

Rafael Flores Senior Vice President & Chief Nuclear Officer Rafael.flores@Luminant.com Luminant Power P O Box 1002 6322 North FM 56 Glen Rose, TX 76043

T 254 897 5550 **C** 817 559 0403 **F** 254 897 6652

CP- 201100762 Log # TXX-11069 Ref. # 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)

June 27, 2011

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

SUBJECT:

COMANCHE PEAK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

DOCKET NO. 50-446

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 446/11-001-00, INOPERABILITY OF ECCS TRAINS DUE

TO GAS VOID

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed is Licensee Event Report (LER) 446/11-001-00, "Inoperability of ECCS Trains Due to Gas Void," for Comanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant (CPNPP) Unit 2.

This letter contains no new regulatory commitments regarding CPNPP Units 1 and 2.

Should you have any questions concerning this submittal, please contact Mr. Tim Hope, Manager, Nuclear Licensing, at (254) 897-6370.

Sincerely,

Luminant Generation Company LLC

Rafael Flores

Fred W. Madden

Director, Oversight & Regulatory Affairs

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission TXX-11069 Page 2 06/27/2011

Enclosure

c - E. E. Collins, Region IV
B. K. Singal, NRR
Resident Inspectors, Comanche Peak

NRC FORM 366		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION					APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 10/31/2013											
(10-2010)									Esti req licer esti Cor info	imated uest: 8 nsing primate to mmissi collects	burden 0 hours. rocess ar o the FO on, Was resource	per res Repond fed bollA/Priv shingto	sponse to contred lesson ack to industract Section on, DC 205 gov, and to to 205.3. If a name and a nerso	omply s lear try. S (T-5 55-00 he De	with this rned are end comm F53), U.S 001, or b	mand incorp nents re Nucl y inte r, Offic	atory orate egardi ear F rnet e of li	collection d into the ng burden Regulatory e-mail to nformation
	LI	CENSI (See re digits	EE EV everse f s/charac	ENT R or require ters for	EPORT ed numb each blo	(LER er of ck))		1101	COMM	atory Affa ashingto loes not o ct or spo collectio	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	OB-10202, (3 20503. If a n a currently va and a perso	neans did ON n is n	used to in the second of the s	ce of M impose numbe ed to 1	anage an ir er, the espo	ement and nformation NRC may nd to, the
1. FACII	LITY NA	ME		•					2. [OOCKE	T NUM	BER	T	3. PA	GE			
Coma	nche P	eak Nucl	ear Pow	er Plant	Unit 2			.*		050	000	44	16		1 (OF		4
4. TITLE Inopei		of ECC	S Trains	s Due to	Gas Void													
5. E	VENT D	ATE	6.	LER NUM	BER	7. R	EPORT	DATE			•	8. OT	HER FACI	LITIE	S INVOL	VED		
монтн	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENT NUMBE		MONTH	DAY	YEA	R		TY NAME			05		050	KET NUMBER 5000	
04	26	2011	2011	- 1	- 0	06	27	201		FACILIT	YNAME						ET NU	<u>IMBER</u>
9. OPEF	RATING	MODE	11.	THIS RE	PORT IS S	JBMITTE	D PURS	UANT	то	THER	EQUIR	EMEN.	TS OF 10 C	FR §	: (Check	all th	at app	oly)
2			20. 20.	2201(b) 2201(d) 2203(a)(1 2203(a)(2		20.2 20.2	2203(a)(2203(a)(2203(a)(36(c)(1)(3)(ii) 4)			50.73 50.73	8(a)(2)(8(a)(2)(8(a)(2)(8(a)(2)((ii)(A) (ii)(B)		50.73(50.73(50.73(50.73(a)(2)(a)(2)(/iii)(A /iii)(B)
10. POWER LEVEL		VEL	20.2203(a)(2)(ii) 20.2203(a)(2)(iii) 20.2203(a)(2)(iv) 20.2203(a)(2)(v)			50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A) 50.36(c)(2) 50.46(a)(3)(ii) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)				50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B) 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)			50.73(a)(2)(x) 73.71(a)(4) 73.71(a)(5) OTHER					
				2203(a)(2 2203(a)(2		=	73(a)(2)(73(a)(2)(50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)					Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A			
					12	. LICENS	EE CON	ITACT	FOF	R THIS	LER							
FACILITY Timot		Iope, Nu			Manager										NUMBER (254) 8	•		Code)
			13. COM	PLETE O	NE LINE F	OR EACH	COMP	ONEN.	TFA	ILURE	DESC	RIBED	IN THIS R	EPO	रा			
CAU			MANI FACTU			ORTABLE O EPIX												
													:					
					REPORT E								PECTED ISSION		MONTH	DA	١Y	YEAR
☐ YE	ES (If ye	s, comple	te 15. EX	PECTED	SUBMISSI	ON DATE)] NO					ATE					
ABSTRA	CT (Limit	t to 1400 sp	aces, i.e., a	approximate	ly 15 single-	spaced type	ewritten li	nes)							-			
-		-											during a	_		_		0020,
				-	_			-					ne of discond nd Residu					
system	ıs inop	erable re	equiring	entry in	to Techni	ical Spec	cification	on 3.0	.3. I	Limiti	ing Co	nditio	n for Ope	erati	on Acti	on R	equi	rement
•	•			-	•								2SI-8978					
	•	•									-		11. The d					
			-			_			-				ing in a v					
-			•					-					SI, and RI would ha		-			
-		_	-										mould ha nt spray v				_	
													id verific					
													perability					
		_	-							-			le a requi		_			

