

ICPSR 37302

**Law Enforcement Management and
Administrative Statistics
Body-Worn Camera Supplement
(LEMAS-BWCS), 2016**

*United States Department of Justice.
Office of Justice Programs. Bureau of
Justice Statistics*

Codebook

Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106
www.icpsr.umich.edu

Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at:
<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICPSR/studies/37302/terms>

Information about Copyrighted Content

Some instruments administered for studies archived with ICPSR may contain in whole or substantially in part contents from copyrighted instruments. Reproductions of the instruments are provided as documentation for the analysis of the data associated with this collection. Restrictions on "fair use" apply to all copyrighted content. More information about the reproduction of copyrighted works by educators and librarians is available from the United States Copyright Office.

NOTICE

WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

Table of Contents

Study Description.....	4
Citation.....	4
Study Scope.....	4
Subject Information.....	4
Abstract.....	4
Summary Data Description.....	4
Methodology and Processing.....	5
Data Collection Methodology.....	5
Data Appraisal Information.....	6
Data Access.....	6
Dataset Availability.....	6
Data Use Statement.....	7
File Description.....	8
.....	8
Variable Description.....	9
.....	10

Study Description

Citation

Title Statement

Title: Law Enforcement Management and Administrative Statistics Body-Worn Camera Supplement (LEMAS-BWCS), 2016

Alternative Title: LEMAS-BWCS 2016

Identification No.: 37302

Responsibility Statement

Authoring Entity: United States Department of Justice. Bureau of Justice Statistics

Production Statement

Producer: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research

Place of Production: Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research

Funding Agency: United States Department of Justice. Bureau of Justice Statistics

Distribution Statement

Distributor: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research

Version Statement

Version: First ICPSR Version

Version Responsibility: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research

Bibliographic Citation

Study Scope

Subject Information

Keyword(s): administration, body-worn cameras, law enforcement, law enforcement agencies, management, personnel, police departments, policies, statistical data

Topic Classification(s): Social Institutions and Behavior, Crime and the Criminal Justice System

Abstract

Beginning in 2016, the Law Enforcement Management and Administrative Statistics (LEMAS) survey adopted a core and supplement structure. The LEMAS core has been conducted every 3 to 4 years since 1987 with approximately 3,200 local, county and state law enforcement agencies across the United States. Due to the breadth of the survey, detailed analysis of any specific law enforcement topic cannot be done with the LEMAS core. The LEMAS supplements are designed to fill this void by allowing for a more comprehensive examination on a key topic in law enforcement and are administered in between core years. The 2016 LEMAS Body-Worn Camera Supplement (LEMAS-BWCS) is the first supplement administered under the new structure.

Summary Data Description

Time Period: 2015 - 2016

Country: United States

Geographic Coverage: United States

Geographic Unit: City, County, and State

Unit of Analysis: Law enforcement agencies

Universe: Publicly funded State and local law enforcement agencies in the United States in 2016

Kind of Data: Survey data

Methodology and Processing

Data Collection Methodology

Time Method: Cross-section

Sampling Procedure:

The sample for the 2016 LEMAS-BWCS was derived from the 2016 Law Enforcement Agency Roster (LEAR) database. The LEAR was originally built from a variety of sources including, but not limited to, the 2008 and 2014 Census of State and Local Law Enforcement Agencies (CSLLEA) and the 2013 LEMAS Survey. The 2016 LEAR contains a census of 15,810 general purpose law enforcement agencies, including 12,695 local and county police departments, 3,066 sheriffs' offices and 49 primary state police departments.

Local police departments and sheriffs' offices were chosen for the 2016 LEMAS-BWCS using a stratified sample design based on number of full- and part-time sworn officers (part-time officers were counted as 0.5 full-time equivalents) and agency type. The sample was designed to be representative of all general purpose state and local law enforcement agencies in the United States, with separate samples drawn of local police departments and sheriffs' offices. All 49 primary state law enforcement agencies (state police and highway patrol) and all local departments and sheriffs' offices with 100 or more full-time sworn officers were included. Agencies serving special jurisdictions (such as schools, airports, or parks), or with special enforcement responsibilities (such as conservation laws or alcohol laws), were considered out of scope for the LEMAS-BWCS.

The original 2016 LEMAS-BWCS sample included 5,063 local, county and state law enforcement agencies. During the data collection phase, it was determined that 56 agencies did not engage in primary law enforcement activities, 13 agencies had closed, 7 had one part-time sworn officer (a minimum of the equivalent of one full-time officer was required for inclusion), 3 were temporarily without sworn staff, 7 were part of another agency or contracted services and 1 was a duplicate agency. The final sample size was 4,976 and included 1,048 self-representing (SR) agencies with 100 or more sworn personnel and 3,928 nonself-representing (NSR) agencies employing fewer than 100 sworn personnel.

The SR agencies included 640 local and county police departments, 359 sheriffs' offices, and 49 state law enforcement agencies. The NSR local police agencies and NSR sheriffs' agencies were selected using a stratified random sample based on the number of sworn personnel and agency type. The total NSR local police sample included 3,067 agencies and the NSR sheriffs' agencies sample included 861 agencies.

Mode of Data Collection: Data were primarily collected through two different modes. Agencies had the choice to respond via web or mail in a paper survey. Additional data was captured through phone interviews with an abbreviated list of questions from the survey. Among the responding agencies, 86% completed via web, 12% via mail, and 2% by combination of web and phone.

Actions to Minimize Losses:

All departments, regardless of having obtained body-worn cameras (BWCs), were asked to complete the LEMAS-BWCS. Items 1-10 and 67-72 were asked of all respondents. Items 11-60 were asked among those agencies that reported having acquired BWCs, while items 61-66 were asked for agencies that had not acquired BWCs. For the 3,928 agencies completing the survey, overall item nonresponse rates due to omission or invalid data were less than 2% for the majority of items. Respondents missing data for a particular item were not removed from the denominator for analyses in the report, Body-Worn Cameras in Law Enforcement Agencies, 2016 (NCJ 251775) .

- Study 37302 -

Imputation was used only on the number of full-time sworn officers. Cold-deck imputation was implemented using the value from the LEAR frame. Minor editing was completed for BWC acquisition based on subsequent questions (i.e., an agency marking had not acquired but answered the questions on acquisition confirming they had in fact acquired BWCs).

Weighting:

The base weight for the SR departments was 1.000. The 6 remaining strata for local police departments and their corresponding base weights were 50 to 99 officers, 3.756; 25 to 49 officers, 3.745; 10 to 24 officers, 3.746; 5 to 9 officers, 3.748; 2 to 4 officers, 3.748, and 1 officer, 3.756. For sheriffs' offices the corresponding base weights were 50 to 99 officers, 3.076; 25 to 49 officers, 3.068; 10 to 24 officers, 3.052; 5 to 9 officers, 3.040; 2 to 4 officers, 3.085, and 1 officer, 2.889.

Data Appraisal Information

Response Rate:

Of the 4,976 eligible agencies that received the 2016 LEMAS-BWCS, 3,928 agencies completed the survey, for a response rate of 79%. By size, the response rate for local police departments ranged from 92% for SR agencies to 62% for agencies with 1 officer. For sheriffs' offices, the response rate ranged from 83% for SR agencies to 50% for agencies with 1 officer. The response rate for primary state police agencies was approximately 90%.

Since the overall response rate was less than 80%, a non-response bias analysis was conducted. Effect sizes were calculated across agency type only, size only, size and type combined, and census region. Frame data were used for both respondents and non-respondents. The effect size compares sample members to respondents to detect if there is large deviation. Generally, effect sizes less than 0.2 are considered small and do not indicate concern for nonresponse bias. All four effect sizes were smaller than 0.2, with the combination of size and type having the largest calculated effect size of 0.1.

An adjustment factor unique to each stratum was used to account for non-response and ineligible agencies. Five primary state police agencies did not complete the LEMAS-BWCS. Two state agencies submitted a LEMAS-BWCS questionnaire that was not complete but were confirmed they had not acquired BWCs. The other three state agencies that did not submit a LEMAS-BWCS completed the 2016 LEMAS core survey and indicated that they had 0 BWCs. Therefore, the 13 state agencies that reported having BWCs represents the census of state police agencies with BWCs and did not require a nonresponse adjustment. The nonresponse adjustment was calculated for state police agencies without BWCs and a final weight of 1.161 is applied to items that were asked only of agencies without BWCs.

Estimates of Sampling Error:

The accuracy of the estimates presented in this report depends on two types of error: sampling and non-sampling. Sampling error is the variation that may occur by chance because a sample was used rather than a complete enumeration of the population. Non-sampling error can be attributed to many sources, such as the inability to obtain information about all cases in the sample, inability to obtain complete and correct information from the administrative records, and processing errors. In any survey, the full extent of the non-sampling error is never known.

The sampling error, as measured by an estimated standard error, varies by the size of the estimate and the size of the base population. Because the BWC data were collected from a sample, the results are subject to sampling error. Variance and standard error estimates for the 2016 LEMAS-BWCS were generated using the IBM SPSS statistical software package. The Taylor linearization method for a "stratified without replacement" design was used for these calculations.

These standard error estimates may be used to construct confidence intervals around percentages in this report. For example, the 95%-confidence interval around the percentage of departments that have acquired body worn cameras is $47\% \pm (1.96 \times 0.8\%)$, or approximately 45% to 49%.

Standard error estimates may also be used to construct confidence intervals around numeric variables such as number full-time sworn personnel in agencies with body worn cameras. For example, the 95%-confidence interval around the number of full-time sworn personnel in agencies with body worn cameras is approximately $414,504 \pm (1.96 \times 30,587)$, or 354,553 to 474,455.

Data Access

Dataset Availability

- Study 37302 -

Location: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research

Extent of Collection: 1 data file + machine-readable documentation (PDF) + SAS setup file(s) + SPSS setup file(s) + Stata setup file(s) + SAS transport + SPSS + Stata system

Data Use Statement:

Citation Requirement: Publications based on ICPSR data collections should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications.

Deposit Requirement: To provide funding agencies with essential information about use of archival resources and to facilitate the exchange of information about ICPSR participants' research activities, users of ICPSR data are requested to send to ICPSR bibliographic citations for each completed manuscript or thesis abstract. Visit the ICPSR Web site for more information on submitting

Data Files Description

File-by-File Description

File Structure (rectangular)

File Dimensions:

- No. of Cases: 3928
- No. of Variables: 260
- Record Length: 3,580

ICPSR 37302

Law Enforcement Management and Administrative Statistics Body-Worn Camera Supplement (LEMAS-BWCS), 2016

Variable Description and Frequencies

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, mean, median, and standard deviation) may not be available for every variable in the codebook. Conversely, a listing of frequencies in table format may not be present for every variable in the codebook either. However, all variables in the dataset are present and display sufficient information about each variable. These decisions are made intentionally and are at the discretion of the archive producing this codebook.

Law Enforcement Management and Administrative Statistics Body-Worn Camera Supplement (LEMAS-BWCS), 2016

LEAR_ID - Law enforcement agency roster ID

Location: 1-6 (width: 6; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Mean: 639513.37
- Minimum: 288574.00
- Maximum: 652283.00
- Standard Deviation: 14837.20

AGENCY_NAME - Agency name

Location: 7-56 (width: 50; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

ADDRESS1 - Agency address

Location: 57-93 (width: 37; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

CITY - City

Location: 94-118 (width: 25; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

AGENCY_STATE - State

Location: 119-120 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

ZIP - Zip code

Location: 121-125 (width: 5; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Mean: 48687.41
- Minimum: 1020.00
- Maximum: 99901.00
- Standard Deviation: 26475.06

COUNTY - County

- Study 37302 -

Location: 126-152 (width: 27; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

FIPS - Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) state + county code

Location: 153-157 (width: 5; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1001	-	1	0.0 %
1003	-	2	0.1 %
1005	-	2	0.1 %
1007	-	2	0.1 %
1009	-	1	0.0 %
1015	-	2	0.1 %
1021	-	1	0.0 %
1025	-	1	0.0 %
1027	-	1	0.0 %
1029	-	2	0.1 %
1031	-	2	0.1 %
1033	-	2	0.1 %
1035	-	1	0.0 %
1039	-	2	0.1 %
1043	-	1	0.0 %
1045	-	3	0.1 %
1049	-	2	0.1 %
1055	-	2	0.1 %
1059	-	1	0.0 %
1061	-	1	0.0 %
1063	-	1	0.0 %
1065	-	1	0.0 %
1067	-	1	0.0 %
1069	-	1	0.0 %
1071	-	1	0.0 %
1073	-	5	0.1 %
1077	-	2	0.1 %
1079	-	1	0.0 %
1081	-	2	0.1 %
1089	-	2	0.1 %
1091	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1093	-	1	0.0 %
1095	-	1	0.0 %
1097	-	4	0.1 %
1101	-	3	0.1 %
1103	-	4	0.1 %
1115	-	1	0.0 %
1117	-	4	0.1 %
1123	-	1	0.0 %
1125	-	2	0.1 %
1127	-	2	0.1 %
1131	-	1	0.0 %
1133	-	1	0.0 %
2016	-	1	0.0 %
2020	-	2	0.1 %
2185	-	1	0.0 %
2195	-	1	0.0 %
2230	-	1	0.0 %
4001	-	3	0.1 %
4003	-	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	332	8.5 %
	Total	3928	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

- Mean: 29982.77
- Minimum: 1001.00
- Maximum: 56037.00
- Standard Deviation: 15019.59

ORI9 - 9-digit Originating Agency Identifier (ORI) number

Location: 158-166 (width: 9; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

SAMPTYPE - Agency type

Location: 167-167 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Sheriff	935	23.8 %
3	Local police	2949	75.1 %
5	State agency	44	1.1 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 2.55
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.89

STRATA - Agency type and size

Location: 168-170 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
101	LP: 100+	587	14.9 %
102	LP: 50-99	173	4.4 %
103	LP: 25-49	367	9.3 %
104	LP: 10-24	692	17.6 %
105	LP: 5-9	572	14.6 %
106	LP: 2-4	437	11.1 %
107	LP: 1	121	3.1 %
201	Sheriff: 100+	298	7.6 %
202	Sheriff: 50-99	91	2.3 %
203	Sheriff: 25-49	149	3.8 %
204	Sheriff: 10-24	219	5.6 %
205	Sheriff: 5-9	126	3.2 %
206	Sheriff: 2-4	48	1.2 %
207	Sheriff: 1	4	0.1 %
301	State	44	1.1 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 129.59
- Median: 105.00
- Mode: 104.00
- Minimum: 101.00
- Maximum: 301.00
- Standard Deviation: 45.98

Q_8 - What is the approximate number of full-time sworn officers with general arrest powers?

