

DRAFT

Reframing active labor market policy:  
Experimental evidence of training vouchers for unemployed

PRE-ANALYSIS PLAN

Lukas Lehner      Anna Schwarz

February 5, 2021

This document describes the research design and analysis strategy of our field experiment. The aim is to boost skills and employment by repackaging training program provision in form of education vouchers to the unemployed. The intervention takes place in February and March 2021 during a Covid-19 induced partial lockdown in the region of Lower Austria (*Niederösterreich*) in Austria. We start with a detailed outline of the intervention. We designed multiple different treatment arms to separate out direct effects of raising awareness, supporting reciprocity, and strengthening autonomy. We provide a detailed discussion of our sample selection, variables used and the handling of the data to make the analysis as transparent and replicable as possible. Further, we state our hypotheses and outcomes of interest motivated by the active labour market policy evaluation literature. Finally, we conclude by specifying our statistical approach to inference.

## 1 Background

### 1.1 Description of the intervention

In February and March 2021, we launch a field experiment designed in cooperation and implemented by the Public Employment Service (PES) of Lower Austria (*Arbeitsmarktservice Niederösterreich (AMS NÖ)*). The aim is to increase skills and employment among the unemployed by increasing participation in and completion of training programs. The intervention consists of an email newsletter that invites unemployed, who have been registered as unemployed for 3 to 12 months, to voluntarily contact the PES to arrange a consultation on training programs. The newsletters will be sent in three waves on February 9th, February 16th, and March 9th. Individuals are assigned to the waves depending on their duration of unemployment.

**Context** The newsletters are embedded in the broader PES advertisement campaign *Corona-Joboffensive* to promote participation in training programs amidst the Covid-19 pandemic. In addition to contacting unemployed directly via the newsletter, the PES is establishing a separate hotline for consultations on training opportunities and advertising training opportunities in regional newspapers. Training program participants generally continue to receive their unemployment benefits. Financial incentives to enrolment are provided for training programs with a duration of at least 4 months starting in 2021. They amount to €4,- per day, which makes around 10-20% of the benefits received for the median unemployed.

The range of training programs is diverse: from refreshing existing technical knowledge to complete

training with an apprenticeship certificate. Common courses include mechatronics, refrigeration technology, IT systems technology, programming/coding, plastics technology, as well as training and further education in professions that are in demand, such as restaurant management, hotel and catering assistance or nursing. Also individual training needs are supported, i.e. an unemployed opera singer could receive personal singing classes if this is deemed to increase employment prospects. The duration of the courses varies substantially, depending on the type of course, between several days/weeks up to 18 months for apprenticeship programs. In general, the campaign focuses on longer training programs with a duration starting at around 10 weeks.

**Treatments** Three different treatment arms vary the type of information provided and the (perceived) autonomy that the unemployed have in choosing a training program. The unemployed in the sample will be randomly allocated to each of the 4 groups on an individual level. This randomization is conducted separately for each sending date, which ensures that unemployed with different unemployment durations are equally divided within the groups. Further information about the randomization procedure is provided below.

The different treatment conditions are as follows:

1. Control group
2. Treatment group with newsletter (Figure 2)
3. Treatment group with newsletter, and voucher (Figure 3, Figure 1)
4. Treatment group with newsletter, voucher, and information prime (Figure 4, Figure 1)

Group 1 functions as the control group and is not contacted at all.

Group 2 receives a newsletter (Figure 2) that includes an invitation to a consultation to discuss potential training programs with the PES' job counselor and provides information about existing financial incentives to start a training program.

In addition, groups 3 and 4 receive a voucher (Figure 1) worth €15.000,-, which can be redeemed to take part in training programs provided by the PES. Alternatively, the voucher can be redeemed in consultation with the PES for any outside training for up to €3.000,-.<sup>1</sup> The groups receiving the voucher further obtain a list of typical training programs as part of the newsletter (Figure 3). This should motivate the unemployed in these two groups to already think about their preferred training program before the consultation at the PES. Finally, job counselors are instructed to *take serious* the voucher received by unemployed. The treatment is designed to increase self-initiative for the unemployed and raise awareness for the financial value of such training programs, thus inducing reciprocity.

