

Website Evaluation Form

Instructions for use:

This form is intended to serve as a starting point for the form you will distribute to your web site evaluators.

Before you distribute this form to your evaluators, you should complete Section I General Information with the URL of your web site and your name.

I. General Information

1. URL of site evaluated: _____
2. Author of site evaluated: _____
3. Evaluator's first name: _____

II. Web site was evaluated on the following system

1. Type of computer (Provide as much information as possible, i.e., Sony PC running Windows 7, Intel Pentium 4 , 2.80 GHz, 4 MB RAM):
2. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 10.0, Safari 7.0)
3. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional):

III Design and Development

Instruction: For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification**. Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
DESIGN					
Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> (5) - website is easy to navigate and sections easy to find	1	2	3	4	5
The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> (4) - overall good...there could have been better way to make quote on "About" page stick out more.	1	2	3	4	5
Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> (5) - easy to navigate site	1	2	3	4	5
Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> (5) - home page is easy to find on each page	1	2	3	4	5
Multimedia elements have a clear purpose. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> (4) - It is clear, but not understood why there is video resume and written.	1	2	3	4	5
6. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> (5) - quick load and download optional	1	2	3	4	5
7. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> (3) - not sure where the sizes are located	1	2	3	4	5
8. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> (5) - text is well written and easy to read	1	2	3	4	5
9. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made. (5)	1	2	3	4	5

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongl y Agree
CONTENT					
Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> (5) - each page has appropriate title					
Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> (4) - links could be labeled more specific to each project					
Links are up-to-date and functional.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> (5) - all links work					
Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> (5) - content is pulls up quickly					
Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> (5) - projects and explanations are well written and informative					
Information is accurate and current.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> (4) - info seems accurate					
Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> (5) - content is interesting					

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongl y Agree
CREDIBILITY					
Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> (5) - author clearly explains background					
Contact person with e-mail address is included.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> (5)					
Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> (5)					
Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> (4) - not sure where VR project citations are found.					
Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> (5)					
Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> (5)					