Location: 171-175 (width: 5; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	47	1.2 %
1	-	182	4.6 %
2	-	171	4.4 %
3	-	175	4.5 %
4	-	165	4.2 %
5	-	153	3.9 %
6	-	148	3.8 %
7	-	107	2.7 %
8	-	118	3.0 %
9	-	84	2.1 %
10	-	101	2.6 %
11	-	61	1.6 %
12	-	85	2.2 %
13	-	65	1.7 %
14	-	73	1.9 %
15	-	71	1.8 %
16	-	55	1.4 %
17	-	55	1.4 %
18	-	53	1.3 %
19	-	35	0.9 %
20	-	50	1.3 %
21	-	29	0.7 %
22	-	45	1.1 %
23	-	30	0.8 %
24	-	37	0.9 %
25	-	41	1.0 %
26	-	27	0.7 %
27	-	22	0.6 %
28	-	33	0.8 %
29	-	17	0.4 %
30	-	46	1.2 %
31	-	15	0.4 %
32	-	38	1.0 %
33	-	21	0.5 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
34	-	22	0.6 %
35	-	25	0.6 %
36	-	23	0.6 %
37	-	15	0.4 %
38	-	21	0.5 %
39	-	10	0.3 %
40	-	29	0.7 %
41	-	13	0.3 %
42	-	16	0.4 %
43	-	15	0.4 %
44	-	16	0.4 %
45	-	28	0.7 %
46	-	9	0.2 %
47	-	13	0.3 %
48	-	7	0.2 %
49	-	5	0.1 %
	Total	3928	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

- Mean: 120.87
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 36183.00
- Standard Deviation: 732.28

Q_8_IMPUTED - Imputation flag for question 8

Location: 176-176 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	3917	99.7 %
1	Yes	11	0.3 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.05

Q_10A - Has your agency acquired body worn cameras?

Location: 177-177 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agency has acquired in any form (including testing)	1915	48.8 %
2	Agency has not acquired	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.51
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Q_10A_IMPUTED - Imputation flag for question 10a

Location: 178-178 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	3911	99.6 %
1	Yes	17	0.4 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.07

Q_10B - Has your agency acquired car dashboard cameras?

Location: 179-180 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agency has acquired in any form (including testing)	2749	70.0 %
2	Agency has not acquired	1040	26.5 %
	Missing Data		

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	Missing	139	3.5 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.27
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.45

Q_10C - Has your agency acquired personal audio recorders?

Location: 181-182 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agency has acquired in any form (including testing)	1597	40.7 %
2	Agency has not acquired	2045	52.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	286	7.3 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.56
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Q_10D - Has your agency acquired other event-recording equipment?

Location: 183-184 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agency has acquired in any form (including testing)	65	1.7 %
2	Agency has not acquired	3210	81.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	653	16.6 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Study 37302 -

- Mean: 1.98
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.14

Q_10D_OTHER - Other specify event-recording equipment

Location: 185-253 (width: 69; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Q10D_UPCODE - Upcoding flag for Q10D

Location: 254-256 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Upcoded	646	16.4 %
2	Not upcoded	55	1.4 %
3	Partially upcoded	10	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A originally marked 'Not Acquired' on Q_10D	2564	65.3 %
-9	Missing	653	16.6 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.11
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.35

Q_10E - Upcoded: Recording devices for interview rooms

Location: 257-259 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agency has acquired in any form (including testing)	333	8.5 %
2	Agency has not acquired	378	9.6 %
	Missing Data		

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-88	N/A originally marked 'Not Acquired' on Q_10D	2564	65.3 %
-9	Missing	653	16.6 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.53
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Q_10F - Upcoded: Covert cameras- surveillance

Location: 260-262 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agency has acquired in any form (including testing)	44	1.1 %
2	Agency has not acquired	667	17.0 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A originally marked 'Not Acquired' on Q_10D	2564	65.3 %
-9	Missing	653	16.6 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.94
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.24

Q_10G - Upcoded: Police Department (PD)/city building or utility pole surveillance

Location: 263-265 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agency has acquired in any form (including testing)	171	4.4 %
2	Agency has not acquired	540	13.7 %
	Missing Data		

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-88	N/A originally marked 'Not Acquired' on Q_10D	2564	65.3 %
-9	Missing	653	16.6 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.76
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.43

Q_10H - Upcoded: Handheld/mobile (e.g., TASER, phone, camcorder)

Location: 266-268 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agency has acquired in any form (including testing)	108	2.7 %
2	Agency has not acquired	603	15.4 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A originally marked 'Not Acquired' on Q_10D	2564	65.3 %
-9	Missing	653	16.6 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.85
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.36

ANY_TYPE - Agency has acquired any type of recording device

Location: 269-270 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	541	13.8 %
1	Yes	3321	84.5 %
	Missing Data		

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	Missing	66	1.7 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.86
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.35

ANY_Q10_OTHER - Agency has other devices including upcodes

Location: 271-272 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2607	66.4 %
1	Yes	668	17.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	653	16.6 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.20
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.40

Q_11_M - When did your agency first get body-worn cameras? Month:

Location: 273-274 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	316	8.0 %
2	-	135	3.4 %
3	-	182	4.6 %
4	-	146	3.7 %
5	-	201	5.1 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	-	199	5.1 %
7	-	161	4.1 %
8	-	115	2.9 %
9	-	121	3.1 %
10	-	145	3.7 %
11	-	81	2.1 %
12	-	84	2.1 %
Missing Data			
-9	Missing	29	0.7 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
Total		3928	100%

- Mean: 5.51
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 12.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.35

Q_11_Y - When did your agency first get body-worn cameras? Year:

Location: 275-278 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1996	-	1	0.0 %
2000	-	4	0.1 %
2004	-	2	0.1 %
2005	-	4	0.1 %
2006	-	4	0.1 %
2007	-	11	0.3 %
2008	-	20	0.5 %
2009	-	39	1.0 %
2010	-	76	1.9 %
2011	-	65	1.7 %
2012	-	103	2.6 %
2013	-	126	3.2 %
2014	-	381	9.7 %
2015	-	667	17.0 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2016	-	397	10.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	15	0.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 2014.04
- Median: 2015.00
- Mode: 2015.00
- Minimum: 1996.00
- Maximum: 2016.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.14

Q_12 - About how many body-worn cameras are currently in service?

Location: 279-282 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	97	2.5 %
1	-	191	4.9 %
2	-	137	3.5 %
3	-	112	2.9 %
4	-	127	3.2 %
5	-	107	2.7 %
6	-	102	2.6 %
7	-	46	1.2 %
8	-	89	2.3 %
9	-	49	1.2 %
10	-	72	1.8 %
11	-	19	0.5 %
12	-	62	1.6 %
13	-	27	0.7 %
14	-	21	0.5 %
15	-	62	1.6 %
16	-	16	0.4 %
17	-	22	0.6 %
18	-	26	0.7 %
19	-	7	0.2 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
20	-	48	1.2 %
21	-	8	0.2 %
22	-	18	0.5 %
23	-	6	0.2 %
24	-	12	0.3 %
25	-	20	0.5 %
26	-	9	0.2 %
27	-	6	0.2 %
28	-	9	0.2 %
29	-	4	0.1 %
30	-	18	0.5 %
31	-	3	0.1 %
32	-	8	0.2 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	9	0.2 %
36	-	8	0.2 %
37	-	5	0.1 %
38	-	2	0.1 %
39	-	3	0.1 %
40	-	14	0.4 %
42	-	4	0.1 %
43	-	4	0.1 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	3	0.1 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
47	-	3	0.1 %
48	-	7	0.2 %
49	-	2	0.1 %
50	-	25	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	18	0.5 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

• Mean: 31.82

- Study 37302 -

- Median: 8.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1200.00
- Standard Deviation: 92.27

Q_12_ESTACT - Is the number of body-worn cameras currently in service an estimate or an actual count?

Location: 283-284 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Estimate	424	10.8 %
2	Actual count of deployed devices	1470	37.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	21	0.5 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.78
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.42

Q_13 - How would you describe the current state of body-worn camera deployment in your agency?

Location: 285-286 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Exploratory/pilot deployment	446	11.4 %
2	Partial deployment	261	6.6 %
3	Complete deployment for some assignments/partial deployment in others	191	4.9 %
4	Full deployment to all intended personnel	956	24.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	10	0.3 %
77	Unsure/don't know	51	1.3 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Study 37302 -

- Mean: 2.89
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.27

Q14M1 - Insufficient funding prevented a full deployment of body-worn cameras

Location: 287-288 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	343	8.7 %
1	Yes	555	14.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	10	0.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1007	25.6 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.62
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.49

Q14M2 - Lack of data storage capacity prevented a full deployment of body-worn cameras

Location: 289-290 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	560	14.3 %
1	Yes	338	8.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	10	0.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1007	25.6 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Study 37302 -

- Mean: 0.38
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Q14M3 - Lack of staff to handle footage prevented a full deployment of body-worn cameras

Location: 291-292 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	630	16.0 %
1	Yes	268	6.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	10	0.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1007	25.6 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.30
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.46

Q14M4 - Benefits remaining unclear prevented a full deployment of body-worn cameras

Location: 293-294 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	793	20.2 %
1	Yes	105	2.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	10	0.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1007	25.6 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Study 37302 -

- Mean: 0.12
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.32

Q14M5 - Lack of officer support prevented a full deployment of body-worn cameras

Location: 295-296 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	865	22.0 %
1	Yes	33	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	10	0.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1007	25.6 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.04
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.19

Q14M6 - Lack of union support prevented a full deployment of body-worn cameras

Location: 297-298 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	885	22.5 %
1	Yes	13	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	10	0.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1007	25.6 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Study 37302 -

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.12

Q14M7 - Lack of supervisory leadership support prevented a full deployment of body-worn cameras

Location: 299-300 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	880	22.4 %
1	Yes	18	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	10	0.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1007	25.6 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.02
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.14

Q14M8 - Insufficient time to complete deployment prevented a full deployment of body-worn cameras

Location: 301-302 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	780	19.9 %
1	Yes	118	3.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	10	0.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1007	25.6 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Study 37302 -

- Mean: 0.13
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.34

Q14M9 - Inability to acquire sufficient hardware prevented a full deployment of body-worn cameras

Location: 303-304 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	771	19.6 %
1	Yes	127	3.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	10	0.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1007	25.6 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.14
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.35

Q14M10 - Currently vetting vender offerings prevented a full deployment of body-worn cameras

Location: 305-306 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	706	18.0 %
1	Yes	192	4.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	10	0.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1007	25.6 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Study 37302 -

- Mean: 0.21
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.41

Q14M11 - Technological challenges (e.g. bandwidth) prevented a full deployment of body-worn cameras

Location: 307-308 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	727	18.5 %
1	Yes	171	4.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	10	0.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1007	25.6 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.19
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.39

Q14M12 - Unresolved legal or policy issues prevented a full deployment of body-worn cameras

Location: 309-310 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	682	17.4 %
1	Yes	216	5.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	10	0.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1007	25.6 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Study 37302 -

- Mean: 0.24
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.43

Q14M13 - Other reason prevented a full deployment of body-worn cameras

Location: 311-312 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	821	20.9 %
1	Yes	77	2.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	10	0.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1007	25.6 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.09
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.28

Q_14_OTHER - Other specify: What has prevented a full deployment of body-worn cameras?

Location: 313-504 (width: 192; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Q14_UPCODE - Upcoding flag for Q14

Location: 505-507 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Upcoded	130	3.3 %
2	Not upcoded	70	1.8 %
3	Partially upcoded	7	0.2 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A originally marked no for Q14M13	691	17.6 %
-9	Missing	10	0.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1007	25.6 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.41
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.56

Q14M14 - Unsure/don't know what prevented full deployment of body-worn cameras

Location: 508-509 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	880	22.4 %
1	Yes	18	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	10	0.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1007	25.6 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.02
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.14

Q_15 - Do you plan to deploy additional body-worn cameras in the next 12 months?

Location: 510-511 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , 77 , .

