

# 1 Microscopic Foundation: Neural Dynamics

We consider a minimal circuit consisting of a single presynaptic neuron connected to a single postsynaptic neuron through a unidirectional synapse of weight  $w$ . This section specifies the dynamics governing the postsynaptic membrane potential, the synaptic coupling, and the generation of spikes.

## 1.1 Membrane Potential Dynamics

The postsynaptic neuron is modeled as a Leaky Integrate-and-Fire (LIF) unit, a standard reduction of the Hodgkin–Huxley formalism that retains sub-threshold integration and thresholding [1]. Its membrane potential  $V(t)$  evolves according to the conservation of current across the cell membrane, modeled as a parallel RC circuit with leakage resistance  $R_m$  and membrane capacitance  $C_m$  [2]:

$$\tau_m \frac{dV(t)}{dt} = -(V(t) - E_L) + R_m I_{\text{syn}}(t) + R_m I_{\text{ext}}(t), \quad (1)$$

where  $\tau_m = R_m C_m$  is the membrane time constant,  $E_L$  is the resting potential,  $I_{\text{syn}}(t)$  is the synaptic current from the presynaptic neuron, and  $I_{\text{ext}}(t)$  is an external current, typically modeled as an injected current or Gaussian white noise.

## 1.2 Spike Generation

A spike is defined as the instant  $t_{\text{post}}^k$  at which the membrane potential crosses a fixed threshold  $\theta$  from below:

$$t_{\text{post}}^k : \quad V(t_{\text{post}}^k) = \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \left. \frac{dV}{dt} \right|_{t=t_{\text{post}}^k} > 0. \quad (2)$$

The derivative condition distinguishes the upward threshold crossing from subsequent repolarization. Upon firing,  $V$  is reset to  $V_{\text{reset}} < \theta$  and the dynamics in (1) are suspended for a refractory period  $\tau_{\text{ref}}$ , after which integration resumes from  $V_{\text{reset}}$  [2].

The spike trains of both neurons are written as sums of Dirac distributions:

$$\rho_{\text{pre}}(t) = \sum_k \delta(t - t_{\text{pre}}^k), \quad \rho_{\text{post}}(t) = \sum_k \delta(t - t_{\text{post}}^k). \quad (3)$$

The presynaptic spike times  $\{t_{\text{pre}}^k\}$  are taken as given (e.g., drawn from a Poisson process), while the postsynaptic times  $\{t_{\text{post}}^k\}$  are determined by (1) and (2).

## 1.3 Synaptic Interaction

The synaptic current is determined by the presynaptic spike train filtered through a postsynaptic current (PSC) kernel and scaled by the synaptic weight  $w$ . Under the *current-based* approximation, which treats synaptic currents as independent of the postsynaptic membrane potential, the synaptic current is [1]:

$$I_{\text{syn}}(t) = w \int_{-\infty}^t \alpha(t-s) \rho_{\text{pre}}(s) ds, \quad (4)$$

where  $\alpha(t) = \tau_s^{-1} e^{-t/\tau_s} \Theta(t)$  is an exponential PSC kernel with synaptic time constant  $\tau_s$  and  $\Theta(t)$  the Heaviside step function [2].

## 2 Three-Factor Plasticity Model

We now turn to the evolution of the synaptic weight  $w$ . The plasticity rule belongs to the class of *three-factor learning rules* reviewed by Frémaux and Gerstner [3]. In standard Spike-Timing-Dependent Plasticity (STDP), weight changes depend on the correlation of pre- and postsynaptic spike times; three-factor rules gate this local signal with a global neuromodulatory factor representing reward or error feedback.

### 2.1 Weight-Dependent Scaling and Stability

Before specifying the plasticity dynamics, we define the weight-dependent scaling functions that appear in the learning rule. The weight  $w$  represents the efficacy of the synapse from the presynaptic to the postsynaptic neuron and is constrained to the interval  $[0, w_{\max}]$ , where  $w_{\max}$  is a physiological saturation limit.

