MATH 512 - Project 2

Kanav Malhotra, Longzhe Yang

Wasif Ahmed, Haoxiang Deng, Jacob Fein-Ashley,

Question 1 (a)

We use the *Kolmogorov-Smirnov* test to test for the uniformity of the random numbers generated by the LCG. The test statistic is given by

$$D_n = \max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \left(\frac{i}{n} - U_{(i)} \right) \vee \max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \left(U_{(i)} - \frac{i-1}{n} \right)$$

where $U_{(i)}$ is the *i*-th order statistic of the U_i 's.

• H_{\circ} : the random numbers are uniformly distributed.

We find that $D_n = 0.0069$ and a p-value of 0.708. This means that we fail to reject H_o at the 5% significance level and conclude that the random numbers are uniformly distributed.

Question 1 (b)

Parameters: a = 6, m = 11, $x_0 = 3$, c = 0, n = 10


- The sequence is {3, 7, 9, 10, 5, 8, 4, 2, 1, 6}
- The period is 10


Parameters: a = 6, m = 10, $x_0 = 3$, c = 0, n = 10

- The sequence is {3, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8}
- The period is 1

We notice that even a *small* change in the parameters results in a seemingly non-random sample.


Question 1 (d)





• The two histograms look relatively similar, meaning both look relatively uniform.

Question 1 (e)



• The pairs of numbers seem to have a random pattern.

Question 1 (f)

Disadvantages of LCG:

- It can appear random with the right set of parameters, but as we saw, it can get "stuck" in a loop.
- The randomness depends on the choice of parameters.

$$P(X = k) = \begin{cases} 0.3 & \text{for } k = 1\\ 0.2 & \text{for } k = 2\\ 0.35 & \text{for } k = 3\\ 0.15 & \text{for } k = 4 \end{cases}$$


We draw 10000 random Uniform random numbers using the following rule:

$$U \leqslant 0.3 \implies X = 1$$

$$0.3 < U \leqslant 0.5 \implies X = 2$$


$$0.5 < U \leqslant 0.85 \implies X = 3$$

$$0.85 < U \leqslant 1 \implies X = 4$$



Question 3(a) NumPy r.v. Generator

• Observed Probability that *X* < 50: 0.0000000000.



• Theoretical Probability:

$$P(X < 50) = \sum_{k=0}^{49} {100 \choose k} (0.8)^k (0.2)^{100-k} = 2.14 \times 10^{-11}$$

Question 3(b) Inverse Transformation Method

Generating a sum of random Bernoulli random variables is much faster. Nonetheless, we use the following algorithm for selecting a Binomial distribution:

Algorithm Binomial RV Generation

```
1: for n = 1, ..., n_{sim} do

2: pmf = {n \choose k} \cdot p^k \cdot (1-p)^{n-k} for k \in \{1, ..., k, k+1\}]


3: cdf = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} pmf_i

4: Draw \ U \sim Uniform[0, 1]

5: x[i] = arg \ max(cdf > U)

6: end for
```

Question 3(b) Inverse Transformation Method



Question 3(c)

- Time for NumPy r.v. Generator: 0.0726s
- Time for inverse transformation method: 0.1985s


Formula:

$$P(X = k) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!}$$

Poisson r.v. with $\lambda = 2$. We use the following algorithm:


Algorithm Poisson RV Generation

```
1: for n = 1, ..., n_{sim} do
2: x[i] = 0
3: j = 0
4: while x[i] < \lambda do
5: x[i] - = \log(U)
6: j + = 1
7: end while
8: x[i] = j - 1
9: end for
```



Exponential r.v. with $\lambda = 5$. Inv. Transformation Method:

$$F(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$$
$$F^{-1}(u) = -\frac{\log(1 - u)}{\lambda}$$




• The Cauchy r.v. has the following pdf:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$$

• We use the inverse transformation method to generate the Cauchy r.v.

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\arctan(x) + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$F^{-1}(u) = \tan(\pi(u - \frac{1}{2}))$$



Simulation:

- For x=1,2,...100, shuffle the position of x[i]
- After shuffling, if x[i]=i, count 1, o.w. o.
- Sum up all the counting.

With a repetition of 10000 simulations, we find that the expectation is 1.00 and the variance is 1.01.

We can calculate $\mathbb{E}[X]$ and $\mathbb{V}[X]$ exactly based on Poisson Distribution and compare them with our estimates.

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{100} i \cdot \frac{1^{i} \cdot e^{-1}}{i!} = 1$$

$$\mathbb{V}[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{100} i^{2} \cdot \frac{1^{i} \cdot e^{-1}}{i!} - (\mathbb{E}[X])^{2} = 1$$

The estimates are very close to the exact values. This is expected because the number of simulations is large.


Question 8: Rolling Two Die

Algorithm:

- Generate two random integers in between [1, 6] and take the sum.
- Keep on generating the sum until all the integers in between [2, 12] are generated.
- Count the number of iterations it requires.
- Repeat the same process 10000 times.

Result: The expected number of rolls required is **60.8352**. Note: Calculating expected values by hand would require some Markov Chain (simulation is easier!)

Question 8: Rolling Two Die



Question 9: Random Selection of 10 Balls


Algorithm

• Make an array of the following form:

```
urn = \{0, 0, \dots 20 \text{ times}; 1, 1, \dots 30 \text{ times};
2, 2, \dots 40 times; 3, 3, \dots 10 times\}
```

- Reshuffle urn.
- Pick the first 10 numbers and count the number of times we have $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.
- Repeat.

Question 9: Random Selection of 10 Balls



Question 10(a): Box-Muller Method

Algorithm:

- Generate two independent U[0,1] set of random numbers: u1 and u2.
- Define:

$$R = \sqrt{-2\log(u1)}$$

$$x = \cos(2\pi u2)$$


$$y = \sin(2\pi u2)$$

$$z1 = r * x$$

$$z2 = r * y$$

• Return z1 and z2.

Question 10 (a): Box-Muller Method



Question 10(b): Marsaglia-Bray Method

Algorithm:

- Generate two independent *U*[0, 1] set of random numbers: *u*1 and u2.
- Define:

$$w1 = (2u1) - 1$$

 $w2 = (2u2) - 1$
 $s = w1^2 + w2^2$

• if s < 1.


t if
$$s < 1$$
,
$$t = \sqrt{-2 \frac{\log(s)}{s}}$$

$$z1 = w1 * t$$

$$z2 = w2 * t$$

• Return z1 and z2.

Question 10(b): Marsaglia-Bray Method





Question 10(c): Acceptance-Rejection Method

Algorithm:


- Define: Normal Density Function (NDF): $\exp(\frac{-x^2}{2}/2\pi)$ Proposal Density Function (PDF): $\exp(-x^2/2)$
- Generate $u \sim U[0, 1]$ and $x \sim PDF$.
- \sim 1.32 = $\sqrt{2e/\pi}$
- if $u < \frac{NDF[x]}{1.32*PDF[x]}$, then accept x.

Question 10(c): Acceptance-Rejection Method





Question 10(d): random.randn()



All of the methods are very close to the theoretical normal distribution.

Question 10: Timing

Table: Execution Times of Various Random Number Generation Methods

Method	Time (ms)	Comments
Box-Muller Method	1.2269	Fast execution
Marsaglia-Bray Method	16.712	Moderate execution
Acceptance-Rejection Method	75.590	Slow execution
random.randn()	0.1469	Very fast execution

