

Compiled and Edited by:
Benn Curby
Jacob Kennedy

Oversight Meeting Minutes - 19/03/20

8:03 – Meeting start

8:05 – Make sure iteration plan links in wiki – free pass used this week

8:10 – We are to update our journals each fortnight on Wednesday, the day before our meeting with Jim

8:13 – Google Docs doesn't work with version control

8:17 – Remedy is provide a Google Docs page on the wiki with links

8:19 – Can export PDFs/Word Docs from Google Docs to repository – check this works initially
– He wants a snapshot of where we are at, not an updatable link

8:25 – In the iteration plans he likes clickable links to the completed task in the repository
– likes to see sustained work throughout the session in the form of consistent commit activity to the repository

8:27 – Wants web presence for small café- not a big café chain
– finished project could be purchased by a local café
– mobile app that connects to a server
– Use the provided templates as a guideline, not a straight-jacket

8:30 – Avoid assigning one type of work item consistently to one person
– should be at least two people who are knowledgeable about one area
– this mitigates risk should something happen to one team member

8:33 – Qualify clauses in team charter that are a little open-ended

8:34 – Jim mentions a “2 hour rule” – if a team member has genuinely tried to solve a problem for 2 – 3 hours without progress then notify the team and get help/ a fresh perspective

8:36 – Don’t let problems fester – let someone know well before due dates

8:38 – People with strengths in certain areas should mentor other team members so others learn and the work is distributed. People shouldn’t take on all the work of a certain type because they are good at it.

8:40 – Jim talks about levels of commitment and differences in grades between team members. Grades can differ if some team members consistently put in more or less hours

8:46 – Modify team charter to account for when work has to be redistributed – it can happen often

8:47 – Some remedial things with strikes in the team charter are off-target. Strikes are for violations of the team charter

8:49 – Jim’s suggestion for 3 strike policy:

1st strike – accidental or otherwise – support and counselling

2nd strike – accidental or deliberate – stern warning

3rd strike – not good enough – action: possible expulsion from the team

8:51 – last line of ‘At least You Tried’ suggested as response to 1st strike

8:55 – Iteration Plan:

- Recognise that the ‘Vision’ relies in the NFR template so NFR’s need to be completed before Vision template
- Architecture relies on requirements – especially NFR’s
- Domain model can be a data model
- Establish a risk list
- Critical core use case is not needed until PMAS at the end of session

9:00 – Everyone should revise how to create a Deployment Diagram

9:10 – Mentions that our sponsorship of project is a risk

9:13 – Barring a sponsor we can roleplay

– We need to find our niche for the project app/website

9:15 – Final mention is that our iteration jobs should be no more than 4 hours

– Jobs should be such a length that they can be achieved in one sitting

Summary of Team Charter Suggestions:

- Avoid putting all eggs in one basket.
- At least 2 people who understand tasks, "team members can die but it doesn't affect the project.
- Spread workload out, keep it even, don't let anything concentrate too much.
- Don't waste huge amount of time solving an issue by yourself, get help. No good sitting on a problem for a week too embarrassed to mention anything.
- Use team members with high knowledge in an area.
- Get specific with potential obstacles. E.g. Jake has 5 subjects.
- 5 hours a week is minimum to expect a pass with.
- If team member fails to notify a problem it's a strike.
- Strikes are for violations of the team charter.
 - first strike accident. Support and Counselling.
 - that's not good enough. Warning.
 - we don't put up with this anymore. Action.
- At least you tried second instance needs updating, move its description to first instance.