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	687	17.5 %
2	No	795	20.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	19	0.5 %
77	Unsure/don't know	414	10.5 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.54
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Q_15_NUMBER - Anticipated number of body-worn cameras that will be deployed

Location: 512-515 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	37	0.9 %
2	-	60	1.5 %
3	-	28	0.7 %
4	-	33	0.8 %
5	-	25	0.6 %
6	-	22	0.6 %
7	-	11	0.3 %
8	-	19	0.5 %
9	-	7	0.2 %
10	-	33	0.8 %
11	-	4	0.1 %
12	-	14	0.4 %
13	-	2	0.1 %
14	-	11	0.3 %
15	-	17	0.4 %
16	-	7	0.2 %
17	-	3	0.1 %
18	-	4	0.1 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	17	0.4 %
21	-	4	0.1 %
23	-	3	0.1 %
24	-	3	0.1 %
25	-	18	0.5 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
27	-	2	0.1 %
28	-	3	0.1 %
30	-	14	0.4 %
31	-	1	0.0 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	4	0.1 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
37	-	2	0.1 %
38	-	2	0.1 %
40	-	10	0.3 %
45	-	6	0.2 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	17	0.4 %
51	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	2	0.1 %
60	-	11	0.3 %
63	-	2	0.1 %
65	-	1	0.0 %
66	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	5	0.1 %
72	-	1	0.0 %
74	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	8	0.2 %
80	-	7	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A respondent selected no or unsure in Q_15	1209	30.8 %
-9	Missing	48	1.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Study 37302 -

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

- Mean: 99.53
- Median: 16.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5000.00
- Standard Deviation: 304.34

Q16M1 - Agency got body-worn camera(s) to improve officer safety

Location: 516-517 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	384	9.8 %
1	Yes	1525	38.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.80
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.40

Q16M2 - Agency got body-worn camera(s) to improve training

Location: 518-519 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	937	23.9 %
1	Yes	972	24.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Study 37302 -

- Mean: 0.51
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Q16M3 - Agency got body-worn camera(s) to improve officer professionalism

Location: 520-521 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	754	19.2 %
1	Yes	1155	29.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.61
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.49

Q16M4 - Agency got body-worn camera(s) to improve officer/agency accountability

Location: 522-523 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	490	12.5 %
1	Yes	1419	36.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.74

- Study 37302 -

- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.44

Q16M5 - Agency got body-worn camera(s) to improve evidence quality

Location: 524-525 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	442	11.3 %
1	Yes	1467	37.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.77
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.42

Q16M6 - Agency got body-worn camera(s) to improve community perceptions of the agency

Location: 526-527 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	791	20.1 %
1	Yes	1118	28.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.59
- Median: 1.00

- Study 37302 -

- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.49

Q16M7 - Agency got body-worn camera(s) to reduce agency liability

Location: 528-529 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	473	12.0 %
1	Yes	1436	36.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.75
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.43

Q16M8 - Agency got body-worn camera(s) to reduce use of force incidents

Location: 530-531 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1222	31.1 %
1	Yes	687	17.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.36
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00

- Study 37302 -

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Q16M9 - Agency got body-worn camera(s) to reduce or more quickly resolve citizen complaints

Location: 532-533 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	377	9.6 %
1	Yes	1532	39.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.80
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.40

Q16M10 - Agency got body-worn camera(s) to simplify incident review

Location: 534-535 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	993	25.3 %
1	Yes	916	23.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.48
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00

- Study 37302 -

- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Q16M11 - Agency got body-worn camera(s) to strengthen police leadership

Location: 536-537 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1456	37.1 %
1	Yes	453	11.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.24
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.43

Q16M12 - Agency got body-worn camera(s) to make cases more prosecutable

Location: 538-539 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	629	16.0 %
1	Yes	1280	32.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.67
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

- Study 37302 -

- Standard Deviation: 0.47

Q16M13 - Agency got body-worn camera(s) to receive funding that required purchase of body-worn cameras

Location: 540-541 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1789	45.5 %
1	Yes	120	3.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.06
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.24

Q16M14 - Agency got body-worn camera(s) to respond to external pressures (e.g. legislative, judicial or executive mandate from outside of the police agency)

Location: 542-543 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1728	44.0 %
1	Yes	181	4.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.09
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.29

Q16M15 - Agency got body-worn camera(s) to conduct a pilot test to determine body-worn camera feasibility and utility

Location: 544-545 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1504	38.3 %
1	Yes	405	10.3 %
Missing Data			
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
Total		3928	100%

- Mean: 0.21
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.41

Q16M16 - Other reason agency got body-worn camera(s)

Location: 546-547 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1849	47.1 %
1	Yes	60	1.5 %
Missing Data			
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
Total		3928	100%

- Mean: 0.03
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.17

Q_16_OTHER - Other specify: Why did your agency get body-worn camera(s)?

- Study 37302 -

Location: 548-752 (width: 205; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Q16_UPCODE - Upcoding flag for Q16

Location: 753-755 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Upcoded	28	0.7 %
2	Not upcoded	57	1.5 %
3	Partially upcoded	3	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A originally marked no for Q16M16	1821	46.4 %
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.72
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.52

Q16M17 - Unsure/don't know why agency got body-worn camera(s)

Location: 756-757 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1897	48.3 %
1	Yes	12	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00

- Study 37302 -

- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.08

Q_17 - Which of these was the most important reason for acquiring body-worn cameras?

Location: 758-759 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Improve officer safety	347	8.8 %
2	Improve training	9	0.2 %
3	Improve officer professionalism	34	0.9 %
4	Improve officer/agency accountability	308	7.8 %
5	Improve evidence quality	166	4.2 %
6	Improve community perceptions of the agency	110	2.8 %
7	Reduce agency liability	203	5.2 %
8	Reduce use of force incidents	9	0.2 %
9	Reduce or more quickly resolve citizen complaints	246	6.3 %
10	Simplify incident review	25	0.6 %
11	Strengthen police leadership	2	0.1 %
12	Make cases more prosecutable	125	3.2 %
13	To receive funding that required purchase of body-worn cameras	5	0.1 %
14	Response to external pressures (e.g. legislative, judicial or executive mandate from outside of the police agency)	36	0.9 %
15	Conduct a pilot test to determine body-worn camera feasibility and utility	123	3.1 %
16	Other (please specify):	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	114	2.9 %
77	Unsure/don't know	51	1.3 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 6.35
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 16.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.17

Q_17_OTHER - Other specify: What was the most important reason for acquiring body-worn cameras?

Location: 760-785 (width: 26; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Q17_UPCODE - Upcoding flag for Q17

Location: 786-788 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Upcoded	51	1.3 %
2	Not upcoded	2	0.1 %
3	Partially upcoded	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A originally marked other (16) for Q_17	1748	44.5 %
-9	Missing	114	2.9 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.04
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.19

Q_18 - Does your agency use body-worn camera footage to inform and/or develop in-service training?

Location: 789-790 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	946	24.1 %
2	No	767	19.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	41	1.0 %
77	Unsure/don't know	161	4.1 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Study 37302 -

- Mean: 1.45
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Q_19 - Does your agency have a formal policy on the use of body-worn cameras or the video footage recorded by those cameras?

Location: 791-792 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1236	31.5 %
2	Under development/police in draft form	448	11.4 %
3	No	211	5.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
77	Unsure/don't know	14	0.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.46
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.69

Q_20 - Were any published guidelines from independent sources (such as the NIJ, BJA, PERF, IACP, CALEA, etc.) used in the formulation of policies and procedures

Location: 793-794 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1038	26.4 %
2	No	302	7.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	33	0.8 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	225	5.7 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
77	Unsure/don't know	317	8.1 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.23
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.42

Q_21 - Does your agency's body-worn camera (or related) policy cover what events to record?

Location: 795-796 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1457	37.1 %
2	No	133	3.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	8	0.2 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	225	5.7 %
77	Unsure/don't know	92	2.3 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.08
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.28

Q22M1 - Officers required to turn on their body-worn cameras for responding to routine calls for service

Location: 797-798 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	321	8.2 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1138	29.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	450	11.5 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.78
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.41

Q22M2 - Officers required to turn on their body-worn cameras for traffic stops

Location: 799-800 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	99	2.5 %
1	Yes	1360	34.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	450	11.5 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.93
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.25

Q22M3 - Officers required to turn on their body-worn cameras for officer-initiated citizen contact

Location: 801-802 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	223	5.7 %
1	Yes	1236	31.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	450	11.5 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.85
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.36

Q22M4 - Officers required to turn on their body-worn cameras for firearms deployments

Location: 803-804 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	245	6.2 %
1	Yes	1214	30.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	450	11.5 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.83
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.37

Q22M5 - Officers required to turn on their body-worn cameras for public order policing

Location: 805-806 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	672	17.1 %
1	Yes	787	20.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	450	11.5 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.54
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Q22M6 - Officers required to turn on their body-worn cameras for policing public events

Location: 807-808 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1073	27.3 %
1	Yes	386	9.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	450	11.5 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.26
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.44

Q22M7 - Officers required to turn on their body-worn cameras for criminal investigations

Location: 809-810 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	454	11.6 %
1	Yes	1005	25.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	450	11.5 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.69
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.46

Q22M8 - Officers required to turn on their body-worn cameras for special operations

Location: 811-812 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	710	18.1 %
1	Yes	749	19.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	450	11.5 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.51
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Q22M9 - Officers required to turn on their body-worn cameras for executing arrest or search warrants

Location: 813-814 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	271	6.9 %
1	Yes	1188	30.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	450	11.5 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.81
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.39

Q22M10 - Officers required to turn on their body-worn cameras for transporting offenders

Location: 815-816 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	725	18.5 %
1	Yes	734	18.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	450	11.5 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.50
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Q22M11 - Other situation when officers are required to turn on their body-worn cameras

Location: 817-818 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1350	34.4 %
1	Yes	109	2.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	450	11.5 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.07
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.26

Q_22_OTHER - Other specify: In what situations are officers required to turn on their body-worn cameras?

Location: 819-1061 (width: 243; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Q22_UPCODE - Upcoding flag for Q22

Location: 1062-1064 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Upcoded	79	2.0 %
2	Not upcoded	93	2.4 %
3	Partially upcoded	16	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A originally marked no for Q22M11	1271	32.4 %
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	450	11.5 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.66
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00

- Study 37302 -

- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.63

Q22_ANY - Upcoded from other: Body-worn cameras required to be on for ANY CONTACT.

Location: 1065-1067 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	135	3.4 %
1	Yes	53	1.3 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A originally marked no for Q22M11	1271	32.4 %
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	450	11.5 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.28
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.45

Q22M12 - Unsure/don't know when officers are required to turn on their body-worn cameras

Location: 1068-1069 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1445	36.8 %
1	Yes	14	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	450	11.5 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.01

- Study 37302 -

- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.10

Q_23 - Does your agency's body-worn camera or related policy cover transfer, storage, or disposal of video?

Location: 1070-1071 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1497	38.1 %
2	No	71	1.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	9	0.2 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	225	5.7 %
77	Unsure/don't know	113	2.9 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.05
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.21

Q_24 - Per your agency's body-worn camera policy, how long must typical video files be retained?

Location: 1072-1073 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Files are not stored unless manually flagged	38	1.0 %
2	30 days or less	84	2.1 %
3	1 month up to one year	570	14.5 %
4	More than 1 year and up to 5 years	303	7.7 %
5	More than 5 years	28	0.7 %
6	Indefinitely	76	1.9 %
7	Other (please specify):	324	8.2 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	34	0.9 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	409	10.4 %
77	Unsure/don't know	49	1.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 4.21
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.77

Q_24_OTHER - Other specify: Per your agency's body-worn camera policy, how long must typical video files be retained?

Location: 1074-1317 (width: 244; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Q24_UPCODE - Upcoding flag for Q24

Location: 1318-1320 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Upcoded	190	4.8 %
2	Not upcoded	324	8.2 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A originally did not mark other (7) for Q_24	958	24.4 %
-9	Missing	34	0.9 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	409	10.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.63
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Q25M1 - Video files would be retained for a longer periods of time if associated with officer use of force

Location: 1321-1322 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	245	6.2 %
1	Yes	1182	30.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	79	2.0 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	409	10.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.83
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.38

Q25M2 - Video files would be retained for a longer periods of time if associated with a citizen complaint

Location: 1323-1324 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	395	10.1 %
1	Yes	1032	26.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	79	2.0 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	409	10.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.72
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.45

Q25M3 - Video files would be retained for a longer periods of time if used as evidence in a legal proceeding

Location: 1325-1326 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	82	2.1 %
1	Yes	1345	34.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	79	2.0 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	409	10.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.94
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.23

Q25M4 - Video files would be retained for a longer periods of time if requested by officer

Location: 1327-1328 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	733	18.7 %
1	Yes	694	17.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	79	2.0 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	409	10.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.49
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

- Study 37302 -

Q25M5 - Video files would be retained for a longer periods of time if requested by supervisor or agency management

Location: 1329-1330 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	387	9.9 %
1	Yes	1040	26.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	79	2.0 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	409	10.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.73
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.44

Q25M6 - Video files would be retained for a longer periods of time if requested by FOIA or other public access request

Location: 1331-1332 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	954	24.3 %
1	Yes	473	12.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	79	2.0 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	409	10.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.33
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.47

Q25M7 - Other reason video files would be retained for a longer periods of time

Location: 1333-1334 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1315	33.5 %
1	Yes	112	2.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	79	2.0 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	409	10.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.08
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.27

Q_25_OTHER - Other specify: Under what circumstances would video files be retained for longer periods of time?

Location: 1335-1578 (width: 244; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Q25_UPCODE - Upcoding flag for Q25

Location: 1579-1581 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Upcoded	17	0.4 %
2	Not upcoded	106	2.7 %
3	Partially upcoded	5	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A originally marked no for Q25M7	1299	33.1 %
-9	Missing	79	2.0 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	409	10.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.91
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.41

Q25M8 - Unsure/don't know what circumstances would require video files to be retained for longer periods of time

Location: 1582-1583 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1420	36.2 %
1	Yes	7	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	79	2.0 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	409	10.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.07

Q_26A - When/how to inform citizens they are being recorded is covered by your agency's body-worn camera (or related) policy

Location: 1584-1585 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	821	20.9 %
2	No	680	17.3 %
	Missing Data		

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	Missing	31	0.8 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	225	5.7 %
77	Unsure	158	4.0 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.45
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Q_26B - Frequency of uploading or offloading video is covered by your agency's body-worn camera (or related) policy

Location: 1586-1587 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1375	35.0 %
2	No	167	4.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	31	0.8 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	225	5.7 %
77	Unsure	117	3.0 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.11
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.31

Q_26C - When officers are allowed to review footage (i.e., before or after making a formal statement) is covered by your agency'

Location: 1588-1589 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1147	29.2 %
2	No	357	9.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	31	0.8 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	225	5.7 %
77	Unsure	155	3.9 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.24
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.43

Q_26D - Periodic/routine supervisor review of footage is covered by your agency's body-worn camera (or related) policy

Location: 1590-1591 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1324	33.7 %
2	No	217	5.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	30	0.8 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	225	5.7 %
77	Unsure	119	3.0 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.14
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.35

Q_26E - Public release of raw (unredacted) video footage is covered by your agency's body-worn camera (or related) policy

Location: 1592-1593 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

- Study 37302 -

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	957	24.4 %
2	No	466	11.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	32	0.8 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	225	5.7 %
77	Unsure	235	6.0 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.33
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.47

Q_26F - Content/objects that must be redacted is covered by your agency's body-worn camera (or related) policy

Location: 1594-1595 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	702	17.9 %
2	No	638	16.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	35	0.9 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	225	5.7 %
77	Unsure	315	8.0 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.48
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Q_27 - Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers in your agency?

Location: 1596-1597 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1627	41.4 %
2	No	241	6.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	37	0.9 %
77	Unsure/don't know	10	0.3 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.13
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.34

Q_28 - Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to traditional patrol/vehicle-based patrols?

