

# High Energy Theoretical Astroparticle Physics

Jacopo Tissino

2021-11-10

Monday  
2021-11-8,  
compiled  
2021-11-10

Pasquale Blasi gives this part of the course. He might ask us to do exercises at the blackboard.

## Introduction

This is a theory course, but the professor wants to have lots of connections to the physical interpretation.

In general, in order to produce high-energy particles we need *acceleration* and *transport* mechanisms.

The particles we observe are *non-thermal*: the spectra from these phenomena seem to be powerlaws, not the exponentially suppressed tail of a Maxwellian.

Therefore, the system must be, to a first approximation, collisionless: if things happen *faster* than the collisions between the particles, they do not have enough time to thermalize.

We typically see charged particles making up cosmic rays, and the (interstellar, intergalactic) medium they move in is mostly ionized. Thus, they will move under the action of electromagnetic fields. Each of these charged particles also produces EM fields of its own: they all “feel what the others are doing”.

There is a deep connection between the micro and the macro physics. David N. Schramm called this an “inner world — outer world” connection.

In order to understand the behaviour of cosmic rays, we need both particle physics and plasma physics!

## Electroscope discharge: a brief history of the discovery of cosmic rays

What follows is a brief history lesson, roughly based on the work of de Angelis [[dAng14](#)].

In the late 1700s Coulomb discovered that an electroscope, left on its own, will discharge. This phenomenon seemed to decrease in speed as the pressure decreased: the air was being ionized, but by what?

In the late 1800s, after the discovery of the emission of charged particles by radioisotopes (which definitely managed to discharge electroscopes), ambient radioactivity was blamed for the phenomenon as a whole.

Domenico Pacini explored the variation of the rate of ionization as one moved underwater: it was decreasing. So, it seemed likely that the origin was extraterrestrial!

Later explorations, especially on balloons, showed a slight decrease followed by a sharp increase as altitude went above a couple kilometers. It took a while for the scientific community to accept this, but the origin of the discharge of electroscopes was not Earth-bound: it was cosmic.

Millikan though they might be the “birth cry” of elements:  $\gamma$  rays with energies corresponding to the mass defects of certain nuclides. He used Dirac’s theory of Compton scattering. He was wrong, but he was also the first to use the term “cosmic rays”.

Bruno Rossi put lead blocks between Geiger counters: these are not  $\gamma$  rays, since they would be absorbed.

They have to be charged: their flux is influenced by the Earth’s magnetic field, so the one from the East and the West is different.

In the 1930s there started a boom of discoveries. At the end of the 30s Auger measured 100 TeV cosmic rays.

At the end of the 60s the CMB was discovered: therefore, in the cosmic ray spectrum there should be a cutoff around  $10^{20}$  eV: the **GZK feature** [Alo+18, sec. 5.1]. This is because the cosmic ray “sees” CMB photons as  $\gamma$  rays, so it can undergo production of pions, losing a lot of energy, in a process like:

$$N + \gamma \rightarrow N + \pi^0. \quad (0.1)$$

Specifically, the average CMB photon has an energy of  $E \sim 2.7 K \approx 245 \mu\text{eV}$ ; in order for it to be blueshifted up to the mass of a pion,  $m_{\pi^+} \approx 140 \text{ MeV}$  it needs a  $\gamma$  factor of about  $\gamma \sim 6 \times 10^{11}$ ; this is achieved when a proton has an energy of  $E_p \approx 6 \times 10^{11} \text{ GeV}$ .<sup>1</sup>

The threshold for pair production,  $\sim 1 \text{ MeV}$ , is lower: “only”  $E_p \approx 4 \times 10^9 \text{ GeV}$ . These are not hard thresholds: they are computed from the average temperature of CMB photons, but these are distributed according to a thermal distribution, so there is a tail at high energies, albeit exponentially suppressed.

There are peaks in the spectral power of the discovery of fossils: a 62 Myr period corresponds to the period of the oscillation of the Solar system with respect to the galactic disk.

There’s lots of hydrogen and helium in the interstellar medium, almost no Beryllium, Boron and Lithium, while elements heavier than Carbon, which are formed in stars, are found in decent amounts.

On the other hand, in cosmic rays there is a much higher amount of Be, B, Li. This is due to *spallation*, or *x*-process nucleosynthesis: a process by which a cosmic ray hits a nucleus, thereby splitting it into lighter components. The cross-section for spallation is  $\sigma \sim 45 A^{2/3} \text{ mb}$ , where  $A$  is the mass number of the nuclide.

