

Homework 2

Jaden Ho

October 28, 2024

1 Homework 3

1. (2.1 Problem 1)

- (a) True. $T(cx + y) = cT(x) + T(y)$ must be held for T to be a linear transformation.
- (b) False. We also must check if $T(cx) = cT(x)$ for some $x \in V$.
- (c) False, T is one-to-one if and only if $T(x_1) = T(x_2)$ means $x_1 = x_2$
- (d) True. T must contain the zero vector.
- (e) False, $\text{nullity}(T) + \text{rank}(T)$ is equal to $\dim(V)$.
- (f) False. Counterexample: $T(x, y) = (x, 0)$. Since $\text{set}(1, 0), (0, 1)$ is linearly independent. T will give you the set $(1, 0), (0, 0)$ which is not linearly independent.
- (g) True. if $(U(v_i) = T(v_i))$ then $U = T$.

2. (2.1 Problem 6)

To prove that T is a linear transformation, we must verify the linear transformation axiom.

Let $A, B \in M_{m \times n}(F)$ and $c \in F$ where

$$\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ii} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\text{tr}(B) = \sum_{i=1}^n B_{ii} \tag{2}$$

$$T(cA + B) = \sum_{i=1}^n cA_{ii} + \sum_{i=1}^n B_{ii} \quad (3)$$

$$= c \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ii} + \sum_{i=1}^n B_{ii} = cT(A) + T(B) \quad (4)$$

Hence, T is linear. \square

3. (2.1 Problem 9b) $T(a_1, a_2) = (a_1, a_1^2)$ Let $T(a_1, a_2), T(b_1, b_2) \in T$ s.t. $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\text{Then } T(a_1, a_2) + T(b_1, b_2) = (a_1, a_1^2) + (b_1, b_1^2) = (a_1, a_1^2) + (b_1, b_1^2) = (a_1 + b_1, a_1^2 + b_1^2).$$

$$T(a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2) = (a_1 + b_1, (a_2 + b_2)^2) = (a_1 + b_1, a_2^2 + 2a_2b_2 + b_2^2)$$

Since, $T(a_1, a_2) + T(b_1, b_2) \neq T(a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2)$, T is not linear.

4. Let $T: \mathbb{Z}_2^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ be the function $T(a, b) = (a, b^2)$. Prove that T is a linear transformation. (Characteristic field 2)

Let x, y be arbitrary elements in \mathbb{Z}_2^2 and a be $\in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2)$ for some $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$

To prove that T is a linear transformation, we must show that $T(ax + y) = aT(x) + T(y)$.

$$T(ax + y) = T(a(x_1, x_2) + (y_1, y_2)) = T((ax_1 + y_1, ax_2 + y_2)) = (ax_1 + y_1, (ax_2 + y_2)^2) = (ax_1 + y_1, a^2x_2^2 + 2ax_2y_2 + y_2^2)$$

$$aT(x) + T(y) = a(x_1, x_2^2) + (y_1, y_2^2) = (ax_1 + y_1, a^2x_2^2 + y_2^2)$$

Since in \mathbb{Z}_2 , $2 = 0$, $2ax_2y_2 = 0$ because by property of the product of the identity element 0.

$$\text{So, } (ax_1 + y_1, a^2x_2^2 + 2ax_2y_2 + y_2^2) = (ax_1 + y_1, a^2x_2^2 + y_2^2).$$

Hence, since $T(ax + y) = aT(x) + T(y)$, T is linear. \square

5. (2.1 Problem 11) Prove that \exists a linear transformation $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ s.t. $T(1,1) = (1, 0, 2)$ and $T(2,3) = (1, -1, 4)$. What is $T(8,11)$?

We know that $(1, 1)$ and $(2,3)$ can form a basis for \mathbb{R}^2 since it is LI and spans \mathbb{R}^2 .

Let $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $(x, y) = a(1, 1) + b(2, 3)$

$$(x, y) = (a + 2b, a + 3b)$$

$$a + 2b = x$$

$$a + 3b = y$$

By solving systems of equations, you get:

$$b = x - y$$

$$a = 3x - 2y$$

$$\text{Thus, } (x, y) = (3x - 2y)(1, 1) + (y - x)(2, 3) \quad T(x, y) = (3x - 2y)T(1, 1) + (y - x)T(2, 3) = (3x - 2y)(1, 0, 2) + (y - x)(1, -1, 4) = (2x - y, x - y, 2x)$$

Therefore, there exists a unique linear transformation $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ s.t.
 $T(x, y) = (2x - y, x - y, 2x)$

$$\text{Computing } T(8, 11) = (2(8) - 11, 8 - 11, 2(8)) = (5, -3, 16).$$

□

6. (2.1 Problem 12) Is there a linear transformation $T: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ s.t.
 $T(1, 0, 3) = (1, 1)$ and $T(-2, 0, -6) = (2, 1)$.

No. Since $(-2, 0, -6) = -2(1, 0, 3)$, then $T(-2, 0, -6)$ must be $-2T(1, 0, 3)$ which is not $(2, 1)$.