Location: 1598-1600 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1544	39.3 %
2	No	68	1.7 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A agency does not engage in this activity	1	0.0 %
-9	Missing	50	1.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	251	6.4 %
77	Unsure/don't know	1	0.0 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.04
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00

- Study 37302 -

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.20

Q_29 - What best describes the deployment of body-worn cameras for officers primarily engaged in traditional patrol/vehicle-based patrol

Location: 1601-1602 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Exploratory/pilot deployment	233	5.9 %
2	Partial deployment	179	4.6 %
3	Complete deployment for some assignments/partial deployment in others	110	2.8 %
4	Full deployment to all intended personnel	1007	25.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	55	1.4 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	321	8.2 %
77	Unsure/don't know	10	0.3 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 3.24
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.15

Q_30 - Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to primarily proactive/self-initiated public contacts?

Location: 1603-1605 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1265	32.2 %
2	No	165	4.2 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A agency does not engage in this activity	72	1.8 %
-9	Missing	142	3.6 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	251	6.4 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
77	Unsure/don't know	20	0.5 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.12
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.32

Q_31 - What best describes the deployment of body-worn cameras for officers primarily engaged in primarily proactive/self-initiated public contacts

Location: 1606-1607 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Exploratory/pilot deployment	170	4.3 %
2	Partial deployment	131	3.3 %
3	Complete deployment for some assignments/partial deployment in others	100	2.5 %
4	Full deployment to all intended personnel	819	20.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	172	4.4 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	508	12.9 %
77	Unsure/don't know	15	0.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 3.29
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.12

Q_32 - Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to foot patrols?

Location: 1608-1610 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , -8 , 77 , .

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	762	19.4 %
2	No	200	5.1 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A agency does not engage in this activity	511	13.0 %
-9	Missing	185	4.7 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	251	6.4 %
77	Unsure/don't know	6	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.21
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.41

Q_33 - What best describes the deployment of body-worn cameras for officers primarily engaged in foot patrols?

Location: 1611-1612 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Exploratory/pilot deployment	93	2.4 %
2	Partial deployment	85	2.2 %
3	Complete deployment for some assignments/partial deployment in others	40	1.0 %
4	Full deployment to all intended personnel	522	13.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	191	4.9 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	968	24.6 %
77	Unsure/don't know	16	0.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 3.34
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.10

Q_34 - Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to bicycle patrols?

Location: 1613-1615 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	456	11.6 %
2	No	250	6.4 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A agency does not engage in this activity	745	19.0 %
-9	Missing	204	5.2 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	251	6.4 %
77	Unsure/don't know	9	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.35
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Q_35 - What best describes the deployment of body-worn cameras for officers primarily engaged in bicycle patrols?

Location: 1616-1617 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Exploratory/pilot deployment	58	1.5 %
2	Partial deployment	46	1.2 %
3	Complete deployment for some assignments/partial deployment in others	19	0.5 %
4	Full deployment to all intended personnel	320	8.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	207	5.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1255	32.0 %
77	Unsure/don't know	10	0.3 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Study 37302 -

- Mean: 3.36
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.11

Q_36 - Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to motorcycle patrols?

Location: 1618-1620 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	356	9.1 %
2	No	222	5.7 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A agency does not engage in this activity	867	22.1 %
-9	Missing	213	5.4 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	251	6.4 %
77	Unsure/don't know	6	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.38
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.49

Q_37 - What best describes the deployment of body-worn cameras for officers primarily engaged in motorcycle patrols?

Location: 1621-1622 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Exploratory/pilot deployment	49	1.2 %
2	Partial deployment	37	0.9 %
3	Complete deployment for some assignments/partial deployment in others	17	0.4 %
4	Full deployment to all intended personnel	238	6.1 %
	Missing Data		

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	Missing	215	5.5 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1346	34.3 %
77	Unsure/don't know	13	0.3 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 3.30
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.14

Q_38 - Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to traffic enforcement?

Location: 1623-1625 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1399	35.6 %
2	No	106	2.7 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A agency does not engage in this activity	56	1.4 %
-9	Missing	99	2.5 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	251	6.4 %
77	Unsure/don't know	4	0.1 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.07
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.26

Q_39 - What best describes the deployment of body-worn cameras for officers primarily engaged in traffic enforcement?

Location: 1626-1627 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Exploratory/pilot deployment	187	4.8 %
2	Partial deployment	136	3.5 %
3	Complete deployment for some assignments/partial deployment in others	69	1.8 %
4	Full deployment to all intended personnel	993	25.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	108	2.7 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	417	10.6 %
77	Unsure/don't know	5	0.1 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 3.35
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.11

Q_40 - Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to warrant executions?

Location: 1628-1630 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1194	30.4 %
2	No	230	5.9 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A agency does not engage in this activity	81	2.1 %
-9	Missing	142	3.6 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	251	6.4 %
77	Unsure/don't know	17	0.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.16
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.37

Q_41 - What best describes the deployment of body-worn cameras for officers primarily engaged in warrant executions?

Location: 1631-1632 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Exploratory/pilot deployment	109	2.8 %
2	Partial deployment	141	3.6 %
3	Complete deployment for some assignments/partial deployment in others	97	2.5 %
4	Full deployment to all intended personnel	831	21.2 %
Missing Data			
-9	Missing	149	3.8 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	579	14.7 %
77	Unsure/don't know	9	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
Total		3928	100%

- Mean: 3.40
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.02

Q_42 - Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to criminal investigations?

Location: 1633-1635 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1102	28.1 %
2	No	352	9.0 %
Missing Data			
-88	N/A agency does not engage in this activity	44	1.1 %
-9	Missing	150	3.8 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	251	6.4 %
77	Unsure/don't know	16	0.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
Total		3928	100%

- Study 37302 -

- Mean: 1.24
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.43

Q_43 - What best describes the deployment of body-worn cameras for officers primarily engaged in criminal investigations?

Location: 1636-1637 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Exploratory/pilot deployment	98	2.5 %
2	Partial deployment	184	4.7 %
3	Complete deployment for some assignments/partial deployment in others	112	2.9 %
4	Full deployment to all intended personnel	671	17.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	173	4.4 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	663	16.9 %
77	Unsure/don't know	14	0.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 3.27
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.05

Q_44 - Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to drug enforcement?

Location: 1638-1640 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1005	25.6 %
2	No	370	9.4 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A agency does not engage in this activity	105	2.7 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	Missing	158	4.0 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	251	6.4 %
77	Unsure/don't know	26	0.7 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.27
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.44

Q_45 - What best describes the deployment of body-worn cameras for officers primarily engaged in drug enforcement?

Location: 1641-1642 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Exploratory/pilot deployment	91	2.3 %
2	Partial deployment	144	3.7 %
3	Complete deployment for some assignments/partial deployment in others	98	2.5 %
4	Full deployment to all intended personnel	649	16.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	164	4.2 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	752	19.1 %
77	Unsure/don't know	17	0.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 3.33
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.03

Q_46 - Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to detentions and transfers?

Location: 1643-1645 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 37302 -

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	774	19.7 %
2	No	383	9.8 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A agency does not engage in this activity	278	7.1 %
-9	Missing	200	5.1 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	251	6.4 %
77	Unsure/don't know	29	0.7 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.33
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.47

Q_47 - What best describes the deployment of body-worn cameras for officers primarily engaged in detentions and transfers?

Location: 1646-1647 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Exploratory/pilot deployment	56	1.4 %
2	Partial deployment	105	2.7 %
3	Complete deployment for some assignments/partial deployment in others	63	1.6 %
4	Full deployment to all intended personnel	480	12.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	258	6.6 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	941	24.0 %
77	Unsure/don't know	12	0.3 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 3.37
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

- Study 37302 -

- Standard Deviation: 1.01

Q_48 - Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to SWAT operations?

Location: 1648-1650 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	405	10.3 %
2	No	401	10.2 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A agency does not engage in this activity	622	15.8 %
-9	Missing	213	5.4 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	251	6.4 %
77	Unsure/don't know	23	0.6 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.50
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Q_49 - What best describes the deployment of body-worn cameras for officers primarily engaged in SWAT operations?

Location: 1651-1652 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Exploratory/pilot deployment	31	0.8 %
2	Partial deployment	59	1.5 %
3	Complete deployment for some assignments/partial deployment in others	33	0.8 %
4	Full deployment to all intended personnel	269	6.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	219	5.6 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1297	33.0 %
77	Unsure/don't know	7	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 3.38
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.01

Q_50 - Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to any other duties not already mentioned in the previous questions

Location: 1653-1654 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	227	5.8 %
2	No	1330	33.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	60	1.5 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	251	6.4 %
77	Unsure/don't know	47	1.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.85
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.35

Q_50_OTHER - Other specify: Any other duties not already mentioned in previous questions?

Location: 1655-1897 (width: 243; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Q50_UPCODE - Upcoding flag for Q50

Location: 1898-1900 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 37302 -

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Upcoded	8	0.2 %
2	Not upcoded	226	5.8 %
3	Partially upcoded	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A originally not marked yes for Q_50	1369	34.9 %
-9	Missing	60	1.5 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	251	6.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.97
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.19

Q51M1 - Time/date stamp features are present on your body-worn camera system

Location: 1901-1902 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	104	2.6 %
1	Yes	1638	41.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	173	4.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.94
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.24

Q51M2 - Variable camera placement (e.g. eyewear, lapel, other part of uniform) features are present on your body-worn camera system

- Study 37302 -

Location: 1903-1904 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	724	18.4 %
1	Yes	1018	25.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	173	4.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.58
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.49

Q51M3 - Pre-event video buffer (e.g. the device continuously records video which is saved when the device is activated) features present on your body-worn camera system

Location: 1905-1906 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	694	17.7 %
1	Yes	1048	26.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	173	4.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.60
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.49

Q51M4 - Capable of recording in low light conditions features are present on your body-worn camera system

Location: 1907-1908 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

- Study 37302 -

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	473	12.0 %
1	Yes	1269	32.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	173	4.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.73
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.44

Q51M5 - Playback screen features are present on your body-worn camera system

Location: 1909-1910 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1154	29.4 %
1	Yes	588	15.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	173	4.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.34
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.47

Q51M6 - Minimum battery life features are present on your body-worn camera system

Location: 1911-1912 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 37302 -

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	971	24.7 %
1	Yes	771	19.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	173	4.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.44
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Q_51_NUMBER - Specify the hours for minimum battery life

Location: 1913-2155 (width: 243; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Q51M7 - Global Positioning System (GPS) features are present on your body-worn camera system

Location: 2156-2157 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1506	38.3 %
1	Yes	236	6.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	173	4.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.14
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.34

Q51M8 - Safeguards against inadvertent video file corruption, loss, or tampering features are present on your body-worn camera system

Location: 2158-2159 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	804	20.5 %
1	Yes	938	23.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	173	4.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.54
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Q51M9 - Officer down alerts features are present on your body-worn camera system

Location: 2160-2161 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1685	42.9 %
1	Yes	57	1.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	173	4.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.03
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.18

Q51M10 - Officer event tagging features are present on your body-worn camera system

Location: 2162-2163 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	880	22.4 %
1	Yes	862	21.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	173	4.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.49
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Q51M11 - Flexible placement (e.g. lapel mount, chest mount, glasses mount) features are present on your body-worn camera system

Location: 2164-2165 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1649	42.0 %
1	Yes	93	2.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	173	4.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.05
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.22

Q51M12 - Other features are present on your body-worn camera system

Location: 2166-2167 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1738	44.2 %
1	Yes	4	0.1 %
Missing Data			
-9	Missing	173	4.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
Total		3928	100%

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.05

Q_51_OTHER - Other specify: Other features present on your body-worn camera system

Location: 2168-2211 (width: 44; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Q51_UPCODE - Upcoding flag for Q51

Location: 2212-2214 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Upcoded	0	0.0 %
2	Not upcoded	4	0.1 %
3	Partially upcoded	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
-88	N/A originally marked no on Q51M12	1738	44.2 %
-9	Missing	173	4.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
Total		3928	100%

- Study 37302 -

- Mean: 2.00
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Q51M13 - Unsure/don't know the features present on your body-worn camera system

Location: 2215-2216 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1734	44.1 %
1	Yes	173	4.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	8	0.2 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.09
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.29

Q_52A - Body-worn camera implementation was more expensive than anticipated

Location: 2217-2218 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly disagree	83	2.1 %
2	Disagree	632	16.1 %
3	Agree	583	14.8 %
4	Strongly agree	355	9.0 %
6	Too soon to know	123	3.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	53	1.3 %
77	Don't know	86	2.2 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 2.96
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.17

Q_52B - Body-worn camera implementation required more staff time than anticipated

Location: 2219-2220 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly disagree	70	1.8 %
2	Disagree	625	15.9 %
3	Agree	556	14.2 %
4	Strongly agree	407	10.4 %
6	Too soon to know	130	3.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	55	1.4 %
77	Don't know	72	1.8 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 3.02
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.18

Q_52C - Body-worn cameras provide reliable evidence of officer-citizen interactions

Location: 2221-2222 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , 77 , .

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly disagree	49	1.2 %
2	Disagree	25	0.6 %
3	Agree	720	18.3 %
4	Strongly agree	869	22.1 %
6	Too soon to know	163	4.1 %
Missing Data			
-9	Missing	52	1.3 %
77	Don't know	37	0.9 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
Total		3928	100%

- Mean: 3.68
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.97

Q_52D - Body-worn cameras have been useful in protecting officers from unwarranted complaints

Location: 2223-2224 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly disagree	45	1.1 %
2	Disagree	11	0.3 %
3	Agree	548	14.0 %
4	Strongly agree	892	22.7 %
6	Too soon to know	298	7.6 %
Missing Data			
-9	Missing	50	1.3 %
77	Don't know	71	1.8 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
Total		3928	100%

- Mean: 3.94
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

- Study 37302 -

- Standard Deviation: 1.10

Q_52E - Body-worn cameras have been a useful tool for supervising officers

Location: 2225-2226 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly disagree	36	0.9 %
2	Disagree	66	1.7 %
3	Agree	806	20.5 %
4	Strongly agree	554	14.1 %
6	Too soon to know	312	7.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	50	1.3 %
77	Don't know	91	2.3 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 3.76
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.20

Q_52F - Body-worn cameras have improved professionalism of officers

Location: 2227-2228 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly disagree	44	1.1 %
2	Disagree	165	4.2 %
3	Agree	788	20.1 %
4	Strongly agree	358	9.1 %
6	Too soon to know	356	9.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	53	1.3 %
77	Don't know	151	3.8 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 3.69
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.35

Q_52G - Body-worn cameras have helped identify instances of officer misconduct that might not have been identified without them

Location: 2229-2230 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly disagree	77	2.0 %
2	Disagree	386	9.8 %
3	Agree	536	13.6 %
4	Strongly agree	196	5.0 %
6	Too soon to know	491	12.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	57	1.5 %
77	Don't know	172	4.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 3.67
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.64

Q_52H - Body-worn cameras have improved relationships between the agency and the community

Location: 2231-2232 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , 77 , .

