

444 South 16th Street Mall Omaha, NE 68102-2247

LIC-13-0075 June 7, 2013

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555-0001

Reference:

Docket No. 50-285

Subject:

Licensee Event Report 2013-008, Revision 0, for the Fort Calhoun

Station

Please find attached Licensee Event Report 2013-008, Revision 0, dated June 7, 2013. This report is being submitted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(v).

There are no new commitments being made in this letter.

If you should have any questions, please contact Terrence W. Simpkin, Manager, Site Regulatory Assurance, at (402) 533-6263.

Sincerely,

Louis P. Cortopassi

Site Vice President and CNO

LC/epm/rjr

Attachment

c:

A. T. Howell, NRC Regional Administrator, Region IV

L. E. Wilkins, NRC Project Manager

J. M. Sebrosky, NRC Project Manager

J. C. Kirkland, NRC Senior Resident Inspector

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block) 1. FACILITY NAME Fort Calhoun Station 7. REPORT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing 5. EVENT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing 5. EVENT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing 5. EVENT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing 5. EVENT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing 5. EVENT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing 5. EVENT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing 5. EVENT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing 5. EVENT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing 5. EVENT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing 5. EVENT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing 5. EVENT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing 5. EVENT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing 5. EVENT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing 5. EVENT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing 5. EVENT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing 5. EVENT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing 5. EVENT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing 5. EVENT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing 5. EVENT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing 5. EVENT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing 5. EVENT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing 5. EVENT DATE Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing FACILITY NAME Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing FACILITY NAME Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testin	NRC FOF (10-2010)	RM 366	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION													: 10/31/2013
### Fort Calhoun Station	`	LIC	(See r	everse	for requi	red nui	mber of	ER)	re lid e C ir a B c n	equest: censing stimate ommis ifocolle nd Reg udget, ollectio ot con	80 hours. Repg process and fed e to the FOIA/Privision, Washingto ects.resource@nrcgulatory Affairs, NE Washington, DC n does not display duct or sp onsor,	orted le back to acy S n, DC c.gov, a EOB-102 20503.	essons le industry. ection (T- 205 55-0 nd to the l 202, (3150 If a means	arned are in Send comm 5 F53), U.S 001, or b Desk Officer 0-0104), Office s used to in DMB control	co rpora ents regandaments. Nuclea of internation office of Mar entrope and number.	ated into the arding burden ar Regulator y et e-mail to of Information agement and information the NRC may
Previously Installed GE IAV Relays Failed Seismic Testing S. EVENT DATE S. OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED DOCKET NUMBER O5000	1. FACIL	ITY NA	ME						2.	DOC			3. PA			
S. EVENT DATE	4 TITLE			Fort (Salhoun	Station					05000285			1	OF 3	S
MONTH DAY YEAR VEAR SEQUENTIAL NO. MONTH DAY YEAR FACILITY NAME DOCKET NUMBER O5000	<u>.</u>				Previ	ously Ir	stalled (SE IAV F	Relays F	ailed	l Seismic Te	sting				
MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR YEAR SEQUENTIAL REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR \$: (Check all that apply)	5. E	VENT D	ATE	6. I	LER NUME	BER	7. R	EPORT D	ATE			HER I	ACILITI			
11 2013 2013 008 - 0 06 07 2013 005000 050000 05000 05000 05000 05000 050000 05000 050000 05000 05000 05000 05000 050000 050000 05000 05000	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR			MONTH	DAY	YEAR						0	5000
20.2201(b)	4	11	2013	2013	800	- 0	06	07	2013	FACIL	ITY NAME					
20.2203(a)(1)	9. OPER	ATING	MODE	11.	THIS REF	ORT IS	SUBMITTI	D PURSU	JANT TO	THE	REQUIREMEN [*]	TS OF	10 CFR	§: (Check	all that	apply)
Erick Matzke 13. COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT FACTURER REPORT EXPECTED 14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) On April 11, 2013, the test results of seven General Electric (GE) IAV relays indicated that three safety-related, seismically qualified, relays did not pass seismic testing. The condition was entered in to the Station's corrective action program. A causal analysis determined that the failure was caused by the control spring in the relay contacting either the disk or the drag magnet during seismic testing resulting in a short. A wire used to support the spring was not installed in the relays that failed the testing, allowing the control spring to sag and make electrical contact. There are a total of 45 GE IAV relays identified in the plant, of which 32 are safety-related. Twelve of these had previously been replaced and two more were verified to have the support wire installed. The remaining 18 relays will be inspected, and if the support wire is missing, they will be replaced	10. POWER LEVEL			□ 20.2201(d) □ 20.2203(a)(3)(ii) □ 20.2203(a)(1) □ 20.2203(a)(4) □ 20.2203(a)(2)(i) □ 50.36(c)(1)(i)(A) □ 20.2203(a)(2)(ii) □ 50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A) □ 20.2203(a)(2)(iii) □ 50.36(c)(2) □ 20.2203(a)(2)(iv) □ 50.46(a)(3)(ii) □ 20.2203(a)(2)(v) □ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)			(3)(ii) (4) (i)(A) (ii)(A) (iii) (iii)	□ 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A) □ 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A) □ 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B) □ 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B) □ 50.73(a)(2)(iii) □ 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A) □ 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A) □ 50.73(a)(2)(x) □ 73.71(a)(4) □ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B) □ 73.71(a)(5) □ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C) □ OTHER □ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D) □ Specify in Abstract be				i)(A) i)(B) i)(A)				
TAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT REPORT EXPECTED 14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE On April 11, 2013, the test results of seven General Electric (GE) IAV relays indicated that three safety-related, seismically qualified, relays did not pass seismic testing. The condition was entered in to the Station's corrective action program. A causal analysis determined that the failure was caused by the control spring in the relay contacting either the disk or the drag magnet during seismic testing resulting in a short. A wire used to support the spring was not installed in the relays that failed the testing, allowing the control spring to sag and make electrical contact. There are a total of 45 GE IAV relays identified in the plant, of which 32 are safety-related. Twelve of these had previously been replaced and two more were verified to have the support wire installed. The remaining 18 relays will be inspected, and if the support wire is missing, they will be replaced						-	12. LICENS	SEE CONT	TACT FOR	R THIS	S LER					
The remaining 18 relays will be inspected, and if the support wire is missing, they will be replaced and two more were verified to have the support wire is missing, they will be replaced and two more were verified to have the support wire is missing, they will be replaced will be replaced with a fire part of the support wire is missing, they will be replaced will be replaced with a fire part of the support wire is missing, they will be replaced	FACILITY N	IAME				Erick	Matzke						TELEPHON			
14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED YES (If yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) NO NO NO NO NO NO NO N				13. COM	IPLETE O	IE LINE	FOR EAC	н сомро	NENT FA	ILURI	E DESCRIBED	IN TH	IS REPO	RT		
□YES (If yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) On April 11, 2013, the test results of seven General Electric (GE) IAV relays indicated that three safety-related, seismically qualified, relays did not pass seismic testing. The condition was entered in to the Station's corrective action program. A causal analysis determined that the failure was caused by the control spring in the relay contacting either the disk or the drag magnet during seismic testing resulting in a short. A wire used to support the spring was not installed in the relays that failed the testing, allowing the control spring to sag and make electrical contact. There are a total of 45 GE IAV relays identified in the plant, of which 32 are safety-related. Twelve of these had previously been replaced and two more were verified to have the support wire installed. The remaining 18 relays will be inspected, and if the support wire is missing, they will be replaced	CAUS	SE	SYSTEM	1 CON	MPONENT				CAUS	SE .	SYSTEM	COMF	PONENT			
□YES (If yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) On April 11, 2013, the test results of seven General Electric (GE) IAV relays indicated that three safety-related, seismically qualified, relays did not pass seismic testing. The condition was entered in to the Station's corrective action program. A causal analysis determined that the failure was caused by the control spring in the relay contacting either the disk or the drag magnet during seismic testing resulting in a short. A wire used to support the spring was not installed in the relays that failed the testing, allowing the control spring to sag and make electrical contact. There are a total of 45 GE IAV relays identified in the plant, of which 32 are safety-related. Twelve of these had previously been replaced and two more were verified to have the support wire installed. The remaining 18 relays will be inspected, and if the support wire is missing, they will be replaced																
ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) On April 11, 2013, the test results of seven General Electric (GE) IAV relays indicated that three safety-related, seismically qualified, relays did not pass seismic testing. The condition was entered in to the Station's corrective action program. A causal analysis determined that the failure was caused by the control spring in the relay contacting either the disk or the drag magnet during seismic testing resulting in a short. A wire used to support the spring was not installed in the relays that failed the testing, allowing the control spring to sag and make electrical contact. There are a total of 45 GE IAV relays identified in the plant, of which 32 are safety-related. Twelve of these had previously been replaced and two more were verified to have the support wire installed. The remaining 18 relays will be inspected, and if the support wire is missing, they will be replaced		L	14.	SUPPLE	MENTAL	REPORT	EXPECTE	ED.						MONTH	DAY	YEAR
On April 11, 2013, the test results of seven General Electric (GE) IAV relays indicated that three safety-related, seismically qualified, relays did not pass seismic testing. The condition was entered in to the Station's corrective action program. A causal analysis determined that the failure was caused by the control spring in the relay contacting either the disk or the drag magnet during seismic testing resulting in a short. A wire used to support the spring was not installed in the relays that failed the testing, allowing the control spring to sag and make electrical contact. There are a total of 45 GE IAV relays identified in the plant, of which 32 are safety-related. Twelve of these had previously been replaced and two more were verified to have the support wire installed. The remaining 18 relays will be inspected, and if the support wire is missing, they will be replaced	□YE5	S (If yes,	complete	15. EXF	PECTED S	JBMISSI	ON DATE)	1	⊠ N	10			ı			
safety-related, seismically qualified, relays did not pass seismic testing. The condition was entered in to the Station's corrective action program. A causal analysis determined that the failure was caused by the control spring in the relay contacting either the disk or the drag magnet during seismic testing resulting in a short. A wire used to support the spring was not installed in the relays that failed the testing, allowing the control spring to sag and make electrical contact. There are a total of 45 GE IAV relays identified in the plant, of which 32 are safety-related. Twelve of these had previously been replaced and two more were verified to have the support wire installed. The remaining 18 relays will be inspected, and if the support wire is missing, they will be replaced	ABSTRA	CT (Lim	it to 1400	spaces,	i.e., approx	imately '	15 single-s _i	paced type	ewritten lin	es)				<u> </u>		
	sat to t by res tes The the	fety-re the Stathe co sulting sting, a ere ar ese ha	lated, sation's ontrol spin a shallowing e a totad previous	seismic correct pring ir ort. A v the co al of 45 ously b	cally quative action the relative use on the relative use on the relative to t	lified, on progety considering to some	relays d gram. A tacting e upport the sag an s identifi and two	id not p causal either the sprind make fed in the more v	ass sei analysi e disk o g was r electrio e plant vere ve	smices desported to the second	testing. The termined the drag magnistalled in tontact. which 32 are to have the	ne co lat th linet of he re e saf e sul	ndition e failui during lays th ety-rel oport v	n was er re was o seismic nat faileo ated. To vire inst	tered cause testired the welve alled.	d ng

