

South Texas Project Electric Generating Station P.O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

December 23, 2013 NOC-AE-13003066 10 CFR 50.73

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555-0001

South Texas Project
Unit 1 & 2
Docket No. STN 50-498, STN 50-499
Unit 1 Licensee Event Report 2013-003-00
Unanalyzed Condition - Direct Current Ammeter Circuits Without Overcurrent Protection

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), STP Nuclear Operating Company (STPNOC) submits the attached South Texas Project (STP) Unit 1 Licensee Event Report (LER) 2013-003-00 regarding electrical protection for Control Room Direct Current (DC) ammeter circuits which resulted in an unanalyzed condition that could have adversely impacted the capability to achieve and maintain fire safe shutdown.

This event did not have an adverse effect on the health and safety of the public.

There are no commitments in this letter. Corrective actions will be implemented in accordance with the STP Corrective Action Program.

If there are any questions, please contact Ken Taplett at (361) 972-8416, or me at (361) 972-7221.

Mike Meier

Vice President, Corporate Services

Attachment: Unit 1 LER 2013-003-00

IEZZ

cc:

(paper copy)

Regional Administrator, Region IV U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1600 East Lamar Boulevard Arlington, TX 76011-4511

Balwant K. Singal Senior Project Manager U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission One White Flint North (MS 8 B1) 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852

NRC Resident Inspector U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 289, Mail Code: MN116 Wadsworth, TX 77483

Jim Collins
City of Austin
Electric Utility Department
721 Barton Springs Road
Austin, TX 78704

(electronic copy)

A. H. Gutterman, Esquire Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

Balwant K. Singal U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

John Ragan Chris O'Hara Jim von Suskil NRG South Texas LP

Kevin Pollo Richard Peña City Public Service

Peter Nemeth Crain Caton & James, P.C.

C. Mele City of Austin

Richard A. Ratliff
Robert Free
Texas Department of State Health Services

NRC FOF (10-2010)	RM 366		U.S. NUC	LEA	R REGULAT	ORY (	COMMISS		Estimated bu	uest: 80 hours.	onse to com Reported les	sons learned	s manda are incom	5: 10/31/2013 tory information reporated into the egarding burden
	LICE	(See re	everse for	requi	REPORTired number each block)	of `	ER)		Commission, infocollects.re Regulatory Af Washington, I not display a	Washington, esource@nrc.gov ffairs, NEOB-102 DC 20503. If a r	DC 20555- 202 (3150-010) DE CONTROL OF TRANSPORTE DE CONTROL OTROL OF TRANSPORTE DE CONTROL OTROL	-0001, or Desk Officer, 04), Office of o impose an i number, the	by inter Office of Managem nformation NRC may	lear Regulatory ret e-mail to Information and neent and Budget, in collection does y not conduct or in collection.
1. FACIL	ITY NAM	1E		_					2. DOCKET	NUMBER	- 3	B. PAGE		
South	n Texas	s Unit 1							0500	00498	j	1 (	OF 5	
4. TITLE										***				
Unar	nalyzed	d Cond	dition- [	Direc	ct Curren	t Amı	meter C	ircuit	s Withou	ıt Overcurr	ent Prote	ection.		
5. E	VENT D	ATE		6. LE	R NUMBER		7. RE	PORT	DATE		. OTHER FA	ACILITIES I		
монтн	DAY	YEAR	YEA		EQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAME South Texa	ne I Init 2			KET NUMBER 000499
10			-				-		1 22.42	FACILITY NAME	as Office	·		KET NUMBER
10	31	2013	201	3 -	003 -	00	12	23	2013	N/A			N//	4
9. OPER/	1			20.22 20.22 20.22 20.22 20.22 20.22 20.22 20.22	201(b) 201(d) 203(a)(1) 203(a)(2)(i) 203(a)(2)(ii) 203(a)(2)(iii) 203(a)(2)(iii) 203(a)(2)(iv) 203(a)(2)(v)	15 50	☐ 20.2 ☐ 20.2 ☐ 50.3 ☐ 50.3 ☐ 50.4 ☐ 50.7	203(a)(203(a)(203(a)(203(a)(203(a)(2)(203(a)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)	(3)(i) (3)(ii) (4) (i)(A) (ii)(A) (ii) (ii)	50.73( 50.73( 50.73(  50.73(  50.73(  50.73(  50.73(  50.73(  50.73(	MENTS OF a)(2)(i)(C) a)(2)(ii)(A) a)(2)(ii)(B) a)(2)(iii) a)(2)(iv)(A) a)(2)(v)(A) a)(2)(v)(B) a)(2)(v)(C) a)(2)(v)(D)		50.73(a)( 50.73(a)( 50.73(a)( 50.73(a)( 50.73(a)( 73.71(a)( 73.71(a)( OTHER Specify in	2)(vii) (2)(viii)(A) (2)(viii)(B) (2)(ix)(A) (2)(x) (4)
						12. LIC	CENSEE C	ONTA	CT FOR TH	IIS LER				
FACILITY N Ken Taj	_	upervis	sor Lice	าsinุ	9		_					10NE NUMBE 172-8416	R (Include	: Area Code)
		- 	13. COM	LET	ONE LINE			17.71	ENT FAILU	RE DESCRIBE	D IN THIS I	REPORT		
CAUSE	SYS	STEM	COMPON	ENT	MANU- FACTURE		PORTABLE TO EPIX		CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONE		NU- URER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX
								***						_
		14.	SUPPLE	VIENT	TAL REPOR	T EXP	ECTED				PECTED ISSION	монтн	DAY	YEAR
☐ YES	(If yes,	complete	e 15. EXF	ECTE	ED SUBMIS	SION E	DATE)	⊠ N	0		ATE			
On ( expe (CR 48 \ doe: coul exce The shut App zone	Octobe erience ) fire an /DC bas not in d caus essive of postulation of the result of	r 31, 20 r, South nalysis. Ittery an iclude of e a gro current ated se condition R. Com e plant	013, at a Texas. The or nd batte overcurre flow an econdary pensate.	appropring Project programmer of the proper	ect (STP) al design of the cough unpotenting to the could affect of the could affect o	1834 deter f amreuits a featu rotect le polie ect the ent. The	Central Imined an meter circular for the res to limited amment of caule availabhis scenaures have	Dayligh una cuits we nor it fau eter we sing a cility o ario he beer	ght Time of analyzed of which provided in Class turing or control secondary as not been implement.	during revie condition exivide CR cur irbine lube of In the post ontrol circuitary fire outsi ent needed en analyzed ented and re	sts related rent indicated ever ulated ever t wiring ar de the CF to place the d in accord emain in p	d to the Cation for to the comment, a fire and potential in the cane plant in the cane plant in the cane plant in the cane for the cane	control he non control in the fally res able rac n a safe h 10 C he affe	-Class ol circuit CR sult in ceways. e FR 50 ected fire
fire	protecti	ion pro	gram re	quire		desig	n chang			ted CR circ correct the				

