

STA 532 Homework7

Jae Hyun Lee, jl914

19 March, 2020

HW7 for STA-532

1.

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(Y_i) &= E(e^{X_i}) = \int e^{x_i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x_i-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx_i \\
 &= \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x_i^2-2x_i\mu-2\sigma^2x_i+\mu^2)}{2\sigma^2}} dx_i \\
 &= \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x_i-(\mu+\sigma^2))^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx_i \times e^{-\frac{\mu^2-(\mu+\sigma^2)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \\
 &= e^{-\frac{\sigma^4-2\sigma^2\mu}{2\sigma^2}} = e^{\sigma^2/2+\mu} = \phi \\
 E(Y_i^2) &= E(e^{2x_i}) = e^{-\frac{\mu^2-(\mu+2\sigma^2)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \\
 &= e^{-\frac{4\sigma^4-4\sigma^2\mu}{2\sigma^2}} = e^{2\sigma^2+2\mu} \\
 V(Y_i) &= E(Y_i^2) - E(Y_i)^2 = e^{2\sigma^2+2\mu} - e^{\sigma^2+2\mu} = e^{\sigma^2+2\mu}(e^{\sigma^2} - 1) \\
 E(\bar{Y}) &= \frac{\sum E(Y_i)}{n} = e^{\sigma^2/2+\mu} \\
 V(\bar{Y}) &= \frac{\sum V(Y_i)}{n^2} (\text{because of iid}) = \frac{e^{\sigma^2+2\mu}(e^{\sigma^2} - 1)}{n}
 \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(Y_i | \mu, \sigma^2) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma Y_i} \exp\left\{-\frac{(\log Y_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} \quad \text{by change of variable.} \\
 \phi &= e^{\sigma^2/2+\mu} \rightarrow \log \phi = \sigma^2/2 + \mu \rightarrow \mu = -\sigma^2/2 + \log \phi \quad \text{where } 0 < \phi < \infty \\
 P(Y_i | \phi, \sigma^2) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma Y_i} \exp\left\{-\frac{(\log Y_i - \log \phi)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} e^{-1/4} \\
 l(y, \phi, \sigma^2) &= c - \frac{n}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum (\log(Y_i/\phi))^2 \\
 \frac{d}{d\phi} l(y, \phi, \sigma^2) &= \frac{1}{\phi \sigma^2} \sum \log(Y_i/\phi) = 0 \rightarrow \log \phi = \frac{1}{n} \sum \log Y_i \rightarrow \hat{\phi}_{mle} = (\prod y_i)^{1/n}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla l(y, \phi, \sigma^2) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\phi\sigma^2} \sum \log(Y_i/\phi) \\ -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \sum (\log(Y_i/\phi))^2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum (\log(Y_i/\phi))^2 \\
\nabla^2 l(y, \phi, \sigma^2) &= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sigma^2\phi^2} \sum \log(Y_i) + \frac{n}{\phi^2\sigma^2} \log(\phi) - \frac{n}{\phi^2\sigma^2} & -\frac{1}{\sigma^4\phi} \sum \log(Y_i/\phi) \\ -\frac{1}{\sigma^4\phi} \sum \log(Y_i/\phi) & \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{1}{4\sigma^6} \sum (\log(Y_i/\phi))^2 \end{pmatrix} \\
I_n(\phi, \sigma^2) &= -E(\nabla^2 l(y, \phi, \sigma^2)) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n}{\phi^2\sigma^2} & 0 \\ 0 & V(\sigma^2) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow V(\hat{\phi}) = \phi^2\sigma^2/n \\
V(\bar{Y}) &= e^{\sigma^2+2\mu}(e^{\sigma^2} - 1)/n, V(\hat{\phi}) = e^{\sigma^2+2\mu}\sigma^2/n \\
&\rightarrow \text{compare } e^{\sigma^2} - 1 \text{ and } \sigma^2 \\
&\rightarrow \text{if } 0 < \sigma^2 < 1 \text{ } V(\bar{Y}) \text{ is smaller, o.w } V(\hat{\phi}) \text{ is smaller}
\end{aligned}$$

2.

