

Math 525: Lecture 19

March 22, 2018

1 Strong Markov property

The memoryless/Markov property for a stationary Markov chain can be expressed as follows: “for each n , the process X_n, X_{n+1}, \dots is a Markov chain with the same transition probabilities as X_0, X_1, \dots .”

Question: is the same result true if we replace n by τ ?

Let $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a Markov chain with transition matrix P and let $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_0, \dots, X_n)$. The Markov property can be rephrased in terms of \mathcal{F}_n as follows:

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = j \mid X_n = i, \Lambda) = P_{ij} \quad \text{for } \Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

Equivalently,

$$\mathbb{P}(\Lambda, X_n = i, X_{n+1} = j) = \mathbb{P}(\Lambda, X_n = i)P_{ij} \quad \text{for } \Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_n. \quad (1)$$

Proposition 1.1 (Strong Markov property). *Let τ be a finite stopping time (i.e., $\tau < \infty$ a.s.). Given that $X_\tau = i$, the sequence $(X_{\tau+n})_{n \geq 0}$ is a Markov chain with transition matrix P .*

Proof. Let S be a finite stopping time and let $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_S$. Remember that

$$\mathcal{F}_S = \{\Lambda \in \mathcal{F} : \Lambda \cap \{S = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Then, for states i and j ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\Lambda, X_S = i, X_{S+1} = j) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{P}(\Lambda \cap \{S = k\}, X_k = i, X_{k+1} = j).$$

Since $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_S$, it follows that $\Lambda \cap \{S = k\} \in \mathcal{F}_S$ for each k . By (1),

$$\mathbb{P}(\Lambda, X_S = i, X_{S+1} = j) = P_{ij} \sum_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{P}(\Lambda \cap \{S = k\}, X_k = i) = P_{ij} \mathbb{P}(\Lambda, X_S = i).$$

Divide both sides by $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda, X_S = i)$ to get

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{S+1} = j \mid \Lambda, X_S = i) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\Lambda, X_S = i, X_{S+1} = j)}{\mathbb{P}(\Lambda, X_S = i)} = P_{ij}. \quad (2)$$

Now, fix n . Take $S = \tau + n$. Since S is the sum of a stopping time and a constant, S is also a stopping time. Let $\Lambda = \{X_\tau = i_0, \dots, X_{\tau+n} = i_n\}$. Therefore, by (2),

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{\tau+n+1} = i_{n+1} \mid X_{\tau+n} = i_n, \dots, X_\tau = i_0) = P_{i_n i_{n+1}}.$$

That is, $(X_{\tau+n})_{n \geq 0}$ is a Markov chain with transition matrix P . □

An immediate corollary of the above is the following:

Corollary 1.2. *Let X_0, X_1, \dots be i.i.d. discrete random variables (so that $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a stationary Markov chain). Let τ be a finite stopping time. Then, $X_{\tau+1}$ has the same distribution as X_0 .*

Before we prove this, let's try to understand the intuition.

Example 1.3. A gambler plays roulette and chooses a time to place a bet. Let X_n be the outcome of the n -th spin and τ be the stopping time at which the bet is placed. The above says that $X_{\tau+1}$ has the same distribution as X_0 . In other words, assuming that the gambler eventually places a bet (i.e., $\tau < \infty$), they are no better off than they would have been had they placed the bet at time zero (i.e., $\tau = 0$).

Proof. X_0, X_1, \dots is a Markov chain with transition probabilities $P_{ij} = \mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = x_j \mid X_n = x_i) \equiv p_j$. In other words, P_{ij} does not depend on i . By the strong Markov property, $X_\tau, X_{\tau+1}, \dots$ is once again a Markov chain with the same transition probabilities. That is, if $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{\tau+1} = x_j \mid \Lambda, X_\tau = x_i) = P_{ij} = p_j = \mathbb{P}(X_0 = x_j).$$

□

Exercise 1.4. X_τ does not necessarily have the same distribution as X_0 . Why?

2 Recurrence and transience

If we start a Markov chain at state i , will it ever return to i ? How many times will it return to i ? These are the questions we look to answer next.

Definition 2.1. For a discrete random variable Y , we define its expectation conditional on an event Λ with $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda) > 0$ by

$$\mathbb{E}[Y \mid \Lambda] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[Y \cdot I_\Lambda]}{\mathbb{P}(\Lambda)}.$$

Actually, the conditional expectation for a general random variable is *much* harder to define. We might come back to it later. To simplify notation, let

$$\mathbb{P}^i(\Lambda) = \mathbb{P}(\Lambda \mid X_0 = i)$$

be the probability of Λ conditional on the initial state of the Markov chain being i . Similarly, let

$$\mathbb{E}^i(Y) = \mathbb{E}[Y \mid X_0 = i].$$

Definition 2.2. The *first hitting time* of i is $T_i = \inf\{n \geq 1: X_n = i\}$.

