

# DIARIO DI BORDO

## MARKINDOWN

November 4, 2025

**Contents**

<b>1</b>	<b>Suggestions and Ideas</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Validity of Noncompete clauses</b>	<b>1</b>

## 1 Suggestions and Ideas

## 2 Validity of Noncompete clauses

We want to explore whether there is some interesting correlation between the mismatch between worker and employer incidence values, and the validity of the Noncompete clauses reported. By exploiting an elaboration from OECD on the legislative framework of the countries of our dataset, we seek to create one dummy variable for each country, indicating whether the clause is legal or not (or whether it is ambiguous). The first step is to lie down, if available, relevant criteria that can be cross-checked within our dataset, in order to create such dummies. In the following list we report, for each country, the information that we have on the legal framework, and we proposed the parameters that we can use to create the dummies.

### Canada

Dimension/Question	Short answer
1. Statute of general applicability	No federal statute regulates the use of non-compete clauses in Canada (two out of the ten Canadian provinces regulate the use of non-compete clauses by law).
2. Role of collective agreements	Preliminary evidence indicates that some collective agreements may play a role in regulating the use of non-compete clauses at the firm level.
3. Employer's protectable interest	A non-compete clause is void and unenforceable, unless the employer has a "real proprietary interest" (such as trade secrets, confidential information, and customer lists).
4. Compensation	Compensation is not formally required.
5. Change in terms/continued employment sufficient consideration	There must be a new "fresh mutual consideration".
6. Plaintiff burden of proof	Non-compete must be reasonable
7. Modification or blue pencil	Courts generally do not amend or modify an ambiguous or overly broad non-compete; however, courts have sometimes accepted that "blue-pencil" severance may be applied in limited circumstances.
8. Enforceability in case of dismissal	Courts have ruled that when an employer commits a regulatory breach of an employment contract (e.g. a wrongful dismissal), the employee will be released from the obligations of a non-compete clause.
9. Sanctions	(Except for Ontario) there are no explicit penalties, fines or other sanctions for employers using overly broad or unenforceable agreements.

I don't have enough information to create a dummy identifying legal NCCs in Canada.

## Belgium

Dimension/Question	Short answer
1. Statute of general applicability	Article 65, §1, and Article 86, §1, Employment Contracts Act. Maximum duration 12 months.
2. Role of collective agreements	The Belgian Employment Contracts Act expressly refers collective bargaining agreements to regulate non-compete clauses under some circumstances (e.g., if the salary is between Euro 43,106 and 86,212, a non-compete is valid only for those occupations whose collective agreement, signed at joint committee level, regulates the use of non-compete clauses). However, only a few joint committees have stipulated a collective agreement regulating non-compete clauses.
3. Employer's protectable interest	The covenant must cover similar activities (in terms of the type of business, as well as based on the employee's occupation and tasks performed). By exploiting special knowledge, peculiar to, and acquired in that enterprise, there must be a possibility that the enterprise is harmed.
4. Compensation	Minimum compensation 50% of gross salary.
5. Change in terms/continued employment sufficient consideration	No specified circumstances, but employees need to agree to sign the agreement.
6. Plaintiff burden of proof	Non-compete must be reasonable, within certain boundaries set by the regulatory framework (including wage thresholds).
7. Modification or blue pencil	Blue pencil or modification not allowed.
8. Enforceability in case of dismissal	Article 65, §2 of the Employment Contracts Act provides that a non-compete is unenforceable if the contract is terminated either during the first six months from the commencement of the employment contract, after such period, by the employer without urgent cause on the part of the employee, or by the employee due to urgent cause on the part of the employer.
9. Sanctions	Employers are not subject to sanctions if they use unenforceable non-compete clauses

Based on this information, I could create a dummy for Belgium == 1 if:

- Duration  $\leq$  12 months
- Compensation present
- The NCC is limited to the a competing firm, taking into account sector and location
- The NCC is written

## France

Dimension/Question	Short answer
1. Statute of general applicability	In the absence of a statute regulating non-compete clauses, courts have played a significant role in defining the boundaries of enforceability.
2. Role of collective agreements	Evidence suggests that collective agreements play a role in regulating non-compete clauses in France, both at the firm and sectoral level.
3. Employer's protectable interest	A non-compete must be essential to protect the employer's legitimate interests. Moreover, the employer must be able to demonstrate the likelihood of actual harm if the employee engages in competitive activity.
4. Compensation	Specific compensation is required.
5. Change in terms/continued employment sufficient consideration	No specified circumstances, but employees need to agree to sign the agreement.
6. Plaintiff burden of proof	Non-compete clauses must be reasonable, within certain boundaries set by the regulatory framework.
7. Modification or blue pencil	When faced with a non-compete clause included in an employment contract, the judge may limit its application by restricting its effect in terms of time, geographical scope, or other conditions when the clause prevents the employee from pursuing an activity in line with their skills and experience.
8. Enforceability in case of dismissal	The enforceability of a non-compete is not linked to the reason for the termination of the employment relationship.
9. Sanctions	The sanction for the illegality of a - is its nullity, and where applicable, compensation for the employee who has suffered harm.

