ASEN 5044 Statistical Estimation for Dynamical Systems Fall 2019

Homework 8

Out: Thursday 11/19/2019 (posted on Canvas)

Due: Tuesday 12/3/2019, 11:30 am: **GROUP SUBMISSION TO CANVAS!** (only one group member needs to submit, but all group member names should be listed on page 1 of the document)

You are to submit solutions as a project group and will receive a group grade for this homework assignment. Show all your work and explain your reasoning. Note that this assignment will develop code needed for the final project – so read, think and plan ahead to organize, comment, modularize, and re-use your code! Good basic programming practices will save you a lot of time and effort!!

- 1. LINEAR KF IMPLEMENTATION AND ANALYSIS: Consider again the aircraft coordinated turning problem from Homework 7. Assuming the same model for the equations of motion and aircraft state vector $x = [\xi, \dot{\xi}, \eta, \dot{\eta}]$, do parts (a)-(c).
- (a) Assume that the CT LTI dynamics for two aircraft A and B are now augmented to include process noise for accelerations due to directional wind disturbances modeled by vector AWGN processes $\tilde{w}_A(t)$, $\tilde{w}_B(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, given by

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_A &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E[\tilde{w}_A(t)] = 0, \ E[\tilde{w}_A(t)\tilde{w}_A^T(\tau)] = W \cdot \delta(t - \tau), \\ \Gamma_B &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E[\tilde{w}_B(t)] = 0, \ E[\tilde{w}_B(t)\tilde{w}_B^T(\tau)] = W \cdot \delta(t - \tau), \end{split}$$

where the intensity covariance matrix W is the same for both A and B,

$$W = q_w \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0.05 \\ 0.05 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix},$$

and has appropriate units for acceleration.

Specify the full DT LTI stochastic dynamics models for each aircraft, i.e. provide the corresponding (F_A, Q_A) and (F_B, Q_B) matrices for both aircraft (show your work). Assume that $q_w = 10 \text{ (m/s)}^2$, $\Delta T = 0.5 \text{ sec}$, $\Omega_A = 0.045 \text{ rad/s}$ and $\Omega_B = -0.045 \text{ rad/s}$.

(b) In the following parts, you should fix the Matlab random number seed to 100 – in more recent versions of Matlab, this is accomplished using the rng(100) command. If not using Matlab, you should fix the random number seed for your programming environment to some constant initial value, to ensure reproduceable results between successive code refinements and runs.

(b.i) A ground tracking station monitors Aircraft A, and converts 3D range and bearing data into 2D 'pseudo-measurements' $y_A(k)$ with the following DT measurement model

$$y_A(k) = Hx_A(k) + v_A(k), \quad E[v_A(k)] = 0, \quad E[v_A(k)v_A^T(j)] = R_A\delta(i,j),$$

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad R_A = \begin{bmatrix} 20 & 0.05 \\ 0.05 & 20 \end{bmatrix},$$

where R_A has units of m^2 .

The ground truth state history for A is contained in the file hw8problem1data.mat (in the array 'xasingle_truth' – the first column contains $x_A(0)$, and subsequent columns contain $x_A(k)$ for $k \geq 1$). Simulate a series of noisy measurements $y_A(k)$ for $k \geq 1$ up to 100 secs and store the results in an array. Provide a plot of the components of your simulated $y_A(k)$ data vs. time for the first 20 seconds.

(b.ii) Implement a Kalman filter to estimate aircraft A's state at each time step $k \ge 1$ of the simulated measurements you generated in part b.i. Initialize your filter estimate with the following state mean and covariance at time k = 0:

$$\mu_A(0) = [0 \ m, 85 \cos(\pi/4) \ m/s, 0 \ m, -85 \sin(\pi/4) \ m/s]^T$$

$$P_A(0) = 900 \cdot \text{diag}([10 \ m^2, 2 \ (m/s)^2, 10 \ m^2, 2 \ (m/s)^2]),$$

Provide plots for each component of the estimated state error vs. time, along with estimated 2σ error bounds. Comment on your results; in particular, is your KF output more certain about some states than others? If so, explain why.

