

1. [Q1] (10 pts) (a)  $A$  is Hermitian if  $A^H = A$ , and skew-Hermitian if  $A^H = -A$ . Show that the eigenvalues of a Hermitian matrix (e.g., real symmetric) are real, and those of a skew-Hermitian (e.g., real skew-symmetric) are purely imaginary. In both cases, show that the eigenvectors associated with distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal.

(b) For a block upper triangular  $F = \begin{bmatrix} F_{11} & F_{12} & \cdots & F_{1n} \\ & F_{22} & \cdots & F_{2n} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & F_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$ , show that  $\Lambda(F) = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \Lambda(F_{kk})$ , where  $\Lambda(\cdot)$  denotes the spectrum (all eigenvalues) of a matrix.

ai) Let  $(\lambda, v)$  and  $(\mu, w)$  be distinct eigenpairs.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \langle v, v \rangle &= \langle \lambda v, v \rangle = \langle Av, v \rangle = \overline{\langle v, Av \rangle} = \langle v, A^H v \rangle \\ &= \langle v, Av \rangle \\ &= \langle v, \lambda v \rangle \\ &= \bar{\lambda} \langle v, v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = \bar{\lambda} \quad \therefore \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\lambda \langle v, w \rangle = \langle Av, w \rangle = \langle v, Aw \rangle = \mu \langle v, w \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow (\lambda - \mu) \langle v, w \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow v \perp w$$

aii) Let  $(\lambda, v)$  and  $(\mu, w)$  be distinct eigenpairs

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \langle v, v \rangle &= \langle \lambda v, v \rangle = \langle Av, v \rangle = \overline{\langle v, Av \rangle} = \langle v, A^H v \rangle \\ &= -\langle v, Av \rangle \\ &= -\langle v, \lambda v \rangle \\ &= -\bar{\lambda} \langle v, v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = -\bar{\lambda} \quad \therefore \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$\lambda \langle v, w \rangle = \langle Av, w \rangle = -\langle v, Aw \rangle = -\mu \langle v, w \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow (\lambda + \mu) \langle v, w \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow v \perp w$$

b) Let  $I = \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & & \\ & I_2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \ni \dim I_k = \dim F_{kk}$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & I_n \end{bmatrix} \supset \dim I_k = \dim F_{kk}.$$

$\Lambda(F) = \det(F - \lambda I)$  and  $\Lambda(F_{kk}) = \det(F_{kk} - \lambda I_k)$ .  
 Since  $F$  is block upper triangular,

$$\det(F - \lambda I) = \prod_{k=1}^n \det(F_{kk} - \lambda I_k).$$

$$\Rightarrow \Lambda(F) = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \Lambda(F_{kk})$$

2. [Q2] (10 pts) (a) Given a complex Schur form  $U^H A U = T$ , where  $T$  is upper triangular, show that the first  $k$  columns of  $U$ ,  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k$ , span an invariant subspace of  $A$ . That is,  $A \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_k\} \equiv \text{span}\{A u_1, \dots, A u_k\} \subset \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ .

(b) Let  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  ( $n > p$ ) contains basis vectors of an invariant subspace of  $A$ , such that  $AU = UM$  for some  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ . Show that the eigenvalues of  $M$  are also eigenvalues of  $A$ . If, in addition,  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  ( $n > m > p$ ) has orthonormal columns, and  $\text{col}(U) \subset \text{col}(W)$ , show that the eigenvalues of  $M$  are eigenvalues of  $W^T A W$ .

a) Let  $x = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i u_i$ .  $Ax \in \text{span}\{A u_1, \dots, A u_k\}$

$$\begin{aligned} Ax &= \sum_{i=1}^k c_i A u_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} u_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^n (c_i a_{ij}) u_j \in \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_k\} \\ &\quad \text{since } c_i a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

b) Let  $(\lambda, v)$  be an eigenpair of  $M$

$$\begin{aligned} A U v &= U M v \\ &= \lambda U v \\ \Rightarrow \lambda &\in \Lambda(A) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M v &= \lambda v \\ U M v &= \lambda U v \\ A U v &= \lambda U v \\ W^T A U v &= \lambda W^T U v \\ W^T A W U v &= \lambda W^T W U v = \lambda U v \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } \Lambda(M) = \Lambda(W^T A W)$$

