

Mini-Project 3: Airport Scheduling

Duong Thanh Dat (A0119656), Ramon Bespinyowong (A0088687)

November 3, 2014

1 Overview

1.1 Introduction

Gate scheduling for flights is a key operation at airports and is an important problem. Due to globalization, more people are travelling around the world and hence more air transportations are used. Each aircraft is assigned to a terminal, called gates. To handle increasing in traffic volume, we have to assign planes to gates efficiently so that the airport can take more aircraft or more passengers.

In this assignment, we study the airport scheduling problem. Given schedules of planes landing and taking-off at the airport, we are required to assign each flight to a gate based on the schedules. The number of required gates must be minimum.

There are four problems of airport scheduling problems in this work. The first problem assumes that each plane takes a point of time to land and take off at the airport. The second problem assumes that each plane task has a starting time and an ending time. The third problem studies about the effect of delay in the algorithm used in the first two problems. Finally, the last problem assumes that reallocating planes to new gates is so expensive that we have to design such an algorithm that minimize the reassignments. In other words, we must create such an initial assignment that leaves many buffer time in the schedule as much as possible.

In this work, we purpose algorithms to solve all the problems in Subsections 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4 respectively.

The rest of the work is organized as follows: In the rest of Section 1 we provide the background and an overview of the related work. Section 2 presents algorithms to all four problems of airport scheduling problems. In Section 3 we present a thorough experimental study of our algorithms. Finally, Section 4 concludes our work and states future plans.

1.2 Preliminaries and Related Work

Let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_{|G|}\}$ be a set of gates and $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_{|A|}\}$ be a set of planes. Each plane, a_i , needs to use any gate from s_i to f_i . We need to find optimal solution which uses the fewest number of gates and all the planes have an allocation to any gate in the airport from s_i to f_i . In addition, each gate can only take one plane for a particular time.

In this Subsection, we are going to look at similar problems to airport scheduling problems.

1.2.1 Interval Scheduling

Interval scheduling problems are also known as *fixed job scheduling* or *k-track assignment* problems [1]. They are different from other scheduling problems in such a way that the starting time of each job is fixed.

The formal problem description is given as follows: n interval jobs, $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_{|A|}\}$, in the form $[s_j, f_j]$ with $0 \leq s_j < f_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. These jobs must be processed uninterruptedly. There are *machines*, $M = \{m_1, \dots, m_{|M|}\}$, each of which can process at most one job at a time and is always available from $t \in [0, \infty)$. A *schedule* is an assignment of some of the jobs to the machines.

We can view airport scheduling problems as interval scheduling problems. Let each gate be a machine and each plane be a job. The time needed at the gate is the process time of each job.

There exists several algorithms for solving this algorithm. The lower bound for the number of machines required for processing all the jobs is equal to the largest size of the a subset of jobs that pairwise overlap. Dilworth's chain decomposition is used to show that this lower bound suffices to process all jobs [1]. Ford and Fulkerson [2] can solve this in $O(n^2)$ by using *staircase rule* based on Dilworth's theorem. Alternative procedures are purposed by Hashimoto and Stevens [3] and Gertsbakh and Stern [4]. An $O(n \log n)$ procedure is proposed by Gupta et al [5], which will be further explained in Subsection 2.2. There even exists an $O(\log n)$ algorithm with $O(n^2 / \log n)$ processors by Dekel and Sahni [6].

2 Algorithms and Theory

2.1 Problem 1

We are asked to explore the flight dataset and make some observations. The result can be found in Subsection 3.1.1.

Next, the first problem asks us to find an algorithm for the simplified version of airport scheduling problems where the starting time and the ending time of each plane is the same. Here, we propose Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1 Simple Airport Scheduling

```
 $G \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
for all  $a_i$  in  $A$  do
   $g \leftarrow$  an available gate in  $G$  at time,  $s_i$ 
  if There is no such  $g$  then
     $g \leftarrow$  a new gate
     $G \leftarrow G \cup \{g\}$ 
  end if
   $g$  is allocated  $a_i$ 
end for
```

This algorithm can solve airport scheduling problems because all the planes are assigned to at least a gate. Time complexity is $O(|A|)$ if all procedures such as finding an available gate can be done in $O(1)$. We are going to prove that this algorithm gives the smallest number of required gates.

