

Math 6417 Homework 1

Jacob Hauck

September 21, 2023

Question 1.

Let f be continuous on $[0, 1] \times \mathbf{R}$ and satisfy $|f(x, u) - f(x, v)| \leq L|u - v|$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$ and $u, v \in \mathbf{R}$, where $0 \leq L < 8$.

For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$, consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} -u''(x) &= f(x, u(x)) \quad \text{if } x \in (0, 1) \\ u(0) &= \alpha \quad u(1) = \beta. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

This problem has one and only one solution $u \in C^2[0, 1]$.

Indeed, define

$$G(x, \xi) = \begin{cases} \xi(1-x) & 0 \leq \xi \leq x \leq 1 \\ x(1-\xi) & 0 \leq x \leq \xi \leq 1 \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

and also consider the integral equation

$$u(x) = \alpha(1-x) + \beta x + \int_0^1 G(x, \xi) f(\xi, u(\xi)) \, d\xi \quad \text{if } x \in [0, 1]. \tag{3}$$

We show that if $u \in C^2[0, 1]$, then u solves (1) if and only if u solves (3), and that there is a unique solution $u \in C^2[0, 1]$ of (3) by the Banach Fixed Point Theorem. Then the claim follows.

(i) If $u \in C^2[0, 1]$, then u is a solution of (1) if and only if u is a solution of (3).

Proof. Suppose that $u \in C^2[0, 1]$ is a solution of (1). Then, using integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 G(x, \xi) f(\xi, u(\xi)) \, d\xi &= - \int_0^x \xi(1-x) u''(\xi) \, d\xi - \int_x^1 x(1-\xi) u''(\xi) \, d\xi \\ &= -(1-x) \left[\xi u'(\xi) \Big|_0^x - \int_0^x u'(\xi) \, d\xi \right] - x \left[(1-\xi) u'(\xi) \Big|_x^1 + \int_x^1 u'(\xi) \, d\xi \right] \\ &= -(1-x) x u'(x) + (1-x)(u(x) - u(0)) \\ &\quad + x(1-x) x u'(x) - x(u(1) - u(x)) \\ &= -\alpha(1-x) - \beta x + u(x) \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, u solves (3).

Conversely, suppose that $u \in C^2[0, 1]$ is a solution of (3). Then differentiating both sides of (3) implies that

$$u'(x) = \beta - \alpha + \frac{d}{dx} \int_0^x \xi(1-x) f(\xi, u(\xi)) \, d\xi + \frac{d}{dx} \int_x^1 x(1-\xi) f(\xi, u(\xi)) \, d\xi \tag{4}$$

for $x \in (0, 1)$. Since the integrands in both integrals above are obviously continuous and have a continuous partial derivative with respect to x on $[0, 1]^2$, the action of the derivative on the integrals gives

$$\begin{aligned} u'(x) &= \beta - \alpha + x(1-x)f(x, u(x)) - \int_0^x \xi f(\xi, u(\xi)) \, d\xi - x(1-x)f(x, u(x)) + \int_x^1 (1-\xi)f(\xi, u(\xi)) \, d\xi \\ &= \beta - \alpha - \int_0^x \xi f(\xi, u(\xi)) \, d\xi + \int_x^1 (1-\xi)f(\xi, u(\xi)) \, d\xi \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

for $x \in (0, 1)$. Since f is continuous, the integrands in the above integrals are continuous, and, upon differentiating both sides again, the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus implies that

$$u''(x) = -xf(x, u(x)) - (1-x)f(x, u(x)) = -f(x, u(x)) \quad (6)$$

for $x \in (0, 1)$. Lastly, note that the definition of G implies that $G(0, \xi) = 0 = G(1, \xi)$ for all $\xi \in [0, 1]$. Thus, $u(0) = \alpha$, and $u(1) = \beta$, so u solves (1). \square

(ii) There is one and only one solution $u \in C^2[0, 1]$ of (3).