The motion needs to be “diffusive”. If this is happening, the timescale is quadratic in the distance, and matches with our observations; if the motion was “ballistic” (straight on, basically) the timescale would be linear in the distance travelled.

---

<sup>1</sup> A proper calculation shows that the expression also depends on the angle at which the particles interact, and specifically the threshold for the formation of a particle  $x$  by the interaction of a high energy cosmic ray with Lorentz factor  $\gamma$  with a CMB photon with energy  $\epsilon$  is  $\gamma \geq m_x / 2\epsilon(1 - \cos\theta)$ , where  $\theta$  is the interaction angle.

Such “ballistic” trajectories would contradict observations, since they would not be able to produce enough spallation product.

But, it cannot be collisions, otherwise the distribution would be thermal! So, what is it? Magnetic fields.

There is Balmer emission in the shockwave from SNe.

The Larmor radius is in general given by

$$r_{\text{Larmor}} \approx \frac{\gamma m v_{\perp}}{|q| |B|}, \quad (0.2)$$

where the magnitude of the galactic  $B$ -field is of the order of 100 pT.

Is this a typical value, an underestimate maybe?

This means that even at knee-level,  $E \sim 3 \times 10^{15}$  eV, the gyroradius is on the order of 3 pc: extremely *small*, in terms of the scale of the galaxy, 10 kpc or more.

The loss length for radiation decreases with energy: first we can do pair production when a proton interacts with a CMB photon, and then pion production can start.

The *goal of the course* is to understand the behaviour of charged particles in a magnetic field they generate themselves.

Diffusive transport takes into account charged particles, as well as ordered and turbulent  $B$  fields, but plasma instabilities are what happen when charged particles interact with a turbulent magnetic field.

There are many experiments measuring this kind of stuff!

## Course outline

The plan is to discuss:

1. basics of plasma physics;
2. basics of MHD;
3. basics of transport of charged particles;
4. basic aspects of the supernova paradigm for cosmic rays;
5. test particle theory of diffusive shock acceleration;
6. cosmic ray transport in the galaxy;
7. some non-linear aspects of particle transport;
8. a taste of advanced topics: recent findings, positrons, end of galactic CR, UHECR...

Regarding books, the course is a synthesis of several topics, so many books cover them but they also include lots of other material.

For plasma physics, “Plasma physics for astrophysics” by Russel M. Kulsrud.

For transport, “Astrophysics of cosmic rays”.

Wednesday  
2021-11-10,  
compiled  
2021-11-10

# 1 Basics of plasma physics

From the microphysical point of view, cosmic rays are just electric charges moving in a medium. They, however, interact with the medium. More interesting, this medium is a plasma.

Loosely, a plasma is ionized gas; however the interstellar medium is also at temperatures of  $10^4$  K to  $10^6$  K, this is also true for the intergalactic medium, which has a much lower density and similar temperatures,

and this also applies to the medium in clusters, which has a higher temperature

Magnetic fields are sourced by currents, which cosmic rays affect. Electric fields, on the other hand, are “short-circuited” since the conductivity is very large.

If it is difficult to have an electric field, how can particles be accelerated?

For now, we will assume that cosmic rays, which are non-thermal, exist.

First, we will try to understand how the plasma works, then we will look at the non-thermal particles, and finally we will put them together.

For simplicity, let us consider a plasma made of protons (with density  $n_i$ ) and electrons (with density  $n_e$ ). Maxwell’ equations will read

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = 4\pi\zeta \quad (1.1a)$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \quad (1.1b)$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \quad (1.1c)$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{J} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}, \quad (1.1d)$$

where  $\zeta = n_i e - n_e e$ , while the current reads  $\vec{J} = n_i e \vec{v}_i - n_e e \vec{v}_e$ .

The interactions between these will be Coulomb ones. At thermal equilibrium, we will have charge neutrality, so  $n_i = n_e = n_0$ .

**Screening** Suppose we add a positive charge in a neutral medium, at  $\vec{r} = 0$ . Then, the divergence of  $\vec{E}$  will be

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = 4\pi n_i e - 4\pi n_e e + 4\pi e \delta(\vec{r}), \quad (1.2)$$

where the tilde means that the densities are perturbed.

We will assume that the perturbation induced by this is small. The potential energy drop of a particle at a distance  $d$  from another is  $e^2/d$ , so if we assume  $e^2/d \ll k_B T$  the thermal background remains fixed, and is not “broken” by Coulomb interactions. The typical distance between particles will be  $d \sim n_0^{-1/3}$ , so the weak perturbation condition will read  $e^2 n_0^{1/3} \ll k_B T$ .