Theorem 2.1. *Algorithm 1 uses k gates where k is the maximum number of overlapping starting time of all the planes.*

Proof. Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that Algorithm 1 uses more than k gates. Therefore, there must be at least $k + 1$ gates. Let a_i be the first plane assigned to the $(k + 1)^{\text{th}}$ gate. Therefore, before a_i is selected, there are k gates. In order for a new gate to be created, there must not be any available gates in G at time s_i . Since a new gate is created, all k gates must be occupied by some planes. Therefore, there are $k + 1$ planes coming to the airport at s_i , which contradicts the definition of k . \square

Next, we are going to show that this algorithm gives the optimal number of gates.

Lemma 2.2. *The lower bound of the number of gates is k .*

Proof. This can be proven by Dilworth's theorem as mentioned in Subsection 1.2. But we will use a simpler proof here. Suppose that the number of gates can be less than k . Therefore, the number of gates is less than or equal to $k - 1$. Assume, for the sake of a contradiction, that $k - 1$ is the possible number of gates. Let t be when there are k overlapping taking-off times of planes. Suppose that all $k - 1$ planes have been assigned to gates. The k^{th} plane cannot be scheduled to any gate as all the gates are occupied by earlier $k - 1$ planes. Hence, $k - 1$ is not a possible solution. We can use this prove for any number of planes less than k . Therefore, the number of gates must be greater than or equal to k . \square

Theorem 2.3. *Algorithm 1 gives the optimal solution.*

Proof. Let OPT be the smallest number of gates required and ANS be the number of gates used in Algorithm 1. According to the previous lemma, $OPT \geq k$. But, k is the number of required gates from this algorithm. Hence, $OPT \geq ANS$. In addition, $ANS \geq OPT$ because there cannot be any better solutions than the optimal solution. Hence, $ANS = OPT$. \square

2.2 Problem 2

In this problem, we are given an amount of time we need at a gate for a plane to take-off and arrive at the airport. Each plane needs 90 minutes before the scheduled and 30 minutes after the scheduled take-off time at a gate. Therefore, for a plane, a_i , taking off at time t_i , we can use interval $[s_i, f_i) = [t_i - 90, t_i + 30)$ to represent the time the plane needs at a gate.

We propose greedy algorithm, Algorithm 2. The algorithm firstly sorts all the planes by starting time. Then, the algorithm iterates over all the planes. The algorithm assigns a plane to an empty gate. However, if there does not exist any empty gate at the start time of the plane, the algorithm will create a new gate and assign the gate to the plane.

Algorithm 2 Greedy Algorithm for Airport Scheduling

```

Sort  $A$  by starting time
 $G \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
for all  $a_i$  in  $A$  do
     $g \leftarrow$  a gate,  $g \in G$ , vacant at  $s_i$ .
    if There is no such  $g$  then
         $g \leftarrow$  a new gate
         $G \leftarrow G \cup \{g\}$ 
    end if
    schedule  $a_i$  to  $g$ 
end for

```

Next, we show that this algorithm works correctly. All the planes have a gate for taking off. In addition, all the gates can have at most 1 plane at a particular time. In addition, we also show that Algorithm 2 is optimal. In other words, the number of gates is minimum.

Theorem 2.4. *All the planes have a gate, g , for taking-off. At a particular time, t , a gate has at most 1 plane.*

Proof. Line 9 of Algorithm 2 schedules every plane. Therefore, all the planes must have a gate during its taking-off time.

Next, we show that a gate has at most 1 plane at a particular point of time, t . Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that there exists such a gate, g , that there are more than a plane at t . Therefore, there must be at least two planes at time t at g after executing this algorithm. Let a_i and a_j be the

first plane and the second plane assigned to g . When a_j is scheduled to g , g cannot be a new gate from Line 6 because this gate was already scheduled to a_i . Therefore, the if-condition must be wrong. Hence, g is a gate that is vacant at s_j . Because of the greedy property, $s_i < s_j$. In addition, $f_i \leq s_j$ because g is empty at s_j . Hence, these two planes' intervals do not overlap and they cannot be assigned to any gate at any time.

