

Object type: Mask Indigenous name: Mei

Culture/location: Ramu, Papua New Guinea

Date: 20th century

Materials: Wood with fiber tufts and basketry

perimeter

Dimensions: H. 13 ¹/₂ x W. 3 ¹/₂ in.

Institution and accession number: The Cantor Arts

Center at Stanford University, 1996.36



Object type: Mask Indigenous name: N/A

Culture/location: latmul, Papua New Guinea

Date: 20th century

Materials: Wood, pigment, cowrie shells

Dimensions: H. 17 ¹/₂ x W. 3 ¹/₂ in.

Institution and accession number: The Cantor Arts Center at Stanford University, 1992.72

Masquerade is a common theme across Melanesia; masks are often theatrical, flamboyant, and expressive (Kaeppler 1963, 119). These two masks, both from Papua New Guinea, are similar in that they are dramatic, have totemic references, and can be linked to the influence of trade practices. These masks were most likely used in ceremonies that celebrate either genealogy or a men's secret society (Kaeppler 1963, 124).

In order to better understand the latmul mask, it is important to understand the culture that created it. The latmul people live along the middle of the Sepik River in Papua New Guinea in large communities (Silverman 2003). Within the community, the men who descended from the community founder hold the political power (Silverman 2003). However, women do have a role in society as mothers, caretakers, and peacekeepers (Silverman 2003). Social relations constitute a huge part of daily life; the community is closely knit because of familial ties and friendships (Silverman 2003). However, there is an underlying competitiveness between families and men's secret societies (Silverman 2003).

The second mask was created by an artist from Ramu. The Ramu people live near the Ramu River in Papua New Guinea; this river runs from Eastern Papua New Guinea to the ocean, where it ends twelve miles from the Sepik River (Stanhope 1968, 137). I could not find any other information on the Ramu people; even the tiny bit of information I found is dated. I am wondering why this is the case. To me, this raises questions about why this culture is not studied or represented as much as other cultures such as the latmul. I have found sources that pertain to the geography of the land, but there is almost nothing about the people and their art, which is disheartening to me because I would love to know more.

Although not much is published about the Ramu people, their art ties into the broad themes of Melanesia because people travelled along the Sepik River system and its tributaries. The Ramu people had contact with other people and shared their ideas and goods in a sophisticated, politically-motivated way (Gell in Görlich 1998, 295). For example, it was common for Melanesians to give their art as gifts; however, a favor was expected in return (Carrier 1992, 190).

It is not my intent to make or perpetuate generalizations, but in some Melanesian cultures, men from within the same family or societal group identify themselves based upon their totem (Silverman 1996, 33-34). A totem is an animal reference to a group's ancestry and myths (Lang and Burn 1902, 347). Each family or group of men had a totem that only they had the rights to create in artwork (Silverman 1996, 34).

Both masks seem to have totemic references and were probably used with other masks and objects during a community-based social ceremony (Kaeppler 1963, 133). It can't be said definitively whether the totems in these two masks represent the totem of the man's family or the totem of his secret society. Secret societies were comprised of a group of strictly men (Dinerman 1981, 811). Each region had several secret societies in constant rivalry (Dinerman 1981, 811). Not all men belonged to a secret society, men had to be recruited and then move up the age grade (Dinerman 1981, 811). In the practice of secret societies, status was earned not inherited (Dinerman 1981, 811). Because of this, a man's place in society was not static; he had to complete tasks in order to increase his social rank (Dinerman 1981, 812). Being initiated into a secret society was a respectable honor, certainly worthy of celebration (Dinerman 1981, 812).

In my interpretation, the mouth of the first mask looks similar to a bird beak; this characteristic seems to be common in Sepik masks (Forge 1965, 23). I was unable to find published material about the potential meaning of the beak represented in Melanesian masks; however, the beak appears elaborately and elegantly carved and painted in order to make it

prominent. On the second mask, I see a totem, perhaps it is a crocodile, represented under the chin. There are several latmul myths and practices centered on the crocodile (Dinerman 1981, 815). For example, men that had been initiated into secret societies were seen as "crocodiles" because they upheld order in their community (Dinerman 1981, 815). This references back to the myth that the islands on the Sepik River remain above water because they are on the backs of crocodiles (Dinerman 1981, 815).

I chose these two masks because their beauty captured my attention. I love their forms as well as the detail and skill with which they were crafted. Once I read about the cultures, I was even more intrigued by the masks and wanted to share what little information I have found. I believe that we should collaborate more with the people whose ancestors created these masks in order to learn more about the latmul and Ramu people. These cultures are adaptive, not primitive; they have a presence today and I do not think it should go unnoticed or be overlooked (Errington 1994, 201-202).

Bibliography

- Carrier, James G. The Gift in Theory and Practice in Melanesia: A Note on the Centrality of Gift Exchange." *Ethnology* 31, no. 2 (1992): 185-193.
- Dinerman, Ina R. "latmul Art as Iconography (New Guinea)." *Anthropos* 75, no. 5 (1981): 807-824.
- Errington, Shelly. "What Became Authentic Primitive Art?" *Cultural Anthropology* 9, no. 2 (1994): 201-226.
- Forge, J.A.W. "Art and Environment in the Sepik." *Proceedings of the Royal Anthropological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland* no. 1965 (1965): 23-31.
- Görlich, Joachim. "The Construction of Social Meaning and Material Value: A Note on Trade in Melanesia." *Oceania* 68, no. 4 (1998): 294-301.
- Kaeppler, Adrienne L. "Ceremonial Masks: A Melanesian Art Style." *The Journal of the Polynesian Society* 72, no. 2 (1963): 118-138.
- Lang, A. and C.S. Burne. "The Origin of Totem Names and Beliefs." *Folklore* 13, no. 4 (1902): 347-393.
- Mask (Mei). Photograph. From Cantor Arts Center at Stanford University. http://cantorcollections.stanford.edu/Obj11434?sid=737&x=7017.
- Silverman, Eric K. "latmul". In *Encyclopedia of Sex and Gender: Men and Women in the World's Cultures*, edited by Carol R. Ember and Melvin Ember. Dordrecht: Springer Science+Business Media, 2003.
- Silverman, Eric K. "The Gender of the Cosmos: Totemism, Society and Embodiment in the Sepik River." *Oceania* 67, no. 1 (1996): 30-49.
- Small Mask with Zoomorphic Nose. Photograph. From Cantor Arts Center at Stanford University. http://cantorcollections.stanford.edu/Obj10965?sid=737&x=7309.
- Stanhope, J.M. "Competing Systems of Medicine Among the Rao-Breri, Lower Ramu River, New Guinea." *Oceania* 39, no. 2 (1968): 137-145.