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly disagree	38	1.0 %
2	Disagree	167	4.3 %
3	Agree	582	14.8 %
4	Strongly agree	208	5.3 %
6	Too soon to know	551	14.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	50	1.3 %
77	Don't know	319	8.1 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 4.05
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.57

Q_53 - Does your agency keep a log of or otherwise track internal (i.e., law enforcement agency staff) access to video files?

Location: 2233-2234 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1110	28.3 %
2	No	568	14.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	51	1.3 %
77	Unsure/don't know	186	4.7 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.34
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.47

Q54M1 - Chief executive of law enforcement agency has direct access to view video files without filing a formal request

- Study 37302 -

Location: 2235-2236 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	266	6.8 %
1	Yes	1620	41.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	29	0.7 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.86
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.35

Q54M2 - Executive staff of law enforcement agency has direct access to view video files without filing a formal request

Location: 2237-2238 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	652	16.6 %
1	Yes	1234	31.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	29	0.7 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.65
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Q54M3 - Internal affairs has direct access to view video files without filing a formal request

Location: 2239-2240 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

- Study 37302 -

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1045	26.6 %
1	Yes	841	21.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	29	0.7 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.45
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Q54M4 - Head of information technology group in the law enforcement agency has direct access to view video files without filing a formal request

Location: 2241-2242 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1319	33.6 %
1	Yes	567	14.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	29	0.7 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.30
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.46

Q54M5 - Other information technology staff in the law enforcement agency has direct access to view video files without filing a formal request

Location: 2243-2244 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

- Study 37302 -

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1586	40.4 %
1	Yes	300	7.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	29	0.7 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.16
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.37

Q54M6 - Officer that made the video recording has direct access to view video files without filing a formal request

Location: 2245-2246 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	700	17.8 %
1	Yes	1186	30.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	29	0.7 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.63
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Q54M7 - Supervisor of officer that made the video recording has direct access to view video files without filing a formal request

Location: 2247-2248 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 37302 -

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	726	18.5 %
1	Yes	1160	29.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	29	0.7 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.62
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.49

Q54M8 - Other sworn law enforcement agency staff has direct access to view video files without filing a formal request

Location: 2249-2250 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1514	38.5 %
1	Yes	372	9.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	29	0.7 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.20
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.40

Q54M9 - Other non-sworn law enforcement agency staff has direct access to view video files without filing a formal request

Location: 2251-2252 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1718	43.7 %
1	Yes	168	4.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	29	0.7 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.09
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.28

Q54M10 - District attorney's office has direct access to view video files without filing a formal request

Location: 2253-2254 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1507	38.4 %
1	Yes	379	9.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	29	0.7 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.20
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.40

Q54M11 - Vendor staff has direct access to view video files without filing a formal request

Location: 2255-2256 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1851	47.1 %
1	Yes	35	0.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	29	0.7 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.02
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.13

Q54M12 - Other staff have direct access to view video files without filing a formal request

Location: 2257-2258 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1819	46.3 %
1	Yes	67	1.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	29	0.7 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.04
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.19

Q_54_OTHER - Other specify: Who has direct access to view video files? In other words, who can access the files without filing a formal request

Location: 2259-2446 (width: 188; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Q54_UPCODE - Upcoding flag for Q54

- Study 37302 -

Location: 2447-2449 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Upcoded	70	1.8 %
2	Not upcoded	55	1.4 %
3	Partially upcoded	12	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A originally marked no for Q54M12	1749	44.5 %
-9	Missing	29	0.7 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.58
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.65

Q54M13 - None of the above choices have direct access to view video files

Location: 2450-2451 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1864	47.5 %
1	Yes	22	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	29	0.7 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.11

Q54M14 - Unsure/don't know who has direct access to view video files without filing a formal request

- Study 37302 -

Location: 2452-2453 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1816	46.2 %
1	Yes	70	1.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	29	0.7 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.04
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.19

Q_55 - On average, how many times per month does the public request video generated by body-worn cameras?

Location: 2454-2455 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0 requests	731	18.6 %
2	1 - 5 requests	426	10.8 %
3	6 - 10 requests	45	1.1 %
4	11 - 15 requests	15	0.4 %
5	16 or more requests	37	0.9 %
6	Public has never requested video generated by BWC	312	7.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	52	1.3 %
77	Unsure/don't know	297	7.6 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 2.45
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00

- Study 37302 -

- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.93

Q_56 - On average, how much staff time does it take to produce a video requested by the public?

Location: 2456-2457 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Less than 60 minutes	183	4.7 %
2	1-2 hours	186	4.7 %
3	3-4 hours	54	1.4 %
4	5-6 hours	19	0.5 %
5	7-8 hours	3	0.1 %
6	More than 9 hours	8	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	58	1.5 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1340	34.1 %
77	Unsure/don't know	64	1.6 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.89
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.01

Q_57 - Has you agency ever denied the public's access to body-worn camera footage?

Location: 2458-2459 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	242	6.2 %
2	No	226	5.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	51	1.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1340	34.1 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
77	Unsure/don't know	56	1.4 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.48
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Q58M1 - The video was part of an ongoing investigation

Location: 2460-2461 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	15	0.4 %
1	Yes	226	5.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	52	1.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1622	41.3 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.94
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.24

Q58M2 - The video would have deprived a person of their right to a fair trial

Location: 2462-2463 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	194	4.9 %
1	Yes	47	1.2 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	52	1.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1622	41.3 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.20
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.40

Q58M3 - The video constituted an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

Location: 2464-2465 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	159	4.0 %
1	Yes	82	2.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	52	1.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1622	41.3 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.34
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.47

Q58M4 - The video risked identifying confidential sources

Location: 2466-2467 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	203	5.2 %
1	Yes	38	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	52	1.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1622	41.3 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.16
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.37

Q58M5 - The video revealed techniques and procedures for investigations that could be used to circumvent the law

Location: 2468-2469 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	209	5.3 %
1	Yes	32	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	52	1.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1622	41.3 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.13
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.34

Q58M6 - The video would have endangered the life or safety of an individual

Location: 2470-2471 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	215	5.5 %
1	Yes	26	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	52	1.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1622	41.3 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.11
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.31

Q58M7 - Other conditions under which video requests from the public have been denied

Location: 2472-2473 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	216	5.5 %
1	Yes	25	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	52	1.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1622	41.3 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.10
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.31

Q_58_OTHER - Other specify: Under what conditions have video requests from the public been denied?

Location: 2474-2668 (width: 195; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Q58_UPCODE - Upcoding flag for Q58

Location: 2669-2671 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Upcoded	14	0.4 %
2	Not upcoded	24	0.6 %
3	Partially upcoded	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A originally marked no for Q58M7	202	5.1 %
-9	Missing	52	1.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1622	41.3 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.67
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.53

Q58M8 - Unsure/don't know under what conditions video requests from the public have been denied

Location: 2672-2673 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	239	6.1 %
1	Yes	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	52	1.3 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1622	41.3 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00

- Study 37302 -

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.09

Q59M1 - Concerns about the security of the information contained in the videos was an obstacle your agency encountered in using camera or the associated video

Location: 2674-2675 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1478	37.6 %
1	Yes	405	10.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	32	0.8 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.22
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.41

Q59M2 - Concerns about procedures surrounding storage of the videos was an obstacle your agency encountered in using cameras or the associated video

Location: 2676-2677 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1213	30.9 %
1	Yes	670	17.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	32	0.8 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.36
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00

- Study 37302 -

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Q59M3 - Concerns about privacy was an obstacle your agency encountered in using cameras or the associated video

Location: 2678-2679 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1158	29.5 %
1	Yes	725	18.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	32	0.8 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.39
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.49

Q59M4 - Concerns about liability was an obstacle your agency encountered in using cameras or the associated video

Location: 2680-2681 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1487	37.9 %
1	Yes	396	10.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	32	0.8 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.21
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00

- Study 37302 -

- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.41

Q59M5 - Costs were greater than anticipated was an obstacle your agency encountered in using cameras or the associated video

Location: 2682-2683 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1227	31.2 %
1	Yes	656	16.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	32	0.8 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.35
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Q59M6 - Burden of replying to public/agency requests for video data was an obstacle your agency encountered in using cameras or the associated video

Location: 2684-2685 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1431	36.4 %
1	Yes	452	11.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	32	0.8 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.24
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00

- Study 37302 -

- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.43

Q59M7 - Lack of public support was an obstacle your agency encountered in using cameras or the associated video

Location: 2686-2687 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1866	47.5 %
1	Yes	17	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	32	0.8 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.09

Q59M8 - Lack of officer support was an obstacle your agency encountered in using cameras or the associated video

Location: 2688-2689 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1733	44.1 %
1	Yes	150	3.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	32	0.8 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.08
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

- Study 37302 -

- Standard Deviation: 0.27

Q59M9 - Lack of support from labor union or other group representing officers was an obstacle your agency encountered in using cameras or the associated video

Location: 2690-2691 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1832	46.6 %
1	Yes	51	1.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	32	0.8 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.03
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.16

Q59M10 - Cameras use has not benefited the agency as expected was an obstacle your agency encountered in using cameras or the associated video

Location: 2692-2693 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1820	46.3 %
1	Yes	63	1.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	32	0.8 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.03
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

- Study 37302 -

- Standard Deviation: 0.18

Q59M11 - Technical obstacles to implementation (e.g., poor video or sound quality) was an obstacle your agency encountered in using cameras or the associated video

Location: 2694-2695 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1487	37.9 %
1	Yes	396	10.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	32	0.8 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.21
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.41

Q59M12 - Other obstacles your agency encountered in using cameras or the associated video

Location: 2696-2697 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1801	45.9 %
1	Yes	82	2.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	32	0.8 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.04
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.20

Q_59_OTHER - Other specify: What obstacles have your agency encountered in using cameras or the associated video?

Location: 2698-2940 (width: 243; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Q59_UPCODE - Upcoding flag for Q59

Location: 2941-2943 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Upcoded	233	5.9 %
2	Not upcoded	72	1.8 %
3	Partially upcoded	10	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A originally marked no for Q59M12	1568	39.9 %
-9	Missing	32	0.8 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.29
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.52

Q59_NONE - Upcoded from other: None/no obstacles

Location: 2944-2946 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	228	5.8 %
1	Yes	87	2.2 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A originally marked no for Q59M12	1568	39.9 %
-9	Missing	32	0.8 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Study 37302 -

- Mean: 0.28
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.45

Q59_TOO_SOON - Upcoded from other: Too soon to know/pilot stage

Location: 2947-2949 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	259	6.6 %
1	Yes	56	1.4 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A originally marked no for Q59M12	1568	39.9 %
-9	Missing	32	0.8 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.18
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.38

Q59M13 - Unsure/don't know what obstacles your agency has encountered in using cameras or the associated video

Location: 2950-2951 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1545	39.3 %
1	Yes	338	8.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	32	0.8 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Study 37302 -

- Mean: 0.18
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.38

Q_60 - Of these, which is the greatest obstacle you have encountered?

Location: 2952-2953 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Concerns about the security of the information contained in the videos	51	1.3 %
2	Concerns about procedures surrounding storage of the videos	210	5.3 %
3	Concerns about privacy	144	3.7 %
4	Concerns about liability	42	1.1 %
5	Costs were greater than anticipated	260	6.6 %
6	Burden of replying to public/agency requests for video data	101	2.6 %
7	Lack of public support	1	0.0 %
8	Lack of officer support	23	0.6 %
9	Lack of support from labor union or other group representing officers	4	0.1 %
10	Cameras use has not benefited the agency as expected	9	0.2 %
11	Technical obstacles to implementation (e.g., poor video or sound quality)	124	3.2 %
12	Other (please specify):	15	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	887	22.6 %
77	Unsure/don't know	44	1.1 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 4.92
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 12.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.05

Q_60_OTHER - Other specify: Of these, which is the greatest obstacle you have encountered?

