



10 CFR 50.73

DWIGHT C. MIMS

Senior Vice President, Nuclear
Regulatory & Oversight

Palo Verde

Nuclear Generating Station

P.O. Box 52034

Phoenix, AZ 85072

Mail Station 7605

Tel 623 393 5403

102-06804-DCM/DFH
December 6, 2013

ATTN: Document Control Desk
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Sirs:

Subject: **Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station (PVNGS)**
Unit 3
Docket No. STN 50-530
License No. NPF 74
Licensee Event Report 2013-001-00

Enclosed please find Licensee Event Report (LER) 50-530/2013-001-00 that has been prepared and submitted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73. This LER reports a degraded principal safety barrier and a condition prohibited by Technical Specifications that resulted from leakage on one reactor vessel bottom-mounted instrument nozzle assembly.

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.4, copies of this LER are being forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Regional Office, NRC Region IV, and the Senior Resident Inspector.

Arizona Public Service Company makes no commitments in this letter. If you have questions regarding this submittal, please contact Mark McGhee, Department Leader, Regulatory Affairs, at (623) 393-4972.

Sincerely,

DCM/DFH/hsc

Enclosure

cc:	M. L. Dapas	NRC Region IV Regional Administrator
	J. K. Rankin	NRC NRR Project Manager for PVNGS
	M. A. Brown	NRC Senior Resident Inspector for PVNGS

A member of the **STARS** (Strategic Teaming and Resource Sharing) Alliance

Callaway•Comanche Peak•Diablo Canyon•Palo Verde•San Onofre•South Texas•Wolf Creek

NRC FORM 366 (10-2010)		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION			APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104		EXPIRES: 10/31/2013																																						
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)					Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA/Privacy Section (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to infocollects.resource@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.																																								
1. FACILITY NAME Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station (PVNGS) Unit 3					2. DOCKET NUMBER 05000530		3. PAGE 1 OF 9																																						
4. TITLE Leakage on Reactor Vessel Bottom-Mounted Instrumentation Nozzle 3																																													
5. EVENT DATE			6. LER NUMBER			7. REPORT DATE			8. OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED																																				
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER																																			
10	7	2013	2013	- 001 -	00	12	6	2013	FACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER																																			
9. OPERATING MODE 5		11. THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR§: (Check all that apply) <table style="width:100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2201(b)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(3)(i)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(C)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(vii)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2201(d)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(3)(ii)</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(1)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(4)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(i)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(1)(i)(A)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iii)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(ii)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(x)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(iii)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(2)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(a)(4)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(iv)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.46(a)(3)(ii)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(a)(5)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(v)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> OTHER</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(vi)</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)</td> <td>Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A</td> </tr> </table>								<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2201(b)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(3)(i)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(C)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(vii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2201(d)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(3)(ii)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(i)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(1)(i)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(x)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(iii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(a)(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(iv)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.46(a)(3)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(a)(5)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(v)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(vi)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)	Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A
<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2201(b)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(3)(i)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(C)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(vii)																																										
<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2201(d)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(3)(ii)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)																																										
<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)																																										
<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(i)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(1)(i)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)																																										
<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(x)																																										
<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(iii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(a)(4)																																										
<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(iv)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.46(a)(3)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(a)(5)																																										
<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(v)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER																																										
<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(vi)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)	Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A																																										
10. POWER LEVEL 0																																													
12. LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER																																													
FACILITY NAME Mark McGhee, Operations Support Manager, Regulatory Affairs								TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 623-393-4972																																					
13. COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT																																													
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANU-FACTURER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANU-FACTURER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX																																				
B	AB	RPV	CE	Y																																									
14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES (If yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) <input type="checkbox"/> NO					15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE																																								
					MONTH	DAY	YEAR																																						
					05	15	2014																																						
ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) <p>On October 6, 2013, during a scheduled visual examination of the 61 bottom-mounted instrument (BMI) nozzles on the Unit 3 reactor vessel, white residue was identified around the annulus region of BMI nozzle 3. At 0219 on October 7, 2013, engineering personnel determined, based on past and present photographic evidence, that the white residue likely resulted from reactor coolant system (RCS) pressure boundary leakage. The pressure boundary leakage resulted in a condition prohibited by Technical Specification 3.4.14, reportable pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B), and a degraded principal safety barrier, reportable pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A). Non-destructive examination (NDE) of BMI nozzle 3 identified axial cracking in a near-surface weld flaw in the J-groove weld that extended into the nozzle tube and ultimately resulted in the RCS leak path. An extent of condition evaluation found no indication of unacceptable flaws or leakage in the remaining 60 BMI nozzle assemblies in Unit 3. Additionally, no evidence was found that indicated leakage from BMI nozzle assemblies in Units 1 or 2.</p> <p>The probable cause of the BMI nozzle 3 leakage was a near-surface weld flaw that came in contact with RCS water and allowed initiation of primary water stress corrosion cracking. The flaw was likely caused by a BMI nozzle J-groove weld defect that occurred during reactor vessel fabrication and was undetected by penetrant testing. An American Society of Mechanical Engineers Code approved weld pad/half-nozzle repair was performed to establish a new BMI nozzle assembly weld configuration. Corrective actions include increasing the frequency of BMI visual examinations so that one is performed each refueling outage.</p> <p>No similar events have been reported to the NRC by PVNGS in the prior three years.</p>																																													