Finally, group 4 receives in addition to the voucher an information treatment consisting of a list of occupations with the highest number of job vacancies (Figure 4). This information treatment is intended to counteract a frequently mentioned concern related to training vouchers: unemployed allegedly do not have enough information to make an informed choice about their optimal training program (Strittmatter, 2016). It will additionally increase (perceived) autonomy as it encourages even more to think about potential course choices before the consultation at the PES.

In general, all groups (including the control group) have access to the same training programs, both provided by the PES as well as outside training. The intervention, thus, consists of the variation in the type of information provided. Additionally, it varies the actual and perceived autonomy that the unemployed have in choosing their courses.

---

<sup>1</sup>The PES generally provides funding of up to €3.000,- for training programs of external suppliers, including for groups 1 and 2. However, this is not advertised and therefore awareness will be limited for group 1 and 2.

**Conditionality** Currently, by law (*Arbeitslosenversicherungsgesetz (ALVG §9)*), unemployed are assigned to labor market programs by the PES. This takes place after a consultation with the job counselor. In most cases, consultations with the job counselors and program assignment is obligatory, i.e. with conditionality attached. If an unemployed does not attend a PES appointment or assigned program - typically with no shows - the unemployment benefits can be cut or - in the most severe cases - blocked temporarily. Absences with a valid excuse, such as for sick leave, are exempted. As a result, assignment to a training program is often perceived negatively as a burden or punishment rather than positively as an opportunity and support.

In general, job consultations are obligatory for unemployed and often imply limited discussion with unemployed about their preferences. In the context of our experiment, the consultation with the job counselor is open to all treatment and control groups. Attendance is voluntary and only takes place if an unemployed contacts the PES on her own initiative, i.e. as a response to the newsletter. By law, job counselors are required to assess the adequacy of any training program and approve of it (*Arbeitsmarktpolitische Prüfung*). Job counselors approve suggestions for training programs as long as they credibly contribute to increasing the employment prospects of the unemployed. Course choice that seem purely for personal pleasure are declined. The time span between selection and start of the course will be held as short as possible; usually well below 3 months.

## 1.2 Timeline for the intervention

The intervention takes place in three waves in February and March 2021:

- Wave 1, February 9: unemployed with a spell of 6 to 9 months (181-270 days)
- Wave 2, February 16: unemployed with a spell of 9 to 12 months (271-365 days)
- Wave 3, March 9: unemployed with a spell of 3 to 4 months who did not receive the newsletter previously due to a too short spell <sup>2</sup>

First analyses of short-term treatment effects will be carried out with outcome data provided by the PES in April, June, and September 2021. Longer-term effects will be estimated with data provided by the PES each year in February until 2026. This will allow us to estimate long-term effects up to 5 years after the intervention.

## 1.3 Covid-19 impact

**Safety measures** The PES takes specific measures to protect the health of training program participants during the pandemic. Parts of the training move to online teaching but personal presence is allowed where necessary. Wearing FFP2 masks and the distance rule of two metres is obligatory for on-site training. If required, laptops are offered to enable virtual training from home.

**Labor market crisis** The timing of the intervention is amidst the Covid-19 crisis, which affected the Austrian labor market severely. In December 2020 the absolute number of unemployed persons in Austria has reached nearly 500.000 people, which is around 30% higher than in December 2019 (AMS-Übersichtsbericht, 2021). The situation in Niederösterreich, the region we study, is slightly better with around 70.000 unemployed and an increase of 18% in comparison to the previous year (AMS-Übersichtsbericht, 2021). The most affected subgroups were young and blue collar workers. The impact is very uneven across sectors with tourism, hospitality and personal services hit hardest by a drop in employment due to government induced shutdowns (Bock-Schappelwein, Huemer, and Hyll, 2021).

---

<sup>2</sup>All unemployed with a spell of 3 to 6 months (91-180 days) received the standard newsletter on February 2. They are not included in the experimental design since all received the same treatment.

**Lock-in effects** When considering the literature about the effects of training programs for the unemployed the presence of so-called lock-in effects is undisputed. Training programs, thus, first lead to negative employment effects, as they take up a considerable amount of time for the unemployed, which reduces time spent on job search or even prevents take-up of available jobs (Vooren et al., 2019). Positive employment effects were found to materialize only in the long-term, i.e. at the earliest one year afterwards. However, these lock-in effects are strongly dependent on the current labor market situation. In times of high unemployment and dense labor markets, these lock-in effects are reduced, which, in turn, increases the effectiveness of training programs in recessions (Card, Kluve, and Weber, 2018). In light of this evidence, the timing of the intervention was deliberately set amidst the Covid-19 crisis to maximize its effectiveness.

