

P.O. Box 968 ■ Richland, Washington 99352-0968

March 23, 2004 G02-04-048

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Subject:

**COLUMBIA GENERATING STATION, DOCKET NO. 50-397** 

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT NO. 2004-001-00

Dear Sir or Madam:

Transmitted herewith is Licensee Event Report No. 2004-001-00 for the Columbia Generating Station. This report is submitted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) and 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(vii). The enclosed report discusses items of reportability and corrective actions taken.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact Ms. CL Perino at (509) 377-2075.

Respectfully,

DK Atkinson

Vice President, Technical Services

Mail Drop PE08

D.K. Atherion

Enclosure:

1) Licensee Event Report 2004-001-00

cc: BS Mallet - NRC - RIV

BJ Benney - NRC - NRR

**INPO Records Center** 

NRC Sr. Resident Inspector – 988C (2)

RN Sherman - BPA/1399

TC Poindexter - Winston & Strawn

WB Jones - NRC RIV/fax

1693

NRC FORM 366 (7-2001)

1. FACILITY NAME

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104

**EXPIRES 7-31-2004** 

Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory information collection request. 50 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the Records Management Branch (T-6 E6), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington DC 20555-0001, or by Internet e-mail to <a href="mailto:bis1@nrc.gov">bis1@nrc.gov</a>, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202 (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose Information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the Information collection.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

(See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)

2. DOCKET NUMBER

3. PAGE 1 OF 3

Columbia Generating Station

05000397

4. TITLE

Unanticipated inoperability of both Control Room Emergency Filtration (CREF) subsystems

5. EVENT DATE			6. LER NUMBER			7. REPORT DATE				8. OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED			
МО	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO	мо	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAME		DOCKET NUMBER		
1	23	2004	2004	- 001 -	00	3	23	2004	FACILITY NAME		DOCKET NUMBER		
9. OPERATING 1 MODE		1	1	. THIS REPOR	T IS SUB	MITTED	PURSUA	NT TO THE	REC	QUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR	R §: (Check all that apply)		
			20.	20.2201(b)		20.	2203(a)(3)(ii)			50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)	50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)		
10. POWER LEVEL		100%	20.	2201(d)		20.	.2203(a)(	4)		50.73(a)(2)(iii)	50.73(a)(2)(x)		
			20.	2203 (a)(1)		50.	.36(c)(1)(	i)(A)		50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)	73.71(a)(4)		
200 Be 250 3	. \$ 2.2 × 3.	25 (5.27)	20.	2203(a)(2)(i)		50.	.36(c)(1)(	ii)(A)		50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)	73.71(a)(5)		
医性缺乏性结婚		10.5	20.	2203(a)(2)(ii)		50.	.36(c)(2)			50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)	Other		
			20.	2203(a)(2)(iii)		50.	.46(a)(3)(	ii)	1	50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)	Specify in Abstract below or		
			20.	2203(a)(2)(iv)		50.	73(a)(2)(	i)(A)		50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)	in NRC Form 366A		
[ 海瓜的 建物			20.	2203(a)(2)(v)	7	K 50.	73(a)(2)(	i)(B)	X	50.73(a)(2)(vii)	and the same and the effect that		
			20.	2203(a)(2)(vi)		50.	73(a)(2)(	i)(C)		50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)			
		47.33		2203(a)(3)(i)			73(a)(2)(			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)			
			•	4	2 LICEN	ISEE CO	NTACT	FOR THIS	1 FR				

12. LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER

Pamela K. Ankrum

TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)

(509) 377-4513

13. COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT											
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANU- FACTURER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX	100	CAUSE	SYSTEM	CON	PONENT	MANU- FACTURER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX
,									Ţ		
14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED							15. EXPECTED		MONTH	l DAY	YEAR
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).					x	NO	SUBMISSION DATE				

16. ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines)

At 1315 on January 23, 2004, with the plant in Mode 1 at approximately 100 percent rated thermal power, it was determined that a condition prohibited by the Columbia Generating Station Technical Specifications (TS) existed from 1500 on October 27 through 2100 on October 29, 2003 and from 1305 on November 10 through 1052 on November 11, 2003 when testing was conducted to measure control room in-leakage. During this test, common ducts for both Control Room Emergency Filtration (CREF) subsystems were breached multiple times by removing duct access panels to install and remove test equipment. During the time the access panels were removed, a flow path was created that would have challenged the CREF's ability to pressurize the control room and mitigate the consequences of an accident. Both CREF subsystems were determined to have been inoperable when the access panels were removed. With two CREF subsystems inoperable, TS 3.7.3 directs immediate entry into TS Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) 3.0.3. The fact that removing the access panels caused both CREF subsystems to become inoperable was not recognized at the time the tests were performed because the HVAC ducts were allowed to be breached for short periods of time by the plant barrier impairments procedure.