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
CONTINUATION SHEET

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE
Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station (PVNGS) Unit 3	05000530	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2 OF 9
		2013	-- 001	-- 00	

NARRATIVE

All times are Mountain Standard Time and approximate unless otherwise indicated.

1. REPORTING REQUIREMENT(S):

This Licensee Event Report (LER) is being submitted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A) as a degraded principal safety barrier and 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) as a condition prohibited by Technical Specification (TS) Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) 3.4.14, RCS Operational Leakage, due to reactor coolant system pressure boundary leakage.

At approximately 2100 on October 6, 2013, during a scheduled visual examination of reactor coolant system components, white residue was identified around the annulus region of reactor vessel bottom-mounted instrument (BMI) nozzle 3. At 0219 on October 7, 2013, engineering personnel determined that, based on past and present photographic evidence, the white residue was most likely boric acid resulting from reactor coolant system pressure boundary leakage. This condition was reported to the NRC pursuant to 10 CFR 50.72 (b)(3)(ii)(A) via Event Notification 49416 at 0955 on October 7, 2013.

2. DESCRIPTION OF STRUCTURE(S), SYSTEM(S) AND COMPONENT(S):

A primary function of the reactor coolant system (RCS) (EIS: AB) is to provide the second of three principle barriers against fission product release to the environment. The RCS is designed, constructed and maintained to minimize the potential for reactor coolant leakage. RCS component joints are made by welding, bolting, rolling, or pressure loading, and valves are provided to isolate connecting systems from the RCS. During plant life the joint and valve interfaces can produce varying amounts of reactor coolant leakage through normal operational wear or mechanical deterioration.

PVNGS TS LCO 3.4.14, *RCS Operational Leakage*, is applicable in Modes 1 through 4 when the RCS is capable of being pressurized and provides limitations for RCS leakage. The LCO specifies the types and amounts of leakage allowable and provides required actions when leakage rates exceed allowable values. RCS pressure boundary leakage is defined as non-isolable leakage through a component body, pipe wall or vessel wall. No pressure boundary leakage is allowed.

The reactor vessel contains penetrations which include two vessel outlet pipes, four vessel inlet pipes and 61 BMI nozzle assemblies. The BMI nozzle assemblies allow the in-core instrumentation (ICI) to enter the bottom of the reactor vessel (see Figure 1) and transition to the fuel assemblies. There are 61 fixed ICI detector assemblies, each comprised of a string of five self-powered rhodium detectors, one background detector and a core exit thermocouple (CET). The rhodium detectors are used to measure local core neutron flux


LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
CONTINUATION SHEET

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE
Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station (PVNGS) Unit 3	05000530	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	3 OF 9
		2013	-- 001	-- 00	