## 2 Study design

### 2.1 Overview

In this section, we describe our analytical approach in detail. Firstly, we specify the criteria for inclusion in our sample and related limitations. Secondly, we describe the data. Thirdly, we present the randomisation procedure for our treatment assignment. Fourthly, we state our hypotheses regarding outcomes. Fifthly, we specify the details of our outcome variables. Finally, we discuss our estimation and inference approach.

### 2.2 Sample selection

Our sample comprises of around 12.000 unemployed. They are distributed over 3 waves with 3.700 people in wave 1, *number* in wave 2, and *number* in wave 3. This makes up for around 20% of all unemployed in Lower Austria. Sample selection for waves 1 and 2 follows the criteria of every person, who has been registered as unemployed with the PES for 6 to 12 months. Additionally, with the last wave, we also capture those, who have been unemployed at the start of the intervention for between 2 and 3 months.

Individuals with the status "unemployed" as well as "in job search" are included, meaning that all registered unemployed are included regardless of whether they receive unemployment benefits or not. Unemployed who are already enrolled in a training program *in Schulung* at the time of the intervention are excluded from the sample. The sample is further restricted to people, who are at least 25 years old and do not have a pending job acceptance.

Since the newsletter is sent via email only registered unemployed with a valid email can be contacted. Unemployed without a valid email are not included in the randomisation procedure and thus excluded from the study. This applies to around *number* people.

These aspects have to be kept in mind when generalizing our results to a broader population of unemployed.

### 2.3 Data

**Administrative data** All data used in the analysis is provided by the PES and consists of administrative data. No additional data will be collected. Table 1 shows a summary and categorisation of all variables used.

**Attrition & Exclusions** We will make an effort to keep attrition to a minimum. As we use solely administrative data, we expect attrition to be negligibly low. It is, however, possible that participants move abroad or pass away. We will test, whether those who attrit are systematically different from the rest of the sample and report the results. Further, we can provide estimates

Table 1: Variables

Type	Variable name
Primary outcomes	Training completion Labor market status <sup>1</sup> Earnings (+ probability of having a high wage)
Secondary outcomes	Newsletter read + Clicks Training take-up Type of training <sup>2</sup>
Stratified Randomization	Job counselor (factorial) Education (binary: compulsory school, more) Unemployment duration (following the 3 waves + pre- and post- Corona) Age (continuous) Gender (binary: men, women) Nationality (binary: Austria, other) Language proficiency in German (binary: native or at least C, less than C) Medical condition (binary: no medical condition, medical condition) Marginal employment (binary: no marginal employment, marginal employment) Occupation <sup>3</sup> (factorial: ISCO 1 digit level)
Control Variables	relevant variables not included in stratification: region, income level <sup>4</sup> experience, sector PES branch office
Heterogeneity analysis	Education Unemployment duration (+ pre- and post Corona unemployed) Income level <sup>5</sup> Age Gender Nationality Language proficiency in German Medical condition Occupation

<sup>1</sup> differentiated by employment and labor force exit.

<sup>2</sup> duration, type of certificate, occupation, sector, external supplier.

<sup>3</sup> in the last job before unemployment

<sup>4</sup> before unemployment spell.

<sup>5</sup> No stratification variable due to data availability.

of lower and upper bounds of our estimated effects depending on different assumptions we make about the part of our sample, that shows attrition.

Firstly, as mentioned above, all unemployed who do not have a valid email are excluded from the study by definition, as we cannot reach them. However, we have data about this subsample and can check whether they differ systematically from our sample and discuss potential biases. Additionally, those who are already taking part in a training program are also not included in our sample, because they are, in some way, already treated. It would not make any sense to treat them with our intervention, as they cannot enrol in another training program in parallel. Our findings thus extend only to those unemployed who are not already in training programs and should be

generalized to the entire population of unemployed only with caution.