Location: 2954-2977 (width: 24; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Q60_UPCODE - Upcoding flag for Q60

Location: 2978-2980 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Upcoded	2	0.1 %
2	Not upcoded	14	0.4 %
3	Partially upcoded	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A originally did not mark other	1011	25.7 %
-9	Missing	887	22.6 %
.	-	2013	51.2 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.94
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.43

Q61M1 - No perceived need for the technology is a primary reason that your agency has not acquired any body-worn cameras

Location: 2981-2982 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1752	44.6 %
1	Yes	259	6.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.13
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.34

Q61M2 - Lack of community support is a primary reason that your agency has not acquired any body-worn cameras

Location: 2983-2984 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1957	49.8 %
1	Yes	54	1.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.03
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.16

Q61M3 - Lack of support from agency leadership is a primary reason that your agency has not acquired any body-worn cameras

Location: 2985-2986 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1944	49.5 %
1	Yes	67	1.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.03
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.18

Q61M4 - Lack of support from patrol officers is a primary reason that your agency has not acquired any body-worn cameras

Location: 2987-2988 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1923	49.0 %
1	Yes	88	2.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.04
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.20

Q61M5 - Cost of hardware is a primary reason that your agency has not acquired any body-worn cameras

Location: 2989-2990 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	551	14.0 %
1	Yes	1460	37.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.73
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.45

Q61M6 - Cost of training is a primary reason that your agency has not acquired any body-worn cameras

- Study 37302 -

Location: 2991-2992 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1267	32.3 %
1	Yes	744	18.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.37
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Q61M7 - Cost of ongoing maintenance/support is a primary reason that your agency has not acquired any body-worn cameras

Location: 2993-2994 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	546	13.9 %
1	Yes	1465	37.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.73
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.44

Q61M8 - Costs of video storage and/or disposal is a primary reason that your agency has not acquired any body-worn cameras

Location: 2995-2996 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

- Study 37302 -

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	461	11.7 %
1	Yes	1550	39.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.77
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.42

Q61M9 - Costs of managing public records request and/or video redaction is a primary reason that your agency has not acquired any body-worn cameras

Location: 2997-2998 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	626	15.9 %
1	Yes	1385	35.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.69
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.46

Q61M10 - Privacy concerns is a primary reason that your agency has not acquired any body-worn cameras

Location: 2999-3000 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 37302 -

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1204	30.7 %
1	Yes	807	20.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.40
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.49

Q61M11 - Liability concerns is a primary reason that your agency has not acquired any body-worn cameras

Location: 3001-3002 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1528	38.9 %
1	Yes	483	12.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.24
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.43

Q61M12 - Likelihood of technical difficulties with camera operation is a primary reason that your agency has not acquired any body-worn cameras

Location: 3003-3004 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1660	42.3 %
1	Yes	351	8.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.17
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.38

Q61M13 - Obstacles associated with transfer and storage of videos is a primary reason that your agency has not acquired any body-worn cameras

Location: 3005-3006 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1384	35.2 %
1	Yes	627	16.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.31
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.46

Q61M14 - Other primary reason that your agency has not acquired any body-worn cameras

Location: 3007-3008 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1806	46.0 %
1	Yes	205	5.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.10
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.30

Q_61_OTHER - Other specify: What are the primary reasons that your agency has not acquired any body-worn cameras?

Location: 3009-3252 (width: 244; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Q61_UPCODE - Upcoding flag for Q61

Location: 3253-3255 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Upcoded	186	4.7 %
2	Not upcoded	206	5.2 %
3	Partially upcoded	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A originally marked no for Q61M14	1619	41.2 %
-9	Missing	2	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.53
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Q61_INPROCESS - Q61_INPROCESS: Upcode of other: in process of acquiring cameras

Location: 3256-3258 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	296	7.5 %
1	Yes	96	2.4 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A originally marked no for Q61M14	1619	41.2 %
-9	Missing	2	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.24
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.43

Q61M15 - Unsure/don't know the primary reasons that your agency has not acquired any body-worn cameras

Location: 3259-3260 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1971	50.2 %
1	Yes	35	0.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	7	0.2 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.02
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.13

Q62M1 - Public expectations will be a determining factor of whether you explore body-worn cameras in the next year

Location: 3261-3262 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1556	39.6 %
1	Yes	453	11.5 %
Missing Data			
-9	Missing	4	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
Total		3928	100%

- Mean: 0.23
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.42

Q62M2 - Leadership directives will be a determining factor of whether you explore body-worn cameras in the next year

Location: 3263-3264 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1660	42.3 %
1	Yes	349	8.9 %
Missing Data			
-9	Missing	4	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
Total		3928	100%

- Mean: 0.17
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.38

Q62M3 - Initial acquisition costs will be a determining factor of whether you explore body-worn cameras in the next year

- Study 37302 -

Location: 3265-3266 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	735	18.7 %
1	Yes	1273	32.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	5	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.63
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Q62M4 - Ongoing maintenance costs will be a determining factor of whether you explore body-worn cameras in the next year

Location: 3267-3268 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	806	20.5 %
1	Yes	1203	30.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	4	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.60
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.49

Q62M5 - Privacy concerns will be a determining factor of whether you explore body-worn cameras in the next year

Location: 3269-3270 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

- Study 37302 -

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1308	33.3 %
1	Yes	701	17.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	4	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.35
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Q62M6 - Liability concerns will be a determining factor of whether you explore body-worn cameras in the next year

Location: 3271-3272 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1443	36.7 %
1	Yes	566	14.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	4	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.28
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.45

Q62M7 - Support from agency leadership will be a determining factor of whether you explore body-worn cameras in the next year

Location: 3273-3274 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 37302 -

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1840	46.8 %
1	Yes	169	4.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	4	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.08
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.28

Q62M8 - Support from patrol officers will be a determining factor of whether you explore body-worn cameras in the next year

Location: 3275-3276 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1813	46.2 %
1	Yes	196	5.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	4	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.10
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.30

Q62M9 - Communication or relationship with vendor(s) will be a determining factor of whether you explore body-worn cameras in the next year

Location: 3277-3278 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1886	48.0 %
1	Yes	123	3.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	4	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.06
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.24

Q62M10 - Other similar or nearby jurisdictions acquiring body-worn cameras (BWC) will be a determining factor of whether you explore body-worn cameras in the next year

Location: 3279-3280 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1807	46.0 %
1	Yes	202	5.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	4	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.10
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.30

Q62M11 - Other factors will determine whether you explore body-worn cameras in the next year

Location: 3281-3282 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1765	44.9 %
1	Yes	244	6.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	4	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.12
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.33

Q_62_OTHER - Other specify: What factors will determine whether you explore body-worn cameras in the next year?

Location: 3283-3513 (width: 231; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Q62_UPCODE - Upcoding flag for Q62

Location: 3514-3516 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Upcoded	211	5.4 %
2	Not upcoded	237	6.0 %
3	Partially upcoded	11	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A originally marked no for Q62M11	1550	39.5 %
-9	Missing	4	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.56
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.54

Q62_INPROCESS - Q62_INPROCESS: Upcode of other: in process of acquiring cameras

Location: 3517-3519 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	404	10.3 %
1	Yes	55	1.4 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A originally marked no for Q62M11	1550	39.5 %
-9	Missing	4	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.12
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.33

Q62_NONE - Upcode of other: no factors

Location: 3520-3522 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -88 , -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	443	11.3 %
1	Yes	16	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
-88	N/A originally marked no for Q62M11	1550	39.5 %
-9	Missing	4	0.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.03
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.18

Q62M12 - Unsure/don't know what factors will determine whether you explore body-worn cameras in the next year

Location: 3523-3524 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1896	48.3 %
1	Yes	109	2.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	8	0.2 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.05
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.23

Q_63 - How likely are you to consider acquiring body-worn cameras in the next year?

Location: 3525-3526 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very likely	326	8.3 %
2	Likely	339	8.6 %
3	Unlikely	554	14.1 %
4	Very unlikely	479	12.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	5	0.1 %
77	Unsure/don't know	310	7.9 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 2.70
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

- Study 37302 -

- Standard Deviation: 1.08

Q_64 - When do you anticipate acquiring body-worn cameras?

Location: 3527-3528 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Within the next 3 months	75	1.9 %
2	4-6 months	85	2.2 %
3	7-12 months	169	4.3 %
4	More than 12 months	113	2.9 %
5	No anticipated date	175	4.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	6	0.2 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	1343	34.2 %
77	Unsure/don't know	47	1.2 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 3.37
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.34

Q_65 - How supportive do you think patrol officers would be if your agency implemented body-worn cameras?

Location: 3529-3530 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very supportive	491	12.5 %
2	Somewhat supportive	991	25.2 %
3	Not supportive	173	4.4 %
4	Officers would likely not have a reaction one way or the other	161	4.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	8	0.2 %
77	Unsure/don't know	189	4.8 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 2.00
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.85

Q_66 - How supportive do you think the community would be if your agency implemented body-worn cameras?

Location: 3531-3532 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , 77 , .

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very supportive	699	17.8 %
2	Somewhat supportive	763	19.4 %
3	Not supportive	36	0.9 %
4	Officers would likely not have a reaction one way or the other	268	6.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	7	0.2 %
77	Unsure/don't know	240	6.1 %
.	-	1915	48.8 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.93
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.01

Q_67 - Does your agency formally document and store civilian complaints against sworn officers in paper or electronic format?

Location: 3533-3534 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes- electronic only	174	4.4 %
2	Yes- paper only	1676	42.7 %
3	Yes- both paper and electronic records	1908	48.6 %
4	No- agency does not document and store civilian complaints	137	3.5 %
Missing Data			
-9	Missing	33	0.8 %
Total		3928	100%

- Mean: 2.52
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.64

Q_68 - Are these paper or electronic civilian complaint records easily retrievable?

Location: 3535-3536 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3307	84.2 %
2	No	414	10.5 %
Missing Data			
-9	Missing	70	1.8 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	137	3.5 %
Total		3928	100%

- Mean: 1.11
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.31

Q_69 - How many formal civilian complaints against sworn officers were received in 2015?

Location: 3537-3540 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1022	26.0 %
1	-	345	8.8 %
2	-	330	8.4 %
3	-	236	6.0 %
4	-	128	3.3 %
5	-	177	4.5 %
6	-	78	2.0 %
7	-	47	1.2 %
8	-	52	1.3 %
9	-	31	0.8 %
10	-	95	2.4 %
11	-	29	0.7 %
12	-	43	1.1 %
13	-	23	0.6 %
14	-	18	0.5 %
15	-	47	1.2 %
16	-	15	0.4 %
17	-	14	0.4 %
18	-	16	0.4 %
19	-	11	0.3 %
20	-	38	1.0 %
21	-	20	0.5 %
22	-	9	0.2 %
23	-	6	0.2 %
24	-	15	0.4 %
25	-	18	0.5 %
26	-	9	0.2 %
27	-	11	0.3 %
28	-	6	0.2 %
29	-	6	0.2 %
30	-	12	0.3 %
31	-	9	0.2 %
32	-	7	0.2 %
33	-	8	0.2 %
34	-	4	0.1 %
35	-	12	0.3 %
36	-	5	0.1 %
37	-	2	0.1 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
38	-	4	0.1 %
39	-	5	0.1 %
40	-	9	0.2 %
41	-	4	0.1 %
42	-	3	0.1 %
43	-	2	0.1 %
44	-	2	0.1 %
45	-	4	0.1 %
46	-	8	0.2 %
47	-	6	0.2 %
48	-	6	0.2 %
49	-	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	162	4.1 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	551	14.0 %
	Total	3928	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

- Mean: 16.85
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 4469.00
- Standard Deviation: 100.76

Q_70 - Of the number of civilian complaints received in 2015, how many involved at least one allegation of excessive use of physical force

Location: 3541-3544 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2100	53.5 %
1	-	405	10.3 %
2	-	196	5.0 %
3	-	83	2.1 %
4	-	65	1.7 %
5	-	45	1.1 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	-	38	1.0 %
7	-	17	0.4 %
8	-	20	0.5 %
9	-	9	0.2 %
10	-	21	0.5 %
11	-	10	0.3 %
12	-	4	0.1 %
13	-	4	0.1 %
14	-	6	0.2 %
15	-	7	0.2 %
16	-	5	0.1 %
17	-	2	0.1 %
18	-	1	0.0 %
19	-	4	0.1 %
20	-	4	0.1 %
21	-	2	0.1 %
22	-	2	0.1 %
23	-	3	0.1 %
24	-	2	0.1 %
25	-	4	0.1 %
26	-	2	0.1 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	3	0.1 %
29	-	3	0.1 %
30	-	2	0.1 %
31	-	2	0.1 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	2	0.1 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
38	-	2	0.1 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	1	0.0 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
46	-	3	0.1 %
48	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
54	-	2	0.1 %
56	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	1	0.0 %
64	-	1	0.0 %
68	-	1	0.0 %
78	-	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	274	7.0 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	551	14.0 %
	Total	3928	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

- Mean: 2.53
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 2094.00
- Standard Deviation: 38.62

Q_71 - For the civilian complaints reporting excessive use of physical force, what was the total number of allegations related to excessive use of physical force

Location: 3545-3548 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2039	51.9 %
1	-	376	9.6 %
2	-	202	5.1 %
3	-	92	2.3 %
4	-	50	1.3 %
5	-	52	1.3 %
6	-	43	1.1 %
7	-	22	0.6 %
8	-	16	0.4 %
9	-	8	0.2 %
10	-	24	0.6 %
11	-	10	0.3 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
12	-	9	0.2 %
13	-	7	0.2 %
14	-	2	0.1 %
15	-	3	0.1 %
16	-	9	0.2 %
17	-	3	0.1 %
18	-	2	0.1 %
19	-	3	0.1 %
20	-	4	0.1 %
21	-	2	0.1 %
22	-	5	0.1 %
23	-	4	0.1 %
24	-	2	0.1 %
25	-	4	0.1 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	2	0.1 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	3	0.1 %
31	-	3	0.1 %
32	-	3	0.1 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	2	0.1 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
38	-	3	0.1 %
39	-	2	0.1 %
40	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
43	-	2	0.1 %
46	-	3	0.1 %
47	-	2	0.1 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
54	-	1	0.0 %
56	-	2	0.1 %
59	-	1	0.0 %
61	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	324	8.2 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	551	14.0 %
	Total	3928	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

- Mean: 3.22
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 2599.00
- Standard Deviation: 48.28

Q_72A - For the excessive force allegations identified in 2015, how many were: unfounded (the complaint was not based on facts, or reported incident did not occur)

Location: 3549-3551 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2483	63.2 %
1	-	259	6.6 %
2	-	122	3.1 %
3	-	56	1.4 %
4	-	26	0.7 %
5	-	22	0.6 %
6	-	12	0.3 %
7	-	9	0.2 %
8	-	8	0.2 %
9	-	11	0.3 %
10	-	12	0.3 %
11	-	3	0.1 %
12	-	2	0.1 %
13	-	5	0.1 %
14	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	2	0.1 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
24	-	1	0.0 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
72	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	1	0.0 %
83	-	1	0.0 %
87	-	1	0.0 %
106	-	1	0.0 %
176	-	1	0.0 %
376	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	329	8.4 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	551	14.0 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.88
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 376.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.54

Q_72B - For the excessive force allegations identified in 2015, how many were: exonerated (the incident occurred, but officer action was deemed lawful and proper)

