

# Math 525: Assignment 1

1. Sometimes it is useful to start with a small set of events  $\mathcal{G}$  that is not necessarily a  $\sigma$ -algebra and “generate” a  $\sigma$ -algebra from it. The  $\sigma$ -algebra generated from  $\mathcal{G}$  is

$$\sigma(\mathcal{G}) = \bigcap_{\substack{\mathcal{F} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-algebra on } \Omega \\ \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}}} \mathcal{F}.$$

That is,  $\sigma(\mathcal{G})$  is the intersection of all  $\sigma$ -algebras containing  $\mathcal{G}$ . Show that...

- (a)  $\sigma(\mathcal{G})$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.
  - (b)  $\sigma(\mathcal{G}) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{G}')$  whenever  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}'$ .
  - (c) If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, then  $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$ .
  - (d) If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\sigma(\mathcal{G}) \subset \mathcal{F}$ .
2. Show that the following two are algebras but not  $\sigma$ -algebras:
    - (a) All finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  together with their complements.
    - (b) All finite unions of intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$  of the form  $(a, b]$ ,  $(-\infty, a]$ , and  $(b, \infty)$ .
  3. Prove the principle of inclusion-exclusion. That is, show that if  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n) \\ &= \sum_i \mathbb{P}(A_i) - \sum_{i < j} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j) + \sum_{i < j < k} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k) - \dots + (-1)^{n+1} \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n). \end{aligned}$$

Note that when we write  $\sum_{i < j}$ , we mean  $\sum_{i, j \in \{(i, j) : i < j\}}$  (and similarly for the sums involving more indices).

# Solutions

1. To simplify notation, let

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G}) = \{\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{F} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-algebra on } \Omega \text{ and } \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}\}$$

so that

$$\sigma(\mathcal{G}) = \bigcap_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G})} \mathcal{F}.$$

Note that as a trivial consequence of the definition,  $\sigma(\mathcal{G}) \supset \mathcal{G}$ .

- (a) We claim that the intersection  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{\alpha} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$  of  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$  is itself a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Since  $\sigma(\mathcal{G})$  is, by definition, the intersection of  $\sigma$ -algebras, the desired result follows from this claim. The claim is established by three points: (i)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$  because  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha$ . (ii) Suppose  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then,  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha$  and hence  $A^c \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha$ , from which it follows that  $A^c \in \mathcal{F}$ . (iii) Suppose  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then,  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha$  and hence  $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha$ , from which it follows that  $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ .
  - (b) Suppose  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}'$ . Since any  $\sigma$ -algebra which contains  $\mathcal{G}'$  must also contain  $\mathcal{G}$ , we have  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G}) \supset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G}')$ , from which the desired result follows.
  - (c) Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Since  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ , it follows that  $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{F}$ . Since we already know  $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) \supset \mathcal{F}$ , the desired result follows.
  - (d) If  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ , part (b) tells us  $\sigma(\mathcal{G}) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{F})$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, part (c) tells us  $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$ . Combining these two points, the desired result follows.
2. Checking that these are algebras is straightforward, so I will just point out why they are not  $\sigma$ -algebras:
    - (a) Consider  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . Neither  $\mathbb{N}$  nor  $\mathbb{N}^c = \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}$  are finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and hence  $\mathbb{N}$  is not in the algebra. However, we can write  $\mathbb{N}$  as a countable union of elements of the algebra:  $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \{n\}$ .
    - (b) The set  $(-\infty, 0)$  can be written as a countable union of elements of the algebra:  $(-\infty, 0) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} (-\infty, -1/n]$ .
  3. Suppose the claim holds for  $n$ . We attempt to establish it for  $n + 1$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{n+1}) &= \mathbb{P}((A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n) \cup A_{n+1}) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n) + \mathbb{P}(A_{n+1}) - \mathbb{P}((A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n) \cap A_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Let's handle each term in the sum separately. First, note that by our induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n) \\ &= \sum_{i \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_i) - \sum_{i < j \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j) + \sum_{i < j < k \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k) - \dots + (-1)^{n+1} \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, applying our induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}((A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n) \cap A_{n+1}) &= \mathbb{P}((A_1 \cap A_{n+1}) \cup \dots \cup (A_n \cap A_{n+1})) \\ &= \sum_{i \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_{n+1}) - \sum_{i < j \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_{n+1}) + \sum_{i < j < k \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k \cap A_{n+1}) \\ &\quad - \dots + (-1)^{n+1} \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n \cap A_{n+1}).\end{aligned}$$

Now, we can simplify our expression for  $\mathbb{P}(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{n+1})$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{n+1}) &= \sum_{i \leq n+1} \mathbb{P}(A_i) - \sum_{i < j \leq n+1} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j) + \sum_{i < j < k \leq n+1} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k) \\ &\quad - \dots + (-1)^{n+2} \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_{n+1}).\end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof, note that the claim holds trivially for  $n = 1$  (base case).