NARRATIVE

levels to monitor power level, power distribution, flux tilt, flux shape and radial peaking factors. The CETs are used to provide control room indication of coolant temperature at the core exit during operating or accident conditions. The entire ICI assembly is covered with a solid tubular sheath and terminates at a multi-pin connector which mates with a connector on the field cables. The field cables connect to each ICI assembly at a seal table located in the containment building adjacent to the reactor vessel. The seal table houses the 61 seal plugs which allow each ICI element to traverse the RCS pressure boundary with a leak tight connection. The RCS pressure boundary includes the seal plugs and 61 stainless steel tubes which contain the ICI assemblies and connect the seal table to the BMI penetrations on the reactor vessel lower head. During refueling operations the ICI detector assemblies are withdrawn from the reactor vessel to facilitate movement of fuel assemblies. Prior to operation, the ICI detector assemblies are inserted into the reactor vessel and the seal plug configuration and field cable terminations are restored.

Figure 1- Illustration of a BMI Nozzle Assembly Including Location of Welds



The BMI nozzle assemblies in the reactor vessel lower head are fabricated from Alloy 600 and are internally connected to the vessel lower head with J-groove welds made from Alloy 82/182 (see Figure 1). These materials have known susceptibility to primary water stress corrosion cracking (PWSCC). Stresses that make Alloy 600 nozzle tubes and their Alloy 82/182 J-groove attachment welds susceptible to cracking are inherently induced by the welding of the nozzle tube to the inside surface of the reactor vessel lower head during

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
CONTINUATION SHEET

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE
Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station (PVNGS) Unit 3	05000530	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	4 OF 9
		2013	-- 001	-- 00	

NARRATIVE

vessel fabrication. Three factors: susceptible material, high temperature primary water, and stress must be present for PWSCC to occur. For PVNGS BMI nozzle 3, it is likely that the geometry of a near-surface weld flaw uniquely contributed to a localized stress configuration favorable to PWSCC initiation. To monitor the integrity of the RCS pressure boundary, the PVNGS in-service inspection program conducts bare metal visual examinations of BMI nozzle assemblies in accordance with 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(ii)(E) and American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code Case N-722-1.

3. INITIAL PLANT CONDITIONS:

At approximately 2100 on October 6, 2013, PVNGS Unit 3 was stable in Mode 5 following plant shutdown to commence refueling outage 17 (3R17). Decay heat removal was being performed with the train A Shutdown Cooling System. RCS temperature was 115 degrees Fahrenheit and RCS pressure was 90 pounds per square inch absolute (psia).

There were no structures, systems, or components inoperable that contributed to the event.

4. EVENT DESCRIPTION:

At approximately 2100 on October 6, 2103, during a scheduled visual examination of the reactor vessel BMI nozzle assemblies, white residue was discovered at the annulus region of BMI nozzle 3 (see Figure 2). The BMI visual examinations were performed using remote controlled video and photographic equipment to meet the in-service inspection requirements of 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(ii)(E) and ASME Code Case N-722-1.

Upon discovery, the lead engineer for the PVNGS BMI inspection activity was notified and responded to the site to evaluate the condition. Qualified engineering personnel conducted a review of the photographic images and reviewed photographic records of past visual examinations of the Unit 3 BMI nozzle 3. At 0219 on October 7, 2013, engineering personnel determined the white residue was most likely boric acid resulting from RCS pressure boundary leakage.

At 0955 on October 7, 2013, PVNGS reported the degraded principle safety barrier to the NRC pursuant to 10 CFR 50.72 (b)(3)(ii)(A) via Event Notification 49416.

Operations personnel were notified and performed an Immediate Operability Determination which determined the reactor vessel was capable of supporting heat removal and inventory control functions in Mode 5 and Mode 6. Outage activities to defuel the Unit 3 reactor were continued as planned.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
CONTINUATION SHEET


1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE
Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station (PVNGS) Unit 3	05000530	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	5 OF 9
		2013	-- 001	-- 00	

NARRATIVE

Figure 2 - Photos of Unit 3 BMI Nozzle 3



Year 2010



Year 2013

A sample of the residue was taken and analyzed for chemical and isotopic properties on October 8, 2013. Analysis confirmed the sample contained boron and lithium, with a chemical content consistent with RCS coolant. A gamma isotopic analysis was performed which found the leak to be active at the time of plant shutdown based, in part, on the presence of short-lived radionuclides in the residue. These results confirmed the residue was the result of RCS pressure boundary leakage.

