CS 461 - Fall 2016 - Requirements Document Project DevAI

Jacob Broderick, Kristen Patterson, Brandon Chatham

Abstract

This project is to create an agent to play the game Starcraft Brood War, a real time strategy game created by Blizzard Entertainment. After this project is complete, it could be expanded upon by future students in a club setting. This project will be a template so that future students can strive to develop better solutions than those developed within this project. This document will provide the details of the project as well as provide a list of tasks the development team must complete. The goal of this document is to specify the requirements for the system to be developed.

CONTENTS

1

| Ι | Introduction | | 2 | |
|-----|--------------|-----------|-----------------------------|---|
| II | Techno | ologies | | 2 |
| | II-A | Programn | ning paradigm for functions | 2 |
| | | II-A1 | Options | 2 |
| | | II-A2 | Goals | 2 |
| | | II-A3 | Criteria | 2 |
| | | II-A4 | Table | 2 |
| | | II-A5 | Discusion | 3 |
| | | II-A6 | Selection | 3 |
| | II-B | Programn | ning language | 3 |
| | | II-B1 | Options | 3 |
| | | II-B2 | Goals | 3 |
| | | II-B3 | Criteria | 3 |
| | | II-B4 | Table | 4 |
| | | II-B5 | Discusion | 4 |
| | | II-B6 | Selection | 4 |
| | II-C | Environm | nent and IDEs | 4 |
| | | II-C1 | Options | 4 |
| | | II-C2 | Goals | 5 |
| | | II-C3 | Criteria | 5 |
| | | II-C4 | Table | 5 |
| | | II-C5 | Discusion | 5 |
| | | II-C6 | Selection | 5 |
| | II-D | APIs | | 5 |
| | | II-D1 | Options | 5 |
| | II-E | Documen | ntation for API | 6 |
| | | II-E1 | Options | 6 |
| | II-F | Choice al | gorithm | 6 |
| | | II-F1 | Options | 6 |
| | II-G | Extension | ns to the API | 7 |
| | II-H | Compilin | g environment | 7 |
| | II-I | Compilin | g type | 7 |
| III | Conclu | ısion | | 7 |
| IV | Bibliog | graphy | | 8 |

I. Introduction

II. TECHNOLOGIES

A. Programming paradigm for functions

1) Options:

| Paradigm | Description | Reason for Selection | |
|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Modular | Paradigm focused on separating | Modular has a high focus on | |
| Modular | functions into independent modules. | independence of functions. | |
| Object Oriented | Paradigm focused on objects such as data | Objects can help to section off parts of | |
| Object Oriented | and attributes rather than actions. | the program. | |
| | Paradigm focused on solving a larger | The presume requires the solution of | |
| Recursion | problem using solution from multiple | The program requires the solution of | |
| | smaller problems. | many smaller sections. | |

2) Goals: The goal of comparing the chosen programming paradigms for functionalization is to clarify which method of coding is best for our project. It will also help to remind us of how functions need to be set up and whether a section of code needs to be broken down into separate blocks.

3) Criteria:

- a) Independent: Functions must be stand alone and should not rely on other functions.
- b) Flexible: The functions must be easily changed and modifiable so other programmers can use it.
- c) Easily tested: Each function can be tested individually and the results can be easily identified.
- d) Portable: A function is portable if it can be taken from our code and applied to another code and work with little to no change.
 - e) Simple: A function is simple if it has only one purpose.
 - 4) Table:

| Paradigm | Independent | Flexible | Easily tested | Portable | Simple |
|--------------------|--|--|---|---|---|
| Modular | Highly independent | Can be changed without major issues in the code | Individual tests can be completed with specific results | Mainly interacts with the API rather than other functions | Only serves one purpose |
| Object Oriented | Independent classes of functions and attributes | Can change code in objects easily but may cause problems in other code | Requires some handling of the object to test a specific function | Objects protected code can be easily ported | An object can serve a wide variety of purposes |
| Recursion | Requires dependence on other functions or previous occurrences of itself | Difficult to change as it can require changes to other functions | Errors can be difficult to find | Difficult to port to other code without including other functions | Has one goal but multiple steps to get to the goal |

5) Discusion:

6) Selection: The client specifically requested that we have our code be modular enough that a coder can grab a function and use it in their program with little to no problem. Keeping the system modular will also help with documenting the code and keeping it well-commented.