7. (2.1 Problem 14) Let V and W be vector spaces and $T: V \rightarrow W$ be linear.

- (a) Prove that T is one-to-one if and only if T carries linearly independent subsets of V onto linearly independent subsets of W .

→) If T is one-to-one, then T carries linearly independent subsets of V onto linearly independent subsets of W .

Assume T is one-to-one. WTS T carries L.I. subsets of V onto L.I. subsets of W .

Let S be a linearly independent subset of V which $= \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} \in V$. for ALL $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

To show that $T(S) \subseteq W$ is linearly independent, let us consider an arbitrary linear combination $T(S)$ over S that equals 0. i.e.
 $a_1T(v_1) + a_2T(v_2) + \dots + a_nT(v_n) = 0$ for some $a_i \in F$.

WTS that $a_i(i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n) = 0$

$$T(a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_nv_n) = 0 \quad (5)$$

Since the set S is LI, then $a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_nv_n = 0$ so $T(0) = 0$.

Since T is injective, we can only map only value of T onto 0. So, we can deduce $a_1T(v_1) + a_2T(v_2) + \dots + a_nT(v_n) = 0$ s.t. the coefficients $a_i = 0$.

Hence, $T(S)$ is LI, so we are done.

←) Conversely, if T carries L.I. subsets of V onto L.I. subsets of W , then T is one-to-one.

FSOC, suppose T is not one-to-one.

Then \exists a nonzero $v \in V$ s.t. $T(v) = 0$.

Let $S = v$ which is L.I. since v is nonzero.

Since $T(v) = 0_W$, $v = \{0_V\}$.

However, that is a contradiction since v cannot be a non-zero element if v is supposed to be linearly independent.

Hence, $v = 0_V$. \square

- (b) Suppose that T is one-to-one and that S is a subset of V . Prove that S is a subset of V . Prove that S is linearly independent if and only if $T(S)$ is linearly independent.

Let $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$

→) If S is linearly independent, then $T(S)$ is linearly independent.

Assume that S is linearly independent. WTS $T(S)$ is also LI.

To show that the set $T(S)$ is L.I., let us consider an arbitrary linear combination over $T(S)$ that equals 0, i.e. $a_1T(s_1) + a_2T(s_2) + \dots + a_nT(s_n) = 0$ with some fixed elements $T(s_i) \in S$, $a_i \in F$.

Since T is linear, we can arrange the equation as such: $T(a_1s_1 + a_2s_2 + \dots + a_ns_n) = 0$

Since S is LI, $a_i = 0$. By scalar property of linear transformations we get:

$$a_1T(s_1) + a_2T(s_2) + \dots + a_nT(s_n) = 0 \quad (6)$$

And since $a_i = 0$, $T(S)$ is LI.

←) Conversely, if $T(S)$ is LI then S is LI. WTS that S is LI.

To show that the set S is L.I., let us consider an arbitrary linear combination over S that equals 0, i.e. $a_1s_1 + a_2s_2 + \dots + a_ns_n = 0$ with some fixed elements $s_i \in S, a_i \in F$.

$$T(a_1s_1 + a_2s_2 + \dots + a_ns_n) = 0$$

$$a_1T(s_1) + a_2T(s_2) + \dots + a_nT(s_n) = 0$$

Since we assumed that $T(S)$ is LI, the coefficients $a_i = 0$. Since S share the same coefficients as $T(S)$, we can deduce S is LI.

□

- (c) Suppose $\beta = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis for V and T is one-to-one and onto. Prove that $T(\beta) = \{T(v_1), T(v_2), \dots, T(v_n)\}$ is a basis for W .

Since T is one-to-one and β , then $T(\beta) = \{T(v_1), T(v_2), \dots, T(v_n)\}$ is also LI.

Since T is onto, $T(V) = \text{span}(T(\beta)) = W$. Hence, $T(\beta)$ is a basis for W . □

8. (2.1 Problem 16) Let $T : P(R) \rightarrow P(R)$ be defined by $T(f(x)) = f'(x)$. Recall that T is a linear. Prove that T is onto, but not one-to-one.

WTS that T is onto, but T is not one-to-one.

Proof by counterexample: Let $f(x) = 2x + 1$ and $g(x) = 2x$ for some $f(x), g(x) \in P(R)$

$T(f(x)) = T(g(x)) = 2$, however $f(x) \neq g(x)$. Hence, T is not one-to-one.

To show that T is onto, we must show for all arbitrary function $h(x) \in P(R)$, that there exists an element $t(x)$ s.t. $T(h(x)) = t(x)$ for some $t(x) \in P(R)$. Let's introduce a fixed, but arbitrary function for $t(x)$.

Let $t(x) = a_1 + a_2x + a_3x^2 + \dots + a_nx^n$ for some arbitrary elements $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\int t(x) = a_1x + \frac{a_2}{2}x^2 + \frac{a_3}{3}x^3 + \dots + \frac{a_n}{n+1}x^{n+1} \quad (7)$$

Let $h(x)$ be this function below.

$$h(x) = a_1x + \frac{a_2}{2}x^2 + \frac{a_3}{3}x^3 + \dots + \frac{a_n}{n+1}x^{n+1} \quad (8)$$

$$T(h(x)) = t(x).$$

Hence, since we got the general form of onto transformations, T is a onto transformation but not a one-to-one transformation. \square

9. (2.1 Problem 17) Let V and W be finite-dimensional vector spaces and $T : V \rightarrow W$ be linear.

