# Math 5601 Final Project

Jacob Hauck

December 5, 2023

Consider the following second-order ODE with Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(c(x)\frac{\mathrm{d}u(x)}{\mathrm{d}x}\right) = f(x), \qquad a \le x \le b,\tag{1}$$

$$u(a) = g_a, \quad u(b) = g_b. \tag{2}$$

### Problem 1.

Consider the second-order ODE (1). Multiplying by  $v \in H^1([a,b])$  and integrating by parts gives

$$\int_{a}^{b} fv = c(b)u'(b)v(b) - c(a)u'(a)v(a) - \int_{a}^{b} cu'v.$$
 (3)

(a) Suppose we have the boundary conditions

$$u'(a) = p_a, \qquad u(b) = g_b. \tag{4}$$

Equation (3) still holds, and we can impose the condition v(b) = 0 because we already know that  $u(b) = p_b$ . Since  $u'(a) = p_a$ , equation (3) becomes

$$\int_{a}^{b} fv = -c(a)p_{a}v(a) - \int_{a}^{b} cu'v'$$

$$\tag{5}$$

for all  $v \in H^1([a, b])$  such that v(b) = 0, which is our weak formulation of (1) with the given boundary conditions.

(b) Suppose we have the boundary conditions

$$u'(a) = p_a, u'(b) + q_b u(b) = p_b.$$
 (6)

Equation (3) still holds. Since  $u'(b) = p_b - q_b u(b)$ , and  $u'(a) = p_a$ , we get

$$\int_{a}^{b} fv = c(b)(p_b - q_b u(b))v(b) - c(a)p_a v(a) - \int_{a}^{b} cu'v'$$
(7)

for all  $v \in H^1([a,b])$ , which is our weak formulation of (1) with the given boundary conditions.

(c) Suppose we have the boundary conditions

$$u'(a) = p_a, \qquad u'(b) = p_b. \tag{8}$$

Equation (3) still holds. Since  $u'(a) = p_a$ , and  $u'(b) = p_b$ , we get

$$\int_{a}^{b} fv = c(b)p_{b}v(b) - c(a)p_{a}v(a) - \int_{a}^{b} cu'v'$$
(9)

for all  $v \in H^1([a,b])$ , which is our weak formulation of (1) with the given boundary conditions.

We note that solutions of this formulation are not unique. Indeed, if  $u \in H^1([a,b])$  satisfies (9) for all  $v \in H^1([a,b])$ , then so does  $u + \alpha$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$  is any real number because  $(u + \alpha)' = u'$  regardless of what  $\alpha$  is, and the weak formulation depends only on u'.

#### Problem 2.

Consider the Poisson equation

$$\nabla \cdot (c\nabla u) = f \text{ in } D. \tag{10}$$

Using integration by parts, we have

$$\int_{D} fv = \int_{D} \nabla \cdot (c\nabla u)v = \int_{\partial D} cv \nabla u \cdot n \, dS - \int_{D} c\nabla u \cdot \nabla v, \tag{11}$$

where dS is the surface measure on  $\partial D$ , and  $v \in H^1(\overline{D})$ .

(a) Suppose that we have the boundary condition

$$u = q \text{ on } \partial D.$$
 (12)

Equation (11) still holds. Since we know the value of u on  $\partial D$ , we can set v=0 on  $\partial D$ . Then we get

$$\int_{D} fv = -\int_{D} c \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \tag{13}$$

for all  $v \in H^1(\overline{D})$  such that v = 0 on  $\partial D$ , which is our weak formulation of (10) with the given boundary condition.

(b) Suppose that we have the boundary condition

$$\nabla u \cdot n + qu = p \text{ on } \partial D, \tag{14}$$

where n is the outward unit normal vector to  $\partial D$ , and p and q are functions on  $\partial D$ . Equation (11) still holds. Since  $\nabla u \cdot n = p - qu$  on  $\partial D$ , it follows that

$$\int_{D} fv = \int_{\partial D} cv(p - qu) \, dS - \int_{D} c\nabla u \cdot \nabla v \tag{15}$$

for all  $v \in H^1(\overline{D})$ , which is our weak formulation of (10) with the given boundary condition.

