

STA 532 - hw6 sample solution

Sheng Jiang (sj156@duke.edu)

$$1. S_1^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\gamma_i - \bar{\gamma})^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\gamma_i - \mu + \mu - \bar{\gamma})^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [\gamma_i - \mu]^2 + (\mu - \bar{\gamma})^2 + 2(\gamma_i - \mu)(\mu - \bar{\gamma}) \\ = S_0^2 + (\mu - \bar{\gamma})^2$$

$$S_n^2 = \frac{n}{n-1} S_1^2$$

As $\{\gamma_i\}$ are iid with finite fourth moment, by wLLN,

$$S_0^2 \xrightarrow{P} E[(\gamma_i - \mu)^2] = \sigma^2, \text{ and } \bar{\gamma} \xrightarrow{P} E[\gamma_i] = \mu.$$

By Slutsky thm and cts mapping thm, $S_n^2 \xrightarrow{P} \sigma^2$, which is consistency.

Under the same assumptions, by CLT, $\sqrt{n}(S_n^2 - \sigma^2) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V_0)$, where variance

$$V_0 := V((\gamma_i - \mu)^2) = E[(\gamma_i - \mu)^4] - [E(\gamma_i - \mu)^2]^2 < \infty, \text{ a known constant depending on } P.$$

$$\sqrt{n}(S_n^2 - \sigma^2) = \sqrt{n}(S_n^2 - \frac{n}{n-1}\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{n-1}\sigma^2) = \sqrt{n}(\frac{n}{n-1}(S_1^2 - \sigma^2) + \frac{1}{n-1}\sigma^2) \\ = \sqrt{n}(\frac{n}{n-1}(S_0^2 - \sigma^2) - \frac{n}{n-1}(\mu - \bar{\gamma})^2 + \frac{1}{n-1}\sigma^2)$$

By Slutsky thm, $\sqrt{n} \frac{n}{n-1}(S_0^2 - \sigma^2) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V_0)$

For the second term, $\sqrt{n} \frac{n}{n-1}(\mu - \bar{\gamma})^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{n}{n-1}(\sqrt{n}(\bar{\gamma} - \mu))^2$.

Then by cts mapping and Slutsky thm, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{n}{n-1}(\sqrt{n}(\bar{\gamma} - \mu))^2 \xrightarrow{P} 0$.

For the third term, $\sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n-1}\sigma^2 \rightarrow 0$ is real number sequence convergence.

Combining the above, by Slutsky thm, $\sqrt{n}(S_n^2 - \sigma^2) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V_0)$, which is Asym. Normality.

$$2. (a). E(\hat{\theta}) = E(\bar{w}) = E(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i / x_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(\gamma_i) / x_i = \theta$$

$$V(\hat{\theta}) = V(\bar{w}) = V(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i / x_i) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n V(\gamma_i) / x_i^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i \neq j} \text{cov}(\frac{\gamma_i}{x_i}, \frac{\gamma_j}{x_j}) \\ = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i^2 \sigma^2$$

$$(b). L(\theta, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n} \sigma^n} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\gamma_i - \theta x_i)^2 / \sigma^2} \propto \frac{1}{\sigma^n} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{-2} [(\sum x_i^2)(\theta - (\sum x_i^2)^{-1} \sum x_i \gamma_i)^2 + \sum \gamma_i^2 - (\sum x_i^2)^2 (\sum x_i \gamma_i)^2]}$$

$$\max_{\theta, \sigma^2} \log L(\theta, \sigma^2) = \max_{\theta, \sigma^2} \left\{ -\frac{n}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{-2} [(\sum x_i^2)(\theta - (\sum x_i^2)^{-1} \sum x_i \gamma_i)^2 + \sum \gamma_i^2 - (\sum x_i^2)^2 (\sum x_i \gamma_i)^2] \right\}$$

regardless $\hat{\sigma}_{MLE}^2$, MLE $\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = (\sum x_i^2)^{-1} \sum x_i \gamma_i$:

$$E[\hat{\theta}_{MLE}] = (\sum x_i^2)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i E(x_i) = \theta$$

$$V(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) = (\sum x_i^2)^{-2} V(\sum x_i Y_i) = (\sum x_i^2)^{-2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \sigma^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \text{cov}(x_i Y_i, x_j Y_j) \right]$$

$$= (\sum x_i^2)^{-1} \sigma^2$$

(c) for $\hat{\theta}$, its MSE is $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \sigma^2$