- (a) Prove that if $\dim(V) < \dim(W)$, then T cannot be onto.

FSOC assume that $\dim(V) < \dim(W)$, but T is onto. Then $\text{rank}(T) = \dim(W)$.

Then by Dimension Theorem, $\dim(V) = \text{nullity}(T) + \text{rank}(T)$

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(W) &> \dim(V) = \dim(W) > \text{nullity}(T) + \text{rank}(T) \\ &= \dim(W) > \dim(W) + \text{nullity}(T) \end{aligned}$$

Which means that the dimension of the null space must be negative. However, that is a contradiction since $\text{nullity}(T)$ cannot be a negative number.

Hence, T cannot be onto with those conditions. \square

- (b) Prove that if $\dim(V) > \dim(W)$, then T cannot be one-to-one.

FSOC assume that $\dim(V) > \dim(W)$, but T is one-to-one.

Then $\text{nullity}(T)$ must equal 0 by Theorem 2.4. $\dim(V) > \dim(W) = \text{rank}(T) + \text{nullity}(T) > \dim(W)$
 $\text{rank}(T) > \dim(W)$

However, that is a contradiction since $\text{rank}(T)$ is a subspace of W and cannot be greater in dimension than W .

Hence, T cannot be one-to-one under these circumstances. \square

10. (2.1 Problem 22) Let $T: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be linear. Show that there exist scalars a , b , and c such that $T(x,y,z) = ax + by + cz$ for all $(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Can you generalize this result for $T: F^n \rightarrow F$? State and prove an analogous result for $T: F^n \rightarrow F^m$.

To show that \exists scalars a,b,c s.t. $T(x,y,z) = ax + by + cz \ \forall (x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we will let $a = (1, 0, 0)$, $b = (0, 1, 0)$, $c = (0, 0, 1)$.

$$T(x(1, 0, 0) + y(0, 1, 0) + z(0, 0, 1))$$

Since T is linear, $T(x(1, 0, 0)) + T(y(0, 1, 0)) + T(z(0, 0, 1)) = ax +$

by $az + cz$.

To generalize this result, $T(x) = x_1v_1 + x_2v_2 + \dots + x_nv_n$ for some $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in F$ and $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in F^n$.

Prove an analogous result for $T: F^n \rightarrow F^m$.

Based on the previous results, we had that:

$T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_1T(e_1) + x_2T(e_2) + \dots + x_nT(e_n)$ s.t e_i represent the tuples. If we put this in matrix form, we get:

$$T: F^n \rightarrow F^m := \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \dots & & \dots \\ \dots & & \dots \\ a_{m1} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1a_{11} & \dots & x_na_{1n} \\ x_1a_{21} & \dots & x_na_{2n} \\ \dots & & \dots \\ \dots & & \dots \\ x_1a_{m1} & \dots & x_na_{mn} \end{pmatrix}$$

This conforms that each component of the output is a linear combination of the input vectors and there are m-tuples.

□

11. (2.1 Problem 38) Prove that if V and W are vector spaces over \mathbb{Q} , then any additive function from V into W is a linear transformation.

Assume that V and W are vector spaces over \mathbb{Q} .

WTS any additive function from V to W is a linear transformation.

Let $c = \frac{a}{b}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0$.

Let T be the transformation from V to W . Since T is additive function, $T(x + y) = T(x) + T(y)$ for some $x, y \in V$

Now we must prove linearity for scalars.

$$T(cx) = T\left(\frac{a}{b}x\right) = T\left(\frac{1}{b}ax\right) = T\left(\frac{1}{b}x + \frac{1}{b}x + \dots + \frac{1}{b}x\right) \quad (9)$$

$$aT\left(\frac{1}{b}x\right) = \frac{a}{b}T(x) = cT(x) \quad (10)$$

Hence, T is linear. □

12. (2.1 Problem 39) Let $T: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the function defined by $T(z) = \bar{z}$. Prove that T is additive but not linear.

To show that T is additive but not linear we must check the two conditions for linear transformations.

Let $z = a + bi$ and $y = c + di$, for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$T(z + y) = (a + c) + \overline{(b + d)}i = (a + c) - (b + d)i$$

$$T(z) + T(y) = a - bi + c - di = (a + c) - (b + d)i$$

Hence, $T(z + y) = T(z) + T(y)$

Let x be a scalar in \mathbb{R} . $xT(z) = x(a - bi) = ax - bxi$ $T(xz) = ax - bxi$

Proof by counterexample:

Let $x = ei$ for some $e \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $e \neq 0$.

$$T(xz) = T(ei(a + bi)) = T(aei - be) = -be - aei \quad xT(z) = xT(a + bi) = ei(a - bi) = aei + be$$

Since $xT(z) \neq T(xz)$ in this instance, T is not linear. \square