

Figure S30. A simple qualitative model of additive microstimulation enhancement scaled down by the initial response amplitude reproduced the "X-shaped" interaction between the task condition-specific stimulation effect and contraversive selectivity, across ROIs. *Left panel:* average stimulation effect for contraversive and ipsiversive task conditions, across ROIs. *Right panel:* stimulation effect per ROI as a function of initial contraversive selectivity in control trials, for the two task conditions.

Simulation parameters:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{RA_noise_level} &= 0.25; \\ \text{Stim_effect_contra} &= 0.25; \\ \text{Stim_effect_ipsi} &= 0.2; \\ \text{Stim_effect_noise_level} &= 0.02; \\ \end{array}$

RA_c_contra = $randn(50,1)*RA_noise_level+[0.4];$ % control contraversive = $randn(50,1)*RA_noise_level+[0.15];$ % control ipsiversive

RA_s_contra = RA_c_contra + Stim_effect_contra*(1 - 0.5*RA_c_contra) + randn(50,1)*Stim_effect_noise_level;

RA_s_ipsi = RA_s_ipsi + Stim_effect_ipsi*(1 - 0.5*RA_c_ipsi) + randn(50,1)*Stim_effect_noise_level;