The cause of this event is attributed to inadequate guidance in the Columbia Generating Station barrier impairment procedure. The cause of the inadequate guidance in the procedure was a lack of understanding of regulatory guidance associated with barrier impairments.

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001) **U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION** 

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)		LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)	
Columbia Companying Station	05000397	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2 OF 3
Columbia Generating Station			2004 - 001 - 00	2 OF 3	

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

### **DESCRIPTION OF EVENT**

At 1315 on January 23, 2004, with the plant in Mode 1 at approximately 100 percent rated thermal power, it was determined that a condition prohibited by the Columbia Generating Station Technical Specifications (TS) existed from 1500 on October 27 through 2100 on October 29, 2003 and from 1305 on November 10 through 1052 on November 11, 2003 when testing was conducted to measure control room in-leakage. The test employed ANSI N510-1989 component test methodology and was not a routine surveillance. During preparation for and restoration from this test, the approved test procedure allowed common ducts for both Control Room Emergency Filtration (CREF) subsystems to be breached by removing duct access panels. The access panels were removed to allow installation and removal of test equipment. During the time that the access panels were removed, an airflow path was created that would have challenged the ability of both CREF subsystems to pressurize the control room to the pressure required by the TS. Since the extent of the system challenge could not be determined, both CREF subsystems were conservatively determined to have been inoperable when the access panels were removed.

With two CREF subsystems inoperable TS 3.7.3, Required Action D.1, directs immediate entry into TS Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) 3.0.3. TS LCO 3.0.3 requires actions to be initiated within one hour to place the plant in applicable modes. It was not recognized at the time the tests were performed that both CREF subsystems were inoperable because removal of access panels was allowed by the plant barrier impairments procedure. This procedure allowed the access panels to be removed provided the breach was continuously attended and could be restored quickly. During performance of the test, the subsystem being tested was tagged out of service and the applicable TS Action Statement was entered for that subsystem.

## ASSESSMENT OF SAFETY CONSEQUENCES

The CREF System is designed to provide a radiologically controlled environment to ensure the habitability of the control room for the safety of control room operators under all plant conditions.

Because there was no demand to pressurize the main control room with filtered air, there were no actual safety consequences associated with both CREF subsystems being rendered inoperable. Since the duct breach was continuously attended and could have been restored quickly if the CREF system was called upon, there is a reasonable expectation that the CREF system would have been able to perform its safety function. It is expected that the system would have been restored within a short time period to keep dose to Control Room personnel within regulatory limits.

This event is considered reportable in accordance with 10 CFR § 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) and 10 CFR § 50.73(a)(2)(vii).

## **IMMEDIATE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS**

This event was discovered during a review of test documents after the test was completed. At the time of discovery, the testing was complete and the CREF system was in a normal standby configuration.

· 我一个一个一个

#### NRC FORM 366A (1-2001)

#### **U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	LER NUMBER (	PAGE (3)	
Columbia Companying Station	05000397	YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	3 OF 3
Columbia Generating Station	05000397	2004 – 001 - 0	0	3013

A Stop Work Order was generated to ensure that breaches of plant systems and barriers were performed in accordance with regulatory requirements and guidance. Procedures and model work order instructions related to Control Room HVAC were reviewed to identify those activities that, if implemented as written, could challenge CREF system operability or result in conditions prohibited by TS.

### CAUSE OF THE EVENT

The cause of this event is attributed to inadequate and incorrect guidance in the Columbia Generating Station barrier impairment process and procedures. The cause of the inadequate guidance in the procedure was a lack of understanding of regulatory guidance associated with barrier impairments.

### **ACTIONS TO PREVENT RECURRENCE**

The Barrier Impairment procedure will be modified to clarify equipment operability requirements, to further reinforce the need for a thorough risk assessment of hazard barrier breaches, and to ensure other types of hazard barrier breaches do not result in violating TS requirements.

Other procedures were reviewed for similar vulnerabilities. Several procedures were identified that referenced the Barrier Impairment procedure and/or had similar wording. These procedures have been corrected, are temporarily deactivated, or a Stop Work Order has been issued until adequate corrections have been implemented.

### PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

LER 203-012-00 describes a previous similar event in which a condition reportable pursuant to 10 CFR § 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D) and 10 CFR § 50.73(a)(2)(vii) existed. On November 4, 2003, with the plant in Mode 1, it was determined that a condition that could have prevented the fulfillment of a safety function needed to mitigate the consequences of an accident had existed on November 1, 2003. This condition occurred when the normal and both remote outside air intakes for the CREF system were manually isolated for a period of approximately 4 hours during testing to measure control room in-leakage. In this configuration, the CREF system cannot perform its design safety function to pressurize the main control room with filtered air as described in Columbia's accident analysis. The cause of this event is attributed to inadequate preparation and review of the test procedure used to measure control room in-leakage.

An Energy Northwest team investigating the root cause for the event described in LER 203-012-00 discovered the event described in this LER.