The amplitudes of potentiation and depression are modulated by soft-bound functions [1]:

$$A_+(w) = \eta_+ (w_{\max} - w), \quad A_-(w) = \eta_- w, \quad (5)$$

where  $\eta_+$  and  $\eta_-$  are learning rates. These linear dependencies cause the rate of weight change to diminish as  $w$  approaches either boundary. This prevents unbounded growth of the weight and biases the dynamics toward the interior of  $[0, w_{\max}]$ . However, because the full weight update (defined below in (10)) involves a signed modulation signal, the soft bounds alone do not strictly guarantee  $w \in [0, w_{\max}]$ ; in practice, a hard clipping step  $w \leftarrow \max(0, \min(w, w_{\max}))$  may be applied after each update.

### 2.2 Local Dynamics: The Eligibility Trace

A central feature of this model is that coincident pre- and postsynaptic spikes create a temporary memory called the *eligibility trace*  $E(t)$  [3]. This trace allows the synapse to bridge the temporal gap between millisecond-scale neural activity and delayed reward signals. It evolves as:

$$\tau_e \frac{dE(t)}{dt} = -E(t) + S(t), \quad (6)$$

where  $\tau_e$  is a decay time constant, typically on the order of hundreds of milliseconds to seconds for reinforcement learning tasks [1].

The driving term  $S(t)$  captures the instantaneous STDP induction. To define it, we introduce filtered spike-history variables for each neuron:

$$\tau_+ \frac{dx_{\text{pre}}(t)}{dt} = -x_{\text{pre}}(t) + \rho_{\text{pre}}(t), \quad (7)$$

$$\tau_- \frac{dy_{\text{post}}(t)}{dt} = -y_{\text{post}}(t) + \rho_{\text{post}}(t), \quad (8)$$

where  $\tau_+$  and  $\tau_-$  set the widths of the potentiation and depression windows, respectively. Experimental measurements place these values in the range 20–40 ms [4]. The STDP induction

term then combines Long-Term Potentiation (LTP) and Long-Term Depression (LTD):

$$S(t) = \underbrace{A_+(w) x_{\text{pre}}(t) \rho_{\text{post}}(t)}_{\text{LTP}} - \underbrace{A_-(w) y_{\text{post}}(t) \rho_{\text{pre}}(t)}_{\text{LTD}}, \quad (9)$$

with  $A_+(w)$  and  $A_-(w)$  as defined in (5).

### 2.3 Global Dynamics: Neuromodulated Update

The weight evolves under the product of the eligibility trace and a global neuromodulatory signal  $M(t)$ :

$$\frac{dw(t)}{dt} = M(t) E(t). \quad (10)$$

To construct  $M(t)$ , we first define smooth estimates of the instantaneous firing rates by low-pass filtering the spike trains with a rate time constant  $\tau_r$ :

$$\tau_r \frac{dr_{\text{pre}}(t)}{dt} = -r_{\text{pre}}(t) + \rho_{\text{pre}}(t), \quad (11)$$

$$\tau_r \frac{dr_{\text{post}}(t)}{dt} = -r_{\text{post}}(t) + \rho_{\text{post}}(t). \quad (12)$$

Unlike a rectangular sliding-window estimator, these exponentially weighted averages are smooth functions of time and consistent in form with the other filtered quantities in the model.

As a simplified stand-in for a more general objective, we define the instantaneous reward as a penalty on the deviation of the postsynaptic rate from half the presynaptic rate:

$$R(t) = -\left(r_{\text{post}}(t) - \frac{1}{2} r_{\text{pre}}(t)\right)^2. \quad (13)$$

The modulation signal  $M(t)$  is then a Reward Prediction Error (RPE), computed as the difference between  $R(t)$  and a slowly adapting baseline  $\bar{R}(t)$  [3]:

$$M(t) = R(t) - \bar{R}(t), \quad (14)$$

where  $\bar{R}(t)$  tracks the running average of the reward:

$$\tau_{\bar{R}} \frac{d\bar{R}(t)}{dt} = -\bar{R}(t) + R(t). \quad (15)$$

The baseline enables bidirectional regulation: performance better than expected yields  $M > 0$  (reinforcing the current eligibility trace), while performance worse than expected yields  $M < 0$  (weakening it).