# Problem 3.

If  $u \in C^2[a,b]$ , then

$$||u - I_h u||_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{8} h^2 ||u''||_{\infty},$$
 (16)

$$\|(u - I_h u)'\|_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{2} h \|u''\|_{\infty}. \tag{17}$$

*Proof.* Consider the interval  $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . Restricted to this interval,  $I_h u$  is the degree-1 Lagrange polynomial interpolation of u on with nodes  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$ . By the error formula for Lagrange polynomial approximation in the slides,

$$u(x) - I_h u(x) = \frac{f''(\xi(x))(x - x_i)(x - x_{i+1})}{2}$$
(18)

for some  $\xi(x) \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$ . Then

$$|u(x) - I_h u(x)| \le ||f''||_{\infty} \cdot \frac{1}{2} (x - x_i)(x_{i+1} - x).$$
(19)

The function  $g(x) = (x - x_i)(x_{i+1} - x)$  is a downward-opening parabola, so it achieves maximum halfway between its roots  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$ . Therefore,

$$|u(x) - I_h u(x)| \le ||f''||_{\infty} \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2} - x_i\right) \left(x_{i+1} - \frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}\right)}{2}$$
(20)

$$= \|f''\|_{\infty} \frac{(x_{i+1} - x_i)^2}{8} = \frac{h^2}{8} \|f''\|_{\infty}.$$
 (21)

Since this holds for all  $x \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$  and all  $1 \le i \le N$ , it holds for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . Therefore, the inequality (16) follows.

Let  $1 \le i \le N$ , and let  $x \in (x_i, x_{i+1})$ . By Taylor's Theorem,

$$u(x_i) = u(x) + (x_i - x)u'(x) + \frac{1}{2}(x_i - x)^2 u''(\xi(x_i))$$
(22)

$$u(x_{i+1}) = u(x) + (x_{i+1} - x)u'(x) + \frac{1}{2}(x_{i+1} - x)^2 u''(\xi(x_{i+1}))$$
(23)

for some  $\xi(x_i), \xi(x_{i+1}) \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$ . Then

$$u(x_{i+1}) - u(x_i) = (x_{i+1} - x_i)u'(x) + \frac{1}{2}(x_{i+1} - x)^2 u''(\xi(x_{i+1})) - \frac{1}{2}(x_i - x)^2 u''(\xi(x_i)).$$
 (24)

Since  $I_h u(x) = \frac{u(x_{i+1}) - u(x_i)}{x_{i+1} - x_i}(x - x_i) + u(x_i)$  for  $x \in (x_i, x_{i+1})$ , it follows that  $(I_h u')(x) = \frac{u(x_{i+1}) - u(x_i)}{x_{i+1} - x_i}$  for  $x \in (x_i, x_{i+1})$ . Thus,

$$(u - I_h u)'(x) = u'(x) - (I_h u)'(x) = u'(x) - \frac{u(x_{i+1} - u(x_i))}{x_{i+1} - x_i}$$
(25)

$$= \frac{(x_i - x)^2}{2(x_{i+1} - x_i)} u''(\xi(x_i)) - \frac{(x_{i+1} - x)^2}{2(x_{i+1} - x_i)} u''(\xi(x_{i+1}))$$
(26)

if  $x \in (x_i, x_{i+1})$ . Taking absolute values on both sides gives

$$|(u - I_h u)'(x)| \le \frac{1}{2(x_{i+1} - x_i)} \left[ (x_i - x)^2 |u''(\xi(x_i))| + (x_{i+1} - x)^2 |u''(\xi(x_{i+1}))| \right]$$
(27)

$$\leq \frac{1}{2h} \left[ (x_i - x)^2 + (x_{i+1} - x)^2 \right] \|u''\|_{\infty} \tag{28}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2h}g(x)\|u''\|_{\infty},\tag{29}$$

where  $g(x)=(x_i-x)^2+(x_{i+1}-x)^2$ . We note that  $g'(x)=4x-2(x_{i+1}+x_i)$ , so g achieves a maximum on  $[x_i,x_{i+1}]$  when g'(x)=0, that is, when  $x=\frac{x_{i+1}+x_i}{2}$ , or else when  $x\in\{x_i,x_{i+1}\}$ , by the Extreme Value Theorem. If  $x\in\{x_i,x_{i+1}\}$ , then  $g(x)=h^2$ , and if  $x=\frac{x_i+x_{i+1}}{2}$ , then  $g(x)=\frac{h^2}{2}$ . Therefore, the maximum of g on  $[x_i,x_{i+1}]$  is  $h^2$ , and