What we want to do now is to solve this equation (1.2) for the electric field.

At zeroth order, we will have

$$\tilde{n}_i = n_0 \exp\left(-\frac{e}{k_B T}\right), \quad (1.3)$$

but the external potential will perturb the energy  $\epsilon$  by  $e\varphi$  where  $\varphi$  is the electric potential, so

$$\tilde{n}_i = n_0 \exp\left(\frac{-\epsilon + e\varphi}{k_B T}\right) \quad (1.4a)$$

$$\tilde{n}_e = n_0 \exp\left(\frac{-\epsilon - e\varphi}{k_B T}\right), \quad (1.4b)$$

and we can use  $\vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla}\varphi$ : then, for  $r \neq 0$  we will have

$$\vec{\nabla}^2 \varphi = -4\pi e n_0 \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon}{k_B T}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{e\varphi}{k_B T}\right) + 4\pi e n_0 \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon}{k_B T}\right) \exp\left(\frac{e\varphi}{k_B T}\right), \quad (1.5)$$

which we can expand up to linear order:

$$\vec{\nabla}^2 \varphi = -4\pi e n_0 \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon}{k_B T}\right) \left( \exp\left(-\frac{e\varphi}{k_B T}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{e\varphi}{k_B T}\right) \right) \quad (1.6a)$$

$$= -4\pi e n_0 \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon}{k_B T}\right) \left( 1 - \frac{e\varphi}{k_B T} - 1 - \frac{e\varphi}{k_B T} \right) \quad (1.6b)$$

$$= 8\pi e \underbrace{n_0 \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon}{k_B T}\right)}_{\rightarrow n_0} \frac{e}{k_B T} \varphi. \quad (1.6c)$$

The prefactor had the dimensions of an inverse square length; further, we include the exponential  $e^{-\epsilon/k_B T}$  into the unperturbed density  $n_0$ . We define the **Debye length**

$$\lambda_D = \left( \frac{k_B T}{8\pi n_0 e^2} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (1.7)$$

The equation reads

$$\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r} \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda_D^2} \varphi, \quad (1.8)$$

which is solved by looking at  $f = r\varphi$ : then,

$$\frac{d^2 f}{dr^2} = \frac{f}{\lambda_D^2}, \quad (1.9)$$

which means  $f = A \exp(-r/\lambda_D)$ . Inserting back our particle boundary condition to fix  $A$ , we get

$$\varphi = \frac{e}{r} \exp\left(-\frac{r}{\lambda_D}\right). \quad (1.10)$$

This is physically meaningful: there is a **screening effect** on charges, on length scales of  $\lambda_D$ .

For this to happen, however, we need to have enough charges to screen the inserted one: the number of particles in the Debye volume,  $\sim n_0 \lambda_D^3$ , must be much larger than 1. In a way, this is also a mesoscopic statistical requirement.

This can be written as

$$n_0 \frac{(k_B T)^{3/2}}{(8\pi e^2)^{3/2} n_0^{3/2}} = \frac{(k_B T)^{3/2}}{(8\pi e^2)^{3/2} n_0^{1/2}} \gg 1, \quad (1.11)$$

which shows that, counter-intuitively, this condition is easier to fulfill for under-dense plasmas.

The path length for Coulomb scattering,  $\lambda_C$ , should be much larger than both  $\Delta r$  (the separation between particles) and  $\lambda_D$ .

It can be estimated by

$$\lambda_C = \frac{1}{n_0 \sigma_C} = \frac{(k_B T)^2}{n_0 e^4} \gg n^{-1/3}, \quad (1.12)$$

where  $e^2/b = k_B T$  gives us a limit for the Coulomb interaction length, therefore  $\sigma_C \approx b^2 \approx (e^2/k_B T)^2$ .

It can be shown that  $\lambda_C \gg \lambda_D$  is equivalent to the condition that many particles should be contained in a single Debye length.

Collective effects dominate the dynamics of a plasma, while the effect of a single charge quickly becomes negligible.

**Propagation modes in a plasma** We will now do an exercise in perturbation theory: which perturbations are allowed, beyond electromagnetic waves? Certain modes are “allowed”, in that they do not die out.

A lot of interesting physics come from the fact that each particle interacts with the collection of all the others.

Perturbations which are unstable are interesting, but they break our perturbative approach.

The fields in Maxwell’s equations (1.1) are assumed to start out at zero in the unperturbed configuration.

The current  $J$  is given by the Generalized Ohm’s law:

$$J_r = \sigma_{rs} E_s, \quad (1.13)$$

where the proportionality constant  $\sigma_{rs}$  is the *conductivity tensor* (whose components are, roughly, 1 over resistance).