## 2.4 Hypotheses

We have several hypotheses regarding the different treatment groups and different outcome variables:

1. Group 2-4 will achieve higher *training program take-up* and *completion rates* than the control group, but groups 3 and 4 will have even higher take-up and completion rates than group 2.
2. We expect negative short-term effects (due to the so-called lock-in effects) on *unemployment duration*, which however will turn positive for all treatment groups in the long-term.
3. Further, *re-employment rates* will be highest in group 4, followed by group 3, 2, and lastly the control group.
4. Finally, *earnings* will follow the same pattern as re-employment rates, but less pronounced.

**Training program take-up and completion rate** The first hypothesis follows from studies, such as Doerr and Strittmatter (2018). They show that motivation to take-up and complete courses increases with voucher systems, due to increased autonomy, reciprocity and higher valuation of financial costs of such programs. In turn, voucher systems lead to less drop-out from courses. Finally, group 2 is expected to exhibit higher training program take-up rates than the control group, because they are informed about the positive effects of training and therefore nudged towards training program participation.

**Unemployment duration** As described above, negative short-term effects on unemployment duration are relatively well-established in the literature and we therefore expect them as well in our setting; however smaller in size due to limited job vacancies as a result of the Covid-19 crisis. Further, these negative short-term effects turn into positive long-term effects on unemployment duration, as shown the literature about effects of training for the unemployed with and without vouchers (Card, Kluve, and Weber, 2018; Doerr and Strittmatter, 2018).

**Re-employment rates** The third hypothesis follows directly from the variation in training participation between the groups. In addition, group 4 is expected to have higher re-employment rates because of the additional labour market information received. This information treatment is expected to counter the lack of information, well established in the literature (Perez-Johnson, Moore, Santillano, et al., 2011; Strittmatter, 2016), and could therefore lead to better targeted training choices.

**Earnings** Earnings follow the same pattern as re-employment rates due to the variation in training participation and the additional information in group 4. However, the effects on earnings are often less pronounced and clear-cut than those on re-employment (Card, Kluve, and Weber, 2018).

## 2.5 Outcomes of interest

All data on outcomes of interest are available from administrative data sources, provided by the PES.

**Primary outcomes** Our three primary outcomes are training completion, labor market status, and earnings. The first one refers to whether or not a course/training program is completed. The second one differentiates between: unemployed, employed, out-of-labor force. We can, thus, differentiate between people exiting unemployment because they found a job and those exiting unemployment, because they dropped out of the labor force. This differentiation is important for drawing conclusions from the findings. Finally, earnings are important because they provide evidence on the quality of the job-match after unemployment. However, earnings are only observed for those who actually found a job. Therefore, we provide estimates for effects on earnings conditional on finding a job, but also for effects on the probability of being in high-paid employment. The latter can account for this inherent endogeneity (Rothstein and Von Wachter, 2017).

**Secondary outcomes** The following secondary outcomes are not per se desired outcomes, but can be seen as mechanisms leading to the primary outcomes described above. In this context we will look at whether or not the email was read, newsletter clicks, and course take-up. We will differentiate by the type of course, the duration, and the occupation to check whether the intervention also changes training choices.

## 2.6 Estimation and inference

Due to the clean randomization of participants into control and treatment groups it is possible to, in a first step, compare the relevant outcome variables directly between the 4 groups via a two-sided test, such as a T-test or Mann-Whitney test or others, which will be chosen depending on the distribution of the outcome variables (Moffatt, 2019). Throughout the whole study, we will infer statistical significance via a p-value of 0.05 or below, thus using a 95% confidence interval. To increase precision and test robustness we will estimate parametric regressions for the treatment effects using the following estimation regression:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 T_{NL} + \beta_2 T_V + \beta_3 T_{V+I} + \mathbf{X}_i + \epsilon_i \quad (1)$$

where  $Y_{ijt}$  refers to the interesting outcome variables for individual  $i$ . Depending on the scale of the outcome variable, an OLS(continuous) or a Logit(binary) regression is used. Our outcome variables are measured at different time periods and for each time period a separate regression is estimated to measure time-varying treatment effects. In the baseline specification the control group is the reference group, but depending on which difference between groups has to be estimated, the reference group will be chosen accordingly.  $T_{NL}$  is the second group with only the newsletter,  $T_V$  is the third group with the voucher, and  $T_{V+I}$  is the fourth group with voucher and information prime. Further, we include all control variables specified in table 1 and measured before treatment, which is represented by  $\mathbf{X}_i$  in the specification above. Finally, standard errors will be adjusted to be robust to heteroskedasticity, if necessary. The regression will be estimated such that stratification is taken into account when computing the variance and standard errors of the estimates, following Athey and Imbens (2017).