$$|(u - I_h u)'(x)| \le \frac{h}{2} ||u''||_{\infty}$$
 (30)

if  $x \in (x_i, x_{i+1})$ . Since i was arbitrary, this inequality holds for all  $x \in [a, b]$  except at the nodes  $\{x_i\}$  where  $I_h u$  is potentially not differentiable. The  $L^{\infty}$  norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  does not depend on the value of a function at finitely many points, so it follows that

$$\|(u - I_h u)'\|_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{2} h \|f''\|_{\infty},$$
 (31)

as desired.  $\Box$ 

#### Problem 4.

Consider the weak formulation of

$$\nabla \cdot (c\nabla u) = f \text{ in } D, \qquad u = g \text{ on } \partial D \tag{32}$$

derived in problem 2 (a):

$$\int_{D} fv = -\int_{D} c\nabla u \cdot \nabla v \tag{33}$$

for all  $v \in H^1(\overline{D})$  such that v = 0 on  $\partial D$ . Suppose that we have basis functions  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^{N+1}$  for a finite element space  $U_h$  on  $\overline{D}$ . To approximate a solution of the weak formulation, we approximate  $H^1$  by  $U_h$ . Thus, we want to find  $u \in U_h$  such that (33) holds for all  $v \in U_h$ .

By the linearity of the problem and the fact that  $U_h = \text{span}\{\phi_i\}$ , this is equivalent to (33) being true for  $v = \phi_i$ , for i = 1, ..., N + 1. Since we want  $u \in U_h$ , there exist coefficients  $u_i$  such that

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} u_j \phi_j. (34)$$

Hence, we need

$$\int_{D} f\phi_{i} = -\int_{D} c\nabla \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} u_{j} \phi_{j} \right) \cdot \nabla \phi_{i}$$
(35)

for all  $i=1,\cdots,N+1$ . Using the linearity of  $\nabla$  and rearranging terms, this is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N+1} u_j \left[ -\int_D c \nabla \phi_j \cdot \nabla \phi_i \right] = \int_D f \phi_i \tag{36}$$

for all i = 1, ..., N + 1. If we set

$$A_{ij} = -\int_{D} c \nabla \phi_{j} \cdot \nabla \phi_{i}, \qquad b_{i} = \int_{D} f \phi_{i}, \qquad X_{j} = u_{j}, \tag{37}$$

then this is equivalent to the linear system AX = b.

# Problem 5.

Let A be a nonsingular, lower-triangular matrix; that is, i < j implies that  $A_{ij} = 0$ . Then  $A^{-1}$  is also lower-triangular.

*Proof.* We use induction on the size of the matrix. All  $1 \times 1$  matrices are trivially lower-triangular, so the base case holds. Now suppose that the claim is true for all matrices of size  $n \times n$ , where  $n \ge 1$ .

Let A be a nonsingular,  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ , lower-triangular matrix. Then every entry but the last entry of the last column of A is zero by the lower-triangular condition. That is, we can write A in block matrix form as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} B & 0 \\ c & d \end{bmatrix},\tag{38}$$

where B is a  $n \times n$  matrix, c is a  $1 \times n$  row vector, and d is a scalar. Since  $A_{ij} = B_{ij}$  if  $i, j \leq n$ , it follows that B is also lower-triangular. Furthermore, B must be nonsingular.

Indeed, suppose for the sake of contradiction that B is singular. Then its rows  $\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$  are linearly dependent. That is, there exist  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  not all zero such that

$$\alpha_1 B_1 + \dots + \alpha_n B_n = 0. \tag{39}$$

Let  $\{A_1, \dots, A_n, A_{n+1}\}$  denote the rows of A. Then  $A_i = \begin{bmatrix} B_i & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ . Hence,

$$\alpha_1 A_1 + \dots + \alpha_n A_n = 0 \tag{40}$$

as well. This implies that the rows of A are linearly dependent, which contradicts the nonsingularity of A.

Therefore, B is a nonsingular,  $n \times n$ , lower-triangular matrix, and the induction hypothesis implies that  $B^{-1}$  is lower-triangular.

In addition,  $d \neq 0$  because d = 0 implies that det(A) = 0 upon expansion by cofactors on the last column of A, which contradicts the nonsingularity of A.