## Germany

Dimension/Question	Short answer
1. Statute of general applicability	German Commercial Code (HGB), especially §§74 ff. HGB. Maximum duration 2 years.
2. Role of collective agreements	There is no indication of collective agreements playing a role in regulating non-compete clauses.
3. Employer's protectable interest	The covenant must be reasonable in scope and protect legitimate business interests
4. Compensation	Minimum compensation 50% of the contractual benefits last received by the employee (§74 II HGB)
5. Change in terms/continued employment sufficient consideration	No specified circumstances, but employees need to agree to sign the agreement.
6. Plaintiff burden of proof	Non-compete must be reasonable, within certain boundaries set by the regulatory framework (including wage thresholds)
7. Modification or blue pencil	Courts cannot reduce the scope of the non-compete unless the employee agrees to it.
8. Enforceability in case of dismissal	According to Article 75 of the Commercial Code, in case of dismissal without prior notice, the non-compete is unenforceable if the party who terminates the contract declares within one month upon termination that they want to be released. If the employee terminates the contract, she remains bound by the non-competition clause subject to a mutually agreed termination. If the employer terminates the employment contract, the employee may be released from the non-competition clause, provided that the termination was not due to a significant personal reason, or the employer agrees to pay compensation in the amount of the full contractual remuneration for the duration of the non-competition clause.
9. Sanctions	Employers are not subject to sanctions if they use unenforceable non-compete clauses.

## Japan

Dimension/Question	Short answer
1. Statute of general applicability	In the absence of a statute regulating non-compete clauses, courts have played a significant role in defining the boundaries of enforceable non-compete clauses. No case basis.
2. Role of collective agreements	There is no indication of collective agreements playing a role in regulating non-compete clauses.
3. Employer's protectable interest	Legitimate business interests.
4. Compensation	Specific compensation is required.
5. Change in terms/continued employment sufficient consideration	Employers can change unilaterally some rules of employment when reasonable, and under specific circumstances; otherwise the general rule is that employees need to agree to sign the agreement.
6. Plaintiff burden of proof	Non-compete must be reasonable, within certain boundaries set by the regulatory framework (including wage thresholds).
7. Modification or blue pencil	Blue pencil or modification not allowed.
8. Enforceability in case of dismissal	Enforceable if employer terminates.
9. Sanctions	Employers are not subject to sanctions if they use unenforceable non-compete clauses

## Korea

Dimension/Question	Short answer
1. Statute of general applicability	In the absence of a statute regulating non-compete clauses, courts have played a significant role in defining the boundaries of enforceable non-compete clauses.
2. Role of collective agreements	There is no indication of collective agreements playing a role in regulating non-compete clauses.
3. Employer's protectable interest	Employers can protect their interests in valuable information.
4. Compensation	No compensation required.
5. Change in terms/continued employment sufficient consideration	No specified circumstances, but employees need to agree to sign the agreement.
6. Plaintiff burden of proof	Non-compete clauses must be reasonable.
7. Modification or blue pencil	Blue pencil allowed.
8. Enforceability in case of dismissal	No indication of a connection between reasons for dismissals and enforceability of non-compete clauses.
9. Sanctions	Employers are not subject to sanctions if they use unenforceable non-compete clauses



## Mexico

Dimension/Question	Short answer
1. Statute of general applicability	No statute regulates the use of non-compete clauses in Mexico. Non-compete clauses are unenforceable because they limit individual's constitutional rights to work.
2. Role of collective agreements	No indication of collective agreements playing a role in regulating non-compete clauses
3. Employer's protectable interest	-
4. Compensation	-
5. Change in terms/continued employment sufficient consideration	-
6. Plaintiff burden of proof	-
7. Modification or blue pencil	-
8. Enforceability in case of dismissal	-
9. Sanctions	Employers are not subject to sanctions if they use unenforceable non-compete clauses

