

# 1 Microscopic Foundation: Neural Dynamics

Before deriving the macroscopic plasticity rules, we must first establish the microscopic dynamics of the individual neurons comprising the network. We employ the Leaky Integrate-and-Fire (LIF) model, a standard reduction of the Hodgkin-Huxley formalism that captures the essential sub-threshold integration and thresholding behavior of cortical neurons [gerstner\_neuronal\_2014].

## 1.1 Membrane Potential Dynamics

The state of a postsynaptic neuron  $i$  is described by its membrane potential  $V_i(t)$ . In the absence of input, the membrane potential relaxes to a resting potential  $E_L$ . The evolution of  $V_i(t)$  is governed by the conservation of current across the cell membrane, modeled as an RC circuit consisting of a leakage resistor  $R_m$  and a membrane capacitor  $C_m$  in parallel:

$$\tau_m \frac{dV_i(t)}{dt} = -(V_i(t) - E_L) + R_m I_{syn,i}(t) + R_m I_{ext,i}(t), \quad (1)$$

where  $\tau_m = R_m C_m$  represents the membrane time constant, typically in the range of 10–20 ms [1]. The term  $I_{syn,i}(t)$  represents the total synaptic current received from presynaptic neurons, and  $I_{ext,i}(t)$  accounts for any external background currents or noise.

## 1.2 Synaptic Interaction

The synaptic current  $I_{syn,i}(t)$  is determined by the activity of the presynaptic population. Let the spike train of a presynaptic neuron  $j$  be denoted by a sum of Dirac delta functions,  $\rho_j(t) = \sum_k \delta(t - t_j^k)$ .

The arrival of a spike from neuron  $j$  induces a transient change in the conductance or current of neuron  $i$ . In the current-based approximation, appropriate for this level of reduction, the total synaptic current is the linear sum of filtered presynaptic spikes weighted by the synaptic efficacy  $w_{ij}$ :

$$I_{syn,i}(t) = \sum_j w_{ij} \int_{-\infty}^t \alpha(t-s) \rho_j(s) ds, \quad (2)$$

where  $\alpha(t)$  is the postsynaptic current (PSC) kernel, typically modeled as an exponential decay  $\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{\tau_s} e^{-t/\tau_s} \Theta(t)$ , with synaptic time constant  $\tau_s$ .

## 1.3 Spike Generation Mechanism

The continuous voltage dynamics defined above give rise to discrete events. A spike is generated at time  $t_i^k$  when the membrane potential crosses a fixed threshold  $\vartheta$  from below:

$$t_i^k : V_i(t_i^k) = \vartheta \quad \text{and} \quad \left. \frac{dV_i}{dt} \right|_{t=t_i^k} > 0. \quad (3)$$

Immediately following a spike, the potential is reset to a value  $V_{reset} < \vartheta$  and held constant for a refractory period  $\tau_{ref}$ , simulating the temporary inactivation of  $Na^+$  channels.

This mechanism defines the postsynaptic spike train  $\rho_i(t) = \sum_k \delta(t - t_i^k)$ , which serves as the input to the plasticity equations in the following section.

## 2 Mathematical Formulation of the Three-Factor Plasticity Model

Having defined the generation of spike times  $\mathcal{T}_j$  and  $\mathcal{T}_i$  via the LIF dynamics, we now analyze the evolution of the synaptic weights  $w_{ij}$ . We focus on a plasticity rule belonging to the class of *three-factor learning rules*, as reviewed by Frémaux and Gerstner [2]. In this framework, synaptic updates are gated by a global neuromodulatory signal (factor three) rather than relying solely on the pairwise correlation of presynaptic and postsynaptic activity (factors one and two).

### 2.1 Neural Activity and Notation

Following the framework established in the previous section, the neural response functions are formally treated as sums of Dirac distributions:

$$\rho_j(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} \delta(t - t_j^k) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_i(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} \delta(t - t_i^k). \quad (4)$$

Here,  $N_j$  and  $N_i$  denote the total number of spikes fired by each neuron over the interval  $t \in [0, T]$ , determined stochastically by the interaction of the membrane potential equation (Eq. 1) and the threshold condition (Eq. 3).

To prevent unbounded growth, we constrain the weight to a closed interval  $w_{ij} \in [0, w_{\max}]$ , where  $w_{\max} \in \mathbb{R}^+$  is a constant parameter representing the maximum possible synaptic efficacy [2].

