**ATOC5860 – Application Lab #4**

**Spectral Analysis of Timeseries**

**in class March 10 and March 15**

ASK IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS ☺

**Notebook #1 – Spectral analysis of hourly surface air temperatures from Fort Collins, Colorado at Christman Field**

**ATOC5860\_applicationlab4\_fft\_christman.ipynb**

**LEARNING GOALS:**

1) Complete a spectral analysis using two different functions in Python (direct FFT from numpy and using scipy which has more options). Describe the results including an interpretation of the spectral peaks and an assessment of their statistical significance.

2) Contrast applying a Boxcar and a Hanning Window when calculating the power spectra. What are the advantages/disadvantages of these two window types? What are the implications for the resulting power spectra?

**DATA and UNDERLYING SCIENCE:**

In this notebook, you analyze two years (January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2014) of hourly surface temperature observations from Christman Field in Fort Collins, Colorado. Missing data have been already treated. The data are in .csv format and are called Christman\_data\_nomissing.csv.

**Questions to guide your analysis of Notebook #1:**

1) Look at your data. What are the autocorrelation and e-folding time of your data? What spectral peaks do you expect to find in your analysis and how much power do you think they will have?

Autocorrelation = 0.99

Te = 100.92

2 years of data: starting from winter, then summer (peak) and winter and so on. I expect to see spectral peaks in winter. Above the 99% confidence.

2) Calculate the power spectra using the Numpy method, which assumes a Boxcar window that is the length of your entire dataset. Graph the power spectra, the red noise fit to the data, and the 99% confidence interval. What statistically significant spectral peaks did you find? What do they represent? How did you assess the statistical significance (what is the null hypothesis that you are trying to reject)? Compare back to Barnes and Hartman notes to make sure all of the equations and functions in the notebook are working as you expect them too.
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I found statistically significant spectral peaks that correspond to a frequency of 0, 365 days. They represent the annual cycle of temperature.
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By zooming in, we can see annual peaks, daily peaks (24 hours) and 12 hourly peaks.

The null hypothesis is that the data is just “red noise”. The amplitude of a spectral peak is tested against the spectrum of the red-noise fit to the data.

3) Calculate the power spectra using the scipy method. Check that you get the same result as you got using the Numpy method. Next – compare the power spectra obtained using both a Boxcar window and a Hanning window. Assume a window length that is the entire length of the dataset. Do you get the same statistically significant peaks when applying the Hanning window and the Boxcar window? How do they differ? Can you explain why?

Yes, we got the same overall result. We also get the same statistically significant peaks and the Hanning window is more smoothed than the Boxcar window. This is due to the fact that the Hanning window has different weighting at different part of the window with respect to the Boxcar widnow.

*4) If time – take a look at other surface meteorological variables in the dataset. Do you obtain similar spectral peaks?*

Question: Are you seeing power at 12-hour frequencies when looking at temperature? Maybe it is atmospheric tides? Or is it some kind of spectral ringing artifact? Unsolved mysteries of ATOC7500 Objective Data Analysis…

**Notebook #2 – FFT analysis using Dome-C Ice Core Data**

**ATOC5860\_applicationlab4\_fft\_EPICA.ipynb**

**LEARNING GOALS:**

1) Calculate power spectra of a dataset available on a non-uniform temporal grid. Describe the results including an interpretation of the spectral peaks and an assessment of their statistical significance.

2) Contrast applying a Boxcar and a Hanning Window when calculating the power spectra. What are the advantages/disadvantages of these two window types? What are the implications for the resulting power spectra?

3) Apply a Hanning Window with various window lengths - What are the advantages/disadvantages of changing the window length and the implications for the resulting power spectra in terms of their statistical significance and temporal precision?

4) Apply a Hanning Window with various window lengths and use Welch’s method (Welch’s Overlapping Segment Analysis, WOSA). How does WOSA change the results and why?

**DATA and UNDERLYING SCIENCE:**

In this notebook, you will perform a power spectral analysis of the temperature record from the Dome-C Ice Core, taken at 75 South and 123 East (Jouzel et al. 2007). The temperature data go back ~800,000 years before present. They are unevenly spaced in time. The data are available on-line here, courtesy of the NOAA Paleoclimatology Program and World Data Center for Paleoclimatology:

ftp://ftp.ncdc.noaa.gov/pub/data/paleo/icecore/antarctica/epica\_domec/edc3deuttemp2007.txt More information on the data is available at:

https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo-search/study/6080

**Questions to guide your analysis of Notebook #2:**

1) Look at your data and pre-process for FFT analysis: Power spectra analysis assumes that input data are on an evenly spaced grid. The Dome-C temperature data are not uniformly sampled in time. Regrid the Dome-C temperature data to a uniform temporal grid in time. Plot the data before and after re-gridding to make sure the re-gridding worked as expected.
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2) Signal and Noise: What is the autocorrelation and e-folding time of your data? What spectral peaks do you expect to find in your analysis and how much power do you think they will have? *Hint: Think back to the Petit 1999 Vostok ice core dataset discussed in class.*

Autocorrelation = 0.96

Te = 25.0 years

I would expect different spectral peaks related to these: axial precession (26,000 years), eccentricity (100,000 years) and tilt (41,000 years).

3) Use Boxcar Window to calculate power spectra: Calculate the power spectra using the Numpy method, which assumes a Boxcar window that is the length of your entire dataset. Graph the power spectrum, the red noise fit to the data, and the 99% confidence interval. What statistically significant spectral peaks did you find? What do they represent?

Chart, line chart

Description automatically generated

We found three mayor statistically significant spectral peaks: eccentricity (100,000 years), tilt (41,000 years) and axial precession (26,000 years).

4) Compare Boxcar Window vs. Hanning Window: Calculate the power spectra using the SciPy method. Compare the results obtained using a Boxcar window that is the length of your entire dataset to those obtained using a Hanning window that is the length of your entire dataset. Graph the power spectrum, the red noise fit to the data, and the 99% confidence interval. What statistically significant spectral peaks did you find? What do they represent? What are the differences between the results obtained using the Boxcar window and the Hanning window? Is the intuition that you gained by looking at Fort Collins temperatures the same as what you are seeing here with Dome-C temperature records? Why or Why not?
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We overall get the same statistically significant spectra peaks, that correspond to the same event (precession, tilt and eccentricity). The results obtained from the Hanning window are broader than the ones obtained from the Boxcar window. The intuition gained by looking at Fort Collins does look more or less the same as what we are seeing here with Dome-C temperature records. The peaks from Hanning have less power than the Boxcar ones, but they are broader, and a bit shifted. This has to do with the way the two different windows work.

5) Hanning Window with different window lengths: Using the SciPy method, compare the power spectra obtained using Hanning window with different window lengths. Graph the power spectra, the red noise fit to the data, and the 99% confidence interval. Did you find any statistically significant spectral peaks? How does decreasing the window length affect the temporal precision of the spectral peaks and their statistical significance? Did you find the classic tradeoff between 1) high spectral/temporal resolution but low quality statistics, and 2) high quality statistics but low spectral/temporal resolution?

By changing the window length, for example reducing it, the statistical significance of the spectral peaks increases, while the temporal precision decreases. I did find the classic tradeoff.

6) Add WOSA (Welch Overlapping Segment Averaging): Having found what you think is a good balance between precision in the identification of the spectral peaks and statistical significance – Try applying WOSA (Welch Overlapping Segment Averaging) in addition to using the Hanning Window with different window lengths. How does this change your results?

By decreasing the window length, the statistical significance of the spectral peaks decreases and the temporal resolution decreases, and vice versa.