For $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$, its MSE is $(\sum x_i^2)^{-1} \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2)^{-1} \sigma^2$

By Jensen's inequality, $\frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i^2)^{-1} \geq (\frac{1}{n} \sum x_i^2)^{-1}$, where " \geq " holds when x_i 's are identical.

MLE is weakly better in all cases and strictly better unless x_i 's are identical.

(d) $V(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) \cdot n \xrightarrow{P} (E x_i^2)^{-1} \sigma^2 = (\mu_x^2 + \sigma_x^2)^{-1} \sigma^2$

To minimize the estimation error, better to choose large $|\mu_x|$ and σ_x^2 .

3. cov. We follow the convention $0 \cdot \log 0 = 0$.

i. Binomial : $Y \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$

$$L(p) = \binom{n}{Y} p^Y (1-p)^{n-Y} = e^{Y \cdot \log(p/(1-p))} + n \log(1-p)$$

$$C(Y) = \binom{n}{Y}, \quad \theta = \log \frac{p}{1-p}, \quad t(Y) = Y, \quad A(\theta) = n \log(1-p) = n \log \frac{e^\theta}{1+e^\theta}$$

ii. Poisson : $Y \sim \text{Pois}(\mu)$

$$L(\mu) = e^{-\mu} \cdot \frac{\mu^Y}{Y!} = (Y!)^{-1} e^{Y \cdot \log(\mu) - \mu}$$

$$C(Y) = (Y!)^{-1}, \quad \theta = \log \mu, \quad t(Y) = Y, \quad A(\theta) = \mu = e^\theta$$

iii. Normal : $Y \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

$$L(\mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(Y-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{Y^2 - 2Y\mu + \mu^2}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu^2}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2} \log \sigma^2}$$

$$C(Y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \quad \theta = [\sigma^2 \quad \mu \sigma^2]^T, \quad t(Y) = [-\frac{1}{2} Y^2 \quad Y]^T, \quad A(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 \sigma^{-2} + \frac{1}{2} \log \sigma^2$$

iv. Beta : $Y \sim \text{Beta}(a, b)$

$$L(a, b) = \frac{1}{B(a, b)} Y^{a-1} (1-Y)^{b-1} = Y^a (1-Y)^b e^{a \log Y + b \log(1-Y) - \log B(a, b)}$$

$$C(Y) = (Y(1-Y))^{-1}, \quad \theta = [a \quad b]^T, \quad t(Y) = [\log Y \quad \log(1-Y)]^T, \quad A(\theta) = \log B(a, b)$$

$$(b) n\text{-sample log-likelihood } \ell(\theta) = \log \prod_{i=1}^n L(\theta) = \theta^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t(Y_i) \right) - n A(\theta) + \sum_{i=1}^n \log c(Y_i)$$

$$= n (\theta^T \bar{t}(Y)) - n A(\theta) + \sum_{i=1}^n \log c(Y_i), \text{ where } \bar{t}(Y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n t(Y_i)$$

(c). Set $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ell_n(\theta) = 0$, then it's equivalent to $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} A(\theta) = \bar{t}_n(Y)$.
MLE is the solution to the equation " $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} A(\theta) = \bar{t}_n(Y)$ ".

$$(d) \int \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} p(y|\theta) \right] dy = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[\int p(y|\theta) dy \right] = 0.$$

then, by chain rule, $\int p(y|\theta) (t(y) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} A(\theta)) dy = 0$, that is, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} A(\theta) = E[t(Y)]$

$\bar{t}_n(Y)$ is the sample analogue of $E[t(Y)]$.