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}
P(Y_i) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} b^a Y_i^{a-1} e^{-bY_i} \\
\text{Let } \theta &= (a, b) \\
l(\theta, y) &= -n \log \Gamma(a) + n \log(b) + (a-1) \sum \log Y_i - b \sum Y_i \\
\nabla l(\theta, y) &= \begin{pmatrix} -n \frac{d}{da} \log \Gamma(a) + n \log b + \sum \log Y_i \\ \frac{na}{b} - \sum Y_i \end{pmatrix} \\
&\rightarrow \hat{b}_{mle} = \frac{a}{\bar{Y}}, \hat{a}_{mle} = \text{solution of first equation.}
\end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^2 l(\theta, y) &= \begin{pmatrix} -n \frac{d^2}{da^2} \log \Gamma(a) & n/b \\ n/b & -\frac{na}{b^2} \end{pmatrix} \\
\rightarrow I_n(\theta) &= n \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d^2}{da^2} \log \Gamma(a) & -1/b \\ -1/b & \frac{a}{b^2} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\text{by using result of asymptotic distribution of MLE} \\
\begin{pmatrix} \hat{a}_{mle} \\ \hat{b}_{mle} \end{pmatrix} &\sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}, I_n^{-1}(\theta) \right) \\
\text{where } I_n^{-1}(\theta) &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_a^2 & \sigma_{ab} \\ \sigma_{ab} & \sigma_b^2 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mu}_{mle} &= \frac{\hat{a}_{mle} \sim N(a, \sigma_a^2)}{\hat{b}_{mle} \sim N(b, \sigma_b^2)} \\
&= \frac{a + \hat{a} \sim N(0, \sigma_a^2)}{b + \hat{b} \sim N(0, \sigma_b^2)} \\
&= \frac{a}{b} \times \frac{1 + \hat{a}/a}{1 + \hat{b}/b} \\
&\rightarrow \log(\hat{\mu}_{mle}) = \log(a/b) + \log(1 + \hat{a}/a) + \log(1 + \hat{b}/b) \\
&\text{since } \log(1 + \delta) = \delta - \delta^2/2 + \delta^3/3 \dots \\
&\log(\hat{\mu}_{mle}) = \log(a/b) + \hat{a}/a - \hat{b}/b \quad \text{asymptotically} \\
&\sim N(\log(a/b), \frac{\sigma_a^2}{a^2} + \frac{\sigma_b^2}{b^2}) \\
&\rightarrow \hat{\mu}_{mle} \sim \log N(\log(a/b), \frac{\sigma_a^2}{a^2} + \frac{\sigma_b^2}{b^2})
\end{aligned}$$

From result of previous question, we can find that

$$\begin{aligned}
V(\hat{\mu}_{mle}) &= \exp\left\{\frac{\sigma_a^2}{a^2} + \frac{\sigma_b^2}{b^2} + 2\log\mu\right\}(\exp\left\{\frac{\sigma_a^2}{a^2} + \frac{\sigma_b^2}{b^2}\right\} - 1) \\
V(\bar{Y}) &= \frac{a}{nb^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Since we cannot have closed form of Fisher information matrix, we cannot directly compare the variance of two estimators. But I expect $\text{var}(\hat{\mu}_{mle})$ to be smaller because it based on assumption about parametric space.

3.