**Heterogeneity analysis** The heterogeneity analysis will be conducted via subgroup regressions of the equation above for the variables specified in table 1. Additionally, the treatment dummies in the equation above can be interacted with the pre-specified variables in table 1 and estimated for both relevant heterogeneity variables separately.

**Multiple outcomes adjustment** To control the false discovery rate in conjunction with multiple hypotheses testing we will take two approaches. First, for the treatment effects on the primary outcome variables, we will report the mean standardized treatment effect with its standard error

adjusted for the dependency between the different outcome variables, following Duflo, Glennerster, and Kremer (2007). Further, we will use the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure (Romano, Shaikh, and Wolf, 2010) for the primary outcomes as well as the heterogeneity analysis, which works as follows. Sort the p-values, for each of the  $m$  hypotheses, tested by size, resulting in ordered values  $P_{(j)}$ . For a critical value  $\alpha$ , find the largest value  $k$  such that

$$P_{(k)} \leq \frac{k}{m}\alpha.$$

Reject the null hypothesis for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

### 3 Publication agreement

This evaluation is based on an agreement between the researchers (i.e. Lukas Lehner and Anna Schwarz) and the *AMS NÖ*. Two key components of this agreement are that (1) no payment will be made from the *AMS NÖ* to the researchers, and (2) the researchers are guaranteed to be entitled to publish the findings of their study in academic outlets without any interference by the *AMS NÖ*.

## References

- AMS-Übersichtsbericht (2021). *Übersicht über den Arbeitsmarkt - Dezember 2020*. Tech. rep. AMS-Arbeitsmarktservice Österreich.
- Athey, Susan and Guido W Imbens (2017). “The econometrics of randomized experiments”. In: *Handbook of economic field experiments*. Vol. 1. Elsevier, pp. 73–140.
- Bock-Schappelwein, Julia, Ulrike Huemer, and Walter Hyll (2021). *Beschäftigung 2020: Bilanz nach einem Jahr COVID-19-Pandemie*. Tech. rep. WIFO Research Briefs 1/2021.
- Card, David, Jochen Kluge, and Andrea Weber (2018). “What works? A meta analysis of recent active labor market program evaluations”. In: *Journal of the European Economic Association* 16.3, pp. 894–931.
- Doerr, Annabelle and Anthony Strittmatter (2018). “Do vouchers impact the effectiveness of training programmes? The disentangling of causal channels”. In: .
- Duflo, Esther, Rachel Glennerster, and Michael Kremer (2007). “Using randomization in development economics research: A toolkit”. In: *Handbook of development economics* 4, pp. 3895–3962.
- Moffatt, Peter G (2019). “Data analysis”. In: *Handbook of Research Methods and Applications in Experimental Economics*. Edward Elgar Publishing, pp. 57–82.
- Perez-Johnson, Irma L, Quinn Moore, Robert Santillano, et al. (2011). *Improving the effectiveness of individual training accounts: Long-term findings from an experimental evaluation of three service delivery models*. US Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration.
- Romano, Joseph P, Azeem M Shaikh, and Michael Wolf (2010). “Hypothesis testing in econometrics”. In: *Annu. Rev. Econ.* 2.1, pp. 75–104.
- Rothstein, Jesse and Till Von Wachter (2017). “Social experiments in the labor market”. In: *Handbook of Economic Field Experiments*. Vol. 2. Elsevier, pp. 555–637.
- Strittmatter, Anthony (2016). “What effect do vocational training vouchers have on the unemployed?” In: *IZA World of Labor*.
- Vooren, Melvin et al. (2019). “The effectiveness of active labor market policies: a meta-analysis”. In: *Journal of Economic Surveys* 33.1, pp. 125–149.



A Appendix: Treatment

**JETZT**

**#weiter  
bilden**

**GUTSCHEIN\***  
für eine Investition in Ihre berufliche Zukunft!

Der Gutschein\* hat einen Wert von bis zu € 15.000,-, wenn Sie eine Aus- oder Weiterbildung über das AMS machen. Ebenso können Sie sich am freien Bildungsmarkt selbst eine Aus- oder Weiterbildung aussuchen, in diesem Fall hat der Gutschein\* einen Wert von bis zu € 3.000,-.  
In jedem Fall gilt: VORHER mit dem AMS Kontakt aufnehmen und die Förderbarkeit vereinbaren!