B. Programming language

1) Options:

| Language | Description | Reason for Selection | |
|----------|---|--|--|
| C | Commonly used programming language | It is commonly taught and used and is | |
| C++ | with many functionalities derived from C. | the recommended language from BWAPI. | |
| | Class-based programming language that | | |
| Java | promotes few implementation | It can be run on many different systems. | |
| | dependencies. | | |
| C Share | Programming language derived from C | It has a high focus on objects while | |
| C Sharp | mainly used for object oriented code. | keeping a lot of the functions of C. | |

2) Goals: Comparing languages will help to settle the environment as well as the core of our assignment. Choosing a language will also help decide the programming practices and format we will decide on.

3) Criteria:

- a) Performance: The program created by the language cannot slow down a game in Starcraft Brood War by 1 frame per 10 seconds.
 - b) Easy to comment: The language must provide a simple system to accurately and efficiently comment our code.

- c) Large project support: The language needs an environment that can increase efficiency and organization to help in the development of a large project.
- d) Commonly used: A language is commonly used if it is widely taught, understood, and other coders prefer to use it in projects.
- e) Simple documentation: There must be a resource that can adequately describe the functions included in the language and how to interact with them.

4) Table:

| Language | Performance | Easy to comment | Large project support | Commonly used | Simple documentation |
|----------|---|--|--|---------------|----------------------|
| C++ | Highest performing language of the three | Provides a way to comment a line or a whole section of code | Allows the use of headers and other source code to further organize projects | Yes | Yes[1] |
| Java | Has a slight reputation for being slow and having memory leaks | Includes normal commenting plus a way to convert comments to documentation | Uses intricate class and file hierarchy in large projects | Yes | Yes[2] |
| C Sharp | Like Java is an intermediate language which reduces performance | Includes single line and delimited comments, also includes hotkeys to change lines of code to comments | Searches the whole project for any files specified in the namespace | Yes | Yes[3] |

- 5) Discusion:
- 6) Selection: Due to the client?s request, we will be choosing to work in C++. This language will also help in modularizing our system as well as staying within the tournament?s guidelines for performance.

C. Environment and IDEs

1) Options:

| IDE | Description | Reason for Selection | |
|------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| Viewel Studio[4] | IDE created by Microsoft that can create | Highly popular IDE for C++ and C | |
| Visual Studio[4] | Windows programs. | Sharp and recommended by BWAPI. | |
| | Cross-platform IDE mainly used for | Danishar IDE for both Love and Co. | |
| Eclipse[5] | Java. Eclipse requires plugins in order to | Popular IDE for both Java and C++ | |
| | customize the environment. | projects. | |
| | Java integrated IDE that supports | The words to all and double account | |
| IntelliJ IDEA[6] | cross-platform and was created by | Thorough tools and development | |
| | JetBrains. | centered around Java. | |

2) Goals: The goal for the comparison of different IDEs is to distinguish a sleek efficient environment for the developers. The IDE must also provide tools to increase the speed of debugging and must work correctly with the language we select to work with.

3) Criteria:

- a) Compatible languages: A language is compatible if an IDE can successfully implement and compile that language.
- b) Debugging tools: An IDE must have tools that can adequately help a programmer find, assess, and fix any bugs in the program.
 - c) Compiling tool: An IDE must include a tool to compile the system in a way that the programmer specifies.
- d) Format: The format of an IDE must be readable and well organized for any programmer to be able to digest lines of code easily.
- e) Navigation window: A navigation window should be included for programmers to be able to access any other source files and header files quickly and seamlessly.

