

Where to find more detail

- These slides: jacobneu.github.io/research/slides/HoTT-UF-2023.pdf
- In-progress preprint: jacobneu.github.io/research/preprints/polarTT.pdf
- Agda formalization coming soon (link will be added to preprint and slides)

Univalent Mathematics: Groupoid Theory versus Category Theory

$\infty-$ groupoids are easy in HoTT

Recall A type in HoTT can be viewed as a ∞ -groupoid: the elements are the objects, the identity proofs are the morphisms, ...

∞ —groupoids are easy in HoTT

Recall A type in HoTT can be viewed as a ∞ -groupoid: the elements are the objects, the identity proofs are the morphisms, ...

A function $f:A\to B$ is automatically a functor w.r.t. this groupoid structure: using the J-rule, we can construct $ap_f p: f(a) =_B f(a')$ for each $p: a =_A a'$ and prove this preserves identities (refl) and composition (path concatenation)

Key observation We don't need to inspect the definition of f to define ap_f or to prove it respects identities and composition – once we have f, we have its functoriality

To define a category, we must define its morphisms explicitly and prove they satisfy the given laws.

To define a category, we must define its morphisms explicitly and prove they satisfy the given laws.

To define a functor, we must define its morphism part explicitly and prove functoriality by hand.

To define a category, we must define its morphisms explicitly and prove they satisfy the given laws.

To define a functor, we must define its morphism part explicitly and prove functoriality by hand.

If we want to do ∞ -category theory...

In summary: In univalent mathematics, groupoids are synthetic but categories are analytic

Why?

Goal: Design a variant of HoTT capable of synthetic (oo-)category theory

Some Existing Directed TT/Synthetic CT Projects

- Harper and Licata 2-Dimensional Directed Type Theory (2011) †★
- Nuyts Towards a Directed Homotopy Type Theory based on 4 Kinds of Variance (2015) †★
- Riehl and Shulman A type theory for synthetic ∞ -categories (2017)
- Ahrens, North, and van der Wiede Semantics for two-dimensional type theory (2022) *
- Cisinski, Nguyen, and Walde *Univalent Directed Type Theory* (2023)
- † No model theory
- * Includes a directed version of judgmental equality

- Dybjer's Internal Type Theory (1995)
 - Introduced categories with families as a model theory for type theory

- Dybjer's Internal Type Theory (1995)
 - Introduced categories with families as a model theory for type theory
 - Generalized algebraic theory more convenient to formalize in a computer proof assistant

- Dybjer's Internal Type Theory (1995)
 - ▶ Introduced categories with families as a model theory for type theory
 - Generalized algebraic theory more convenient to formalize in a computer proof assistant
- Hofmann and Streicher's The Groupoid Interpretation of Type Theory (1995)

- Dybjer's Internal Type Theory (1995)
 - ▶ Introduced categories with families as a model theory for type theory
 - Generalized algebraic theory more convenient to formalize in a computer proof assistant
- Hofmann and Streicher's The Groupoid Interpretation of Type Theory (1995)
 - ▶ Introduced the groupoid model of type theory, a CwF structure on the category of groupoids

- Dybjer's Internal Type Theory (1995)
 - ▶ Introduced categories with families as a model theory for type theory
 - Generalized algebraic theory more convenient to formalize in a computer proof assistant
- Hofmann and Streicher's The Groupoid Interpretation of Type Theory (1995)
 - Introduced the groupoid model of type theory, a CwF structure on the category of groupoids
 - Proved the independence of the *Uniqueness of Identity Proofs*

Next up in the *Directed CwFs Transatlantic Tour*

- Hofmann and Streicher's Lifting Grothendieck Universes (1999) unpublished)
 - Established a technique for modelling universes in *presheaf models* of type theory
- Hofmann's Semantical analysis of higher-order abstract syntax (1999)
 - Gave presheaf semantics for a higher-order abstract syntax, which abstracts away cumbersome details about substitution and binding

Categories with Families

Categories with Families

Defn. A category with families (CwF) is a (generalized) algebraic structure, consisting of:

- A category Con of *contexts* and *substitutions*, with a terminal object •, the *empty context*
- A presheaf Ty: $Con^{op} \rightarrow Set \ of \ types$
- A presheaf Tm: $(\int Ty)^{op} \rightarrow Set of terms$
- An operation of *context extension*:

$$\frac{\Gamma \colon \mathsf{Con} \quad A \colon \mathsf{Ty} \ \Gamma}{\Gamma \triangleright A \colon \mathsf{Con}}$$

satisfying a 'local representability' condition.