Proof. First, note that G is continuous on $[0, 1]^2$. Indeed, it is obviously continuous on the regions $\{x < \xi\}$ and $\{\xi < x\}$ by definition, and we have

$$\lim_{\substack{(x, \xi) \rightarrow (x_0, x_0) \\ x \leq \xi}} G(x, \xi) = x_0(1-x_0) = \lim_{\substack{(x, \xi) \rightarrow (x_0, x_0) \\ x \geq \xi}} G(x, \xi) \quad (7)$$

for any $x_0 \in [0, 1]$. Thus, G is continuous on $\{x = \xi\}$ as well, and, consequently, on all of $[0, 1]^2$.

Second, for $u \in C[0, 1]$, define

$$Au(x) = \alpha(1-x) + \beta x + \int_0^1 G(x, \xi) f(\xi, u(\xi)) \, d\xi. \quad (8)$$

Since f and u are both continuous, it follows that $f(\cdot, u(\cdot))$ is continuous and therefore bounded on $[0, 1]$ by, say, $M > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 G(x, \xi) f(\xi, u(\xi)) \, d\xi - \int_0^1 G(y, \xi) f(\xi, u(\xi)) \, d\xi \right| &\leq M \int_0^1 |G(x, \xi) - G(y, \xi)| \, d\xi \\ &\leq M \left[\int_0^x \xi |x-y| \, d\xi + \int_x^1 |x-y|(1-\xi) \, d\xi \right] \\ &\leq 2M|x-y| \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Au is the sum of a polynomial and a Lipschitz function, so $Au \in C[0, 1]$, and $A : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$.

Third, A is a contraction on $C[0, 1]$ in the uniform metric ρ on $C[0, 1]$. Indeed, for $u, v \in C[0, 1]$,

$$\rho(Au, Av) = \max_{x \in [0, 1]} \left| \int_0^1 G(x, \xi) [f(\xi, u(\xi)) - f(\xi, v(\xi))] \, d\xi \right| \quad (9)$$

$$\leq \max_{x \in [0, 1]} L \int_0^1 |G(x, \xi)| \cdot |u(\xi) - v(\xi)| \, d\xi \quad (10)$$

$$\leq L \cdot \left(\max_{x \in [0, 1]} \int_0^1 |G(x, \xi)| \, d\xi \right) \rho(u, v). \quad (11)$$

By the Extreme Value Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= \int_0^1 |G(x, \xi)| \, d\xi = \int_0^x \xi(1-x) \, d\xi + \int_x^1 x(1-\xi) \, d\xi = \frac{1}{2} [x^2(1-x) + x(1-x)^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} x(1-x) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

achieves its maximum for $x \in [0, 1]$ either when $x \in \{0, 1\}$, which implies $p(x) = 0$, or else when

$$0 = p'(x) = \frac{1}{2}(1-x-x) \quad (13)$$

that is, when $x = \frac{1}{2}$, in which case $p(x) = \frac{1}{8}$. Thus, $p(x) \leq \frac{1}{8}$ for $x \in [0, 1]$, and

$$\rho(Au, Av) \leq 8L\rho(u, v). \quad (14)$$

Since $8L < 1$ by hypothesis, it follows that A is a contraction on $C[0, 1]$.

Fourth, by the Banach Fixed Point Theorem, there is a unique fixed point $u \in C[0, 1]$ of A . By the definition of A , however, u is a fixed point of A if and only if it is a solution of (3). Thus, (3) has a unique solution $u \in C[0, 1]$.

Since $C^2[0, 1] \subseteq C[0, 1]$, it follows that if $u \in C^2[0, 1]$, then (3) has a unique solution in $C^2[0, 1]$, namely, u . Thus, to finish the proof, we need to show that u' and u'' exist and are continuous.