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}
P(Y | \mu, \sigma^2) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(Y-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \\
\log P(Y | \mu, \sigma^2) &= C - \frac{1}{2}\log\sigma^2 - \frac{(Y-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \\
\text{and } E(Y) &= a/b \quad E(Y^2) = \frac{a^2 + a}{b^2} \\
E[\log P(Y | \mu, \sigma^2)] &= C - \frac{1}{2}\log\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}[E(Y^2) - 2E(Y)\mu + \mu^2] \\
&= C - \frac{1}{2}\log\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \times \frac{a^2 + a}{b^2} + \frac{a\mu}{\sigma^2 b} - \frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2} \\
\nabla E[\log P(Y | \mu, \sigma^2)] &= \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \left(\frac{a}{b} - \mu \right) \right) \\
&\rightarrow \hat{\mu} = a/b, \\
\hat{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{a^2 + a}{b^2} - \frac{2a}{b}\hat{\mu} + \hat{\mu}^2 = \frac{a^2 + a}{b^2} - \frac{2a^2}{b^2} + a^2/b^2 = \frac{a}{b^2}
\end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\nabla E[\log P(Y | \mu, \sigma^2)] = E[\nabla \log P(Y | \mu, \sigma^2)] = E(S(\mu, \sigma^2))$$

In class, we have shown that expectation of score function is zero at true parameter. It indicates that true parameter $\mu_0 = a/b, \sigma_0^2 = a/b^2$ as we have shown above. Also if we assume well separated maximum and uniformly convergence, MLE converges to true parameter in probability. Thus $\hat{\mu}_{mle} \rightarrow^p a/b, \hat{\sigma}_{mle}^2 \rightarrow^p a/b^2$.

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 E[\log P(Y | \mu, \sigma^2)] &= \begin{pmatrix} -1/\sigma^2 & \frac{1}{\sigma^4}(-\frac{a}{b} + \mu) \\ \frac{1}{\sigma^4}(-\frac{a}{b} + \mu) & \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{1}{\sigma^6}(\frac{a^2+a}{b^2} - \frac{2a}{b}\mu + \mu^2) \end{pmatrix} \\ \rightarrow I(\mu, \sigma^2) &= -\nabla^2 E[\log P(Y | \mu, \sigma^2)] \rightarrow I_n(\mu, \sigma^2) = -n \nabla^2 E[\log P(Y | \mu, \sigma^2)] \end{aligned}$$

By asymptotic normality of MLE and plug-in approach,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mu}_{mle} \\ \hat{\sigma}_{mle}^2 \end{pmatrix} &\sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 \\ \sigma_0^2 \end{pmatrix}, I_n(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2)^{-1} \right) \quad \text{where } \hat{\mu} = a/b, \hat{\sigma}^2 = a/b^2 \\ &\rightarrow \sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} a/b \\ a/b^2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a}{nb^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{a^2}{2nb^4} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &\rightarrow V(\hat{\mu}_{mle}) = \frac{a}{nb^2} \end{aligned}$$

We can find that $V(\hat{\mu}_{mle}) = V(\bar{Y})$ which expected to be larger than previous $V(\hat{\mu}_{mle})$. Thus $V(\hat{\mu}_{mle})$ based on normal model will be larger than $V(\hat{\mu}_{mle})$ based on gamma as in previous question.

(d)

According to findings at Q2 and Q3, this model misspecification shows that estimator from misspecified model has same variance of estimator based on nonparametric setup. In this case, misspecification effect seems not much severe. But if we misspecify model in other case, it might produce worse estimator than nonparametric inference. Therefore, in this example, I could find the importance of correct specification of model in parametric inference.

4.

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} f(\tilde{x} | \theta) &= \prod f(x_i | \theta) \\ \rightarrow l(\theta, \tilde{x}) &= \sum \log f(x_i | \theta) \\ f(y_i | \theta) &= f(g^{-1}(y_i | \theta)) \frac{d}{dy_i} g^{-1}(y_i) \\ \rightarrow l(\theta, \tilde{y}) &= \sum \log P(y_i | \theta) = \sum \log [f(g^{-1}(y_i | \theta)) \frac{d}{dy_i} g^{-1}(y_i)] \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\hat{\theta}_{mle} \text{ from } A = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \sum \log f(x_i | \theta)$$

