

Curve fitting

In class we discussed fitting a specific curve to a set of n data points $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$. To do this we used the least error squared method in which we defined the predicted value of y for a given x value as $y' = f(x; a, b)$. (Note that in this notation, y' is not the derivative of y , it is the predicted value of Y .) The error associated with using y' instead of the true y value is $e_i = y'_i - y_i$. If we square each error value and add them over all x values, we have a representative measure for how well the predicting curve fits the data. The goal is to find a and b that minimize the value of the sum of the errors squared. Thus we set $\frac{\partial}{\partial a} \sum_{i=1}^n (y'_i - y_i)^2 = 0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial b} \sum_{i=1}^n (y'_i - y_i)^2 = 0$ and solve the resulting set of linear simultaneous equations.

Straight Line If one selects a straight line $y' = a + bx$, the resulting set of simultaneous equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} n & \sum x_i \\ \sum x_i & \sum x_i^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum y_i \\ \sum y_i x_i \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

leads to the desired values of a and b . To simplify the notation, the limits on the summations in the above matrices are not written, but it is understood that each summation is taken over the number of data points, n . For example, the term $\sum x_i^2$ really means $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i)^2$.

Quadratic Curve Finally, one might also try fitting a quadratic equation $y' = a + bx + cx^2$ to the data points. This form can be directly used in a linear regression, however one will need to solve a 3×3 system of linear equations to find the coefficients. The result is

$$\begin{pmatrix} n & \sum x_i & \sum x_i^2 \\ \sum x_i & \sum x_i^2 & \sum x_i^3 \\ \sum x_i^2 & \sum x_i^3 & \sum x_i^4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum y_i \\ \sum y_i x_i \\ \sum y_i x_i^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the summation is done over all the n data pairs.

Power Curve Rather than fitting a straight line to some data, one might try a power curve $y' = ax^b$. While this form cannot directly be used in a linear regression, it may be transformed to a form that is amenable to linear regression by taking the natural log of each side. This leads to $\ln y' = \ln a + b \ln x$. If one defines the new variables $Y' = \ln y$, $A = \ln a$, and $X = \ln x$, the transformed version of the predicting equation, $Y' = A + bX$, is perfect for use in (1). Just remember that the coefficients that result from solving (1) yield the values of A and b . Hence you will need to calculate $a = e^A$ to get the final value of a .

Exponential Curve One might also try an exponential curve $y' = ab^x$. Again, while this form cannot directly be used in a linear regression, it may be transformed by taking the natural log of each side. This leads to $\ln y' = \ln(a) + \ln(b)x$. If one defines the new variables $Y' = \ln y$, $A = \ln a$, and $B = \ln b$, the transformed version of the predicting equation, $Y' = A + Bx$, can be used in (1). In this case, remember that the coefficients that result from solving (1) yield the values of A and B , so you will need to calculate $a = e^A$ and $b = e^B$ to get the final values of a and b .

1. Write out the resulting system of equations if you used $y' = ax + bx^3 + cx^4$ to predict y for a given x value.
2. Develop two predicting equations $y' = f(x; a, b)$ that would require a transformation, as well as a newly defined set of parameters and/or variables (such as A , B , or perhaps X , Y). Clearly show the necessary transformations, the newly defined parameters and/or variables, and most importantly, the resulting systems of linear equations.