On October 23, 2013, Arizona Public Service (APS) and vendor personnel completed volumetric NDE of BMI nozzle 3. The NDE included two time-of-flight diffraction ultrasonic (UT) examinations in both the axial and circumferential beam directions, a single 45 degree shear examination in the downward-looking direction, a 0 degree examination, and an eddy current examination (ECT) of the inside surface of the nozzle tube. APS, Westinghouse, and Electric Power Research Institution (EPRI) personnel independently reviewed the results of the NDE activities and concluded the source of the boron residue was a group of axially (lengthwise) oriented cracks that likely originated in the J-groove attachment weld of the nozzle tube to the vessel.

In addition to the UT and ECT examinations, a leak test was performed by pressurizing the BMI nozzle 3 annulus area on the bottom of the reactor vessel with helium and inspecting the inside of the vessel for emerging gas bubbles. Gas bubbles were observed rising from a location outside the nozzle tube in the J-groove weld at the tube interface. The leak test confirmed the origin of the leak.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
CONTINUATION SHEET

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE
Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station (PVNGS) Unit 3	05000530	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	6 OF 9
		2013	-- 001	-- 00	

NARRATIVE

An additional phased-array UT examination was performed on the low-alloy reactor vessel shell material adjacent to the BMI nozzle 3 annulus area to detect potential loss of vessel material (wastage) resulting from exposure to boric acid. Results of this examination concluded there was no detectable loss of material.

To support the cause evaluation, approximately 0.4 cubic inch of material from the affected region of the BMI nozzle tube and J-groove weld (boat sample) was removed and sent to an off-site laboratory for metallurgical analysis. The boat sample was obtained using electrostatic discharge machining in accordance with ASME Code Section XI Article IWA-4461.

PVNGS Operations personnel evaluated parameters in Unit 1 and Unit 2 and determined there were no indications of RCS leakage in either unit. Routine surveillance testing of RCS inventory balance also did not reflect evidence of abnormal system leakage. Monitoring of trend information on containment humidity, containment atmospheric radiation levels and reactor cavity sump level did not indicate the existence of abnormal leakage from the RCS. These trend reviews are periodically performed during the course of unit operations and are expected to detect the development of safety significant RCS leakage problems. The Unit 1 and Unit 2 BMI nozzle assemblies were visually inspected in 2009 and 2010, respectively, with no abnormal indications found.

5. ASSESSMENT OF SAFETY CONSEQUENCES:

In response to operating experience, the nuclear industry addressed the potential for reactor vessel BMI nozzle assembly leakage with requirements to perform periodic visual examinations to detect leakage before safety significant failure can occur. At PVNGS, visual examinations of BMI nozzle assemblies for evidence of leakage and corrosion on adjacent ferritic items have been scheduled every other refueling outage to meet the requirements of 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(ii)(E) (based on EPRI MRP-206, *Materials Reliability Program: Inspection and Evaluation Guidelines for Reactor Vessel Bottom-Mounted Nozzles in U.S. PWR Plants*, and ASME Code Case N-722-1).

The probabilistic risk assessment performed for the EPRI MRP-206 study concluded that, for plants with 18 and 24 month fuel cycles, a bare metal visual examination every other refueling outage is sufficient to ensure the calculated change in incremental core damage frequency (ICDF) resulting from degraded BMI nozzle assemblies remains at or below 1.0E-06. The risk evaluations summarized in EPRI MRP-206 show that periodic inspections provide reasonable assurance against nozzle ejection and significant head wastage. During the investigation of this event only axial indications were found in Unit 3 BMI nozzle 3 and

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
CONTINUATION SHEET

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE
Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station (PVNGS) Unit 3	05000530	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	7 OF 9
		2013	-- 001	-- 00	

NARRATIVE

no loss of reactor vessel lower head shell material was found. Therefore, the Unit 3 BMI nozzle 3 leak is considered to be of low nuclear safety significance.