$$\rightarrow s(\theta, \tilde{x}) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \sum \log f(x_i | \theta)$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{mle} \text{ from } B = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \sum \log[f(g^{-1}(y_i | \theta)) \frac{d}{dy_i} g^{-1}(y_i)]$$

$$\rightarrow s(\theta, \tilde{y}) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \sum \log[f(g^{-1}(y_i | \theta)) \frac{d}{dy_i} g^{-1}(y_i)]$$

$$\rightarrow s(\theta, \tilde{y}) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \sum \log[f(g^{-1}(y_i | \theta))] \quad \text{because } \frac{d}{d\theta} \log[\frac{d}{dy_i} g^{-1}(y_i)] = 0$$

$$\rightarrow s(\theta, \tilde{y}) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \sum \log[f(g^{-1}(y_i | \theta))] = \frac{d}{d\theta} \sum \log f(x_i | \theta) = s(\theta, \tilde{x})$$

Thus $\hat{\theta}_{mle}$ that makes score function zero is identical

$$I_n(\theta) \text{ from } A = -E[\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \sum \log f(x_i | \theta)] = -E[\frac{d}{d\theta} s(\theta, \tilde{x})]$$

$$I_n(\theta) \text{ from } B = -E[\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \sum \log[f(g^{-1}(y_i | \theta)) \frac{d}{dy_i} g^{-1}(y_i)]] = -E[\frac{d}{d\theta} s(\theta, \tilde{y})]$$

since score functions are identical above two Fisher information function will be identical too.

$\rightarrow V(\hat{\theta}_{mle})$ is same

5.

(a)

\bar{Y} is estimator of $\theta/2 \rightarrow \hat{\theta} = 2\bar{Y}$

$$P(y_i) = \frac{1}{\theta} I(0 < y_i < \theta)$$

$$\rightarrow E(y_i) = \theta/2, E(y_i^2) = \theta^2/3$$

$$\rightarrow V(y_i) = \theta^2/12, V(\bar{Y}) = \theta^2/12n \rightarrow V(\hat{\theta}) = \theta^2/3n$$

(b)

$$L(\theta, \tilde{y}) = 1/\theta^n \prod I(0 < y_i < \theta)$$

$$= 1/\theta^n I(0 < y_{(n)} < \theta) \quad \text{where } y_{(n)} \text{ is the largest sample}$$

$$\text{and } \hat{\theta}_{mle} = y_{(n)}$$

(c)

$$F_{y_{(n)}} = P(y_{(n)} \leq y) = \prod P(y_i \leq y) = F(y)^n$$

$$f_{y_{(n)}} = \frac{d}{dy} F(y)^n = nF(y)^{n-1} f(y) = n \frac{y^{n-1}}{\theta^n}$$

$$P(\hat{\theta}_{mle}) = n \frac{\hat{\theta}_{mle}^{n-1}}{\theta^n}$$

(d)

$$E(y_{(n)}) = \int_0^\theta ny \frac{y^{n-1}}{\theta^n} dy = \frac{n}{n+1} \theta$$

$$E(y_{(n)}^2) = \int_0^\theta ny^2 \frac{y^{n-1}}{\theta^n} dy = \frac{n}{n+2} \theta^2$$

$$V(y_{(n)}) = \frac{n}{n+2} \theta^2 - \frac{n^2}{(n+1)^2} \theta^2 = \frac{n\theta^2}{(n+2)(n+1)^2}$$

$$MSE = bias^2 + V(y_{(n)}) = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \theta^2 + \frac{n\theta^2}{(n+1)^2(n+2)} = \frac{\theta^2}{(n+1)(n+2)}$$

$\hat{\theta}$ from (a) is unbiased and $V(\hat{\theta}) = \theta^2/3n$. Thus compared to (a), MSE decrease much faster for $\hat{\theta}_{mle}$