## NRC FORM 366A

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE	
South Texas Unit 1	05000408	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	2 OF 5	
Oddii Texas Offic T	05000498	2013	- 003 -	00	2 01 3	

### NARRATIVE

#### I. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

#### A. REPORTABLE EVENT CLASSIFICATION

This event is reportable pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), any event or condition that resulted in "The nuclear power plant being in an unanalyzed condition that significantly degraded plant safety."

### B. PLANT OPERATING CONDITIONS PRIOR TO EVENT

Units 1 and 2 were operating in Mode 1 at 100% power.

# C. STATUS OF STRUCTURES, SYSTEMS, AND COMPONENTS THAT WERE INOPERABLE AT THE START OF THE EVENT AND THAT CONTRIBUTED TO THE EVENT

No other structures, systems, or components were inoperable at the start of the event that contributed to the event.

### D. NARRATIVE SUMMARY OF THE EVENT

On October 7, 2013, the South Texas Project became aware of the Event Notification (49411) from Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station regarding the unanalyzed condition resulting from control room ampere indications that did not include overcurrent protection. A Condition Report was generated to review operating experience to determine if STP had the same vulnerabilities. The review concluded that the Class-1E batteries ammeter indications are appropriately isolated locally before being transmitted to Main Control Board CP003 in the Control Room. However, during the course of the review, it was discovered that the non-Class 1E batteries ammeter indications had the same condition as described in the industry OE.

On October 22, 2013, a Condition Report was written to further evaluate the extent of condition for the non-Class 1E battery systems, which are not credited for Fire Safe Shutdown.

On October 31, 2013, the condition was determined to potential adversely impact the capability to achieve and maintain a safe shutdown condition in the event of a fire. Failure to provide electrical protection to the non-Class 1E, non-safe shutdown, and control room DC ammeter circuits could result in a postulated fault scenario that could induce a secondary fire in another fire area. This could cause the loss of the ability to conduct a safe shutdown as required by 10CFR50 Appendix R. Hourly fire watches were established as compensatory actions. The NRC was notified under Event Notification 49490 - Reportable Event for an unanalyzed condition that significantly degrades plant safety.

#### E. METHOD OF DISCOVERY

Performance of Industry Operating Experience review.

## NRC FORM 366A

(10-2010

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6	6. LER NUMBER	3. PAGE	
South Texas Unit 1	05000498	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	3 OF 5
South rexas Officer		2013	- 003 -	00	3 01 3

#### NARRATIVE

#### II. EVENT-DRIVEN INFORMATION

A. SAFETY SYSTEMS THAT RESPONDED.

No safety systems were required to respond to this event.

B. DURATION OF SAFETY SYSTEM INOPERABILITY

Not applicable

C. SAFETY CONSEQUENCES AND IMPLICATIONS OF THE EVENT

A review of the Palo Verde Event Notification concerning unfused Direct Current (DC) shunt ammeter circuits on station batteries resulting in an unanalyzed condition determined that this was not applicable for the Class 1E DC systems credited for safe shutdown at STP. The review concluded that the Class-1E batteries ammeter indications are appropriately isolated locally before being transmitted to Main Control Board CP003 in the Control Room.