3. [Q3] (15 pts) (a) Describe a procedure to post-process the  $Q$  and  $R$  factors of Givens or Householder QR, such that the  $R$  factor has all non-negative diagonal entries.  
 (b) Verify numerically that the Simultaneous Iteration is equivalent to the unshifted QR iteration. To this end, first construct an upper Hessenberg  $H_0$  as follows

```
rng('default'); H0 = triu(randn(7,7),-1);
```

Implement the Simultaneous Iteration and the QR iteration, described in Trefethen's book, Chapter 28. Feel free to use MATLAB's `qr`, followed by the post-processing in part (a), and the `*` operation directly to form  $H^{(k)} = R^{(k)}Q^{(k)}$  (that is, no need to use the Givens rotations to perform the QR iteration as usually supposed to).

Compare the projection matrices  $H_{SI}^{(k)}$  in (28.10) for simultaneous iteration and  $H_{QR}^{(k)}$  in (28.13) in the QR iteration. Find the relative difference  $\frac{\|H_{SI}^{(k)} - H_{QR}^{(k)}\|_F}{\|H_{SI}^{(k)}\|_F}$  for  $k = 3, 30, 300$  and  $3000$ , and the relative difference in the eigenvalues of  $H_{SI}^{(k)}$  and  $H_{QR}^{(k)}$  at these steps? What if the post-processing is not used, and in this case, do  $H_{SI}^{(k)}$  and  $H_{QR}^{(k)}$  have numerically the same eigenvalues?

(c) Find the eigenvalues of  $H_0$ , then use the theory we learned from class to estimate the rate of convergence of  $H_{QR}^{(k)}$  toward the quasi-upper triangular  $T$  of the real Schur form. About how many iterations are needed to achieve  $\|H_{QR}^{(k)} - T\|_F / \|T\|_F \approx \epsilon_{mach}$ ?

a) for  $i = 1 : \text{size}(R)$   
     if  $r_{ii} < 0$   
          $R(i, :) = -R(i, :)$   
          $Q(:, i) = -Q(:, i)$   
     end  
 end

This comes from analysing the multiplication of  $Q$  and  $R$  to cancel out the negative introduced.

b) See code Comparison is done with the norm.  
 If PP is not done eig values are the same, but  $H_{SI}^{(k)}$  and  $H_{QR}^{(k)}$  are not.

c) Convergence rate  $\rho \approx 0.8255$

$$\rho^k < \epsilon_m$$

$$k \log \rho < \log \epsilon_m$$

$$k \approx \left\lceil \frac{-16}{\log(0.8255)} \right\rceil = 193$$

4. [Q4] (10 pts) (Trefethen's book Prob. 28.2, but for the nonsymmetric case).

(a) Explore the nonzero structure of the  $Q$  factor of the QR factorization of an upper Hessenberg matrix, and verify that  $RQ$  is also upper Hessenberg. For clarity, you may give an illustration for a  $5 \times 5$  upper Hessenberg.

(b) The computation of  $H^{(k)} = R^{(k)}Q^{(k)}$ , if done naively (by direct evaluation of the matrix-matrix multiplication), would need  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$  operations. Fortunately,  $H^{(k)}$  can be computed only in  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  operations. Explain, by Givens rotations, how this is achieved. Make sure that you do see the difference in cost.

a)  $A = QR$       $A_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^j Q_{ik} R_{kj}$      Since  $R$  is upper triangular

Since  $A_{i+2,j} = 0$       $0 = \sum_{k=1}^j Q_{i+2,k} R_{kj}$

Thus  $Q$  is upper Hessenberg  $\Rightarrow Q_{i+2,k} = 0 \quad \forall k$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} = [q_1 \ q_2 \ q_3 \ q_4 \ q_5] \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \times \\ \times \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = *q_1, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \times \\ \times \\ \times \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = *q_1 + *q_2, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \times \\ \times \\ \times \\ \times \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = *q_1 + *q_2 + *q_3$$

$\Rightarrow q_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \\ \Delta \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \\ \Delta \\ \Delta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad q_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \\ \Delta \\ \Delta \\ \Delta \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$      Thus  $Q$  is upper Hessenberg

b) Since only  $H_{i+1,i}$  is non-zero below the diagonal, a Givens rotation with 6 flops will reduce  $Q$  at each step  $k$  to  $R$ . The reduced  $QR$  then would be the final  $R$  and the product of the  $n-1$  Givens rotations.