}$$

$\beta$  must be 0, and  $\alpha$  can be anything. Thus, the dimension of  $\text{Hom}(V, S_x)$  is 1. Finally, we may consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & K \\ \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow 1 \\ K & \xrightarrow{\beta} & K \end{array}$$

For which we require  $\alpha = \beta$  for commutativity, which yields a dimension of 1 for  $\text{Hom}(V, V)$ . □

- (2) Determine whether or not the path algebra  $K(F(Q_2))$  is isomorphic to either  $K[x]/(x^2)$  or  $K[x]/(x^3)$ .

*Proof.* □

**Problem 5.** Show that the path algebras of the two quivers  $Q_1 = \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \leftarrow \bullet$  and  $Q_2 = \bullet \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet$  over  $R$  are isomorphic to the algebras of  $3 \times 3$  matrices over  $R$  of the form

$$R_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 \\ 0 & d & e \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad R_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ b & c & d \\ 0 & 0 & e \end{bmatrix}$$

*Proof.* I claim that  $K(F(Q_1)) = R_2$  and  $K(F(Q_2)) = R_1$ . To see this, we write out the multiplication tables. Write  $Q_1 = x \xrightarrow{\alpha} y \xleftarrow{\beta} z$ . The multiplication tables are:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc} K(F(Q_1)) & 1_x & 1_y & 1_z & \alpha & \beta \\ \hline 1_x & 1_x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1_y & 0 & 1_y & 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ 1_z & 0 & 0 & 1_z & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & \alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & 0 & 0 & \beta & 0 & 0 \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|ccccc} R_2 & a & c & e & b & d \\ \hline a & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & c & 0 & b & d \\ e & 0 & 0 & e & 0 & 0 \\ b & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ d & 0 & 0 & d & 0 & 0 \end{array},$$

where we write  $i \in \{a, b, c, d, e\}$  for the matrix with 1 in the  $i$ th place, where  $i$  refers to the coordinate of  $R_1$  indicated in the statement of the question. These algebras are necessarily now the same, as mapping obvious elements together, it is immediate that addition is preserved at  $1 = 1_x + 1_y + 1_z \mapsto 1 = a + c + e$ .

It is not too hard to see that transposing this multiplication table corresponds to transposing  $R_1$  to  $R_2$  and similarly reversing the direction of  $\alpha, \beta$  (as transposing the multiplication table simply conjugates the

endpoints of the path). However, for clarity, we write the multiplication tables below:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc} K(F(Q_2)) & 1_x & 1_y & 1_z & \alpha & \beta \\ \hline 1_x & 1_x & 0 & 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 1_y & 0 & 1_y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1_z & 0 & 0 & 1_z & 0 & \beta \\ \alpha & 0 & \alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & 0 & \beta & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|ccccc} R_1 & a & c & e & b & d \\ \hline a & a & 0 & 0 & b & 0 \\ c & 0 & c & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ e & 0 & 0 & e & 0 & d \\ b & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ d & 0 & d & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}.$$

□

**Problem 6.** Let  $k$  be a field. Show that the space of column vectors  $k^n$  is a simple module for  $M_n(k)$ . Show that, as a left module,  $M_n(k)$  is the direct sum of  $n$  modules each isomorphic to  $k^n$ . Show that, up to isomorphism,  $M_n(k)$  has only one simple module.

*Proof.* Let  $v \in k^n$  be a nonzero column vector. We consider  $k^n$  a vector space with standard basis. As  $v$  is nonzero, it has a nonzero coordinate  $i$ . Indeed, as  $k$  is a field, we may choose a matrix which maps  $v$  to the  $i$ th basis vector. There exists a linear transformation which sends this basis vector to any other basis vector in  $k^n$  of our liking, which is expressed as an element of  $M_n(k)$ . This implies that  $v$  generates all of  $k^n$  and thus  $k^n$  is simple.

Let  $N_i \subset M_n(k)$  refer to the submodule of matrices which are zero outside of the  $i$ th column. This is a submodule as it is a subset which inherits the addition and action of  $M_n(k)$ , and it is closed under this action by the definition of matrix multiplication. There is an obvious isomorphism of  $N_i \cong k^n$  by identifying  $k^n$  with the  $i$ th column of any given matrix. Of course, we have a surjection  $M_n(k) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i^n N_i$  which projects the  $i$ th column to  $N_i$ , and its kernel is zero by definition.