Location: 3552-3554 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2451	62.4 %
1	-	268	6.8 %
2	-	113	2.9 %
3	-	56	1.4 %
4	-	31	0.8 %
5	-	22	0.6 %
6	-	13	0.3 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	-	12	0.3 %
8	-	8	0.2 %
9	-	7	0.2 %
10	-	6	0.2 %
11	-	5	0.1 %
12	-	3	0.1 %
13	-	3	0.1 %
14	-	6	0.2 %
15	-	3	0.1 %
16	-	2	0.1 %
17	-	6	0.2 %
18	-	1	0.0 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	2	0.1 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	2	0.1 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	2	0.1 %
33	-	2	0.1 %
35	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	1	0.0 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
51	-	1	0.0 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	1	0.0 %
808	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	341	8.7 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	551	14.0 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 1.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 808.00
- Standard Deviation: 14.99

Q_72C - For the excessive force allegations identified in 2015, how many were: not sustained (insufficient evidence to prove the allegation)

Location: 3555-3557 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2747	69.9 %
1	-	140	3.6 %
2	-	46	1.2 %
3	-	23	0.6 %
4	-	12	0.3 %
5	-	10	0.3 %
6	-	12	0.3 %
7	-	4	0.1 %
8	-	5	0.1 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	1	0.0 %
11	-	3	0.1 %
12	-	3	0.1 %
13	-	2	0.1 %
14	-	2	0.1 %
15	-	2	0.1 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
17	-	1	0.0 %
18	-	2	0.1 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	2	0.1 %
22	-	1	0.0 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	1	0.0 %
61	-	1	0.0 %
133	-	1	0.0 %
162	-	1	0.0 %
942	-	1	0.0 %
Missing Data			
-9	Missing	349	8.9 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	551	14.0 %

- Study 37302 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.74
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 942.00
- Standard Deviation: 17.65

Q_72D - For the excessive force allegations identified in 2015, how many were: sustained (sufficient evidence to justify disciplinary action against the officer(s))

Location: 3558-3560 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2832	72.1 %
1	-	125	3.2 %
2	-	26	0.7 %
3	-	14	0.4 %
4	-	7	0.2 %
5	-	7	0.2 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
7	-	2	0.1 %
8	-	4	0.1 %
10	-	2	0.1 %
35	-	1	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
51	-	1	0.0 %
170	-	1	0.0 %
195	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	351	8.9 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	551	14.0 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.29
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00

- Study 37302 -

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 195.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.98

Q_72E - For the excessive force allegations identified in 2015, how many were: pending (final disposition of the allegation has not been made)

Location: 3561-3562 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2908	74.0 %
1	-	53	1.3 %
2	-	20	0.5 %
3	-	5	0.1 %
4	-	3	0.1 %
5	-	5	0.1 %
6	-	6	0.2 %
7	-	2	0.1 %
10	-	1	0.0 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
14	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	2	0.1 %
16	-	2	0.1 %
18	-	3	0.1 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
59	-	1	0.0 %
78	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	357	9.1 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	551	14.0 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.22
- Median: 0.00

- Study 37302 -

- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 78.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.48

Q_72F - For the excessive force allegations identified in 2015, how many were: other disposition (e.g., withdrawn)?

Location: 3563-3565 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2921	74.4 %
1	-	46	1.2 %
2	-	12	0.3 %
3	-	10	0.3 %
4	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	5	0.1 %
6	-	5	0.1 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	4	0.1 %
10	-	2	0.1 %
11	-	2	0.1 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
14	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	1	0.0 %
18	-	1	0.0 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
31	-	1	0.0 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
96	-	1	0.0 %
159	-	1	0.0 %
182	-	1	0.0 %
303	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	355	9.0 %
-8	N/A item not applicable due to skip	551	14.0 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 0.39

- Study 37302 -

- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 303.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.42

FINALWEIGHT - Final weight

Location: 3566-3580 (width: 15; decimal: 13)

Variable Type: numeric

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1.00000000000023	-	13	0.3 %
1.0868824531516	-	587	14.9 %
1.16100000000026	-	31	0.8 %
1.2013422818792	-	298	7.6 %
3.9194630872483	-	149	3.8 %
3.9890109890110	-	91	2.3 %
4.1984126984127	-	126	3.2 %
4.2648401826484	-	219	5.6 %
4.3705722070844	-	367	9.3 %
4.4855491329480	-	173	4.4 %
4.56250000000000	-	48	1.2 %
4.6184971098267	-	692	17.6 %
5.1346153846154	-	572	14.6 %
5.2860411899313	-	437	11.1 %
6.25000000000000	-	4	0.1 %
6.6611570247934	-	121	3.1 %
	Total	3928	100%

- Mean: 3.9022380346233
- Median: 4.4855491329480
- Mode: 4.6184971098267
- Minimum: 1.00000000000023
- Maximum: 6.6611570247934
- Standard Deviation: 1.6252932511762



U.S. Department of Justice
Bureau of Justice Statistics

Body Worn Camera Supplement (BWCS) to the Law Enforcement Management and Administrative Statistics (LEMAS) Survey

Instructions for Completion and Submission of the Survey via Mail, Fax or Email

If you wish to complete the survey on paper rather than through the online option, please follow the instructions below. *Please do not submit both a paper and online survey.*

- **Please do not leave any items blank.** If the answer to a question is “none” or “zero,” write “0” in the space provided. If the answer to a question is “not applicable,” write “N/A” in the space provided.
- When exact numeric answers are not available, please provide estimates.
- **Please return your completed survey within 15 days:**
 - Mail to: RTI International, Attention: DATA CAPTURE, 2016 LEMAS BWCS, 5265 Capital Blvd, Raleigh, NC 27616; OR
 - Fax to: 919-541-7400; OR
 - Scan and email to: lemas.bwc@rti.org.
- If you need assistance, please contact Azot Derecho of RTI International toll-free at 1-866-881-2515 or lemas.bwc@rti.org.

If you have any general questions, please contact Shelley Hyland, BJS Program Manager, at (202) 616-1706 or Shelley.Hyland@usdoj.gov. If you have questions about the BWC survey supplement or need to update your contact information (including e-mail address), please contact Azot Derecho, the RTI data collection task leader, via phone or e-mail at (866) 881-2515 or lemas.bwc@rti.org

Burden Statement

Federal agencies may not conduct or sponsor an information collection, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information, unless it displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 20 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate, or any other aspects of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Director, Bureau of Justice Statistics, 810 Seventh Street, NW, Washington, DC 20531. The Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, as amended (42 USC 3732), authorizes this information collection. Although this survey is voluntary, we urgently need your cooperation to make the results comprehensive, accurate, and timely. We greatly appreciate your assistance.

Body Worn Camera Supplement (BWCS) to the Law Enforcement Management and Administrative Statistics (LEMAS) Survey

The Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS), in partnership with RTI International, is conducting a survey about the use of body-worn cameras. The Body Worn Camera Supplement (BWCS) to the Law Enforcement Management and Administrative Statistics (LEMAS) Survey includes items that are relevant to all law enforcement agencies – regardless of whether your agency has acquired or has considered acquiring these cameras.

The BWCS seeks additional information that has not been fully captured by other recent surveys examining use of body-worn cameras by law enforcement agencies. The survey examines reasons for acquiring cameras (or not), alternate means of documenting officer-citizen interactions, policies and procedures about camera use and handling of the resulting video files, and obstacles to camera acquisition and use.

Please complete this survey even if your agency does not currently have body-worn cameras.

This survey should be filled out by your law enforcement agency's chief executive or another individual who is most knowledgeable about your agency's plans or experiences with body-worn cameras.

The survey will take approximately 20 minutes to complete.

Agency Information

Please provide or update your agency's information below, as necessary. Contact information will only be used if needed to follow-up on survey submission.

1. Agency name:

2. Agency 9-digit ORI number used for reporting purposes:

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

3. Type of Agency:

- ☐ Local Police
☐ County Police
☐ Sheriff's Office
☐ Primary State
☐ Other (please specify):
-

4. Primary contact name:

5. Primary contact rank/title:

6. Primary contact email:

7. Primary contact phone:

			-				-				
--	--	--	---	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--

8. Approximate number of full-time sworn officers with general arrest powers:

--	--	--	--	--

9. If you want to designate a new point of contact for future LEMAS surveys, please provide the following:

a. Updated contact name:

b. Updated contact rank/title:

c. Updated contact email:

d. Updated contact phone:

			-				-				
--	--	--	---	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--

Burden Statement

Federal agencies may not conduct or sponsor an information collection, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information, unless it displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 20 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate, or any other aspects of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Director, Bureau of Justice Statistics, 810 Seventh Street, NW, Washington, DC 20531. The Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, as amended (42 USC 3732), authorizes this information collection. Although this survey is voluntary, we urgently need your cooperation to make the results comprehensive, accurate, and timely. We greatly appreciate your assistance.

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



Officer/Citizen Interaction

Records of Officer/Citizen Interaction

First, we would like to know about how your agency collects information about officer-citizen interactions. If you are unsure of the correct response to any question, please provide your best guess or estimate.

- 10. Has your agency acquired any of the following tools to record officer-citizen interactions (select one response for each tool)?**

	Agency has acquired in any form (including testing)	Agency has not acquired
a. Body-worn cameras	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Car dashboard cameras	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Personal audio recorders	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. Other event-recording equipment (please specify): _____ _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Body-worn Cameras



If your agency has not acquired body-worn cameras, go to #61

The next set of questions ask about your agency's experience with body-worn cameras. If you are unsure of the correct response to any question, please provide your best guess or estimate.

- 11. When did your agency first get body-worn cameras (provide estimate if exact month and year are unknown)?**

Month: Year:

- 12. About how many body-worn cameras are currently in service?**

This number is an:

- ☐ Estimate
☐ Actual count of deployed devices

- 13. How would you describe the current state of body-worn camera deployment in your agency?**

- ☐ Exploratory/pilot deployment
☐ Partial deployment
☐ Complete deployment for some assignments / partial deployment in others
☐ Full deployment to all intended personnel → **Go to #15**
☐ Unsure / Don't know → **Go to #15**

- 14. What has prevented a full deployment of body-worn cameras? Please check all that apply.**

- ☐ Insufficient funding
☐ Lack of data storage capacity
☐ Lack of staff to handle video footage resulting from BWC
☐ Benefits remain unclear
☐ Lack of officer support
☐ Lack of union support
☐ Lack of supervisory or leadership support
☐ Insufficient time to complete deployment
☐ Inability to acquire sufficient hardware
☐ Currently vetting vendor offerings
☐ Technological challenges (e.g. limited upload bandwidth)
☐ Unresolved legal or policy issues
☐ Other (please specify): _____
☐ Unsure / Don't know

- 15. Do you plan to deploy additional body-worn cameras in the next 12 months?**

- ☐ Yes – Please specify the anticipated number of BWCs that will be deployed:

☐ No
☐ Unsure / Don't know



16. Why did your agency get body-worn camera(s)?

Please check all that apply.

- ☐ Improve officer safety
- ☐ Improve training
- ☐ Improve officer professionalism
- ☐ Improve officer/agency accountability
- ☐ Improve evidence quality
- ☐ Improve community perceptions of the agency
- ☐ Reduce agency liability
- ☐ Reduce use of force incidents
- ☐ Reduce or more quickly resolve citizen complaints
- ☐ Simplify incident review
- ☐ Strengthen police leadership
- ☐ Make cases more prosecutable
- ☐ To receive funding that required purchase of body-worn cameras
- ☐ Response to external pressures (e.g. legislative, judicial or executive mandate from outside of the police agency)
- ☐ Conduct a pilot test to determine body-worn camera feasibility and utility
- ☐ Other (please specify):

- ☐ Unsure / Don't know

17. Which of these was the most important reason for acquiring body-worn cameras? *Select only one.*

- ☐ Improve officer safety
- ☐ Improve training
- ☐ Improve officer professionalism
- ☐ Improve officer/agency accountability
- ☐ Improve evidence quality
- ☐ Improve community perceptions of the agency
- ☐ Reduce agency liability
- ☐ Reduce use of force incidents
- ☐ Reduce or more quickly resolve citizen complaints
- ☐ Simplify incident review
- ☐ Strengthen police leadership
- ☐ Make cases more prosecutable
- ☐ To receive funding that required purchase of body-worn cameras
- ☐ Response to external pressures (e.g. legislative, judicial or executive mandate from outside of the police agency)
- ☐ Conduct a pilot test to determine body-worn camera feasibility and utility
- ☐ Other (please specify):

- ☐ Unsure / Don't know

18. Does your agency use body-worn camera footage to inform and/or develop in-service training?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know

The next set of questions ask about your agency's policies surrounding the transfer, storage, and disposal of video files resulting from body-worn cameras. If you are unsure of the correct response to any question, please provide your best guess or estimate.

19. Does your agency have a formal policy on the use of body-worn cameras or the video footage recorded by those cameras?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ Under development / Policy is in draft form
- ☐ No → **Go to #27**
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know → **Go to #27**

20. Were any published guidelines from independent sources (such as the NIJ, BJA, PERF, IACP, CALEA, etc.) used in the formulation of policies and procedures regarding body-worn camera deployment, use, or video storage?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know

21. Does your agency's body-worn camera (or related) policy cover what events to record?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No → **Go to #23**
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know → **Go to #23**

22. In what situations are officers required to turn on their body-worn cameras? *Please select all that apply.*

- ☐ Responding to routine calls for service
- ☐ Traffic stops
- ☐ Officer-initiated citizen contact
- ☐ Firearms deployments
- ☐ Public order policing
- ☐ Policing public events
- ☐ Criminal investigations
- ☐ Special operations
- ☐ Executing arrest or search warrants
- ☐ Transporting offenders
- ☐ Other (please specify):

- ☐ Unsure / Don't know

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



23. Does your agency's body-worn camera (or related) policy cover transfer, storage, or disposal of video?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No → *Go to #26*
☐ Unsure / Don't know → *Go to #26*

24. Per policy, how long must typical video files be retained?

- ☐ Files are not stored unless manually flagged
☐ 30 days or less
☐ 1 month up to one year
☐ More than 1 year and up to 5 years
☐ More than 5 years
☐ Indefinitely
☐ Other (please specify):

☐ Unsure / Don't know

25. Under what circumstances would video files be retained for longer periods of time? *Please select all that apply.*

- ☐ Associated with officer use of force
☐ Associated with a citizen complaint
☐ Used as evidence in a legal proceeding
☐ Officer request
☐ At the request of supervisor or agency management
☐ FOIA or other public access request
☐ Other (please specify):

☐ Unsure / Don't know

26. Select all the topics covered by your agency's body-worn camera (or related) policy.

	Yes	No	Unsure
a. When/how to inform citizens they are being recorded	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Frequency of uploading or offloading video	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. When officers are allowed to review footage (i.e., before or after making a formal statement)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. Periodic/routine supervisor review of footage	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. Public release of raw (unredacted) video footage	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. Content/objects that must be redacted	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

27. Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers in your agency?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No → *Go to #51*
☐ Unsure / Don't know → *Go to #51*

28. Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to traditional patrol / vehicle-based patrols?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No → *Go to #30*
☐ Unsure / Don't know → *Go to #30*
☐ N/A – Agency does not engage in this activity → *Go to #30*

29. What best describes the deployment of body-worn cameras for officers primarily engaged in traditional patrol / vehicle-based patrol?