review fill and vent procedures to ensure all known gas intrusion mechanisms (e.g., pressure damming) are considered. In addition, vent valves 2SI-8978 and 1SI-0240 will be incorporated into the appropriate venting procedures. All times in this

report are approximate and Central Daylight time unless otherwise noted.

NRC FORM 366A

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION **CONTINUATION SHEET**

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6	3. PAGE				
Comanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant Unit 2	05000 446	YEAR	NUMBER NO.		2	2 05	
	05000 446	2011				OF	4

NARRATIVE

I. DESCRIPTION OF THE REPORTABLE EVENT

A. REPORTABLE EVENT CLASSIFICATION

Based on preliminary review of the event, the event was reported under the following criteria: 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(v) "Any event or condition that could have prevented the fulfillment of the safety function of structures or systems that are needed to: (B) Remove residual heat; or (D) Mitigate the consequences of an accident" and 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(vii) "Any event where a single cause or condition caused at least one independent train or channel to become inoperable in multiple systems or two independent trains or channels to become inoperable in a single system designed to: (B) Remove residual heat; or (D) Mitigate the consequences of an accident."

"Subsequent analysis of the event has shown that both trains of RHR and SI would have fulfilled their respective safety functions. However, the same analysis determined that one (1) train of containment spray would have been inoperable upon Unit 2 entry into Mode 4 contrary to Technical Specifications 3.3.6 and 3.0.4, thus this event is being reported under 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) "Any operation or condition which was prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications."

B. PLANT CONDITION PRIOR TO EVENT

On April 26, 2011, CPNPP Unit 2 was in Mode 2 during a planned outage.

C. STATUS OF STRUCTURES, SYSTEMS, OR COMPONENTS THAT WERE INOPERABLE AT THE START OF THE EVENT AND THAT CONTRIBUTED TO 'THE EVENT

There were no inoperable structures, systems, or components that contributed to the event.

D. NARRATIVE SUMMARY OF THE EVENT, INCLUDING DATES AND APPROXIMATE TIMES

CPNPP Unit 2 was performing a reactor power ascension from refueling outage 2RF12. Unit 2 entered Mode 2 at 1538, April 25, 2011, and was critical at 1754. At 0020, April 26, 2011, during post-outage void verification inspections, a 3.71 ft3 void was discovered in the high point piping run containing Refueling Water Storage Tank Isolation Valve 2SI-0047 [EIIS: (BQ)(TK)(ISV)] and vent valve 2SI-8978 [EIIS: (BQ)(V)]. Unit 2 entered Limiting Condition for Operation Action Requirement (LCOAR) A2-11-231 for LCO 3.0.3, Two Trains of Containment Spray[EIIS: (BE)], Safety Injection [EIIS: BQ)], and Residual Heat Removal [EIIS: (BP)] Inoperable. The void was removed by aligning temporary tubing from 2SI-8978 to a drain and venting the system until a steady stream of water was observed flowing from 2SI-8978. Void elimination was then verified via ultrasonic inspection. LCOAR A2-11-231 was exited at 0143, April 26, 2011.

Prior to the April 2011 2RF12 refueling outage, various sections of piping were ultrasonically tested to verify the absence of gas voiding. The high point piping containing 2SI-0047 and 2SI-8978 was verified free of gas voids on March 31, 2011.