Therefore, this algorithm can schedule aircraft to gates correctly. \square

Let OPT and ANS be the optimal number of gates and the number of gates from the algorithm respectively.

Lemma 2.5. $ANS \geq OPT$

Proof. No other possible solutions have the fewer number of gates than the optimal solution. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let the maximum number of overlapping intervals be k . k is the lower bound of this problem.*

Proof. Assume, for the sake of contradiction, the possible number of gates is less than k . Let time t be when the maximum number of overlapping intervals occurs. Therefore, there must be a plane that is not scheduled to any gate as all the other gates are occupied. Hence, this solution is not possible. \square

Lemma 2.7. $ANS = k$

Proof. Assume, for the sake of contradiction, $ANS \neq k$. Therefore, $ANS < k$ or $ANS > k$.

case 1 $ANS < k$: This is impossible because k is the lower bound and ANS is a valid solution. Therefore, $ANS \geq k$.

case 2 $ANS > k$: Therefore, ANS must be at least $k + 1$. Let a_i be a plane that is firstly assigned to g_{k+1} . Therefore, there is no empty gate in G at s_i when $|G| = k$. Therefore, all k gates have planes. Hence, there are $k + 1$ planes overlapping at s_i . This is a contradiction because k is the maximum number of overlapping intervals.

Therefore, $ANS = k$ \square

Theorem 2.8. *The number of gates from Algorithm 2 is equal to the optimal number of gates.*

Proof. k is the lower bound and is equal to ANS . Therefore, $OPT \geq k = ANS$. We can conclude that $OPT = ANS$ because $OPT \geq ANS$ and $ANS \geq OPT$. \square

After showing that the greedy algorithm is optimal, we use this algorithm on real dataset and the result is shown in Subsection 3.2.

2.2.1 Doubling the Number of Gates

We also introduce one more interesting problem here: **do we need to double the number of gates to support twice as many flights?** It depends on how we increase the number of flights. Suppose that at first intervals $[0, t_1)$ and $[t_1, t_2)$ have the maximum number of overlapping plane taking-off intervals $2x$ and x respectively. Therefore, we need $2x$ gates. Now we double the flights by adding x and $2x$ plane intervals to time $[0, t_1)$ and $[t_1, t_2)$ respectively. Therefore, we will need at most $3x$ gates and $3x$ is not as many as twice of $2x$.

In addition, it is also possible that we need more than twice of the number of current gates. Again, assume that at first there are at most x overlapping schedules in both intervals $[0, t_1)$ and $[t_1, t_2)$. We double the number of flights by adding $2x$ planes to the first interval and make those $2x$ planes cover time t_a , where there were previously x overlapping intervals. Therefore, now there are $3x$ overlapping intervals. Hence, we need $3x$ gates which is more than two times of the previous number of gates required.

2.3 Problem 3

2.4 Problem 4

3 Experiments

3.1 Methodology

@TODO: DESCRIBE HOW WE SELECT DATASET AND THE REASON BEHIND

3.1.1 Data Set Analysis

Dataset selection We use US flight dataset from Research of Innovative Technology Administration Bureau of Transportation Statistics (RITA) [7]. This dataset contains: time period, airline information, origin, destination, departure performance, arrival performance, cancellations and diversions, flight summaries, cause of delay. We are only interested in departure performance and arrival performance which are related to gate scheduling. We decide to use the information in January because there is new year holiday at the beginning of the month and it is interesting to see the effect of holiday on flights. In addition, we decide to choose the most busy airport. We define the most busy airport as the airport with highest number of outgoing and incoming flights. In this section, certain analyses on traffic and on delay are done. The SQL codes used for analysis can be found in Appendix.

Table 1: Airports with top 5 highest number of outgoing flights

airportId	total flights
11298	23488
13930	21497
12892	18481
11292	17977
12266	14581

Table 2: Airports with top 5 highest number of incoming flights

airportId	total flights
10397	30784
11298	23482
13930	21529
12892	18474
11292	17955

Traffic analysis In January, Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport (DFW) of which id is 11298 is the airport with the highest traffic as shown in Table 1 and Table 2.

In addition, the top three dates with the highest number of flight are 3rd, 2nd, and 6th January. This is reasonable because they are at the end of the new year holiday where people need to go back home and come back from their vacation.