It is also convenient to define the displacement current  $\vec{D}$  by:

$$\frac{4\pi \vec{J}}{c} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t}, \quad (1.14)$$

which means that the current can be recovered by

$$\vec{J} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} \right). \quad (1.15)$$

The idea is to decompose the perturbation in Fourier modes:

$$\vec{E} \rightarrow \tilde{E}_k(\vec{k}, \omega) \exp(-i\omega t + i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}). \quad (1.16)$$

The full expression for the electric field is a superposition of these modes, but we can look at them just one at a time. This simplifies things: time derivatives become  $i\omega$ , curls become  $\vec{k} \times$  and so on.

The current reads, with this as well as Ohm's law,

$$\tilde{J}_r = \frac{-i\omega}{4\pi} (\tilde{D}_r - \tilde{E}_r) = \sigma_{rs} E_s. \quad (1.17)$$

The displacement field is therefore

$$\tilde{D}_r = \frac{4\pi}{i\omega} \left( \frac{i\omega}{4\pi} \tilde{E}_r - \sigma_{rs} E_s \right) = \tilde{E}_r + i \frac{4\pi}{\omega} \sigma_{rs} \tilde{E}_s = \mathbb{K}_{rs} \tilde{E}_s, \quad (1.18)$$

where  $\mathbb{K}_{rs} = \delta_{rs} + (4\pi i/\omega) \sigma_{rs}$  is called the **Dielectric tensor**.

What we are trying to do is to write a *dispersion relation*,  $F(\vec{k}, \omega)E = 0$ .

Now we move to Lenz's law: we take another curl, to get

$$\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}) = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}) \quad (1.19a)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t} \right) \quad (1.19b)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{D}}{\partial t^2} \quad (1.19c)$$

$$[-\vec{k} \times (\vec{k} \times \tilde{E})]_r = +\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \tilde{D}_r = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \mathbb{K}_{rs} \tilde{E}_s. \quad (1.19d)$$

We are almost done: the only issue here is that  $\mathbb{K}_{rs}$  contains the conductivity tensor  $\sigma_{rs}$ . Supposing for simplicity that our plasma is non-relativistic, we have the EoM

$$m_e \frac{dv_e}{dt} = -eE \implies -i\omega m_e \tilde{v}_e = -e\tilde{E}, \quad (1.20)$$

but the current  $\vec{J}$  is  $\vec{J} = -en_e \vec{v}_e$ , so  $\tilde{J}_r = -(ne^2/\omega m_e) \tilde{E}_r$ , therefore the conductivity reads

$$\sigma_{rs} = \frac{ine^2}{\omega m_e} \delta_{rs}, \quad (1.21)$$

so we can write out the dielectric tensor explicitly:

$$\mathbb{K}_{rs} = \delta_{rs} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\omega_p}{\omega} \right)^2 \right] \quad \text{where} \quad \omega_p = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi n_e e^2}{m_e}} \quad (1.22)$$

is called the plasma frequency.

We have found our dispersion relation:

$$\vec{k} \times (\vec{k} \times \vec{E}) + \left( \frac{\omega^2 - \omega_p^2}{c^2} \right) \vec{E} = 0. \quad (1.23)$$

Let us separate out *longitudinal perturbations*, which have  $\vec{k} \propto \vec{E}$ , from *transverse* ones, since we are always able to write  $\vec{E} = \vec{E}_{\parallel} + \vec{E}_{\perp}$ .

In the **longitudinal case**,

$$(\omega^2 - \omega_p^2)E_{\parallel} = 0, \quad (1.24)$$

which means that the propagation must happen exactly at the plasma frequency: these are called **Langmir waves**, or plasma waves. In the transverse case, we get

$$\left[ -k^2 c^2 + (\omega^2 - \omega_p^2) \right] E_{\perp} = 0. \quad (1.25)$$

The pulsation must be

$$\omega^2 = \omega_p^2 + c^2 k^2 \quad \text{or} \quad k^2 = \frac{\omega^2 - \omega_p^2}{c^2}. \quad (1.26)$$

If  $\omega > \omega_p$ , then  $k^2 > 0$ : these are allowed perturbations, which indeed exhibit oscillatory behavior.

Actually, we can compute their group velocity

$$v_g = \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial k} = c \left( 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (1.27)$$

which shows that if  $\omega \gg \omega_p$  the speed is close to  $c$ .