The Unit 3 BMI nozzle 3 leakage rate was very small with little boron residue accumulation on the lower reactor vessel head and no appreciable boron residue accumulation on the structures beneath the vessel. Ultrasonic phased-array inspection of the reactor vessel low-alloy carbon steel material in the annulus region adjacent to BMI nozzle 3 did not identify the loss of vessel material. The event did not result in the release of radioactive materials to the environment and the event did not adversely affect the safe operation of the plant or health and safety of the public.

The event did not result in a potential for a transient more severe than those analyzed in the Updated Final Safety Evaluation Report chapters 6 and 15. The condition would not have prevented the fulfillment of a safety function; and, the condition did not result in a safety system functional failure as defined by 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(v).

6. CAUSE OF THE EVENT:

The cause evaluation being conducted for this condition is still in progress. Offsite analysis of the boat sample is expected to be completed in early 2014, which will facilitate completion of the cause evaluation. A supplement to this LER will be submitted to provide the results of the cause analysis.

The probable cause of the BMI nozzle 3 leakage was a near-surface weld flaw that came in contact with RCS water. The flaw initiation point was likely caused by a BMI J-groove weld defect that occurred during reactor vessel fabrication and was undetected by penetrant testing. The metallurgical analysis will focus on how the near-surface weld flaw then became exposed to the primary water environment and resulted in PWSCC.

7. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:

To restore the reactor vessel pressure boundary, a half-nozzle repair of BMI nozzle 3 replaced the lower portion of the BMI nozzle assembly and moved the RCS pressure boundary from the J-groove weld inside the reactor vessel to a new J-groove weld outside the reactor vessel. As shown below in Figures 3 and 4, the new J-groove weld joins a new Alloy 690 bottom half-nozzle to an Alloy 52M ambient temperature temper bead weld pad deposited on the outer diameter of the reactor vessel bottom shell. The temper bead weld pad is addressed in ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel (B & PV) Code Case N-638-4, which is conditionally accepted in Regulatory Guide 1.147, *Inservice Inspection Code Case Acceptability*, ASME Section XI, Division 1, Revision 16. The temper bead weld pad

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
CONTINUATION SHEET

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE
Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station (PVNGS) Unit 3	05000530	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	8 OF 9
		2013	-- 001	-- 00	


NARRATIVE

conforms to the conditions required by Regulatory Guide 1.147 for application of Code Case N-638-4.

Figure 3 - Photo of Repaired Unit 3 BMI Nozzle 3



Figure 4 - Drawing of Repaired Unit 3 BMI Nozzle 3



To address the extent of condition in Unit 3, visual and UT/ECT examinations were conducted on the remaining 60 Unit 3 BMI nozzle assemblies in the 3R17 outage. These examinations did not identify any additional indications of leakage or unacceptable weld indications.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
CONTINUATION SHEET

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE
Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station (PVNGS) Unit 3	05000530	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	9 OF 9
		2013	-- 001	-- 00	

NARRATIVE

To establish enhanced monitoring of the BMI nozzle assemblies, the In-Service Inspection Program along with its associated basis documents and the Alloy 600 Management Program Plan will be revised to perform BMI nozzle bare metal visual examinations each refueling outage. This changes the current requirements which conduct these inspections every other refueling outage. This change will be implemented with the next PVNGS refueling outage.

Based on the configuration associated with the corrective action to repair BMI nozzle 3, a Relief Request was submitted to and approved by the NRC to operate Unit 3 with the weld remnant on the remaining upper half of the BMI nozzle assembly in the reactor vessel. PVNGS Relief Request #51 proposed an alternative to the ASME Code requirements of Section XI related to axial flaw indications identified in Unit 3 BMI nozzle 3. Specifically, APS proposed a half-nozzle repair and a flaw evaluation as alternatives to the requirements for flaw removal of IWA-4421 and for flaw characterization of IWA-3300. The flaw evaluation demonstrates that the flaw will remain acceptable over the next operating cycle.

8. PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS:

No similar conditions have been reported by PVNGS.