$M_n(k)$  has only one simple module (up to isomorphism) as the composition series

$$0 \subset N_0 \subset N_0 \oplus N_1 \subset \cdots \subset \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} N_i = M_n(k)$$

has composition factors  $N_i$  and the  $i$ th place, which is simple as they agree with  $k^n$ . By Jordan-Hölder, we conclude. □

**Problem 7.** Let  $C_n$  denote the category with  $n$  objects labeled  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  and where there is a unique homomorphism  $a_i \rightarrow a_j$  for every ordered pair of numbers  $(i, j)$ . Show that the category algebra  $RC_n$  is isomorphic to the algebra of  $n \times n$  matrices  $M_n(R)$ .

*Proof.* This is simply unpacking the definitions. The algebra  $RC_n$  has, as a generating set, the arrows  $a_i \rightarrow a_j =: \beta_{ij}$ . The multiplication is defined to be:

$$X = \left( \sum_{i \leq j} b_{ij} \beta_{ij} \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{i \leq j} c_{ij} \beta_{ij} \right),$$

where the coefficient of  $\beta_{ij}$  in  $X$  is exactly the sum  $\sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik} c_{kj}$ , because the product is zero if the morphisms are not composable and the  $R$ -inherited product otherwise. If we consider the map of sets  $RC_n \rightarrow M_n(R)$  sending  $\beta_{ij}$  to the unit in the  $ij$ th coordinate of  $M_n(R)$  then extending  $R$ -linearly, we find this is precisely the definition of matrix multiplication. □

**Problem 8.** Let  $x$  be an object of a finite category  $\mathcal{C}$ .

- (1) Show that the subset  $RC \cdot 1_x$  of the category algebra  $RC$  is the span of the morphisms whose domain is  $x$ , and that  $1_x \cdot RC$  is the span of the morphisms whose codomain is  $x$ .

*Proof.* Of course, this is just the definition of the multiplication in the category algebra. For any  $r\beta \in RC$ , we have that  $(r\beta) \cdot 1_x$  is 0 if  $o(\beta) \neq x$  and  $r\beta$  otherwise. This is the definition of the desired span. For the other direction, the argument is the same, but we consider  $t(\beta)$  as the multiplication is on the other side. □

- (2) Show that  $RC = \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} RC \cdot 1_x$  as left  $RC$ -modules.

*Proof.* Define a map  $\phi : RC \rightarrow \bigoplus RC \cdot 1_x$  by projecting a formal linear sum of morphisms to the direct summand of its domain. By part (1), this is surjective. The only element mapped to the identity is  $\sum_{x \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} 1_x$ , so it is a bijection. The projection, of course, preserves the addition. So, we only have to check that the map is stable under the action of the ring. Left multiplication by  $RC$  preserves the domain of a morphism, so the map is a bijective  $RC$ -module homomorphism.  $\square$

- (3) Let  $R\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, -)$  denote the functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}$  that sends an object  $y$  to the free  $R$ -module with the set of homomorphisms  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$  as a basis. Under the correspondence between representations of  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $R$  and  $RC$ -modules, show that the functor  $R\text{Hom}(x, -)$  corresponds to the left  $RC$ -module  $RC \cdot 1_x$  and that  $R\text{Hom}(-, x)$  corresponds to the right  $RC$ -module  $1_x \cdot RC$ .

*Proof.* Under the Mitchell-correspondence, the module formed from the representation (functor) given by  $\text{Hom}(x, -)$  is precisely the direct sum over the objects  $x$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , e.g.  $\bigoplus_{y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} R\text{Hom}(x, y)$ . This is, by definition, the  $R$ -linear span of all morphisms whose domain is  $x$ . By (1), this is  $RC \cdot 1_x$ . The only thing to check is that the action of  $RC$  agrees on each. Of course, by definition,  $R\text{Hom}(x, y)$  is acted on by the basis elements of  $RC$  by composition, which is the same action of  $RC \cdot 1_x$ . The same procedure shows that  $R\text{Hom}(-, x)$  corresponds to  $1_x \cdot RC$ .  $\square$