We now observe that

$$A \begin{bmatrix} B^{-1} & 0 \\ -cB^{-1}d^{-1} & d^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B & 0 \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B^{-1} & 0 \\ -cB^{-1}d^{-1} & d^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{n \times n} & 0 \\ cB^{-1} - cB^{-1}d^{-1}d & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I_{(n+1)\times(n+1)}, \tag{41}$$

so

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} B^{-1} & 0\\ -cB^{-1}d^{-1} & d^{-1} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{42}$$

Then  $A^{-1}$  is lower-triangular because  $B^{-1}$  is lower triangular. Hence, the inverse of any nonsingular, lower-triangular matrix is also lower-triangular by induction.

### Problem 6.

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \kappa & \lambda \\ \lambda & \mu \end{bmatrix} \tag{43}$$

be a positive definite matrix. Then the Jacobi method for Ax = b converges.

*Proof.* We recall from the slides that the Jacobi method is the iteration

$$x^{(k+1)} = -D^{-1}Nx^{(k)} + D^{-1}b, (44)$$

where D is the diagonal of A, and N is the off-diagonal of A. This iteration converges if and only if  $\rho(-D^{-1}N) < 1$ . In this case,

$$-D^{-1}N = -\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \\ \frac{\lambda}{\kappa} & 0 \end{bmatrix},\tag{45}$$

so any eigenvalue  $\rho$  of  $-D^{-1}N$  satisfies  $\rho^2 - \frac{\lambda^2}{\kappa\mu} = 0$ . Therefore  $|\rho| < 1$  if and only if  $\lambda^2 < \kappa\mu$ , or  $\kappa\mu - \lambda^2 > 0$ . Since  $\kappa\mu - \lambda^2 = \det(A)$ , and the positive definiteness of A implies that  $\det(A) > 0$ , it follows that  $\rho(-D^{-1}N) < 1$ , and the Jacobi method converges.

#### Problem 7.

- (a)
- (b)

# Algorithm 1: Preconditioned Conjugate Gradient Method (PCG)

```
Input: A symmetric, positive-definite, n \times n matrix A
     Input: A symmetric, positive-definite, n \times n matrix M that is easy to invert (the preconditioner)
    Input: A vector b of length n
    Input: Initial guess x^{(0)} for the solution of Ax = b
    Input: Residual tolerance \varepsilon > 0
     Output: Approximate solution x of Ax = b
     // Initialization
 r^{(0)} \leftarrow b - Ax^{(0)};
 a d^{(0)} \leftarrow M^{-1}r^{(0)};
 3 k \leftarrow 0;
     // Iteration
 4 while ||r^{(k)}|| \ge \varepsilon do
          // Update x^{(k)}
         \alpha^{(k)} \leftarrow \frac{\left(r^{(k)}\right)^{T} M^{-1} r^{(k)}}{\left(d^{(k)}\right)^{T} A d^{(k)}};
x^{(k+1)} \leftarrow x^{(k)} + \alpha^{(k)} d^{(k)};
          // Update search direction and residual
         r^{(k+1)} \leftarrow r^{(k)} - \alpha^{(k)} A d^{(k)};
         \beta^{(k+1)} \leftarrow \frac{(r^{(k+1)})^T M^{-1} r^{(k+1)}}{(r^{(k)})^T M^{-1} r^{(k)}};
d^{(k+1)} \leftarrow M^{-1} r^{(k+1)} + \beta^{(k+1)} d^{(k)};
10 end
11 x \leftarrow x^{(k)};
```