Formular Pflichtfeld Vorname	Formular Pflichtfeld Nachname
Formular Pflichtfeld E-Mail-Adresse	Formular Pflichtfeld Telefonnummer
Formular Pflichtfeld PLZ	Formular Pflichtfeld Ort

☒ **JA**, ich möchte diesen Gutschein\* einlösen und mit einer Aus- oder Weiterbildung meine Chancen am Arbeitsmarkt erhöhen! Bitte nehmen Sie Kontakt mit mir auf und informieren Sie mich über die Möglichkeiten der Qualifizierung.

Füllen Sie obenstehende Felder gleich online aus und übermitteln Sie uns das Formular, indem Sie auf den „Absenden“-Button klicken. Wir setzen uns dann so rasch wie möglich mit Ihnen in Verbindung. Sie können den Gutschein auch ausdrucken, ausfüllen und per E-Mail an **mailservice.selnoe@ams.at** schicken.

**ABSENDEN**

  
Arbeitsmarktservice  
Niederösterreich

\* Bitte beachten Sie, dass auf Förderungen kein Rechtsanspruch besteht. Dieser Gutschein kann bis 31.12.2021 eingelöst werden.  
Keine Barablöse möglich.

Figure 1: Voucher for groups 3 and 4



## Ihr Weg zum beruflichen Neustart

Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren,

auch jetzt in Zeiten der Krise gibt es nachgefragte Berufe und Qualifikationen mit Zukunft. Die Corona-Joboffensive bietet Ihnen die Möglichkeit, neue Qualifikationen zu erwerben, die Ihnen den Wiedereinstieg ins Berufsleben ermöglichen.

Darum lade ich Sie ganz persönlich ein: Nutzen Sie Ihre Chancen zum beruflichen Neustart mit einer Aus- oder Weiterbildung! Finden Sie gemeinsam mit Ihrer AMS-Beraterin oder Ihrem Berater den für Sie richtigen Weg zurück ins Berufsleben! In diesem Mail zeigen wir Ihnen, wie Ihr beruflicher Neustart gelingen kann.

Nehmen Sie Ihre berufliche Zukunft in die Hand – und bleiben Sie gesund!

Ihr

Sven Hergovich  
Landesgeschäftsführer des AMS Niederösterreich

## Aus- und Weiterbildung für den Neustart am Arbeitsmarkt

Aktuelle und nachgefragte Qualifikationen sind der wichtigste Erfolgsfaktor für den beruflichen Neustart.

Ob Auffrischkurs für Ihre Fachkenntnisse oder eine Ausbildung mit Lehrabschluss – das AMS Niederösterreich hält eine Vielzahl von Aus- und Weiterbildungsmöglichkeiten für Sie bereit.

Einige Beispiele:

- Metall- und elektrotechnische Berufe
- Mechatronik
- Berufskraftfahrer/in, Transportwesen
- Pflegeassistent / Pflegefachassistent

Verschaffen Sie sich einen Startvorteil am Arbeitsmarkt und nutzen Sie unsere Aus- und Weiterbildungsangebote!

## So finanzieren wir Ihre Ausbildung



Mit dem Schulungsgeld vom AMS sind Sie während der Ausbildung finanziell abgesichert. Der Betrag entspricht zumindest Ihrem Arbeitslosengeld oder Ihrer Notstandshilfe und wird unter bestimmten Voraussetzungen aufgestockt.

Zusätzlich erhalten Sie einen Bildungsbonus in Höhe von 4€ pro Tag, wenn Sie Arbeitslosengeld oder Notstandshilfe beziehen, Ihre Ausbildung zumindest vier Monate dauert und noch in diesem Jahr startet.

Figure 2: Newsletter for group 2

03/02/2021

AMS NÖ

Bei Darstellungsproblemen klicken Sie [hier](#).



#### Ihr Weiterbildungsgutschein im Wert von bis zu 15.000,- Euro

Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren,

Nutzen Sie die Chance zum beruflichen Neustart mit einer Qualifizierung im Rahmen der Corona-Joboffensive! Bis zu 15.000,- Euro sind beim AMS Niederösterreich für Ihre zukunftssichere Aus- und Weiterbildung für Sie reserviert.

