

# **19. RC of Simple Nets**

# Recap

- Our goal is to prove **generalization bounds**

- With probability at least  $1 - \delta$ , we have (roughly)

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (R(f) - \hat{R}(f)) \leq 2 \cdot \mathbb{E} \mathfrak{R}(\ell_{\mathcal{F}}(Z^n)) + \sqrt{\frac{\log(2/\delta)}{2n}}$$

- Here, the Rademacher complexity is:

$$\mathfrak{R}(V) := \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}_{\vec{\varepsilon}} \sup_{v \in V} \langle \vec{\varepsilon}, v \rangle, \quad \varepsilon_i \sim \text{Unif}(\{\pm 1\})$$

$$\ell_{\mathcal{F}}(Z^n) = \left\{ \left( \ell_f(Z_1), \dots, \ell_f(Z_n) \right), \mid f \in \mathcal{F} \right\}$$

# Today

- Give elementary generalization bounds for neural networks
  - That is, want to upper bound:

$$\mathbb{E}\mathfrak{R}(\ell_{\mathcal{F}}(Z^n))$$

- for the cases:

$$\ell_f(z; w) = \ell(y, f(x; W_{1:d}))$$

$$f(x; W_{1:L}) = W_L \circ \sigma \circ W_{L-1} \circ \dots \circ \sigma \circ W_1 x$$

- As the first step, we'll look at the **linear model**

$$f(x; w) = w^\top x$$

# Logistic regression

- Consider a logistic regression with bounded weights & data
  - Bounded data

$$\|X\|_2 \leq M, \quad Y \in \{+1, -1\}$$

- Logistic loss
  - Logistic loss

$$\ell(y, f(x)) = \log(1 + \exp(-y \cdot f(x)))$$

- Bounded function space
  - Bounded function space

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ x \mapsto w^\top x \mid w \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \|w\|_2 \leq B \right\}$$

# Logistic regression

- First, we claim that we can “peel off” the loss function

**Lemma.**

$$\mathfrak{R}(\ell_{\mathcal{F}}(Z^n)) \leq \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{F}(X^n))$$

- **Proof idea.** Recall the “contraction principle”

- Let  $V$  be a bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $\phi_i(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an  $M$ -Lipschitz function. Then,

$$\mathfrak{R}(\phi \circ V) \leq M \cdot \mathfrak{R}(V)$$

- Show that for  $y \in \{+1, -1\}$ , the following function is 1-Lipschitz

$$\phi(a) = \log(1 + \exp(-y \cdot a))$$

# Logistic regression

- Now, our target of analysis is:

$$\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{F}(x^n)) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \sup_{\|w\|_2 \leq B} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \cdot w^\top x_i \right)$$

- This is usually a headache:

- We expect something that behaves  $\sim 1/\sqrt{n}$
- That is, we expect

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{\|w\|_2 \leq B} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \cdot w^\top x_i \right) \sim \sqrt{n}$$

- Naïve approaches, e.g., Cauchy-Schwarz, is doomed.

# Logistic regression

- In fact, we have the following bound.

**Proposition.**

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{\|w\|_2 \leq B} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \cdot w^\top x_i \right) \leq B \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2}$$

- Not a bound that involves the number of parameters!
- Tight
  - consult Khinchine's inequality

# Proof sketch

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{\|w\|_2 \leq B} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \cdot w^\top x_i \right) \leq B \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2}$$

- First, remove supremum:

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{\|w\|_2 \leq B} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \cdot w^\top x_i \right) = B \cdot \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \cdot x_i \right\|$$

- Then, apply the Jensen's inequality

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \cdot x_i \right\| \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \cdot x_i \right\|^2}$$

- Analyze the cross terms, and confirm they are zero.

# Logistic regression

- As a corollary, we have:

**Corollary.**

$$\mathbb{E}\mathfrak{R}(\ell_{\mathcal{F}}(Z^n)) \leq \frac{B \cdot \sqrt{\text{Var}(X)}}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{BM}{\sqrt{n}}$$

- Thus, we have a generalization bound of order  $1/\sqrt{n}$
- If we train a lot, then  $B$  can be large:
  - Longer training  $\rightarrow$  Can overfit

# Logistic regression – a variant

- Suppose that we have a 1-norm constraint on the weights.