The groupoid model of type theory is a CwF

The groupoid model of type theory is a CwF where

Con is the category of groupoids

The groupoid model of type theory is a CwF where

- Con is the category of groupoids
- Ty Γ is the set of Γ -indexed families of groupoids (i.e. functors) $\Gamma \to \mathsf{Grpd}$

The groupoid model of type theory is a CwF where

- Con is the category of groupoids
- Ty Γ is the set of Γ -indexed families of groupoids (i.e. functors $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathsf{Grpd}$

Further structure Can interpret dependent types and identity types in the groupoid model, and find types whose identity types violate UIP

Main Idea: Replace groupoids with categories!

The category model of type theory is a CwF where

- Con is the category of categories
- Ty Γ is the set of Γ-indexed families of categories (i.e. functors $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathsf{Cat}$

The category model of type theory is a CwF where

- Con is the category of categories
- Ty Γ is the set of Γ-indexed families of categories (i.e. functors $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathsf{Cat}$

Further structure

The category model of type theory is a CwF where

- Con is the category of categories
- Ty Γ is the set of Γ-indexed families of categories (i.e. functors $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathsf{Cat}$
- . . .

Further structure The category of categories comes equipped with the opposite category operation, which we can view as a functor $\overline{\mathsf{Cat}} o \overline{\mathsf{Cat}}$

The category model of type theory is a CwF where

- Con is the category of categories
- Ty Γ is the set of Γ-indexed families of categories (i.e. functors $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathsf{Cat}$
- . . .

Further structure The category of categories comes equipped with the opposite category operation, which we can view as a functor $\mathsf{Cat} \to \mathsf{Cat}.$

• For each context Γ , there is a context Γ^-

The category model of type theory is a CwF where

- Con is the category of categories
- Ty Γ is the set of Γ -indexed families of categories (i.e. functors $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathsf{Cat}$
- . . .

Further structure The category of categories comes equipped with the opposite category operation, which we can view as a functor $\mathsf{Cat} \to \mathsf{Cat}.$

- For each context Γ , there is a context Γ^-
- For each A: Ty Γ, there is a type A⁻: Ty Γ

Polarized Categories with Families

A polarized category with families (PCwF) is a (generalized) algebraic structure, consisting of:

Polarized Categories with Families

A polarized category with families (PCwF) is a (generalized) algebraic structure, consisting of:

Con,
 Ty, Tm as in the definition of CwF

Polarized Categories with Families

A polarized category with families (PCwF) is a (generalized) algebraic structure, consisting of:

- Con,
 Ty, Tm as in the definition of CwF
- A functor $(\underline{\ \ \ })^-$: Con \to Con such that $(\Gamma^-)^-=\Gamma$ and $\bullet^-=\bullet$

Polarized Categories with Families

A polarized category with families (PCwF) is a (generalized) algebraic structure, consisting of:

- Con, , Ty, Tm as in the definition of CwF
- A functor (_)⁻: Con \rightarrow Con such that $(\Gamma^{-})^{-} = \Gamma$ and $\bullet^{-} = \bullet$
- For each Γ : Con, a function () : Ty $\Gamma \to T$ y Γ such that $(A^{-})^{-} = A$

Polarized Categories with Families

A polarized category with families (PCwF) is a (generalized) algebraic structure, consisting of:

- Con, , Ty, Tm as in the definition of CwF
- A functor (_)⁻: Con \rightarrow Con such that $(\Gamma^{-})^{-} = \Gamma$ and $\bullet^{-} = \bullet$
- For each Γ : Con, a function () : Ty $\Gamma \to T$ y Γ such that $(A^{-})^{-} = A$
- Two operations of *context extension*: for s either + or -,

$$\frac{\Gamma \colon \mathsf{Con} \quad A \colon \mathsf{Ty} \ \Gamma^s}{\Gamma \triangleright^s A \colon \mathsf{Con}}$$

The Local Representability Condition

For any Δ , Γ and any A: Ty Γ ,

$$\mathsf{Con}(\Delta, \Gamma \triangleright^{s} A) \cong \sum_{\gamma \colon \mathsf{Con}(\Delta, \Gamma)} \mathsf{Tm}(\Delta^{s}, A[\gamma^{s}]^{s})$$

natural in Δ .

