

3.2 Kraft and McMillan Inequalities

length is simply the number of characters in a string given an alphabet (\mathcal{A}). given a set \mathcal{S} with the encoding: $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$. The set of word lengths then denoted as:

$$\{\text{length}(f(s)) : s \in \mathcal{S}\}$$

Theorem 1 (Kraft's Inequality). *Let the set \mathcal{S} of source words have m elements. Then, let the encoding alphabet \mathcal{A} have n characters. A condition that there exists an **instantaneous uniquely decipherable** code $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ with lengths $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_m$ is:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{n^{\ell_i}} \leq 1 \quad (1)$$

Theorem 2 (McMillan's Inequality). *Let the set \mathcal{S} of source words have m elements. Then, let the encoding alphabet \mathcal{A} have n characters. A condition that there exists a **uniquely decipherable** code $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ with lengths $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_m$ is:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{n^{\ell_i}} \leq 1 \quad (2)$$

Corollary. *If there is a uniquely decipherable code with given word lengths, then there is an instantaneous (uniquely decipherable) code with those word lengths.*

Remark. *The Inequalities give absolute limits on the size of the encoding words necessary to encode a 'vocabulary' \mathcal{S} of source words with a certain size. These are independent of any probabilistic considerations.*

There is a proof involved in this, but I have omitted it because I don't want to deal with it. That being said, since *any* uniquely decipherable code must satisfy the Inequality, an *instantaneous* one should as well.

3.3 Noiseless Coding Theorem