

# A different calculation scheme for probability-dependent interaction calculation

James Dama

January 2, 2016

Assume that one has a potential that can be written in the following form:

$$U = U(\{\mathbf{r}_i\}) = U(\{p_i(\{\mathbf{r}_j\})\}, \{\mathbf{r}_i\}) \quad (1)$$

where  $\{p_i\}$  is a set of per-particle probabilities of occupying a given state. In our case, the probabilities are a function of the local neighborhood and can be calculated using a normal pair neighbor list.

Then, the forces due to this can be calculated all at once, i.e.,

$$\nabla U = \nabla U(\{\mathbf{r}_i\}) = \nabla U(\{p_i(\{\mathbf{r}_j\})\}, \{\mathbf{r}_i\}) \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} = & - \left( \sum_{\alpha} (\nabla_i p_{s_i, \alpha}) \cdot (\mu_{\alpha} - kT \ln p_{s_i, \alpha}) + \sum_{j \in N(i)} \sum_{\alpha} \nabla_i p_{s_j, \alpha} \cdot (\mu_{\alpha} - kT \ln p_{s_j, \alpha}) \right) \quad (3) \\ & - \left( \sum_{j \in N(i)} \sum_{\alpha \beta} p_{s_i, \alpha} p_{s_j, \beta} \cdot \nabla_i u_{\alpha \beta} \right) \\ & - \left( \left\{ \sum_{j \in N(i)} \sum_{\alpha \beta} (\nabla_i p_{s_i, \alpha} p_{s_j, \beta} + p_{s_i, \alpha} \nabla_i p_{s_j, \beta}) \cdot u_{\alpha \beta} \right\} \right. \\ & \quad + \left\{ \left( \sum_{\substack{j \notin N(i) \\ k \in N(i)}} \sum_{\alpha \beta} (p_{s_j, \alpha} \nabla_i p_{s_k, \beta}) + \sum_{\substack{j \in N(i) \\ k \notin N(i)}} \sum_{\alpha \beta} (\nabla_i p_{s_j, \alpha} p_{s_k, \beta}) + \sum_{\substack{j \in N(i) \\ k \in N(i)}} \sum_{\alpha \beta} (p_{s_j, \alpha} \nabla_i p_{s_k, \beta} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \nabla_i p_{s_j, \alpha} p_{s_k, \beta}) \right) \cdot u_{\alpha \beta} \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and in our special case this has four subforce terms that are very common.

But it can also be done in a few-step procedure, like so:

$$\nabla U(\{p_i(\{\mathbf{r}_j\})\}, \{\mathbf{r}_i\}) = \nabla U(\{p_i(\{\mathbf{r}_j\})\}, \{\mathbf{r}_i\})|_{\{p_i(\{\mathbf{r}_j\})\}} + \sum_i \frac{dU(\{p_i(\{\mathbf{r}_j\})\}, \{\mathbf{r}_i\})}{dp_i}|_{\{\mathbf{r}_j\}} \nabla p_i(\{\mathbf{r}_j\}) \quad (4)$$

The advantage of this is that it is very simple to program in an extensible manner. First, calculate the  $\{p_i(\{\mathbf{r}_j\})\}$  in one neighbor loop. Then, calculate  $\nabla U(\{p_i(\{\mathbf{r}_j\})\}, \{\mathbf{r}_i\})|_{\{p_i(\{\mathbf{r}_j\})\}}$  and  $\sum_i \frac{dU(\{p_i(\{\mathbf{r}_j\})\}, \{\mathbf{r}_i\})}{dp_i}|_{\{\mathbf{r}_j\}}$  in a second neighbor loop. Finally, calculate  $\{\nabla p_i(\{\mathbf{r}_j\})\}$  in a third neighbor loop.