## New Zealand

Dimension/Question	Short answer
1. Statute of general applicability	In the absence of a statute regulating non-compete clauses, courts have played a significant role in defining the boundaries of their enforceability.
2. Role of collective agreements	There is no evidence on collective agreements playing any role.
3. Employer's protectable interest	Non-compete clauses must not extend beyond what is required to protect a proprietary interest.
4. Compensation	No compensation is required.
5. Change in terms/continued employment sufficient consideration	Employers and employees enter into a non-compete agreement or agree to a non-compete clause being included or amended in an existing employment agreement at the start of, during, or at the end of an employee's employment.
6. Plaintiff burden of proof	Non-compete must be reasonable.
7. Modification or blue pencil	Blue pencil or modification allowed.
8. Enforceability in case of dismissal	The general approach taken by the courts is that the circumstances surrounding the termination of an employment agreement doesn't render the non-compete unenforceable.
9. Sanctions	Employers are not subject to sanctions if they use unenforceable non-compete clauses.

## Poland

Dimension/Question	Short answer
1. Statute of general applicability	Polish Labour Code, Article 101 and following. Maximum duration is not explicit but assessed on a reasonableness basis.
2. Role of collective agreements	There is no indication of collective agreements playing a role in regulating non-compete clauses.
3. Employer's protectable interest	The employee shall have access to confidential information whose circulation might cause damage to the (former) employer.
4. Compensation	Minimum compensation 25% of gross salary.
5. Change in terms/continued employment sufficient consideration	No specified circumstances, but employees need to agree to sign the agreement.
6. Plaintiff burden of proof	Non-compete must be reasonable, within certain boundaries set by the regulatory framework (including wage thresholds)
7. Modification or blue pencil	While not formally recognized by the law, courts reportedly read down non-compete clauses to make them enforceable in exceptional cases.
8. Enforceability in case of dismissal	There is no connection between the enforceability of non-compete clauses and the reasons for the termination of the employment relationship.
9. Sanctions	Employers are not subject to sanctions if they use unenforceable non-compete clauses

## Portugal

Dimension/Question	Short answer
1. Statute of general applicability	Article 136 of the Portuguese Labour Code regulates the use of non-compete clauses. Maximum duration is 24 months.
2. Role of collective agreements	There is no indication of collective agreements playing a role in regulating non-compete clauses.
3. Employer's protectable interest	The employer must suffer damages caused by the new activity pursued by the former employee.
4. Compensation	Minimum compensation required but based on reasonableness.
5. Change in terms/continued employment sufficient consideration	No specified circumstances, but employees need to agree to sign the agreement.
6. Plaintiff burden of proof	Non-compete must be reasonable, within certain boundaries set by the regulatory framework.
7. Modification or blue pencil	Except from potentially reducing the amount of penalty clause, courts cannot reduce the scope to enforce non-compete clauses.
8. Enforceability in case of dismissal	In the event of dismissal declared unlawful or termination with just cause by the employee due to an unlawful act by the employer, the compensation for the non-compete shall be increased to the amount equivalent to the base salary at the date of termination of the contract, under penalty of not being able to invoke the limitation of activity provided for in the restriction.
9. Sanctions	Employers are not subject to sanctions if they use unenforceable non-compete clauses

## Spain

Dimension/Question	Short answer
1. Statute of general applicability	The Workers' Statute Article 21, par. 2., regulates non-compete clauses. Maximum duration is 2 years for technical employees and 6 months for other workers.
2. Role of collective agreements	An examination of 73 collective agreements published in 2004 found no reference to non-compete clauses. A more recent search in the REGCON database by entering the keyword 'non-compete agreement' has resulted in several collective agreements containing these clauses.
3. Employer's protectable interest	Employer must have a legitimate industrial or commercial interest.
4. Compensation	Minimum adequate compensation is required.
5. Change in terms/continued employment sufficient consideration	No specified circumstances, but employees need to agree to sign the agreement.
6. Plaintiff burden of proof	Non-compete must be reasonable, within certain boundaries set by the regulatory framework
7. Modification or blue pencil	If the time limit is set in violation of the law, courts can reduce the duration to comply with the 2 years or 6 months limit. It is also possible to proportionally reduce the amount of compensation to adjust it to the shorter temporal scope of the prohibition.
8. Enforceability in case of dismissal	The non-compete agreement is enforceable even when the employer terminates the employment contract, unless the dismissal is intended to break the non-compete agreement.
9. Sanctions	If an employer attempts to enforce an overly broad non-compete that is later deemed unenforceable, the conduct will fall under the infraction type outlined in Article 7.10 of the consolidated text of the Law on Infractions and Sanctions in the Social Order. This infraction is punishable by a fine ranging from €751 to €7,500.