By LLN, when sample size n is sufficiently large, $E[t(Y)] \approx \bar{t}_n(Y)$.

If $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} A(\theta)$ is cts, solving " $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} A(\theta) = \bar{t}_n(Y)$ " gives similar solution(s) to solving
" $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} A(\theta) = E[t(Y)]$ ", in probability.

$$4. (a). L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{Y_i} (1-\theta)^{1-Y_i} = \theta^{\sum Y_i} (1-\theta)^{n-\sum Y_i}$$

$$\text{Set } \frac{d}{d\theta} \log L(\theta) = 0, \text{ i.e., } \frac{d}{d\theta} \left(\sum Y_i \log \theta + (n-\sum Y_i) \log (1-\theta) \right) = 0,$$

$$\text{the solution is } \hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum Y_i.$$

By checking the second order condition, we know the solution is unique.

(b). By invariance of MLE, $\hat{\psi}_{MLE}$ satisfies " $\frac{e^{\hat{\psi}_{MLE}}}{1+e^{\hat{\psi}_{MLE}}} = \hat{\theta}_{MLE}$ ".

$$\text{then } \hat{\psi}_{MLE} = \log \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum Y_i}{1 - \frac{1}{n} \sum Y_i} \right) = \log \left(\frac{\sum Y_i}{n - \sum Y_i} \right)$$

5. Suppose $\exists \theta_0 \in \Theta$, s.t. $f_{\theta_0}(y) \geq f_\theta(y)$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$, and $f_{\theta_0}(y) > f_\theta(y)$ for some $\theta \in \Theta$.

The MLE based on P_i is denoted as $\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_\theta f_\theta(y)$. Note the solution can be not unique.

With the mapping $h: \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f_\theta(y) = f_{h(\theta)}(y) = g_\theta(y)$.

Therefore, $\hat{\psi} = \arg \max_\psi g_\psi(y) = \arg \max_\psi f_{h(\psi)}(y)$ with $h(\hat{\psi}) = \hat{\theta}$.

We prove the claim by contradiction. Now suppose $h(\hat{\psi}) \neq \hat{\theta}$.

Pick $\psi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $h(\psi_0) \in \hat{\theta}$ and $h(\psi_0) \notin h(\hat{\psi})$

Since $\hat{\psi}$ solves $\max_y g_\psi(y) = \max_y f_{h(\psi)}(y)$, and ψ_0 is not among the solutions,

then $g_{\hat{\theta}}(y) = f_{h(\hat{\theta})}(y) > g_{\theta_0}(y) = f_{h(\theta_0)}(y)$.

On the other hand, $h(\psi_0) \in \hat{\Theta}$ solves $\max_{\theta} f_{\theta}(y)$ and $h(\hat{\psi})$ is not among the solutions,

then $f_{h(\psi_0)}(y) > f_{h(\hat{\psi})}(y)$, which yields a contradiction.

The other case where $\psi_0 \in \Psi$ s.t. $h(\psi_0) \notin \hat{\Theta}$ and $h(\psi_0) \in h(\hat{\psi})$ follows similarly.

Therefore, the claim $h(\hat{\psi}) = \hat{\Theta}$ holds.

As h is 1-1, $\hat{\psi} = h^{-1}(\hat{\Theta})$.

If there does not exist $\theta_0 \in \Theta$ to maximize $f_{\theta}(y)$,

then we replace the MLE as $\hat{\theta} = \arg \sup_{\Theta \subseteq \Theta} f_{\theta}(y)$, that is, the solution is on the boundary of Θ , and $\exists \{\theta_n\} \subseteq \Theta$ s.t. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_n = \hat{\theta}$.

Then define $\psi_n \subseteq \Psi$ s.t. $h(\psi_n) = \theta_n$.

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_n$ is the MLE for $g_{\hat{\theta}}(y)$

Uniqueness of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_n$ can be proved by contradiction.