Finden Sie gemeinsam mit Ihrer AMS-Beraterin oder Ihrem Berater den für Sie richtigen Weg zurück ins Berufsleben und lösen Sie Ihren Weiterbildungsgutschein ein! In diesem Mail zeigen wir Ihnen, wie Ihr beruflicher Neustart gelingen kann.

Nehmen Sie Ihre berufliche Zukunft in die Hand – und bleiben Sie gesund!

Ihr

Sven Hergovich  
Landesgeschäftsführer des AMS Niederösterreich

#### Ihr Gutschein für den Neustart am Arbeitsmarkt



Aktuelle und nachgefragte Qualifikationen sind der wichtigste Erfolgsfaktor für den beruflichen Neustart.

Ob Auffrischkurs für Ihre Fachkenntnisse oder eine Ausbildung mit Lehrabschluss – das AMS Niederösterreich hält eine Vielzahl von Aus- und Weiterbildungsmöglichkeiten für Sie bereit.

© fotito - stock.adobe.com

#### Eine Auswahl der Berufsausbildungen, für die das AMS die Kosten übernimmt:

- Mechatronik
- Kälteanlagentechnik
- IT-Systemtechnik, Programmierung/Coding, Applikationsentwickler/in
- Kunststofftechnik
- Restaurantfachkraft, Hotel- und Gastgewerbeassistent/in
- Berufskraftfahrer/in
- Finanz- und Rechnungswesenassistent
- Diplomierte Digitaler Vertrieb
- Pflegeassistent, Pflegefachassistent

Ihr Weiterbildungsgutschein im Wert von bis zu € 15.000,-

#### So finanzieren wir Ihre Ausbildung



Mit dem Schulungsgeld vom AMS sind Sie während der Ausbildung finanziell abgesichert. Der Betrag entspricht zumindest Ihrem Arbeitslosengeld oder Ihrer Notstandshilfe und wird unter bestimmten Voraussetzungen aufgestockt.

Zusätzlich erhalten Sie einen Bildungsbonus in Höhe von 4€ pro Tag, wenn Sie Arbeitslosengeld oder Notstandshilfe beziehen. Ihre Ausbildung zumindest vier Monate dauert und noch in diesem Jahr startet.

#### Vorsorge und Sicherheit: Ihre Ausbildung während der COVID-19-Maßnahmen



Das AMS nimmt die Situation um die COVID-19-Pandemie ernst. Deswegen passen wir gemeinsam mit unseren Partnerinstituten den Kurriebetrieb laufend den gerade erforderlichen Corona-Schutzmaßnahmen an.

Damit Sie gesund bleiben und dennoch Ihre Ausbildung starten können, richtet sich das AMS dabei nach dem Grundsatz:

**So viel Distance Learning wie möglich – so viel Präsenzunterricht wie notwendig!**

#### Informieren Sie sich jetzt!

**Jetzt informieren unter**

**050 904 343**

Sie möchten mehr über Ihre Weiterbildungsmöglichkeiten erfahren oder wünschen sich Unterstützung bei der Wahl Ihrer passenden Ausbildung?

Unsere Expertinnen der AMS-Weiterbildungshotline stehen Ihnen bei Fragen montags bis donnerstags von 07:30h bis 16:00h und freitags von 07:30h bis 13:00h unter der Nummer **050 904 343** gerne telefonisch zur Verfügung.

Oder Sie schreiben uns ein [E-Mail](#).

[>Homepage](#) [>Datenschutz](#) [>Abbestellen](#)

[www.emarsys.net/archive.php?p=127377193\\_1593194](http://www.emarsys.net/archive.php?p=127377193_1593194)

1/2

Figure 3: Newsletter for group 3

03/02/2021

AMS NÖ

Bei Darstellungsproblemen klicken Sie [hier](#).



#### Ihr Weiterbildungsgutschein im Wert von bis zu 15.000,- Euro

Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren,

Nutzen Sie die Chance zum beruflichen Neustart mit einer Qualifizierung im Rahmen der Corona-Joboffensive! Bis zu 15.000,- Euro sind beim AMS Niederösterreich für Ihre zukunftssichere Aus- und Weiterbildung für Sie reserviert.

