

### HW3 - Proof by Contradiction and Relations

JM

1) Prove that  $\sqrt{6}$  is irrational.

Proof. (contradiction)

Assume that  $\sqrt{6}$  is rational.

Then  $\sqrt{6} = p/q$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are coprime integers.

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{6}^2 = 6 = p^2/q^2$$

$$p^2 = 6q^2$$

Therefore  $p^2$  is an even number since an even number multiplied by any other integer is also an even number. If  $p^2$  is even then  $p$  must also be even since if  $p$  were odd, an odd number multiplied by an odd number would also be odd.

So we can replace  $p$  with  $2K$  where  $K$  is an integer.

$$(2K)^2 = 6q^2$$

$$4K^2 = 6q^2$$

$$2K^2 = 3q^2$$

Now we see that  $3q^2$  is even. For  $3q^2$  to be even,  $q^2$  must be even since 3 is odd and an odd times an even number is even. And by the same argument above, if  $q^2$  is even then  $q$  is even.

→ 1) cont...

So both  $p$  and  $q$  are even which means both are divisible by 2. But that means they are not coprime, contradicting our assumption.

∴ By contradiction we have proven that  $\sqrt{6}$  is irrational.

2) If  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $a^2 - 4b - 2 \neq 0$ .

Proof. (contradiction)

Assume that there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a^2 - 4b - 2$  equals 0. Then:

$a^2 = 4b + 2 = 2(b+1)$ , which means that  $a^2$  is an even integer.

Because we know  $[n \text{ is even} \Leftrightarrow n^2 \text{ is even}]$ , this implies that  $a$  is also even.

Then, by definition, there exists  $K \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a = 2K$ . So we obtain:

$$(2K)^2 - 4b - 2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow 4K^2 - 4b - 2 = 0$$
$$\Leftrightarrow 2K^2 = 2b + 1.$$

So  $2K^2$  is odd (since we know it can be written in the form  $2h+1$  for some  $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ ), but it is also even (since it can be written in the form  $2l$  for some  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ).

This is our contradiction, since an integer cannot be even and odd at the same time.

$\therefore$  By contradiction we have proven that all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $a^2 - 4b - 2 \neq 0$ .

3) Suppose  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\emptyset \subseteq A \times A$ , the set  $R = \emptyset$  is a relation on  $A$ . Is  $R$  reflexive. Symmetric? Transitive? If a property doesn't hold, say why.

Suppose  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Since,  $\emptyset \subseteq A \times A$  and relation  $R = \{\emptyset\}$  is a relation on  $A$ .

$\Rightarrow$  There is no  $(x, x) \in \text{~~R~~ } R$  that can exist in  $R$  therefore we know it is vacuously reflexive.

So,  $R$  is reflexive on  $A$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a \in A &\Rightarrow (a, a) \notin \emptyset \\ &\Rightarrow (a, a) \notin R \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow$  There is no  $(x, y)$  that exists in  $R$  therefore vacuously symmetric.

So,  $R$  is symmetric on  $A$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{No } (x, y) \text{ so} \\ \text{no } (y, x) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow$  There is no  $(x, y)$  that exists in  $R$  therefore vacuously transitive.

So,  $R$  is transitive on  $A$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{No } (x, y) \text{ or } (y, z) \\ \text{~~(x, y)~~ or } (x, z) \end{aligned}$$

So,  $R = \{\emptyset\}$  is an equivalence relation, it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive, on  $A$ .

---

4) Define a relation  $R$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  as  $xRy$  if and only if  $4 \mid (x+3y)$ . Prove  $R$  is an equivalence relation. Describe its equivalence classes.

Reflexive:  $R$  is reflexive because for any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $4 \mid (x+3x)$ , so  $xRx$ .

Symmetric: To prove this, suppose  $xRy$ . Then  $4 \mid (x+3y)$ , so  $x+3y = 4a$  for some integer  $a$ . Multiplying by 3, we get  $3x+9y = 12a$ , which becomes  $y+3x = 12a-8y$ . Then  $y+3x = 4(3a-2y)$ , so  $4 \mid (y+3x)$ , hence  $yRx$ . Thus we've proven  $xRy$  implies  $yRx$ , so  $R$  is symmetric.

Transitive: To prove this, suppose  $xRy$  and  $yRz$ . Then  $4 \mid (x+3y)$  and  $4 \mid (y+3z)$ , so  $x+3y = 4a$  and  $y+3z = 4b$  for some integers  $a$  and  $b$ . Adding these two equations produces:

$$x + 4y + 3z = 4a + 4b$$

OR

$$x + 3z = 4a + 4b - 4y = 4(a+b-y).$$

Consequently,  $4 \mid (x+3z) \Rightarrow xRz$ , and  $R$  is transitive.

→ 4) cont...

As  $R$  is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive, it is indeed an equivalence relation.

Describe its equivalence classes:

$$[0] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x R 0\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : 4 \mid (x + 3 \cdot 0)\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : 4 \mid x\}$$

$$[0] = \{\dots -4, 0, 4, 8, 12, 16 \dots\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [1] &= \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x R 1\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : 4 \mid (x + 3 \cdot 1)\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : 4 \mid (x + 3)\} \\ &= \{\dots -3, 1, 5, 9, 13, 17 \dots\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [2] &= \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x R 2\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : 4 \mid (x + 3 \cdot 2)\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : 4 \mid (x + 6)\} \\ &= \{\dots -2, 2, 6, 10, 14, 18 \dots\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [3] &= \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x R 3\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : 4 \mid (x + 3 \cdot 3)\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : 4 \mid (x + 9)\} \\ &= \{\dots -1, 3, 7, 11, 15, 19 \dots\} \end{aligned}$$