An extent of condition review determined that the original plant wiring of the ammeter circuits for the non-Class 1E batteries were of a similar design to that described in the Palo Verde Event Notification. Additionally, the control circuit for the Turbine Generator Emergency Lube Oil pump is unfused, protected only by the motor circuit breaker with a trip setting of 350 amps. These non-Class 1E circuits are not credited for safe shutdown.

It is postulated that a fire in one fire area can damage these circuits and cause short circuits without protection that would overheat the cables and possibly result in secondary fires in other fire areas where the cables are routed. The secondary fires could adversely affect safe shutdown equipment and potentially cause the loss of the ability achieve and maintain a safe shutdown condition in the event of a fire.

The non-Class 1E battery systems are ungrounded systems for increased reliability. In an ungrounded system, a single ground will not cause the circuit to fail but instead set a new reference ground voltage for the system, however, a second ground of the opposite polarity will then result in a short circuit. This design requires that both the positive and negative leads to the loads be protected by overcurrent devices.

The postulated event of a fire in the control room could cause one of the ammeter wires to short to ground while at the same time, the fire causes another wire from the opposite polarity on the same battery to also short to the ground plane. This would cause a ground loop through the unprotected ammeter wiring which could result in excessive current in the ammeter wiring, potentially causing a secondary fire in the raceway where the cables are routed.

The potential for the ground plane to become a conductor was recently determined to be a credible fault mechanism as published in NUREG/CR-7100, Direct Current Electrical Shorting in Response to Exposure Fire (DESIREE-FIRE), dated April 2, 2012. Prior to this fire testing, fire safe shutdown

# NRC FORM 366A

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET		6. LER NUMBER	3. PAGE	
South Texas Unit 1	05000498	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	4 OF 5
South Texas Offit 1		2013	- 003 -	00	

#### NARRATIVE

analysis did not consider the effects of the DC shorts through the ground plane. Since this is recent testing, this failure mode was not considered credible and not taken into account in previous safe shutdown circuit analysis.

STP complies with 10 CFR 50, Appendix R as described in Fire Hazards Analysis Report (FHAR) comparison section 4.1 but is not an Appendix R plant. Based on the common enclosure rule of FHAR section 3.1.3.3, the non-class 1E circuits of concern should have fuses and/or circuit breakers that will prevent a postulated fire from spreading to another Fire Area through cable short circuits. The condition of these un-fused DC circuits could impede the capability to achieve Fire Safe Shutdown by not assuring at least one train of safe shutdown equipment is available as required by the fire hazard analysis.

Because the non-safety DC circuits are not required for safe shutdown, they are not included in the safe shutdown analysis and were not analyzed for impact on fire safe shutdown requirements. FHAR section 3.1.3.3 states that all power circuits in common enclosures are electrically protected with circuit breakers or fuses, which will prevent secondary electrical fires from occurring.

The identified unprotected circuits traverse the following areas required for safe shutdown in the Electrical Auxiliary Building:

Elevation	Room		Fire Area/Zone
10'	002	Power Cable Vault	02/010
10'	011	Hallway	02/016
21'	102	Train A Cable Spreading Room	64/026
21'	101	HVAC and Elec Area	66/025
35'	215	Plant Computer Room	01/045
35'	201	Train B Elec Penetration Area	03/031
48'	215D	Cabling Area	33/018

Failure to provide electrical protection to the non-Class 1E, non-safe shutdown, control room DC ammeter circuits could result in a postulated fault scenario that could induce a secondary fire in another fire area.

The evaluation confirmed that all of the affected fire areas are provided with active fire suppression and fire detection with the exception of Room 011 where the cable is enclosed in conduit. The combination of these systems provides a high level of confidence that a fire will be detected early and provides notification to the Control Room for dispatching the fire brigade. The suppression systems are full coverage systems that can control and/or extinguish the fire prior to the fire brigades arrival.

There were no actual safety consequences of this condition. This is a postulated event and as such did not result in challenges to fission product barriers, control of radioactive materials, or the health and safety of the public.

The design deficiency did not impact the performance of any other component functions and no other

#### NRC FORM 366A

(10-2010)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET		3. PAGE			
South Texas Unit 1	05000498	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	5 OF 5	
Journ Texas Offic T	05000498	2013	- 003 -	00	5 OF 5	

#### NARRATIVE

safety functions were impacted as a result of this event. The condition would not have prevented the fulfillment of a safety function; and, the condition did not result in a safety system functional failure as defined by 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(v).

#### III. CAUSE OF THE EVENT

The cause of this condition is the original design of the DC circuits did not adequately address fire protection program requirements. The event would have been prevented if the original design included overcurrent protection for the non-Class 1E, non-safe shutdown DC circuits.

#### IV. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

As an interim action, hourly fire watches were established in affected fire zones. Fire watches provide additional defense in depth for the fire protection program and support early detection of a fire at the incipient stage. A design change is planned to correct the latent design deficiencies by providing circuit protection on affected ammeter circuits.

### V. PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

There have been no similar reportable events at STP within the last three years.

### VI. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

None