Finden Sie gemeinsam mit Ihrer AMS-Beraterin oder Ihrem Berater den für Sie richtigen Weg zurück ins Berufsleben und lösen Sie Ihren Weiterbildungsgutschein ein! In diesem Mail zeigen wir Ihnen, wie Ihr beruflicher Neustart gelingen kann.

Nehmen Sie Ihre berufliche Zukunft in die Hand – und bleiben Sie gesund!

Ihr

Sven Hergovich  
Landesgeschäftsführer des AMS Niederösterreich

#### Ihr Gutschein für eine Aus- und Weiterbildung mit Jobgarantie



Aktuelle und nachgefragte Qualifikationen sind der wichtigste Erfolgsfaktor für den beruflichen Neustart.

Ob Auffrischkurs für Ihre Fachkenntnisse oder eine Ausbildung mit Lehrabschluss – das AMS Niederösterreich hält eine Vielzahl von Aus- und Weiterbildungsmöglichkeiten für Sie bereit.

Mit hochwertigen Ausbildungen in nachgefragten Berufen, verbessern Sie wesentlich Ihre Chancen auf einen sicheren Arbeitsplatz.

#### Eine Auswahl der Berufsausbildungen, für die das AMS die Kosten übernimmt:

- Mechatronik
- Kälteanlagentechnik
- IT-Systemtechnik, Programmieren/Coding, Applikationsentwickler/in
- Kunststofftechnik
- Restaurantfachkraft, Hotel- und Gastgewerbeassistent/in
- Berufskraftfahrer/in
- Finanz- und Rechnungswesenassistent
- Diplomlehrgang Digitaler Vertrieb
- Pflegeassistent, Pflegefachassistent

Ergreifen Sie die Chance und finden Sie gemeinsam mit unseren Expertinnen und Experten Ihren persönlichen Weg zum beruflichen Neustart. Mit Ihrem persönlichen Weiterbildungsgutschein verschaffen Sie sich einen wertvollen Startvorteil.

Ihr Weiterbildungsgutschein im Wert von bis zu € 15.000,-

#### Die aktuellen Top Jobs am niederösterreichischen Arbeitsmarkt

- **Elektroinstallateur(e)innen, -monteur(e)innen**  
beim AMS NÖ gemeldete offene Stellen im Jänner: **343**
- **Dipl. Krankenpfleger, -schwestern**  
beim AMS NÖ gemeldete offene Stellen im Jänner: **229**
- **Kraftfahrer/innen (alle Bereiche)**  
beim AMS NÖ gemeldete offene Stellen im Jänner: **228**
- **Maurer/innen**  
beim AMS NÖ gemeldete offene Stellen im Jänner: **170**
- **Techniker/innen für Datenverarbeitung**  
beim AMS NÖ gemeldete offene Stellen im Jänner: **159**
- **Rohrinstallateur(e)innen, -monteur(e)innen**  
beim AMS NÖ gemeldete offene Stellen im Jänner: **157**
- **Hotel- und Gaststättenberufe**  
beim AMS NÖ gemeldete offene Stellen im Jänner: **132**
- **Techniker/innen für Maschinenbau**  
beim AMS NÖ gemeldete offene Stellen im Jänner: **117**
- **Pflegeassistent/in**  
beim AMS NÖ gemeldete offene Stellen im Jänner: **110**
- **Medizinisch-technische Fachkräfte (m./w.)**  
beim AMS NÖ gemeldete offene Stellen im Jänner: **81**

#### So finanzieren wir Ihre Ausbildung



Mit dem Schulungsgeld vom AMS Sie sind während der Ausbildung finanziell abgesichert. Der Betrag entspricht zumindest Ihrem Arbeitslosengeld oder Ihrer Notstandshilfe und wird unter bestimmten Voraussetzungen aufgestockt.

Zusätzlich erhalten Sie einen Bildungsbonus in Höhe von 4€ pro Tag, wenn Sie Arbeitslosengeld oder Notstandshilfe beziehen. Ihre Ausbildung zumindest vier Monate dauert und noch in diesem Jahr startet.

#### Vorsorge und Sicherheit: Ihre Ausbildung während der COVID-19-Maßnahmen

[www.emarsys.net/archive.php?p=127377193\\_1593195](http://www.emarsys.net/archive.php?p=127377193_1593195)

1/2

Figure 4: Newsletter for group 4