4) Table:

| IDE | Compatible languages | Debugging tools | Compiling tool | Format | Navigation window |
|---------------------|--|--|---|---|---|
| Visual Studio[4] | It currently supports C++ and C Sharp. It used to support Java | Has breakpoints, watch windows, and is able to edit source code while program is running | Includes C and C++ compiler and uses a project build system | Can shrink and expand functions and blocks of code for easier reading | Includes navigation window on the side and tabs on top to easily change between files |
| Eclipse[5] | Supports C++ and Java | Includes breakpoints for line and exceptions and can watch expressions | Built-in compiler, compiles when it is saved | Highly customizable format through extensions | Depends on what extensions are added to customize |
| IntelliJ IDEA[6] | Only supports Java | Can watch variables and expressions and can use breakpoints | Includes a Java compiler | Can shrink functions | Includes a list of files in a window on the left hand side |

5) Discusion:

6) Selection: Since we are choosing to program in C++, and it is the recommended environment for the BWAPI, we will be working within Visual Studio.

D. APIs

1) Options:

| API | Description | Reason for Selection |
|----------------------------|---|---|
| BWAPI | BWAPI designed to build AI agents. | Includes functionality to automate necessary game mechanics and use information on the current state of the game. |
| Brood Data API | API focused on data mining for future use in machine-learning algorithms for AI or competitive player training. | Useful for gathering data for design algorithms. |
| BW Spectator Interface API | API designed for spectator-mode interface customization with real-time game stats and interaction with units. | Useful for observing performance of AI. |

E. Documentation for API

1) Options:

| Source | Description | Reason for Selection |
|-------------|---|---|
| BWAPI | Commonly used programming language | It is commonly taught and used and is |
| DWAPI | with many functionalities derived from C. | the recommended language from BWAPI. |
| | Web page with links to BWAPI source | |
| | code documentations as well as other | Heaful for identifying and applaining all |
| BWAPI Wiki | links to other BWAPI related information | Useful for identifying and explaining all |
| | such as extensions, tutorials and game | of the tools available to the project. |
| | fundamentals. | |
| Source Code | Explanations of code functionality | Concise explanation of code located |
| Comments | directly within the code. | inside of the code for easy access. |

F. Choice algorithm

1) Options:

| Туре | Description | Reason for Selection |
|---|--|---|
| Scripted Tree Selection | Creating a tree with scripted strategies to alternate between in response to game data. | Significantly easier implementation that still provides flexibility between strategies. |
| Machine Learning - Supervised | AI would base decisions on outcomes of previous instances of decisions and those outcomes. | Identifies patterns in outcomes and can eventually identify the appropriate response based on previous successes or mistakes. |
| Machine Learning - Reinforcement Learning | Creates a reward system for which the program will attempt to maximize reward by responding to each point of data given. | Powerful when making decisions and evaluating the effectiveness of that decision given the current state of the game. |

- G. Extensions to the API
- H. Compiling environment
- I. Compiling type

III. CONCLUSION

IV. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1]"Reference C++ Reference". Cplusplus.com. N.p., 2016. Web. 14 Nov. 2016. http://www.cplusplus.com/reference/ [2]"Java SE Documentation Tutorials". Oracle.com. N.p., 2016. Web. 14 Nov. 2016.
- http://www.oracle.com/technetwork/java/javase/documentation/tutorials-jsp-138802.html
- [3]"CSharp Reference". N.p., 2016. Web. 14 Nov. 2016. https://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/618ayhy6.aspx
- [4]Microsoft, "Visual Studio IDE". Visual Studio. N.p., 2016. Web. 14 Nov. 2016. https://www.visualstudio.com/vs/
- [5] Eclipse, N.p., 2016. Web. 14 Nov. 2016. https://www.eclipse.org/ide/
- [6]JetBrains, "Intellij IDEA The Java IDE". JetBrains. N.p., 2016. Web. 14 Nov. 2016. http://www.jetbrains.com/idea/