

No.1. (8 pts.) Let H_1 , H_2 , $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ and F be as described in the Brezzi-Nečas-Babuška Theorem. Additionally, let $H_{1,h}$ and $H_{2,h}$ be closed subspaces of H_1 and H_2 , respectively.

(i) Does it immediately follow from the Brezzi-Nečas-Babuška Theorem that there exists a unique $u_h \in H_{1,h}$ satisfying $B(u_h, v_h) = F(v_h)$, $\forall v_h \in H_{2,h}$?

If not, what additional assumptions are needed??

(ii) Follow the proof of Céa's Lemma to establish an error bound for $\|u - u_h\|_{H_1}$.

i) Conditions need for B

- $|B(w, v)| \leq C_1 \|w\|_{H_1} \|v\|_{H_2} \quad \forall w \in H_1, \forall v \in H_2$
- $\sup_{v \neq 0 \in H_2} \frac{|B(w, v)|}{\|v\|_{H_2}} \geq C_2 \|w\|_{H_1} \quad \forall w \in H_1$
- $\sup_{w \in H_1} |B(w, z)| > 0 \quad \forall z \neq 0 \in H_2$

• If H_1 and H_2 are closed spanning subsets the boundedness would not change.

• If we require the last two conditions to hold for $v \in H_{2,h}$ and $w \in H_{1,h}$ then we can still apply the theorem.

ii) Let $H_{1,h}$, $H_{2,h}$ be closed subspaces
Let $v_n = 0 \in H_{2,h}$, $u_n \in H_{1,h}$ and let u be the true solution from BNB such that

$$B(u, v_n) = F(v_n) \quad \text{and} \quad B(u_n, v_n) = F(v_n) \quad \forall v_n \in H_{2,h}$$

$$B(u - u_n, v_n) = F(v_n) - F(v_n) = 0$$

Let $w_n \in H_{1,h}$ so that $\chi = u - w_n \in H_1 \setminus H_{1,h}$ and $\eta = w_n - u_n \in H_{1,h}$

$$u - u_n = (u - w_n) + (w_n - u_n) = \chi + \eta$$

$$\text{Hence } B(\chi + \eta, v_n) = 0 \Rightarrow |B(\chi, v_n)| = |B(\eta, v_n)|$$

$$\text{Let } \varepsilon > 0 \quad (C_2 - \varepsilon) \|\eta\| \leq \sup_{v \neq 0 \in H_{2,h}} \frac{|B(\eta, v)|}{\|v\|_{H_2}} = \sup_{v \neq 0 \in H_{2,h}} \frac{|B(\chi, v)|}{\|v\|_{H_2}} \leq \frac{C_1 \|\chi\| \|u\|_{H_2}}{\|u\|_{H_2}}$$

$$\text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{we have } \|\eta\| \leq \frac{C_1}{C_2} \|\chi\|$$

$$\|u - u_n\| = \|\chi + \eta\| \leq \|\chi\| + \|\eta\| \leq (1 + \frac{C_1}{C_2}) \|\chi\|$$

Now taking inf over w_n we get

$$\|u - u_n\| \leq (1 + \frac{C_1}{C_2}) \inf_{w_n \in H_{1,h}} \|u - w_n\|$$

No.2. (8 pts.) Consider the problem of determining u satisfying

$$\mathcal{L}(u)(x) := -\nabla \cdot k(x) \nabla u(x) + b(x) \cdot \nabla u(x) + c(x)u(x) = f(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (0.1)$$

$$\text{subject to } \begin{cases} u(x) = 0, & x \in \Gamma \subset \partial\Omega, \\ -k(x) \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial n} = g_2(x), & x \in \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma. \end{cases} \quad (0.2)$$

Give the weak formulation for (0.1), (0.2).

$$\int_{\Omega} -\nabla \cdot k(x) \nabla u(x) v(x) + b(x) \cdot \nabla u(x) v(x) + c(x) u(x) v(x) \, d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} f(x) v(x) \, d\Omega$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot k \nabla u v \, d\Omega = \int_{\partial\Omega} -k \nabla u \cdot \hat{n} v \, ds + \int_{\Omega} k \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, d\Omega$$

$$\int_{\Omega} b \cdot \nabla u v \, d\Omega = \int_{\partial\Omega} u v b \cdot \hat{n} \, ds - \int_{\Omega} u (b \cdot \nabla v + \nabla \cdot b v) \, d\Omega$$

$$\int_{\Omega} k \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - u (b \cdot \nabla v + \nabla \cdot b v) + c u v \, d\Omega + \int_{\partial\Omega} u v b \cdot \hat{n} - k \nabla u \cdot \hat{n} v \, ds = \int_{\Omega} f v \, d\Omega$$