If  $\omega < \omega_p$ , on the other hand,  $k$  is imaginary. The solution corresponding to exponential growth is unphysical (for one, there is no mechanism providing the energy for the magnitude of the oscillation to exponentially grow), so we only look at the exponentially damped solutions.

They are exponentially damped over a scale  $|\vec{k}|^{-1} = c/\omega_p$ . This is the **skin depth** of the plasma, the largest distance a perturbation can penetrate in the plasma if it oscillates too slowly.

This characteristic wavelength's ratio to the Debye length reads

$$\frac{\lambda_{\text{skin depth}}}{\lambda_{\text{Debye}}} = \sqrt{\frac{m_e c^2}{4\pi n_e e^2} \frac{8\pi n_e e^2}{k_B T}} = \sqrt{\frac{2m_e c^2}{k_B T}} \gg 1, \quad (1.28)$$

since the plasmas we are considering are typically non-relativistic.



**An example: fast radio bursts** Fast radio bursts are like  $\gamma$ -ray bursts in the radio band. These are relatively high-frequency, around 1.4 GHz. We do not really know what their sources are; some were false positives due to microwave ovens making lunch, but others were certified to be true detections.

We know of one which came from a galaxy  $L \sim 1$  Gpc away, and which had a dispersion of about  $\Delta\omega \sim 300$  MHz.

Photons of different frequencies arrived at different times, with a spread of about 300 ms. We are in the second case ( $\vec{k} \perp \vec{E}$ ) since they are EM waves. The group velocity is, again

$$v_g = c \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\omega_p}{\omega} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}, \quad (1.29)$$

and we can assume (we will check *a posteriori*) that  $\omega \gg \omega_p$ .

The time difference will be

$$\Delta t = \frac{L}{v_g(\omega)} - \frac{L}{v_g(\omega + \Delta\omega)} \approx \frac{L}{v_g(\omega)} \frac{1}{v_g(\omega)} \frac{\partial v_g}{\partial \omega} \Delta\omega \quad (1.30a)$$

$$\approx \frac{L}{c^2} \underbrace{c \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^3}}_{\approx \partial v_g / \partial \omega} \Delta\omega \quad (1.30b)$$

$$\omega_p \approx \sqrt{\frac{c\omega^3 \Delta t}{L\Delta\omega}}, \quad (1.30c)$$

but we know that

$$\omega_p^2 = \frac{4\pi n_e e^2}{m_e}. \quad (1.31)$$

This allows us to measure  $\omega_p \sim 5.2$  Hz, and therefore also the density, which comes out to be  $n_e \sim 8.2 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ .

How well does this match the baryon density computed from the CMB? The computation goes

$$\underbrace{\frac{\omega_p^2 m_e}{4\pi e^2} m_p}_{\rho_{\text{plasma}}} \times \frac{1}{\Omega_{0b} \rho_c} \approx 0.03. \quad (1.32)$$

Therefore, from this observation we can estimate that about 3 % of baryonic matter is in the ISM.

This can be generalized a bit: maybe, not all the path  $L$  from the source to here had this much plasma in it. Suppose, for simplicity's sake, that a fraction  $\alpha$  of the path was constituted by uniform-density plasma.

Then, our estimate for  $\omega_p$  will be multiplied by a factor  $\alpha^{-1/2}$ , while our estimate for  $n_e$  will be multiplied by  $\alpha^{-1}$ . On the other hand, in the estimate for  $\rho_{\text{plasma}}$ , averaged over the whole universe, will need to be shifted by some factor.

If the path we were looking at is a fair sample for the population (not a given, but let's approximate as such), then about a fraction  $\alpha$  of the universe is filled with this plasma — this precisely cancels the correction to our estimate, so it all works out.

## References

- [Alo+18] Roberto Aloisio et al. "Selected Topics in Cosmic Ray Physics". In: *Multiple Messengers and Challenges in Astroparticle Physics*. Ed. by Roberto Aloisio, Eugenio Coccia, and Francesco Vissani. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2018, pp. 1–95. ISBN: 978-3-319-65423-2 978-3-319-65425-6. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-319-65425-6\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-65425-6_1). URL: [http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-65425-6\\_1](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-65425-6_1) (visited on 2021-11-08).
- [dAng14] Alessandro de Angelis. "Atmospheric Ionization and Cosmic Rays: Studies and Measurements before 1912". In: *Astroparticle Physics* 53 (Jan. 2014), pp. 19–26. ISSN: 09276505. DOI: [10.1016/j.astropartphys.2013.05.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.astropartphys.2013.05.010). URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0927650513000856> (visited on 2021-11-08).