## IAC801. Homework, Due: April 17, 7pm

## April 8, 2020

This is the first problem set. Also please submit weekly Stata assignment along with answers for this problems if you haven't submitted them yet.

**Qestion 1.** Simple regression model (15 points, each 1 point unless indicated otherwise)

This question is designed to use a simple regression model. Consider the following population model:

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + u \tag{1}$$

where, in a most typical example of economic applications, y is outcome of interest, x is the main factor that affected by a policy variable, and u includes all other relevant factors in the determination of y. Thus, mathematical relationship between outcome and policy is approximated by the model of equation (1).

- (1) Propose your own research question that could be potentially studied by using equation (1). Also write your research question as a hypothesis form. [Note: If you have your own dataset, please estimate your model using a simple regression model.]
- (2) List potential values of y and x could take in practice. Imagine you collect data on y and x. Identify your target population and propose a realistic sample you can have an access.
- (3) Suppose that you estimate the population model of (1) using the sample at hand and OLS estimation method.
- (4) Interpret the results. Interpret  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  in your model.
- (5) List some potential factors that could be in u.
- (6) Can you trust  $\hat{\beta}_1$  estimate you obtained from OLS estimation (e.g., reg y x in Stata)? Justify your answer? What are four assumptions to justify your result is valid.
  - . reg y x

	SS				Number of obs	
Model   Residual	6628.96683 8417.89056	1 66 248 33	28.96683 3.9431071		Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squared	= 0.0000 = 0.4406
The second se	15046.8574				Root MSE	
у	Coef.				[95% Conf.	Interval]
x	1.78483 3.046159	.1277173	13.97	0.000	1.533281	

- (7) The above table shows an example of estimation results from simple regression model. Graphically describe the regression result.
- (8) Describe about std. Err. of  $\hat{\beta}_1$  and sampling distribution of  $\hat{\beta}_1$  in your context in question (1) and (2). (2 points)
- (9) Also provide a theorem of Central Limit Theorem (CLT) on a random variable x. And extend this CLT result to  $\hat{\beta}_1$ . (2 points)
- (10) Interpret the *R*-squared value in the above table.
- (11) Interpret the *p*-value for coefficient on \_cons.
- (12) Explain 95% conf. interval of  $\hat{\beta}_1$ .
- (13) Identify the elements used in the construction of *t*-statistic testing the null hypothesis.

## **Qestion 2.** OLS estimator properties (15 points, each 1 point unless indicated otherwise)

- (1) What is an estimator? What is the difference between parameters and estimates?
- (2) What are the two criteria we use to **evaluate** the properties of a certain estimator?
- (3) Provide conditions for the OLS estimator to be unbiased.
- (4) Which assumption is the most critical one in practice? Also provide definition for correlation and causal relation. Why distinction between these two are important?
- (5) Identify the potential **sources** of violation of assumption that discussed in (4).
- . reg lwage educ

Source		df			Number of obs	
· ·	43.4598948 219.334	1 43	.4598948 89741084		Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squared	= 0.0000 = 0.1654
Total	262.793895				Root MSE	
lwage		Std. Err	. t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
educ		.0081073 .1090192				.1152084 1.356195

- (6) What is the return of education estimated in the Table?
- (7) Find the predicted lwage for high school graduate. Also find the predicted lwage for collage graduate. Find the average return of having college education.
- (8) How reliable the estimate you calculated in (7)? Why? There could be multiple answers here. Justify your answer as much as you can. (4 points)
- (9) Interpret the R-squared value.
- (10) What is the condition, besides those conditions in (3), for the precision of  $\hat{\beta}_1$  estimator? Is this condition reasonable? Graphically describe this condition with a figure. (2 points)
- (11) Why do you take log for dependent variable.

**Qestion 3.** Motivation for multiple regression (15 points, each 1 point unless indicated otherwise)

Consider an extension of the log(wage) equation we used for simple regression:

$$log(wage) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 educ + \beta_2 IQ + u$$

where IQ is IQ score (in the population, it has a mean of 100 and sd 15).

