

Math 6417 Homework 2

Jacob Hauck

October 4, 2023

A continuous function $\sigma : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is called **sigmoidal** if there exists $T > 0$ such that

$$\sigma(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & t \geq T, \\ 0 & t \leq -T. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Let σ be sigmoidal in the following problems.

Question 1.

Let $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$, and $\theta, \phi \in \mathbf{R}$. For $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, define

$$\sigma_\lambda(x; \theta, \phi) = \sigma(\lambda(y^T x + \theta) + \phi). \quad (2)$$

Then

$$\sigma_\lambda(x; \theta, \phi) \rightarrow \gamma(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & y^T x + \theta > 0 \\ 0 & y^T x + \theta < 0 \\ \sigma(\phi) & y^T x + \theta = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3)$$

Proof. We use proof by cases.

1. If $y^T x + \theta > 0$, then

$$\lambda \geq \frac{T - \phi}{y^T x + \theta} \implies \lambda(y^T x + \theta) + \phi \geq T \implies \sigma_\lambda(x; \theta, \phi) = 1, \quad (4)$$

so $\sigma_\lambda(x; \theta, \phi) \rightarrow 1 = \gamma(x)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

2. If $y^T x + \theta < 0$, then

$$\lambda \geq \frac{-T - \phi}{y^T x + \theta} \implies \lambda(y^T x + \theta) + \phi \leq -T \implies \sigma_\lambda(x; \theta, \phi) = 0, \quad (5)$$

so $\sigma_\lambda(x; \theta, \phi) \rightarrow 0 = \gamma(x)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

3. If $y^T x + \theta = 0$, then $\sigma_\lambda(x; \theta, \phi) = \sigma(\phi)$ for all λ , so $\sigma_\lambda(x; \theta, \phi) \rightarrow \sigma(\phi) = \gamma(x)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

□

Question 2.

Let $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$, let $\Pi_{y,\theta} = \{x \mid y^T x + \theta = 0\}$, and let $H_{y,\theta} = \{x \mid y^T x + \theta > 0\}$. If μ is a finite Borel measure on $[0, 1]^n$ such that

$$\int_{[0,1]^n} \sigma_\lambda(x) \, d\mu(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \lambda, \theta, \phi \in \mathbf{R}, \quad (6)$$

then

$$\sigma(\phi)\mu(\Pi_{y,\theta}) + \mu(H_{y,\theta}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \lambda, \theta, \phi \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (7)$$

Proof. Fix $\theta, \phi \in \mathbf{R}$. For any $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, the function $\sigma_\lambda(\cdot; \theta, \phi)$ is clearly dominated on $[0, 1]^n$ by the constant function $g(x) = \max_{t \in [-T, T]} |\sigma(t)|$. The following statements are true.

1. Open subsets of $[0, 1]^n$ (in the relative topology of $[0, 1]^n$) are μ -measurable by the definition of a Borel measure.
2. g is constant, so it is continuous, meaning that $g^{-1}(U)$ is open for any open set U (in the relative topology of $[0, 1]^n$).
3. 1. and 2. imply that $g^{-1}(U)$ is μ -measurable for any open set U (in the relative topology of $[0, 1]^n$).
4. 3. implies that g is μ -measurable.
5. $[0, 1]^n$ is open in the relative topology on $[0, 1]^n$, so it is μ -measurable by 1.
6. g is constant on the μ -measurable set $[0, 1]^n$, so g is simple with respect to the measure μ by definition.
7. Simple functions are always integrable, so, by 6., g is integrable with respect to μ .
8. σ is continuous, and $x \mapsto \lambda(y^T x + \theta) + \phi$ is also continuous, so $\sigma_\lambda(\cdot; \theta, \phi)$, the composition, of the two, is also continuous.
9. By 8., $\sigma_\lambda(\cdot; \theta, \phi)$ is continuous on $[0, 1]^n$, so $\sigma_\lambda^{-1}(U; \theta, \phi)$ is open for any open set U (in the relative topology of $[0, 1]^n$).
10. 1. and 9. imply that $\sigma_\lambda^{-1}(U; \theta, \phi)$ is open for any open set U (in the relative topology of $[0, 1]^n$).
11. 10. implies that $\sigma_\lambda(\cdot; \theta, \phi)$ is μ -measurable.

Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be any sequence of real numbers such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\sigma_{\lambda_n}(x; \theta, \phi) \rightarrow \gamma(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in [0, 1]^n$ by the previous problem, and $\{\sigma_{\lambda_n}(\cdot; \theta, \phi)\}$ and g satisfy the hypotheses of the Dominated Convergence Theorem, so

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0, 1]^n} \sigma_{\lambda_n}(x; \theta, \phi) \, d\mu(x) \quad (8)$$

$$= \int_{[0, 1]^n} \gamma(x) \, d\mu(x) \quad (9)$$

$$= \int_{[0, 1]^n \setminus (\Pi_{y, \theta} \cup H_{y, \theta})} \gamma(x) \, d\mu(x) + \int_{\Pi_{y, \theta}} \gamma(x) \, d\mu(x) + \int_{H_{y, \theta}} \gamma(x) \, d\mu(x) \quad (10)$$

$$= \int_{[0, 1]^n \setminus (\Pi_{y, \theta} \cup H_{y, \theta})} 0 \, d\mu(x) + \int_{\Pi_{y, \theta}} \sigma(\phi) \, d\mu(x) + \int_{H_{y, \theta}} 1 \, d\mu(x) \quad (11)$$

$$= \sigma(\phi)\mu(\Pi_{y, \theta}) + \mu(H_{y, \theta}). \quad (12)$$

□

Question 3.

Suppose that μ satisfies (6). Then $\mu = 0$.

Proof. Define the linear functional $F : L^\infty(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$F(h) = \int_{[0, 1]^n} h(y^T x) \, d\mu(x) \quad (13)$$

First, let $h = \chi_{[a, \infty)}$ for some $a \in \mathbf{R}$. Choose $\theta = -a$, and $\phi = T$. Then $\sigma(\phi) = 1$, and

$$h(y^T x) = 1 \iff y^T x \geq a \iff y^T x + \theta \geq 0 \iff y^T x \in \Pi_{y, \theta} \cup H_{y, \theta}. \quad (14)$$

If $y^T x \notin \Pi_{y, \theta} \cup H_{y, \theta}$, then $h(y^T x) = \chi_{[a, \infty)}(y^T x) = 0$, because characteristic functions can only be 0 or 1. Therefore, by the previous problem,

$$F(h) = \int_{[0, 1]^n} h(y^T x) \, d\mu(x) \quad (15)$$

$$= \int_{\Pi_{y, \theta}} 1 \, d\mu(x) + \int_{H_{y, \theta}} 1 \, d\mu(x) \quad (16)$$

$$= 1 \cdot \mu(\Pi_{y, \theta}) + \mu(H_{y, \theta}) = \sigma(\phi)\mu(\Pi_{y, \theta}) + \mu(H_{y, \theta}) = 0. \quad (17)$$

Second, for $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$, the characteristic function $\chi_{[a, b]}$ can be written as $\chi_{[a, \infty)} - \chi_{[b, \infty)}$. Since F is linear, it follows that $F(\chi_{[a, b]}) = 0$. \square

Question 4.

Let \mathcal{N} be the set of all functions $G \in C[0, 1]$ of the form

$$G(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \sigma(y_j x + \theta_j), \quad (18)$$

for some $\alpha_j, y_j, \theta_j \in \mathbf{R}$. Then \mathcal{N} is dense in $C[0, 1]$ in the uniform norm.

Proof. It is clear that \mathcal{N} is a subspace of $C[0, 1]$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ is a closed subspace of $C[0, 1]$.

Suppose that \mathcal{N} is *not* dense in $C[0, 1]$. Then there exists some function $f \in C[0, 1]$ such that $f \notin \overline{\mathcal{N}}$. Define the linear functional μ_0 on the subspace $\text{span}\{\overline{\mathcal{N}}, f\}$ by setting

$$\mu_0(G + af) = a. \quad (19)$$

This is well-defined because every element $g \in \text{span}\{\overline{\mathcal{N}}, f\}$ can be written uniquely in the form $g = G + af$, where $G \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}$, and $a \in \mathbf{R}$. Indeed, if we had $G, G' \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}$, and $a, a' \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $G + af = G' + a'f$, then $G - G' = (a' - a)f$, and $a \neq a'$ would imply that $f \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}$, so $a = a'$, and $G = G'$.

The functional μ_0 is clearly linear because

$$\mu_0(r(G + af) + s(G' + a'f)) = \mu_0((rG + sG') + (sa + ra')f) = sa + ra' = s\mu_0(G + af) + r\mu_0(G' + a'f). \quad (20)$$

Furthermore, $\mu_0(\mathcal{N}) = \{0\}$ because

$$\mu_0(G) = \mu_0(G + 0f) = 0. \quad (21)$$

Finally, μ_0 is dominated by the sublinear functional $p = \frac{\|\cdot\|}{\|f\|}$ because

$$|\mu_0(G + af)| = |a| = \frac{\|af\|}{\|f\|} \leq \frac{\|G + af\| + \|G\|}{\|f\|} \quad (22)$$

\square