#### Problem 8.

(a) The main implementation of the finite element method for our second-order BVP is found in fem\_dirichlet\_ld.m, and copied below. In order to avoid code duplication, this function takes the various data determining the equation as parameters, namely, a, b, g<sub>a</sub>, g<sub>b</sub>, f, and c, as well as the number of points in the mesh N. Most importantly, the method used for numerical integration and the solving of a linear system are passed as parameters as well.

```
1
   function [v_x, v_u] = fem_dirichlet_ld(a, b, ga, gb, c, f, n, integrator, solver)
2
3
   % Initialization
   h = (b - a) / n;
5
6
   v_x = linspace(a, b, n + 1);
7
8
   m_A = sparse(n + 1, n + 1);
9
   v_b = zeros(n + 1, 1);
10
11
    % Compute integral of c(x) over each element
12
   v_c_{int} = zeros(n, 1);
13
   for j = 1:n
14
        v_c_{int(j)} = integrator(c, v_x(j), v_x(j + 1)) / h^2;
15
   end
16
17
    % Assemble stiffness matrix (no BC)
18
   for j = 1:n
19
       m_A(j + 1, j) = v_c_{int(j)};
20
       m_A(j, j + 1) = v_c_{int(j)};
21
   end
22
23
   for j = 2:n
24
       m_A(j, j) = -v_c_{int}(j - 1) - v_c_{int}(j);
25
   end
26
27
   m_A(1, 1) = -v_c_{int}(1);
28
   m_A(n + 1, n + 1) = -v_c_{int}(n);
29
30
   % Enforce Dirichlet BC
31 \mid m_A(1, :) = 0;
32 \mid m_A(1, 1) = 1;
   m_A(n + 1, :) = 0;
33
34
   m_A(n + 1, n + 1) = 1;
35
36
   % Assemble load vector (no BC)
37
   for j = 2:n
38
        v_b(j) = integrator(@(x) f(x) .* (x - v_x(j - 1)) / h, v_x(j - 1), v_x(j));
39
        v_b(j) = v_b(j) + integrator(@(x) f(x) .* (v_x(j + 1) - x) / h, v_x(j), v_x(j + 1));
40
   end
41
42
   v_b(1) = integrator(@(x) f(x) .* (x - v_x(1)) / h, v_x(1), v_x(2));
43
   v_b(n + 1) = integrator(@(x) f(x) .* (v_x(n + 1) - x) / h, v_x(n), v_x(n + 1));
44
45
   v_b(1) = ga;
   v_b(n + 1) = gb;
46
47
```

```
48 | % Solve
49 | v_u = solver(m_A, v_b)';
```

To apply 4-point Gaussian quadrature, we reuse quad.m from Homework 6 (renamed to myquad.m). Note that the Guass-Legendre quadrature nodes and weights on [-1, 1] are given by

$$x_1 = \dots (46)$$

We implement the use of these nodes and weights in gauss4\_integrator.m, copied below. Using the MATLAB \ operator in the solver parameter is trivial, so we don't need a custom function for it.

```
function result = gauss4_integrator(f, a, b)
1
2
3
   nodes = [
4
        sqrt(3/7 - 2/7 * sqrt(6/5)), ...
5
        -sqrt (3/7 - 2/7 * sqrt (6/5)), ...
6
        sqrt(3/7 + 2/7 * sqrt(6/5)), ...
7
        -sqrt (3/7 + 2/7 * sqrt (6/5))
8
   ];
9
10
   weights = [
        (18 + sqrt(30)) / 36, ...
11
        (18 + sqrt(30)) / 36, ...
12
        (18 - sqrt(30)) / 36, ...
13
14
        (18 - sqrt(30)) / 36
15
   ];
16
17
   local_nodes = nodes * ((b - a) / 2) + (a + b) / 2;
   local\_weights = weights * ((b - a) / 2);
18
19
20
   result = myquad(f, local_nodes, local_weights);
```

(b) The input and output in the command window of MATLAB required to run the code in part (a) with the desired step sizes can be found in p8\_output.txt. The errors at x = 2 and x = 3 are reproduced in Table 1.

In order to compute the finite element approximation at x = 2 and x = 3 when these points are potentially not mesh nodes, we need to use linear interpolation (according to the definition of  $u_h$ ). This is implemented in lerp.m, reproduced below.

```
function result = lerp(v_u, v_x, a, b, n)

h = (b - a) / n;

i = (v_x - a) / h;
ell = 1 + floor(i);
r = ell + 1;
t = i - ell + 1;

result = v_u(ell) .* (1 - t) + v_u(r) .* t;
```

## Problem 9.

$\overline{h}$	Error at $x = 2$	Error at $x = 3$
$\frac{1}{4}$	0.003298	0.002988
$\frac{1}{8}$	0.000822	0.000746
$\frac{1}{16}$	0.000205	0.000187
$\frac{1}{32}$	0.000051	0.000047
$\frac{1}{64}$	0.000013	0.000012
$\frac{1}{128}$	0.000003	0.000003

Table 1: Errors at x = 2 and x = 3