NRC FORM 366A (10-2010) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION CONTINUATION SHEET

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE		
Comanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant Unit 2	05000 446	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL REV NUMBER NO.		3	OF	4
	05000 446	2011	- 001 - 00		3	OF	7

NARRATIVE

Further analysis demonstrated that the declaration of inoperability was a conservative action, and RHR and SI would have fulfilled their respective safety functions and been operable. The analysis confirmed that one (1) train of containment spray was inoperable, however the containment spray safety function would have been fufilled with the remaining operable CT train.

E. THE METHOD OF DISCOVERY OF EACH COMPONENT OR SYSTEM FAILURE, OR PROCEDURAL PERSONNEL ERROR

This condition was identified as a result of void verification inspections required by CPNPP procedure STA-698, "Gas Intrusion Program." The inspections are required to be conducted following the isolation of the Residual Heat Removal (RHR) system from the Reactor Coolant System (RCS).

II. COMPONENT OR SYSTEM FAILURES

A. CAUSE OF EACH COMPONENT OR SYSTEM FAILURE

Not applicable - No component failures were identified during this event.

B. FAILURE MODE, MECHANISM, AND EFFECTS OF EACH FAILED COMPONENT

Not applicable - No component failures were identified during this event.

C. SYSTEMS OR SECONDARY FUNCTIONS THAT WERE AFFECTED BY FAILURE OF COMPONENTS WITH MULTIPLE FUNCTIONS

Not applicable - No component failures were identified during this event.

D. FAILED COMPONENT INFORMATION

Not applicable - No component failures were identified during this event.

III. ANALYSIS OF THE EVENT

A. SAFETY SYSTEM RESPONSES THAT OCCURRED

Not applicable - No safety system responses occurred as a result of this event.

B. DURATION OF SAFETY SYSTEM TRAIN INOPERABILITY

One (1) train of Containment Spray was inoperable upon Unit 2 entry into Mode 4 on April 24, 2011 at 0518 until the LCOAR was exited on April 26, 2011 at 0143.

NRC FORM 366A (10-2010) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION CONTINUATION SHEET

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6	. LER NUMBER	3. PAGE			
Comanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant Unit 2	05000 446	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.		OF	
	V3VVV 440	2011 - 001 - 00			4	OF	4

NARRATIVE

C. SAFETY CONSEQUENCES AND IMPLICATIONS OF THE EVENT

The primary function of the ECCS is to remove the stored and fission product decay heat from the reactor core during accident conditions. The analysis methodology used to determine system operability in this event conservatively postulates that the entirety of the discovered void would enter the suction of a single pump, thereby preventing the pump from performing its designated safety function. Further analysis demonstrated that the declaration of inoperability was a conservative action, and RHR and SI would have fulfilled their respective safety functions and been operable. This analysis is based on detailed study of the void transport and piping design. The analysis confirmed that one (1) train of containment spray was inoperable, however the containment spray safety function would have been fufilled with the remaining operable CT train.

Based on the above, it is concluded that the health and safety of the public were unaffected by this condition and this event has been evaluated to not meet the definition of a safety system functional failure per 10CFR50.73(a)(2) (v).

IV. CAUSE OF THE EVENT

The direct cause of this event was the introduction, transport, and accumulation of gases in the vicinity of 2SI-0047, resulting in a void of sufficient size that very conservative analytical methods used at the time of discovery determined the Containment Spray, Safety Injection, and Residual Heat Removal systems were rendered inoperable. The gas void was the result of the RHR system fill and vent evolution and subsequent RHR operation that transmitted the void to its final location. Contributing to this event was the fact that vent valve 2SI-8978 (located in the section of piping where the void was discovered) was not included in applicable venting procedures. The cause of this event was the failure to identify a unique system configuration and the untimely identification and removal of a gas void that could have potentially rendered both trains of the Containment Spray, Safety Injection, and Residual Heat Removal systems inoperable.

V. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Immediate corrective action was the venting of the gas void and returning the ECCS systems to operability. After vent valve 2SI-8978 was discovered not to be in the applicable venting procedures, the Unit 1 vent valve counterparts were also reviewed. The review discovered Unit 1 vent valve 1SI-0240 was also not included in applicable venting procedures. Consequently, 2SI-8978 and 1SI-0240 will be incorporated into the appropriate venting procedures. Subsequent corrective actions include revision of the post-outage void verification program to require the conduct of preliminary void verifications at locations where potentially dual-train operability-affecting voids may form after entry into Mode 5 but prior to entry into Mode 4 and revision of STA-698 to include a requirement to periodically review fill and vent procedures to ensure all known gas intrusion mechanisms (e.g., pressure damming) are considered.