### 2.2 Local Dynamics: The Eligibility Trace

A central feature of this model is that the coincidence of spikes does not immediately change the synaptic weight. Instead, it creates a temporary memory trace,  $E_{ij}(t)$ , known as the *eligibility trace* [gerstner\_neuronal\_2014, 2]. This trace allows the synapse to bridge the temporal delay—often termed the "distal reward problem"—between millisecond-scale neural activity and second-scale reward signals. The eligibility trace evolves according to:

$$\tau_e \frac{dE_{ij}(t)}{dt} = -E_{ij}(t) + S_{ij}(t), \quad (5)$$

where  $\tau_e \in \mathbb{R}^+$  is the decay time constant of the trace.

The driving term  $S_{ij}(t)$  represents the instantaneous induction of Spike-Timing-Dependent Plasticity (STDP). To define  $S_{ij}(t)$ , we use variables  $x_j(t)$  and  $y_i(t)$  that track the recent history of presynaptic and postsynaptic activity, respectively:

$$\tau_+ \frac{dx_j(t)}{dt} = -x_j(t) + \rho_j(t), \quad (6)$$

$$\tau_- \frac{dy_i(t)}{dt} = -y_i(t) + \rho_i(t), \quad (7)$$

where  $\tau_+, \tau_- \in \mathbb{R}^+$  are the time constants for the potentiation and depression windows. These constants are derived from experimental data and define the temporal window of sensitivity for plasticity [1].

The STDP induction term  $S_{ij}(t)$  combines Long-Term Potentiation (LTP) and Long-Term

Depression (LTD):

$$S_{ij}(t) = \underbrace{A_+(w_{ij})x_j(t)\rho_i(t)}_{\text{LTP contribution}} - \underbrace{A_-(w_{ij})y_i(t)\rho_j(t)}_{\text{LTD contribution}}. \quad (8)$$

The LTP term is active only when a postsynaptic spike occurs ( $\rho_i(t) \neq 0$ ), and its magnitude depends on the accumulated presynaptic activity  $x_j(t)$ . Similarly, the LTD term is active when a presynaptic spike occurs, depending on the postsynaptic activity.

### 2.3 Weight Dependence and Stability

To ensure the weight  $w_{ij}$  stays within the bounds  $[0, w_{\max}]$ , the scaling functions  $A_+(w_{ij})$  and  $A_-(w_{ij})$  include a "soft bound" dependence on the current weight:

$$A_+(w_{ij}) = \eta_+(w_{\max} - w_{ij}) \quad \text{and} \quad A_-(w_{ij}) = \eta_- w_{ij}, \quad (9)$$

where  $\eta_+, \eta_- \in \mathbb{R}^+$  are the learning rates. This formulation ensures that the rate of weight change drops to zero as the weight approaches either limit.

### 2.4 Global Dynamics: Neuromodulated Update

The actual change in synaptic weight is governed by a global neuromodulatory signal  $M(t)$ . The differential equation for the weight is the product of this global signal and the local eligibility trace:

$$\frac{dw_{ij}(t)}{dt} = M(t)E_{ij}(t). \quad (10)$$

The signal  $M(t)$  is modeled as a Reward Prediction Error (RPE), calculated as the difference between the instantaneous reward  $R(t)$  and a baseline expectation  $\bar{R}(t)$ :

$$M(t) = R(t) - \bar{R}(t). \quad (11)$$

Here,  $\bar{R}(t)$  serves as a reference point. It ensures that the neuromodulatory signal can be positive (indicating better-than-expected outcomes) or negative (indicating worse-than-expected outcomes), allowing for bidirectional regulation of synaptic weights [2].

## References

- [1] Peter Dayan and L. F. Abbott. *Theoretical Neuroscience: Computational and Mathematical Modeling of Neural Systems*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2001. ISBN: 0-262-04199-5.
- [2] Nicolas Frémaux and Wulfram Gerstner. “Neuromodulated Spike-Timing-Dependent Plasticity, and Theory of Three-Factor Learning Rules”. In: *Frontiers in Neural Circuits* 9 (2016), p. 85. ISSN: 1662-5110. DOI: 10.3389/fncir.2015.00085. URL: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fncir.2015.00085/full>.