

HW 2 Jacob Manning

- a. Prove the product of two upper triangular matrices are upper triangular.

Let U_1, U_2 be upper triangular. It follows $U_{1,ij} = 0 \neq U_{2,ij}$
 $\forall i \neq j$. Consider $U_0 = U_1 U_2$. $U_{0,ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n U_{1,k} U_{2,k,j}$. Since
 $U_{1,ij} = U_{2,ij} = 0 \forall i \neq j$, $U_{0,ij} = 0 \forall i \neq j$. $\therefore U_0$ is also upper triangular.

- b. Prove the inverse of a nonsingular upper triangular matrix is upper triangular.

Let U be upper triangular and nonsingular. Consider

$U^{-1}U = I$. It follows $\delta_{ij} = \sum_k U_{ik} U_{kj}$. Since $U_{ij} = 0 \forall i > j$, U_{ij} will also need to be zero $\forall i > j$ so that the sum of the products equals δ_{ij} . $\therefore U^{-1}$ is also upper triangular.

$$2. A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 10 \\ 14 \end{bmatrix}^T \quad [A|b] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 10 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 & 14 \end{bmatrix} \quad P_{31}[A|b] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 4 & 14 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 10 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad MP_{31}[A|b] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 4 & 14 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -3 & -9 \end{bmatrix} \quad \hat{MP}_{31}[A|b] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 4 & 14 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & -11 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 4 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \quad P_{31}A = LU \quad A = P_{31}LU \quad P_{31}[Ux] = b \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}Ux = \begin{bmatrix} 14 \\ 10 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

back sub $LUX = P_{31}b$

Front sub $Ux = \begin{bmatrix} 14 \\ 3 \\ -12 \end{bmatrix}^T$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 4 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 14 \\ 3 \\ -12 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 14 \\ 3 \\ -12 \end{bmatrix} \quad x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

- 3a. Nxn upper Hessenberg, nonsingular no pivoting.

Let A_{i+1} be the matrix A_i but with gaussian elimination in $[a_{ij}]$

$$A_{i+1} = L_i A_i \quad L = \prod_{i=1}^n L_i \quad \text{and} \quad U = A_{nn} = LA = \prod_{i=1}^n L_i A_0$$

- b. $L_{ii} = 1 \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \quad \& \quad L_{i+1,i} = \alpha$ where α is a scalar
 else $L_{ij} = 0$

- c. We would still need $\sim O(n^2)$ operations because we need back substitution

4. This algorithm is numerically stable.
 The stability comes from the fact that
 all permutations of size $n \times n$ are normal
 in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ($P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$). You can always find a
 permutation to commute with any change
 made to A. It follows permutations
 are the same done before or after LU factorization
 By the numerical stability of $A = LU$,
 it follows $PA = LU$ is also stable.

5. $\begin{bmatrix} L & 0 \\ BL_1 & L_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ c \end{bmatrix}$

First solve $L_1 x = b$ for $x \Rightarrow x = L_1^{-1} b$
 Next $Bx + L_2 y = c$ since $x = L_1^{-1} b$ it follows

$$BL_1^{-1} b + L_2 y = c$$

$$L_2 y = c - BL_1^{-1} b$$

Then solve this vector equation for y.

It follows $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L_1^{-1} b \\ L_2^{-1}(c - BL_1^{-1} b) \end{bmatrix}$