### 2.4 Summary of Symbols

### 2.5 Parameter Values

## References

- [1] Wulfram Gerstner et al. *Neuronal Dynamics: From Single Neurons to Networks and Models of Cognition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014.

Table 1: Summary of notation.

Symbol	Description	Equation
$V(t)$	Postsynaptic membrane potential	(1)
$E_L$	Resting (leak) potential	(1)
$\theta$	Spike threshold	(2)
$V_{\text{reset}}$	Reset potential after spike	§1.2
$\rho_{\text{pre}}(t), \rho_{\text{post}}(t)$	Spike trains (sums of Dirac deltas)	(3)
$\alpha(t)$	Postsynaptic current kernel	(4)
$I_{\text{syn}}(t)$	Total synaptic current	(4)
$I_{\text{ext}}(t)$	External / noise current	(1)
$w$	Synaptic weight	(10)
$A_+(w), A_-(w)$	Weight-dependent LTP/LTD amplitudes	(5)
$x_{\text{pre}}(t)$	Presynaptic spike-history trace	(7)
$y_{\text{post}}(t)$	Postsynaptic spike-history trace	(8)
$S(t)$	STDP induction term	(9)
$E(t)$	Eligibility trace	(6)
$r_{\text{pre}}(t), r_{\text{post}}(t)$	Exponentially filtered firing rates	(11)–(12)
$R(t)$	Instantaneous reward signal	(13)
$\bar{R}(t)$	Reward baseline (running average)	(15)
$M(t)$	Neuromodulatory signal (RPE)	(14)

- [2] Peter Dayan and L. F. Abbott. *Theoretical Neuroscience: Computational and Mathematical Modeling of Neural Systems*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2001. ISBN: 0-262-04199-5.
- [3] Nicolas Frémaux and Wulfram Gerstner. “Neuromodulated Spike-Timing-Dependent Plasticity, and Theory of Three-Factor Learning Rules”. In: *Frontiers in Neural Circuits* 9 (2015), p. 85. DOI: [10.3389/fncir.2015.00085](https://doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2015.00085).
- [4] Guo-qiang Bi and Mu-ming Poo. “Synaptic Modifications in Cultured Hippocampal Neurons: Dependence on Spike Timing, Synaptic Strength, and Postsynaptic Cell Type”. In: *The Journal of Neuroscience* 18.24 (1998), pp. 10464–10472.
- [5] Alain Destexhe, Zachary F Mainen, and Terrence J Sejnowski. “Kinetic Models of Synaptic Transmission”. In: *Methods in Neuronal Modeling*. Ed. by Christof Koch and Idan Segev. 2nd ed. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1998, pp. 1–25.

Table 2: Model parameters and representative values. Ranges are drawn from the cited experimental and modeling literature.

Parameter	Description	Typical value	Source
$\tau_m$	Membrane time constant	10–20 ms	[2]
$R_m$	Membrane resistance	10–100 MΩ	[2]
$C_m$	Membrane capacitance	$\tau_m/R_m$	—
$E_L$	Resting potential	−70 mV	[2]
$\theta$	Spike threshold	−55 mV	[2]
$V_{\text{reset}}$	Reset potential	−70 mV	[2]
$\tau_{\text{ref}}$	Absolute refractory period	2–5 ms	[1]
$\tau_s$	Synaptic time constant (PSC)	2–10 ms	[5]
$w_{\max}$	Maximum synaptic weight	model-dependent	—
$\eta_+$	LTP learning rate	model-dependent	—
$\eta_-$	LTD learning rate	model-dependent	—
$\tau_+$	Potentiation window width	20–40 ms	[4]
$\tau_-$	Depression window width	20–40 ms	[4]
$\tau_e$	Eligibility trace decay	0.1–1.0 s	[1]
$\tau_r$	Rate-estimation time constant	50–200 ms	—
$\tau_{\bar{R}}$	Reward baseline time constant	1–10 s	[3]