**Proposition.**

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{\|w\|_1 \leq B} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \cdot w^\top x_i \right) \leq B \cdot \max_i \|x_i\|_\infty \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\log 2d}{n}}$$

- **Proof idea.** Try yourself ;)



# Two-layer net

- Consider a slightly different version: **Regression with two-layer net**
  - Bounded data

$$\|x\|_2 \leq 1, \quad |y| \leq 1$$

- Squared loss

$$\ell(y, f(x)) = (y - f(x))^2$$

- Bounded function space

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ x \mapsto w^\top \sigma(Ux) \mid w \in \mathbb{R}^m, U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d} \quad \|w\|_2 \leq B_w, \|u_j\|_2 \leq B_u \forall j \in [m] \right\}$$

# Two-layer net

- Similarly, begin by peeling off the loss function

**Lemma.**

$$\mathfrak{R}(\ell_{\mathcal{F}}(Z^n)) \leq 4 \cdot \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{F}(X^n))$$

- **Proof idea.** Again, inspect the Lipschitz constant of  $a \mapsto \|y - a\|^2$

# Two-layer net

- Now, we can show the following bound

**Proposition.**

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \cdot f(x_i) \right) \leq 2B_w B_u \sqrt{mn}$$

- Unfortunately, we have  $\sqrt{m}$ 
  - Dependent on the number of hidden layer neurons

# Proof sketch

- Begin by peeling off the second layer

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \cdot f(x_i) \right) &= \mathbb{E} \sup_{\|w\|_2 \leq B_w} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \cdot w^\top g(x_i) \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \sup_{\|w\|_2 \leq B_w} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} w^\top \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \cdot g(x_i) \right) \\ &= B_w \cdot \mathbb{E} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \cdot g(x_i) \right\|_2 \\ &\leq B_w \sqrt{m} \cdot \mathbb{E} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \cdot g(x_i) \right\|_\infty \\ &= B_w \sqrt{m} \cdot \mathbb{E} \sup_{U: \|u_j\|_2 \leq B_u} \max_{j \in [m]} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \cdot \sigma(u_j^\top x_i) \right|\end{aligned}$$

# Proof sketch

$$\begin{aligned} B_w \sqrt{m} \cdot \mathbb{E} \sup_{U: \|u_j\|_2 \leq B_u, j \in [m]} \max \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \cdot \sigma(u_j^\top x_i) \right| &= B_w \sqrt{m} \cdot \mathbb{E} \sup_{\|u\|_2 \leq B_u} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \cdot \sigma(u^\top x_i) \right| \\ &\leq 2 \cdot B_w \sqrt{m} \cdot \mathbb{E} \sup_{\|u\|_2 \leq B_u} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \cdot \sigma(u^\top x_i) \\ &\leq 2 \cdot B_w \sqrt{m} \cdot \mathbb{E} \sup_{\|u\|_2 \leq B_u} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \cdot u^\top x_i \\ &\leq 2 \cdot B_w B_u \sqrt{mn} \end{aligned}$$

# Remarks

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \cdot f(x_i) \right) \leq 2B_w B_u \sqrt{mn}$$

- The factor  $\sqrt{m}$  came from  $\|\cdot\|_2 \rightarrow \|\cdot\|_\infty$ , and then coming back to  $\|\cdot\|_2$
- Thus, for depth-L nets, we will have the dependency:  $(2\sqrt{\text{width}})^{\text{depth}}$ 
  - But is this true?

# Depth-independent bound

## Theorem 14.2.

Consider a ReLU net of form

$$x \mapsto \sigma(W_L \sigma(W_{L-1} \cdots \sigma(W_1 x) \cdots)), \quad \|W_i\|_F \leq B$$

Then, we have

$$\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{F}(Z^n)) \leq B^L \|X\|_F (1 + \sqrt{2L \log(2)})$$

- Sadly, won't prove today
- **Proof idea.** Use the log-exponential trick

$$\mathbb{E} \sup = \mathbb{E} \log \exp \sup \leq \log \mathbb{E} \exp \sup$$

- Handle everything inside the log

# Next up

- Covering number bounds