The calculations on the right-hand sides of (4, 5, 6) relied only on the fact that u was continuous (so that $f(\cdot, u(\cdot))$ would be continuous) and solved (3), so they apply to u here as well. Thus, u' and u'' exist, and

$$u''(x) = -f(x, u(x)), \quad (15)$$

which is continuous on $[0, 1]$. Therefore $u \in C^2[0, 1]$. \square

Question 2.

Let $u(x, t)$ be a smooth solution of the generalized heat equation

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - \nabla \cdot (A(x)\nabla u) &= 0, & (x, t) &\in \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u|_{t=0} &= u_0, & x &\in \Omega \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ is a smooth bounded domain, $A : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ is a positive definite matrix function, and $u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$.

(i) If $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, then

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} \quad (17)$$

Proof. Applying the vector calculus identity $\nabla \cdot (\phi B) = \nabla \phi^T B + \phi \nabla \cdot B$, where $\phi : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a differentiable scalar function, and $B : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is a differentiable vector function, to the quantity $u^{2k-1} A(x) \nabla u$, where $k \geq 1$ is an integer, we obtain the identity

$$\nabla \cdot (u^{2k-1} A(x) \nabla u) = (2k-1) u^{2(k-1)} \nabla u^T A(x) \nabla u + u^{2k-1} \nabla \cdot (A(x) \nabla u) \quad (18)$$

Multiplying both sides of (16) by u^{2k-1} and integrating both sides over Ω gives

$$\int_{\Omega} u^{2k-1} u_t - \nabla \cdot (A(x) \nabla u) \, dx = 0 \quad (19)$$

for $t > 0$. Using (18) and the fact that $\frac{\partial(u^{2k})}{\partial t} = 2ku^{2k-1}u_t$, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial(u^{2k})}{\partial t} dx = 2k \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (u^{2k-1}A(x)\nabla u) dx - 2k(2k-1) \int_{\Omega} u^{2(k-1)} \nabla u^T A(x) \nabla u dx. \quad (20)$$

Since $A(x)$ is positive definite by hypothesis, the integrand of the second term on RHS(20) is pointwise nonnegative; hence, the entire second term is nonpositive because $k \geq 1$. Applying the Divergence Theorem to the first term, we obtain the inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial(u^{2k})}{\partial t} dx \leq 2k \int_{\partial\Omega} u^{2k-1}A(x)\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} dS. \quad (21)$$

where S is the surface measure on $\partial\Omega$, and \mathbf{n} is the outward unit normal vector to Ω . Using the assumptions $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and $k \geq 1$, we see that the integrand in RHS(21) is equal to 0 over the domain of integration $\partial\Omega$. Hence, $\text{RHS}(21) = 0$. Since u is smooth, the time derivative commutes with the integral on LHS(21), so we deduce that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2k}}^{2k} = \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^{2k} dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial(u^{2k})}{\partial t} dx \leq 0 \quad (22)$$

for $t > 0$. This implies that

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2k}}^{2k} \leq \|u(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^{2k}}^{2k} \iff \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2k}} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^{2k}} \quad (23)$$

for $t > 0$ and $k \geq 1$ an integer. Taking the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides and applying Proposition 2.18 from Arbogast and Bona, we obtain the desired result. \square

(ii) Suppose that $u|_{\partial\Omega} = g$, a nonzero, smooth function on $\partial\Omega$. Let v be a smooth solution of the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (A(x)\nabla v) &= 0, & x \in \Omega \\ v|_{\partial\Omega} &= g. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Then $u - v$ is a smooth solution of (16) such that $u - v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. Hence, by the previous problem,

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - v\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|u(\cdot, 0) - v\|_{L^\infty} = \|u_0 - v\|_{L^\infty} \quad (25)$$

for $t > 0$. Interpreting this inequality, we might say that u does not deviate from 0 by no more than the initial value u_0 in L^∞ norm (the previous situation) but, rather, that u deviates from the *equilibrium* v by no more than the initial value u_0 in L^∞ norm.