## Sweden

Dimension/Question	Short answer
1. Statute of general applicability	Swedish Contracts Act (sections 36 and 38). Maximum duration 9/18 months (longer under special circumstances).
2. Role of collective agreements	Within the general framework defined by the Contracts Act, the 2015 collective bargaining agreements plays a pivotal role in regulating the use of non-compete clauses.
3. Employer's protectable interest	Agreement that prevent competition (meaning either to prohibit the engagement in a type of business or to not take up employment) shall not be more extensive than may be considered reasonable having regard to the need to access to trade secrets that can be used as a mean of competition.
4. Compensation	Employer must pay up to 60% of the employee's previous salary, or a lower amount if the employee earns a salary from non-competing work.
5. Change in terms/continued employment sufficient consideration	No specified circumstances, but employees need to agree to sign the agreement.
6. Plaintiff burden of proof	Non-compete must be reasonable, within certain boundaries set by the regulatory framework (including wage thresholds).
7. Modification or blue pencil	Courts may adjust or disregard a non-compete agreement if it is not considered reasonable.
8. Enforceability in case of dismissal	Courts have held that noncompetes are enforceable only when an employer wants to retain the employee in their company, thus making them unenforceable if, for example, the employee is dismissed due to redundancy.
9. Sanctions	Employers are not subject to sanctions if they use unenforceable non-compete clauses

## Switzerland

Dimension/Question	Short answer
1. Statute of general applicability	Code of Obligations (Article 340 and following) regulates non-compete clauses. Maximum duration is 3 years.
2. Role of collective agreements	A preliminary analysis of some collective agreements indicates that they contain rules on the prohibition of competition; however, they refer in general to the rules of the Code of Obligations.
3. Employer's protectable interest	An employee can sign a written agreement whereby they refrain from competing as long as the employment relationship allows the employee to gain knowledge about employer's clients, methods of production and trade secrets and such knowledge might cause the employer substantial harm.
4. Compensation	Courts apply a reasonableness test.
5. Change in terms/continued employment sufficient consideration	No specified circumstances, but employees need to agree to sign the agreement
6. Plaintiff burden of proof	Non-compete must be reasonable, within certain boundaries set by the regulatory framework
7. Modification or blue pencil	Following Article 340a of the Code of Obligations, courts tend to limit the scope of the restriction when the agreement is overbroad.
8. Enforceability in case of dismissal	At termination, employers can unilaterally release employees; however, when obligations are bilateral (e.g., the employee is entitled to receive a compensation) the parties must agree to the release, unless the written agreement provide otherwise. Moreover, Article 340c provides that the restriction on competition is extinguished once the employer demonstrably no longer has a substantial interest in its continuation", as well as when the employer terminates the employment relationship without good cause or if the employee terminates the employment relationship with good cause.
9. Sanctions	Employers are not subject to sanctions if they use unenforceable non-compete clauses

## United Kingdom

Dimension/Question	Short answer
1. Statute of general applicability	Common law courts have assessed the reasonableness of non-compete clauses agreements.
2. Role of collective agreements	There is no indication of collective agreements playing a role in regulating non-compete clauses.
3. Employer's protectable interest	The covenant must protect legitimate employers' interests such as a customer base and trade secrets.
4. Compensation	For a new employee, the offer of employment (plus salary and benefits) is deemed sufficient consideration for entering a non-compete.
5. Change in terms/continued employment sufficient consideration	For existing employees, non-compete clauses require additional consideration, such as a pay rise or new benefits, and continued employment is not sufficient.
6. Plaintiff burden of proof	Non-compete must be reasonable
7. Modification or blue pencil	Courts render non-compete clauses void when they are unreasonable and do not rewrite the covenant to render it enforceable. However, courts apply the blue pencil test when the unenforceable provision of the clause can be removed without the need for adding to or modifying the wording.
8. Enforceability in case of dismissal	The enforceability of a non-compete is not linked to the reason for the termination of the employment relationship. The circumstances of the dismissal might play a role in how courts grant the remedies in the specific case (e.g., if the employee is unfairly dismissed, courts might be less willing to grant an injunctive relief)
9. Sanctions	Employers are not subject to sanctions if they use unenforceable non-compete clauses