Further structure

Further structure In the groupoid model, we were able to interpret identity types. In the category model, we have hom types

Further structure In the groupoid model, we were able to interpret identity types. In the category model, we have hom types.

$$A: \operatorname{Ty} \Gamma$$
 $a_0: \operatorname{Tm}(\Gamma, A^-)$ $a_1: \operatorname{Tm}(\Gamma, A)$ $a_0 \Rightarrow_A a_1: \operatorname{Ty} \Gamma$

Further structure In the groupoid model, we were able to interpret identity types. In the category model, we have hom types.

$$A: \operatorname{Ty} \Gamma$$
 $a_0: \operatorname{Tm}(\Gamma, A^-)$ $a_1: \operatorname{Tm}(\Gamma, A)$ $a_0 \Rightarrow_A a_1: \operatorname{Ty} \Gamma$

Note the use of polarities to mark variances!

Further structure In the groupoid model, we were able to interpret identity types. In the category model, we have hom types.

A: Ty
$$\Gamma$$
 a_0 : Tm(Γ , A^-) a_1 : Tm(Γ , A)
$$a_0 \Rightarrow_A a_1$$
: Ty Γ

Note the use of polarities to mark variances!

Notice This is the essential ingredient in making our types into synthetic categories.

Further structure

Further structure The groupoid model also 'lives inside' the category model: we can take the core of a category \mathbb{C} , which is the largest groupoid that is a subcategory of \mathbb{C} (and of \mathbb{C}^{op}).

Further structure The groupoid model also 'lives inside' the category model: we can take the **core** of a category \mathbb{C} , which is the largest groupoid that is a subcategory of \mathbb{C} (and of \mathbb{C}^{op}). We could perhaps treat this as an operation on contexts, but we're mainly interested in it at the type level:

$$\frac{A: \text{ Ty } \Gamma}{A^0: \text{ Ty } \Gamma}$$

Further structure The groupoid model also 'lives inside' the category model: we can take the **core** of a category \mathbb{C} , which is the largest groupoid that is a subcategory of \mathbb{C} (and of \mathbb{C}^{op}). We could perhaps treat this as an operation on contexts, but we're mainly interested in it at the type level:

$$A: \operatorname{Ty} \Gamma$$
 $a: \operatorname{Tm}(\Gamma, A^0)$ $+a: \operatorname{Tm}(\Gamma, A) - a: \operatorname{Tm}(\Gamma, A^-)$

Refl and J

Core types allow us to state the introduction rule for hom types:

$$\frac{a \colon \mathsf{Tm}(\Gamma, A^0)}{\mathsf{refl}_a \colon \mathsf{Tm}(\Gamma, -a \Rightarrow_A + a)}$$

Refl and J

Core types allow us to state the introduction rule for hom types:

$$\frac{a \colon \mathsf{Tm}(\Gamma, A^0)}{\mathsf{refl}_a \colon \mathsf{Tm}(\Gamma, -a \Rightarrow_A + a)}$$

as well as the appropriate J-rules:

Directed Categories with Families

A directed category with families (DCwF) is a (generalized) algebraic structure, consisting of:

- Con,
 Ty, Tm as in the definition of CwF
- The negation operations $(_)^-$ and context extensions \triangleright^s as in the definition of PCwF

Directed Categories with Families

A directed category with families (DCwF) is a (generalized) algebraic structure, consisting of:

- Con,
 Ty, Tm as in the definition of CwF
- The negation operations $(_)^-$ and context extensions \triangleright^s as in the definition of PCwF
- \bullet Core types and the + and operations on terms

Directed Categories with Families

A directed category with families (DCwF) is a (generalized) algebraic structure, consisting of:

- Con,
 Ty, Tm as in the definition of CwF
- The negation operations $(_)^-$ and context extensions \triangleright^s as in the definition of PCwF
- \bullet Core types and the + and operations on terms
- The \Rightarrow type former with refl constructor and J eliminators

Thank you!

Appendix: Proof of concept: Composition

Appendix: Arrow Types

Appendix: Map and Functoriality