

Which Solution had better accuracy?

When I compared my solution to the one made by the AI, the biggest difference I noticed was accuracy. My approach took more time because I was careful with every step—I checked things thoroughly, like making sure maps matched properly and dealing with missing data correctly. The AI's solution was quicker and shorter, but it missed some important details, like carelessly removing missing data, which could mess up results later on. Even though it might have been easier to read, the AI skipped over crucial checks that keep the analysis accurate. So, mine ended up being more trustworthy because it handled these details better.

Which solution was more reliable?

Another difference was how reliable each solution was. My method was really detailed and thorough—I explained each step clearly, making sure anyone who used my code would understand exactly what I did and why. That way, if there were any problems, it would be easier to fix them. The AI solution looked clean and simple, but it wasn't as detailed. It used a one-size-fits-all approach, so it might not work as well with different kinds of data or new problems that might pop up. Basically, mine was stronger in situations where you really need to trust the results.

Which solution was easier to use?

The AI solution was definitely faster and easier to use at first glance. It produced neat, short code that you could just copy and run quickly, which is great for basic analysis or getting quick answers. But my solution, even though it was longer, handled problems better and would save you headaches down the road. It was designed to catch issues before they caused trouble later. So, while the AI solution might seem simpler at first, mine was actually easier in the long run because it prevented mistakes and provided more trustworthy results.