(c) Consider now estimating the states of both aircraft A and B, whose true state histories are given in hw8problem1data.mat in the arrays 'xadouble_truth' and 'xbdouble_truth' (these contain $x_A(0)$ and $x_B(0)$ in their first columns, respectively, and subsequent columns contain $x_A(k)$ and $x_B(k)$ for $k \ge 1$). Assume that the initial state uncertainties for each aircraft at time k = 0 are

$$\mu_A(0) = [0 \ m, 85 \cos(\pi/4) \ m/s, 0 \ m, -85 \sin(\pi/4) \ m/s]^T$$

$$P_A(0) = 900 \cdot \text{diag}([10 \ m^2, 2 \ (m/s)^2, 10 \ m^2, 2 \ (m/s)^2]),$$

$$\mu_B(0) = [3200 \ m, 85 \cos(\pi/4) \ m/s, 3200 \ m, -85 \sin(\pi/4) \ m/s]^T$$

$$P_B(0) = 900 \cdot \text{diag}([11 \ m^2, 4 \ (m/s)^2, 11 \ m^2, 4 \ (m/s)^2]),$$

(c.i) Suppose the tracking station can only directly sense one aircraft at a time, and thus cannot sense B while it senses A. However, a transponder between A and B provides a noisy measurement $y_D(k)$ of the difference in their 2D positions, $r_A = [\zeta_A, \eta_A]^T$ and $r_B = [\zeta_B, \eta_B]^T$,

$$y_D(k) = r_A(k) - r_B(k) + v_D(k),$$

$$E[v_D(k)] = 0, \quad E[v_D(k)v_D^T(j)] = R_D\delta(i,j), \quad R_d = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 0.15\\ 0.15 & 10 \end{bmatrix},$$

where R_D has units of m². First, simulate a series of noisy transponder measurements $y_D(k)$ between both aircraft for $k \geq 1$, as well as a new set of ground measurements to aircraft A, $y'_A(k)$ (using the same noise statistics as before), and stack these on top of each other in

a new data array for augmented measurements $y_S(k) = [y_A'(k), y_D(k)]^T$. Then, implement a new KF to estimate the joint augmented aircraft states $x_S(k) = [x_A(k), x_B(k)]^T$ at each time step $k \ge 1$. (**Hint:** you will first need to carefully define new F, Q, H, R, and P(0) matrices for x_S to capture the combined state uncertainties and measurements – the blkdiag command will be useful here. Be sure to explain how you got these matrices). Provide plots only of the position errors for each aircraft vs. time.

- (c.ii) Repeat part c.i if only the transponder measurements are now available, i.e. if $y_S(k) = y_D(k)$ for all time $k \ge 1$. Comment on your results in particular, how is this different from the results obtained in part d.i? What explains this?
- (c.iii) Explain what is so interesting about the structures of the covariance matrices produced by the KFs in c.i and c.ii, compared to the covariance matrices that would be produced for $x_S(k)$ under pure prediction updates (i.e. with dynamic propagation steps only and no measurement updates taking place in the KF whatsoever)?
- 2. FINAL PROJECT, PART 1: Select a system from the updated final project system descriptions list posted on Canvas. Report which system you selected you must stick with this choice for the final project assignment. Then do the following to turn in with this HW assignment:
- **a.** Find the required CT Jacobians needed to obtain CT linearized model parameters. Show the key steps and variables needed to find the Jacobian matrices and state the sizes of the results. DO NOT use a symbolic solver or software to find the Jacobians (though you may use these to do a final check of answers).
- **b.** Linearize your system about its specified equilibrium/nominal operating point (given in the description) and find the corresponding DT linearized model matrices (from the corresponding DT nonlinear model Jacobians) for a suitable sampling time (use $\Delta T = 10$ sec for the orbit determination problem, and $\Delta T = 0.1$ sec otherwise). **If possible**, discuss the observability, controllability, and stability properties of your time-invariant system approximation around the linearization point (if you have a time-varying result, then note this and skip the analysis).
- c. Simulate the linearized DT dynamics and measurement models near the linearization point for your system, assuming a reasonable initial state perturbation from the linearization point (report the perturbation you chose) and assuming no process noise, measurement noise, or control input perturbations. Use the results to compare and validate your Jacobians and DT model against a full nonlinear simulation of the system dynamics and measurements using ode45 in Matlab (or a similar numerical integration routine), starting from the same initial conditions for the total state vector and again assuming no process noise, no measurement noise, and no additional control inputs (i.e. aside from those possibly needed for the nominal linearization condition). Provide suitable labeled plots to report and compare your resulting states and measurements from the linearized DT and full nonlinear DT model. (For the orbit determination problem: simulate at least one full orbit period; for the other systems, simulate at least 400 time steps).

Advanced Questions No advanced questions for this assignment.