LICENSEE EVENT CONTINUATI

Γ REPORT (LER) Γ	S.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
ION SHEET	

LLC NUCLEAR RECULATORY COMMISSION

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	CKET 6. LER NUMBER				3. PAGE			
Fort Calhoun Station	05000285	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	2	OF	3		
		2013	- 008 -	0					

NARRATIVE

BACKGROUND

Fort Calhoun Station (FCS) is a two-loop reactor coolant system of Combustion Engineering (CE) design.

EVENT DESCRIPTION

On April 11, 2013, the test results of seven General Electric (GE) IAV relays indicated that three safety-related, seismically qualified, relays did not pass IEEE 344, IEEE Recommended Practice for Seismic Qualification of Class 1E Equipment for Nuclear Power Generating Stations, testing. The condition was entered in to the Station's corrective action program.

These relays were originally purchased seismically qualified and installed in 1973. While inspecting the failed relays, it was noted that they were missing a control spring support wire, although the relays did contain the mounting screws for the support wire. The support wire has been part of the standard IAV relay design for over 30 years. The support wire prevents the control spring from sagging.

The station reviewed the procedures used to calibrate the relays, reviewed the original procurement specifications, and reviewed historical modifications to determine if the station did anything that would have removed the support wire. After the review, the station concluded that the relays were most likely supplied without the support wire during the original construction of the plant.

This report is being submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(v); Any event or condition that could have prevented the fulfillment of the safety function of structures or systems that are needed to: (A) Shut down the reactor and maintain it in a safe shutdown condition; (B) Remove residual heat; (C) Control the release of radioactive material; or (D) Mitigate the consequences of an accident."

CONCLUSION

A causal analysis determined that the failure was caused by a control spring in the relay contacting either the disk or the drag magnet during seismic testing resulting in a short. A wire used to support the control spring was not installed in the relays that failed the testing allowing the spring to sag and make electrical contact.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

There are a total of 45 GE IAV relays identified in the plant, of which 32 are safetyrelated. Twelve of these had previously been replaced and two more were verified to have the support wire installed. The remaining 18 relays will be inspected, and if the support wire is missing, they will be replaced prior to plant startup.

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE

The safety significance of the three failed relays can be characterized as follows:

NRC FORM 366A

(10-2010)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION CONTINUATION SHEET

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6	. LER NUMBER	3. PAGE			
Fort Callegue Station	05000285	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	3	OF	0
Fort Calhoun Station		2013	- 008 -	0			

NARRATIVE

In the case of relay 27-1/1A3, a ground alarm would be received and the under voltage circuit would be inoperable. This would have prevented the load shedding of safeguard loads and non-safeguards loads. Diesel generator DG-1 would not have started.

In the case of relay 27-1/1A3-13, a ground alarm would be received and under voltage circuit would be inoperable. This would cause relays 27T1X/1A3-13 and 27T2X/1A3-13 not to energize to perform their tripping functions.

In the case of relay 27-1/1B4B, an internal short in the relay causes a ground condition. The 125VDC circuit is an ungrounded system, the ground will cause an alarm but the fuse should not open. A ground alarm would be received on the 125VDC system. This impacts vacuum deaerator circulating pump, DW-46B and indication. The 1B4B circuit would be inoperable and breaker 1B4B-8 for DW-46B would be prevented from tripping on a low voltage condition.

Cumulatively, the seismic event would have impacted relays on both the 1A3 and 1A4 buses preventing load shedding functions as well as the starting of diesel generators DG-1 and DG-2.

SAFETY SYSTEM FUNCTIONAL FAILURE

This event does result in a safety system functional failure in accordance with NEI-99-02.

PREVIOUS EVENTS

None