Delay Analysis Regarding delay, there are 255198 flights with delay while there are only 471949 flights. Therefore, more than half of the flights are delayed. Hence, airport scheduling should consider the delay effect as well. This is what we model in Problem 3.

Based on outgoing flights from DFW where there is the highest traffic, the top three days with highest delay are 6th, 2nd, and 9th January. This is quite reasonable as people travel a lot at the beginning of the month due to New Year holiday. In addition, the first two days also has rankings amongst the top three days with the highest number of total flights in DFW as shown in Table 3

Knowing that the most traffic happens on these five days, we run our scheduling algorithm assuming that there is no delay to find out how many gates we need. The result can be found in the next section.

In addition, we are also interested in the effect of delays to the number of gates. We decide to run our algorithm on 2nd of January to see how well the algorithm does when there are a lot of delays. In addition, we also decide to run an experiment on 15th January which have the lowest average delay, 4.21 minutes.

Table 3: Days with highest number of flights and highest average delays in DFW

Order	Most traffic	Highest average delay	Average delay
1	2 January 2014	6 January 2014	33.62
2	3 January 2014	2 January 2014	23.96
3	6 January 2014	9 January 2014	21.63
4	31 January 2014	5 January 2014	21.60
5	17 January 2014	7 January 2014	19.44

3.2 Main Results

@TODO: P2 ANALYSIS OF RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE NUMBER OF FLIGHTS VS THE NUMBER OF GATES FROM THE REAL DATASET.

4 Conclusions and Future Work

References

- [1] Jan Karel Lenstra, Christos H Papadimitriou, and Frits C R Spieksma. Interval Scheduling : A Survey. pages 1–33, 2006.
- [2] Jr. Ford, L.r. and D.R. Fulkerson. *Flows in networks*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1962.
- [3] Akihiro Hashimoto and James Stevens. Wire routing by optimizing channel assignment within large apertures. In *Proceedings of the 8th Design Automation Workshop*, pages 155–169. ACM, 1971.
- [4] Ilya Gertsbakh and Helman I Stern. Minimal resources for fixed and variable job schedules. *Operations Research*, 26(1):68–85, 1978.
- [5] Udaiprakash I Gupta and JY-T DT Leung. An optimal solution for the channel-assignment problem. 1979.
- [6] Eliezer Dekel and Sartaj Sahni. Parallel scheduling algorithms. *Operations Research*, 31(1):24–49, 1983.
- [7] On-time performance.

Appendix

SQL Queries for Dataset Analysis

1. Find airports with top 5 highest number of outgoing flights


```

SELECT origin_airport_id\
      , COUNT(*) as origin_total_flights
FROM flight
GROUP BY origin_airport_id
ORDER BY origin_total_flights DESC ;

```

2. Find airports with top 5 highest number of incoming flights

```

SELECT dest_airport_id
      , COUNT(*) as dest_total_flights
FROM flight
GROUP BY dest_airport_id
ORDER BY dest_total_flights DESC ;

```

3. Find the total number of delays

```

SELECT fl_date , fl_month , fl_year
      , COUNT(*) as total_delay
FROM flight
WHERE cancelled = 0 AND dep_delay > 0
GROUP BY fl_date , fl_month , fl_year
ORDER BY total_delay DESC;

```

4. Find the average delay of DFW airport of each day.

```

SELECT f1.fl_date , f1.fl_month , f1.fl_year
      , 1.0*f2.total_delay/f1.total_flight
      as percentage
FROM
      (SELECT fl_date , fl_month , fl_year
      , COUNT(*) as total_flight
FROM flight
WHERE origin_airport_id = 11298
GROUP BY fl_date , fl_month , fl_year) f1 ,
      (SELECT fl_date , fl_month , fl_year
      , SUM(dep_delay) as total_delay
FROM flight
WHERE origin_airport_id = 11298
      AND cancelled = 0
      AND dep_delay > 0
      GROUP BY fl_date , fl_month , fl_year ) f2
WHERE f1.fl_date = f2.fl_date
      AND f1.fl_month = f2.fl_month
      AND f1.fl_year = f2.fl_year

```

```
ORDER BY percentage DESC  
LIMIT 10;
```