- ☐ Exploratory/pilot deployment
☐ Partial deployment
☐ Complete deployment for some assignments / partial deployment in others
☐ Full deployment to all intended personnel
☐ Unsure / Don't know

30. Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to primarily proactive / self-initiated public contacts?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No → *Go to #32*
☐ Unsure / Don't know → *Go to #32*
☐ N/A – Agency does not engage in this activity → *Go to #32*

31. What best describes the deployment of body-worn cameras for officers primarily engaged in primarily proactive / self-initiated public contacts?

- ☐ Exploratory/pilot deployment
☐ Partial deployment
☐ Complete deployment for some assignments / partial deployment in others
☐ Full deployment to all intended personnel
☐ Unsure / Don't know

32. Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to foot patrols?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No → *Go to #34*
☐ Unsure / Don't know → *Go to #34*
☐ N/A – Agency does not engage in this activity → *Go to #34*

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



33. What best describes the deployment of body-worn cameras for officers primarily engaged in foot patrols?

- ☐ Exploratory/pilot deployment
- ☐ Partial deployment
- ☐ Complete deployment for some assignments / partial deployment in others
- ☐ Full deployment to all intended personnel
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know

34. Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to bicycle patrols?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No → **Go to #36**
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know → **Go to #36**
- ☐ N/A – Agency does not engage in this activity → **Go to #36**

35. What best describes the deployment of body-worn cameras for officers primarily engaged in bicycle patrols?

- ☐ Exploratory/pilot deployment
- ☐ Partial deployment
- ☐ Complete deployment for some assignments / partial deployment in others
- ☐ Full deployment to all intended personnel
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know

36. Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to motorcycle patrols?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No → **Go to #38**
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know → **Go to #38**
- ☐ N/A – Agency does not engage in this activity → **Go to #38**

37. What best describes the deployment of body-worn cameras for officers primarily engaged in motorcycle patrols?

- ☐ Exploratory/pilot deployment
- ☐ Partial deployment
- ☐ Complete deployment for some assignments / partial deployment in others
- ☐ Full deployment to all intended personnel
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know

38. Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to traffic enforcement?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No → **Go to #40**
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know → **Go to #40**
- ☐ N/A – Agency does not engage in this activity → **Go to #40**

39. What best describes the deployment of body-worn cameras for officers primarily engaged in traffic enforcement?

- ☐ Exploratory/pilot deployment
- ☐ Partial deployment
- ☐ Complete deployment for some assignments / partial deployment in others
- ☐ Full deployment to all intended personnel
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know

40. Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to warrant executions?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No → **Go to #42**
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know → **Go to #42**
- ☐ N/A – Agency does not engage in this activity → **Go to #42**

41. What best describes the deployment of body-worn cameras for officers primarily engaged in warrant executions?

- ☐ Exploratory/pilot deployment
- ☐ Partial deployment
- ☐ Complete deployment for some assignments / partial deployment in others
- ☐ Full deployment to all intended personnel
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know

42. Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to criminal investigations?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No → **Go to #44**
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know → **Go to #44**
- ☐ N/A – Agency does not engage in this activity → **Go to #44**

43. What best describes the deployment of body-worn cameras for officers primarily engaged in criminal investigations?

- ☐ Exploratory/pilot deployment
- ☐ Partial deployment
- ☐ Complete deployment for some assignments / partial deployment in others
- ☐ Full deployment to all intended personnel
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know

44. Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to drug enforcement?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No → **Go to #46**
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know → **Go to #46**
- ☐ N/A – Agency does not engage in this activity → **Go to #46**

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



45. What best describes the deployment of body-worn cameras for officers primarily engaged in drug enforcement?

- ☐ Exploratory/pilot deployment
- ☐ Partial deployment
- ☐ Complete deployment for some assignments / partial deployment in others
- ☐ Full deployment to all intended personnel
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know

46. Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to detentions and transfers?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No → **Go to #48**
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know → **Go to #48**
- ☐ N/A – Agency does not engage in this activity → **Go to #48**

47. What best describes the deployment of body-worn cameras for officers primarily engaged in detentions and transfers?

- ☐ Exploratory/pilot deployment
- ☐ Partial deployment
- ☐ Complete deployment for some assignments / partial deployment in others
- ☐ Full deployment to all intended personnel
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know

48. Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to SWAT operations?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No → **Go to #50**
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know → **Go to #50**
- ☐ N/A – Agency does not engage in this activity → **Go to #50**

49. What best describes the deployment of body-worn cameras for officers primarily engaged in SWAT operations?

- ☐ Exploratory/pilot deployment
- ☐ Partial deployment
- ☐ Complete deployment for some assignments / partial deployment in others
- ☐ Full deployment to all intended personnel
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know

50. Have body-worn cameras been deployed to officers assigned to any other duties not already mentioned in questions 28-49?

- ☐ Yes (please specify duties):

- ☐ No
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know

51. Select all the features present on your body-worn camera system. *Please select all that apply.*

- ☐ Time / date stamp
- ☐ Variable camera placement (e.g. eyewear, lapel, other part of uniform)
- ☐ Pre-event video buffer (e.g. the device continuously records video which is saved when the device is activated)
- ☐ Capable of recording in low light conditions
- ☐ Playback screen
- ☐ Minimum battery life
↳ Please specify hours: _____
- ☐ Global Positioning System (GPS) information
- ☐ Safeguards against inadvertent video file corruption, loss, or tampering
- ☐ Officer down alerts
- ☐ Officer event tagging
- ☐ Other (please specify):

- ☐ Unsure / Don't know

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



52. Indicate your degree of agreement with the following statements:

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know	Too soon to know
a. BWC implementation was more expensive than anticipated	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. BWC implementation required more staff time than anticipated	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. BWCs provide reliable evidence of officer-citizen interactions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. BWCs have been useful in protecting officers from unwarranted complaints	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. BWCs have been a useful tool for supervising officers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. BWCs have improved professionalism of officers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. BWCs have helped identify instances of officer misconduct that might not have been identified without them	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h. BWCs have improved relationships between the agency and the community	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

The next set of questions ask about your agency's experience and procedures around the use and dissemination of videos produced by body-worn cameras.

53. Does your agency keep a log of or otherwise track **internal** (i.e., law enforcement agency staff) access to video files?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Unsure / Don't know

54. Who has direct access to view video files? In other words, who can access the files without filing a formal request? **Please select all that apply.**

- ☐ Chief executive of law enforcement agency
☐ Executive staff of law enforcement agency
☐ Internal affairs
☐ Head of information technology group in the law enforcement agency
☐ Other information technology staff in the law enforcement agency
☐ Officer that made the video recording
☐ Supervisor of officer that made the video recording
☐ Other sworn law enforcement agency staff
☐ Other non-sworn law enforcement agency staff
☐ District attorney's office
☐ Vendor staff
☐ Other (please specify):

- ☐ None of the above
☐ Unsure / Don't know

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



55. On average, how many times per month does the public request video generated by body-worn cameras?

- ☐ 0 requests → *Go to #59*
- ☐ 1 – 5 requests
- ☐ 6 – 10 requests
- ☐ 11 – 15 requests
- ☐ 16 or more requests
- ☐ Public has never requested video generated by BWC → *Go to #59*
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know → *Go to #59*

56. On average, how much staff time does it take to produce a video requested by the public? This should be actual staff time needed to conduct retrieval, redaction, and dissemination, not necessarily the amount of time between video request and video dissemination.

- ☐ Less than 60 minutes
- ☐ 1-2 hours
- ☐ 3-4 hours
- ☐ 5-6 hours
- ☐ 7-8 hours
- ☐ More than 9 hours
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know

57. Has your agency ever denied the public's access to body-worn camera footage?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No → *Go to #59*
- ☐ Unsure / Don't know → *Go to #59*

58. Under what conditions have video requests from the public been denied?

- ☐ The video was part of an ongoing investigation
- ☐ The video would have deprived a person of their right to a fair trial
- ☐ The video constituted an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy
- ☐ The video risked identifying confidential sources
- ☐ The video revealed techniques and procedures for investigations that could be used to circumvent the law
- ☐ The video would have endangered the life or safety of an individual
- ☐ Other (please specify):

- ☐ Unsure / Don't know

59. What obstacles have your agency encountered in using cameras or the associated video? Please select all that apply.

- ☐ Concerns about the security of the information contained in the videos
- ☐ Concerns about procedures surrounding storage of the videos
- ☐ Concerns about privacy
- ☐ Concerns about liability
- ☐ Costs were greater than anticipated
- ☐ Burden of replying to public/agency requests for video data
- ☐ Lack of public support
- ☐ Lack of officer support
- ☐ Lack of support from labor union or other group representing officers
- ☐ Camera use has not benefitted the agency as expected
- ☐ Technical obstacles to implementation (e.g., poor video or sound quality)
- ☐ Other (please specify):

- ☐ Unsure / Don't know

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



60. Of these, which is the greatest obstacle you have encountered?

- ☐ Concerns about the security of the information contained in the videos
- ☐ Concerns about procedures surrounding storage of the videos
- ☐ Concerns about privacy
- ☐ Concerns about liability
- ☐ Costs were greater than anticipated
- ☐ Burden of replying to public/agency requests for video data
- ☐ Lack of public support
- ☐ Lack of officer support
- ☐ Lack of support from labor union or other group representing officers
- ☐ Cameras use has not benefitted the agency as expected
- ☐ Technical obstacles to implementation (e.g., poor video or sound quality)
- ☐ Other (please specify):

- ☐ Unsure / Don't know



Please skip to #67. Questions 61-66 are for agencies that have not acquired body-worn cameras.

Body-worn Cameras

We are interested in your agency's plans to acquire or experience considering body-worn cameras. If your agency has already acquired body-worn cameras, skip to #67.

61. What are the primary reasons that your agency has not acquired any body-worn cameras? *Please select all that apply.*

- ☐ No perceived need for the technology
- ☐ Lack of community support
- ☐ Lack of support from agency leadership
- ☐ Lack of support from patrol officers
- ☐ Cost of hardware
- ☐ Cost of training
- ☐ Cost of ongoing maintenance/support
- ☐ Costs of video storage and/or disposal
- ☐ Costs of managing public records request and/or video redaction
- ☐ Privacy concerns
- ☐ Liability concerns
- ☐ Likelihood of technical difficulties with camera operation
- ☐ Obstacles associated with transfer and storage of videos
- ☐ Other (please specify):

- ☐ Unsure / Don't know

62. What factors will determine whether you explore body-worn cameras in the next year? *Please select all that apply.*

- ☐ Public expectations
- ☐ Leadership directives
- ☐ Initial acquisition costs
- ☐ Ongoing maintenance costs
- ☐ Privacy concerns
- ☐ Liability concerns
- ☐ Support from agency leadership
- ☐ Support from patrol officers
- ☐ Communication or relationship with vendor(s)
- ☐ Other similar or nearby jurisdictions acquiring BWC
- ☐ Other (please specify):

- ☐ Unsure / Don't know

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



63. How likely are you to consider acquiring body-worn cameras in the next year?

- ☐ Very likely
☐ Likely
☐ Unlikely → *Go to #65*
☐ Very unlikely → *Go to #65*
☐ Unsure / Don't know → *Go to #65*

64. When do you anticipate acquiring body-worn cameras?

- ☐ Within the next 3 months
☐ 4 – 6 months
☐ 7 – 12 months
☐ More than 12 months
☐ No anticipated date
☐ Unsure / Don't know

65. How supportive do you think patrol officers would be if your agency implemented body-worn cameras?

- ☐ Very supportive
☐ Somewhat supportive
☐ Not supportive
☐ Officers would likely not have a reaction one way or the other
☐ Unsure / Don't know

66. How supportive do you think the community would be if your agency implemented body-worn cameras?

- ☐ Very supportive
☐ Somewhat supportive
☐ Not supportive
☐ Community would likely not have a reaction one way or the other
☐ Unsure / Don't know

67. Does your agency formally document and store civilian complaints against sworn officers in paper or electronic format?

- ☐ Yes- Electronic only
☐ Yes- Paper only
☐ Yes- Both paper and electronic records
☐ No- Agency does not document and store civilian complaints → *Go to end of survey*

68. Are these paper or electronic civilian complaint records easily retrievable?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No → *Go to end of survey*

69. How many formal civilian complaints against sworn officers were received in 2015?

--	--	--	--	--

70. Of the civilian complaints identified in question 69, how many involved at least one allegation of excessive use of physical force?

--	--	--	--	--

71. For the civilian complaints reporting excessive use of physical force, what were the *total number of allegations* related to excessive use of physical force? (There may be more than one allegation per complaint)

--	--	--	--	--

72. For the *allegations* identified in question 71, how many were:

a. Unfounded (The complaint was not based on facts, or reported incident did not occur)	
b. Exonerated (The incident occurred, but officer action was deemed lawful and proper)	
c. Not sustained (Insufficient evidence to prove the allegation)	
d. Sustained (Sufficient evidence to justify disciplinary action against the officer(s))	
e. Pending (Final disposition of the allegation has not been made)	
f. Other disposition (e.g., withdrawn)	
g. Total use of force allegations (<i>sum of lines a through f, should also equal number provided in question 71</i>)	

Thank You!

Thank you for participating in this survey. BJS and RTI will be analyzing and disseminating information on body-worn cameras in the coming months. If you have any questions about this survey, please contact RTI Data Collection Manager Azot Derecho at 866-881-2515 or lemas.bwc@rti.org. Information on all of BJS's law enforcement data collection and dissemination activities can be found at www.bjs.gov.

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