- (1) Why do we want to include *IQ*?
- (2) Interpret  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ , and  $\beta_2$ .
- (3) Provide conditions for the OLS estimator for  $\beta_1$  to be unbiased.
- (4) What are/is the key assumption for the OLS estimator for  $\beta_1$  in practice?
- (5) Provide definition for ceteris paribus condition.
- (6) Also interpret the following equation. E(u|educ,IQ) = 0. (2 points)
- (7) In the estimation and interpretation of  $\hat{\beta}_1$ , using ceteris paribus condition interpret the slope coefficients. Justify you answer using the formula in the lecture. (3 points)
- (8) True or False: The beauty of multiple regression is that it gives us the ceteris paribus interpretation without having to find two people with the same value of IQ who differ in education by one year. Justify your answer.
- (9) The relationship between Simple and Multiple Regression Estimates:

$$\tilde{y} = \tilde{\beta}_0 + \tilde{\beta}_1 x_1 \tag{2}$$

$$\hat{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 x_2 \tag{3}$$

where a tilda denote the simple regression and hat denotes multiple regression. Both models estimate parameters using the same n observations. Show that  $\tilde{\beta}_1 = \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 \tilde{\delta}_1$  where  $\tilde{\delta}_1$  be the slope from the regression  $x_2$  on  $x_1$ .

- (10) Use the regression result (reg lwage educ) table above and the following result, identify all elements of  $\tilde{\beta}_1 = \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 \tilde{\delta}_1$  where  $x_1 = educ$  and  $x_2 = IQ$ .
- (11) Verbally explain what these terms in the equation  $\tilde{\beta}_1 = \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 \tilde{\delta}_1$  imply.
- (12) In your research question in 1-(1), discuss the same problem could arise in your context. (Note: For the rest of semester, one of our main tasks are to learn variety of methods to address this particular problem properly.)
- . reg lwage educ IQ

Source Model Residual Total	49.7567642 212.99188	2 756	24.8	783821 735291 		Number of obs F( 2, 756) Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squared Root MSE	= = = =	88.30 0.0000 0.1894 0.1872
lwage	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	In	terval]
educ   IQ   _cons	.0076329	.0097 .0016 .1386	143	7.46 4.73 5.26	0.000	.0536763 .0044639 .4563446		0919892 0108019 1.00058

. corr educ IQ (obs=759)

		IQ
	1.0000	
IQ	0.5734	1.0000

## **Qestion 4.** Application in International Trade (15 points)

In this question, we study the effect of exporting (x) on firms' productivity(y) using the paper titled as "Exporting and Firm Performance: A Randomized Experiment", Quarterly Journal of Economics, Volume 132, Issue 2, May 2017, Pages 551–615.

(1) What is two central challenges to identify the causal effect of exporting on firm performance? Explain as much details as possible. (3 points)

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 y_{initial} + u$$

where x is 1 is an Indian firm is **randomly** provided with an opportunity to export their rug to US/Europe and 0 if an Indian firm is not provided with an opportunity; y is firm's productivity measure before export opportunity becoming available,  $y_{initial}$ .

- (2) What is the condition we have to impose to justify OLS estimation result? (2 points)
- (2) What is implementation method? Describe implementation process in Stata. (2 points)
- (3) Describe ceteris paribus condition and interpret  $\beta_1$  using this condition. (3 points)
- (4) Interpret the following result table that estimating the above equation as much as you can. (5 points)
- a. Interpret coefficient on indicator for ever exported.
- b. Interpret the R-squared value.
- c. Perform a hypohesis test.
- d. What is the t-value?
- e. Provide 95% confidence interval for the coefficient on "indicator for ever exported"

IMPACT OF INTERVENTION ON FIRMS KNOWINGLY EXPORTING

	(1)	
	ITT	
Indicator for ever exported	0.55***	
	(0.06)	
R-squared	0.33	
Control mean	0.20	
Observations	191	