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} u v b \cdot \hat{n} - k \nabla u \cdot \hat{n} v \, ds = \int_{\Gamma} 0 - k \nabla u \cdot \hat{n} v \, ds + \int_{\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma} u v b \cdot \hat{n} + g_2 v \, ds$$

$$B(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} k \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - u (b \cdot \nabla v + \nabla \cdot b v) + c u v \, d\Omega - \int_{\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma} k \nabla u \cdot \hat{n} v \, ds + \int_{\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma} u v b \cdot \hat{n} \, ds$$

$$F(v) = \int_{\Omega} f v \, d\Omega - \int_{\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma} g_2 v \, ds$$

Given k, b, c are nice enough ($L^\infty(\Omega)$)

$B(u, v)$ is well defined if $\nabla u, u, \nabla u|_{\partial\Omega}$, and $u|_{\partial\Omega}$ are in $L^2(\Omega)$ and v and ∇v in $L^2(\Omega)$

Thus $v \in H^1(\Omega)$ and by the trace thm $u \in H^{1/2}$ so that $\nabla u|_{\partial\Omega} \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $u|_{\partial\Omega} \in L^2(\Omega)$
 $g_2 \in (H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega))'$ since by the trace thm $v|_{\partial\Omega} \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$

$$\therefore B(u, v) = F(v) \quad \forall v \in H^1(\Omega)$$

subject to $u = 0$ on Γ
 $-k \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g_2$ on $\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma$

For $g_2 \in (H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega))'$ and $u \in H^{1/2}(\Omega)$ $f \in (H^1(\Omega))'$

No.3. (8 pts.) Consider the boundary value problem: Given f and g determine u satisfying

$$-\nabla \cdot (k(x) \nabla u(x)) + b(x) \cdot \nabla u(x) + c(x) u(x) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (0.3)$$

$$\text{subject to } \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial n} = g(x), \quad x \in \partial\Omega. \quad (0.4)$$

(i) Derive the weak formulation for the solution of (0.3), (0.4), $B(u, v) = F(v)$.

(ii) Identify $\mathcal{L} : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\mathcal{L}(u)(\cdot) = B(u, \cdot)$.

(iii) Determine the adjoint operator, \mathcal{L}^* , of \mathcal{L} , and give an associated adjoint problem to (0.3), (0.4).

i) This problem is similar to #2
 Thus

i) This problem is similar to #2
Thus

$$\int_{\Omega} k \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - u(b \cdot \nabla v + \nabla \cdot b v) + e u v d\Omega + \int_{\partial\Omega} u v b \cdot \hat{n} - k \nabla u \cdot \hat{n} v ds = \int_{\Omega} f v d\Omega$$

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} u v b \cdot \hat{n} - k \nabla u \cdot \hat{n} v ds = \int_{\Omega} u v b \cdot \hat{n} - k \nabla u \cdot \hat{n} v ds$$

$$B(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} k \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - u(b \cdot \nabla v + \nabla \cdot b v) + e u v d\Omega + \int_{\partial\Omega} u v b \cdot \hat{n} ds$$

$$F(v) = \int_{\Omega} f v d\Omega + \int_{\partial\Omega} k \nabla v \cdot \hat{n} ds$$

$$B(u, v) = F(v) \quad \forall v \in H^1(\Omega) \quad g \in (H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega))' \quad u \in H^1(\Omega) \quad f \in (H^1(\Omega))'$$

ii) $\mathcal{L}(\omega)(\cdot) = B(\omega, \cdot)$ where $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ is given above

$$\text{iii) } \int_{\Omega} k \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - u(b \cdot \nabla v + \nabla \cdot b v) + e u v d\Omega + \int_{\partial\Omega} u v b \cdot \hat{n} ds$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot k \nabla u - u \nabla \cdot b v + e u v d\Omega + \int_{\partial\Omega} u v b \cdot \hat{n} ds$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot k \nabla u d\Omega = \int_{\partial\Omega} u k \nabla v \cdot \hat{n} ds - \int_{\Omega} u \nabla \cdot k \nabla v d\Omega$$

$$\mathcal{L}^*(u)(v) = B(v, u) = F(u) \Rightarrow$$

$$\int_{\Omega} -u \nabla \cdot k \nabla v - u \nabla \cdot b v + v e u - f u d\Omega - \int_{\partial\Omega} u v b \cdot \hat{n} + u k \nabla v \cdot \hat{n} - k u \nabla v \cdot \hat{n} ds = 0$$

$$\int_{\Omega} u (-\nabla \cdot k \nabla v - \nabla \cdot b v + e v - f) d\Omega - \int_{\partial\Omega} u ((v b + k \nabla v) \cdot \hat{n} - k \nabla v \cdot \hat{n}) ds = 0$$

$$\therefore -\nabla \cdot k \nabla v - \nabla \cdot b v + e v = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$\text{subject to } v b + k \nabla v = k g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$