If $X_0 \neq i$, T_i is the first time the chain reaches i . If $X_0 = i$, T_i is the first time the chain returns to i . In the above, $\inf \emptyset = \infty$, so that $T_i = \infty$ corresponds to the chain never hitting/returning to i .

Exercise 2.3. T_i is a stopping time.

Exercise 2.4. Let $i \neq j$. Show that $i \rightarrow j$ if and only if $\mathbb{P}^i\{T_j < \infty\} > 0$.

Definition 2.5. A state i is *recurrent* if $\mathbb{P}^i\{T_i < \infty\} = 1$. It is *transient* otherwise.

We can extend the definition of T_i as follows: let $T_i^1 = T_i$ and

$$T_i^n = \inf\{k > T_i^{n-1}: X_k = i\}.$$

That is, T_i^n is the n -th time the Markov chain visits i .

Exercise 2.6. T_i^n is a stopping time for each $n \geq 1$.

Definition 2.7. The *total number of returns* to i is

$$N_i = |\{n: T_i^n < \infty\}| = |\{n \geq 1: X_n = i\}|.$$

Proposition 2.8. Let i be a given state and define $p = P^i\{T_i < \infty\}$. Then,

$$\mathbb{P}^i\{N_i \geq n\} = p^n.$$

In particular, if $X_0 = i$ then

- $N_i = \infty$ a.s. if i is recurrent and
- $\mathbb{E}^i[N_i] = \frac{p}{1-p}$ if i is transient.

Proof. Let $p^{(n)} = \mathbb{P}^i\{N_i \geq n\}$. Note that

$$N_i \geq n \iff T_i^n < \infty.$$

Therefore, $p^{(1)} = p$ by definition. Now, suppose $p^{(k)} = p^k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If $T_i^n < \infty$, then $X_{T_i^n} = i$. Therefore, by the strong Markov property, $(X_{T_i^n + n})_{n \geq 0}$ is a Markov chain with the same transition matrix as $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}^i(N_i \geq n+1) = \mathbb{P}^i(N_i \geq n)p.$$

Equivalently, $p^{(n+1)} = p^{(n)}p = p^n p = p^{n+1}$. This establishes that

$$p^{(n)} = p^n$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Now, note that

$$\mathbb{E}^i[N_i] = \sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{P}^i\{N_i \geq k\} = \sum_{k \geq 1} p^k = \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} p^k \right) - 1 = \frac{1}{1-p} - 1 = \frac{p}{1-p}.$$

□

Remark 2.9.

1. If the chain starts at a recurrent state, it returns to that state infinitely often.
2. The claim

$$\mathbb{E}^i [N_i] = \frac{p}{1-p}$$

is actually true even when i is recurrent under the interpretation $1/(1-p) = \infty$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} i \text{ is transient} &\iff \mathbb{E}^i [N_i] < \infty \\ i \text{ is recurrent} &\iff \mathbb{E}^i [N_i] = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We can express the above just using the transition matrix P .

Proposition 2.10. *A state i is recurrent if and only if $\sum_{n \geq 0} (P^n)_{ii} = \infty$.*

Proof. This is just a consequence of $(P^n)_{ii} = \mathbb{P}(X_n = i \mid X_0 = i)$. Since $N_i = \sum_{n \geq 1} I_{\{X_n = i\}}$, it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}^i [N_i] = \mathbb{E}^i \left[\sum_{n \geq 1} I_{\{X_n = i\}} \right] = \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{E}^i [I_{\{X_n = i\}}] = \sum_{n \geq 1} (P^n)_{ii}.$$

□

Corollary 2.11. *A state i is transient if and only if $\sum_{n \geq 0} (P^n)_{ii} < \infty$.*

Corollary 2.12. *If $i \rightarrow j$ and i is recurrent, then $i \leftrightarrow j$, $\mathbb{P}^j\{T_i < \infty\} = 1$, and j is recurrent.*

Proof. Since $i \rightarrow j$, $\mathbb{P}^i\{T_j < \infty\} > 0$. Therefore, if $\mathbb{P}^j\{T_i < \infty\} < 1$, then we obtain a contradiction to $\mathbb{E}^i[N_i] = \infty$.

Therefore, we can find r and s such that $(P^r)_{ij} > 0$ and $(P^s)_{ji} > 0$ (since $i \leftrightarrow j$). Then, for any n ,

$$(P^{s+n+r})_{jj} = \sum_{k, \ell} (P^s)_{jk} (P^n)_{k\ell} (P^r)_{\ell j} \geq (P^s)_{ji} (P^n)_{ii} (P^r)_{ij}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_n (P^n)_{jj} \geq \sum_n (P^{s+n+r})_{jj} = (P^s)_{ji} (P^r)_{ij} \sum_n (P^n)_{ii}$$

Now, since $(P^s)_{ji} (P^r)_{ij} > 0$, then j is recurrent since $\sum_n (P^n)_{ii} = \infty$.

□