Math 525: Lecture 24

April 12, 2018

1 Conditional distribution and expectations

Let X and Y be random variables. If the event $\{X = x\}$ occurs with positive probability, we can define the *conditional distribution function* by

$$F_{Y|X}(y \mid x) = \mathbb{P}\left\{Y \le y \mid X = x\right\}.$$

If Y is also integrable, we can also define the *conditional expectation* of Y given X = x:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Y\mid X=x\right] = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[YI_{\{X=x\}}\right]}{\mathbb{P}\left\{X=x\right\}}.$$

We saw in a previous class that if in addition to being integrable, Y is discrete, the above simplifies:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Y\mid X=x\right] = \frac{\sum_{n}y_{n}\mathbb{P}\left\{Y=y_{n}, X=x\right\}}{\mathbb{P}\left\{X=x\right\}} = \sum_{n}y_{n}\mathbb{P}\left\{Y=y_{n}\mid X=x\right\}.$$

However, when X has a continuous distribution, the event $\{X = x\}$ has probability zero. While it is possible to define $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid \cdot]$ in a general way that avoids this issue, doing so requires knowledge of some concepts from measure theory (e.g., Radon-Nikodym derivatives). Instead of working in the most general setting, we will instead tackle the case in which X and Y admit a joint density f_{XY} .

To motivate our definition of conditional distribution in this setting, suppose events of the form $\{x \le X \le x + h\}$ have positive probability (where h > 0) and consider

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Y \leq y \mid x \leq X \leq x + h\right).$$

Since X and Y admit a joint density,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Y \le y \mid x \le X \le x+h\right) = \frac{\mathbb{P}\left\{Y \le y, x \le X \le x+h\right\}}{\mathbb{P}\left\{x \le X \le x+h\right\}} = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{y} \int_{x}^{x+h} f_{XY}(u,v) du dv}{\int_{x}^{x+h} f_{X}(u) du}.$$

If h is small, we expect the above to be approximately

$$\frac{h\int_{-\infty}^{y} f_{XY}(x,v)dv}{hf_X(x)} = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{y} f_{XY}(x,v)dv}{f_X(x)} = \int_{-\infty}^{y} \left(\frac{f_{XY}(x,v)}{f_X(x)}\right)dv.$$

This motivates the definition below.

Definition 1.1. Let X and Y be random variables which admit a joint density f_{XY} . We can define the *conditional density* of Y given X = x by

$$f_{Y|X}(y \mid x) = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{XY}(x, v)}{f_X(x)} & \text{if } f_X(x) > 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The conditional distribution function of Y given X = x is

$$F_{Y|X}(y \mid x) = \int_{-\infty}^{y} f_{Y|X}(v \mid x) dv.$$

The conditional expectation of Y given X = x is

$$\mathbb{E}[Y \mid X = x] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f_{Y|X}(y \mid x) dy.$$

Remark 1.2. If X and Y are independent in the above, the conditional density of Y given X = x should be independent of X. Indeed, then

$$f_{Y|X}(y \mid x) = \frac{f_{XY}(x,y)}{f_{X}(x)} = f_{Y}(y).$$

Let's also verify that the conditional density works as desired. Indeed,

$$\int_{a}^{b} \overbrace{\left(\int_{c}^{d} f_{Y|X}(y \mid x) dy\right)}^{\mathbb{P}(c < Y \le d|X = x)} f_{X}(x) dx = \int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{d} \frac{f_{XY}(x, y)}{f_{X}(x)} f_{X}(x) dy dx$$
$$= \int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{d} f_{XY}(x, y) dy dx$$
$$= \mathbb{P}\left(a < X \le b, c < Y \le d\right).$$

Definition 1.3. Suppose X is a discrete random variable or that X and Y admit a joint density. Suppose Y is integrable, so that the function ψ given by

$$\psi(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[Y \mid X = x\right]$$

is well-defined (if X is discrete, we can safely ignore points at which $\{X = x\}$). Then, the conditional expectation of Y given X is

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Y\mid X\right]\equiv\psi\circ X.$$

Unlike the previous definition, we have not specified the value of X in the conditional expectation. Therefore, unlike $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid X = x]$ (which is a scalar), $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid X]$ is itself a random variable. We now prove what is known as the *tower property of expectations*:¹

¹Actually, the tower property is more general, applying to the more general notion of conditional expectation we hinted at before.

Proposition 1.4. Let X and Y be random variables which admit a joint density f_{XY} . Suppose $f_X \neq 0$. If Y is integrable, then $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid X]$ is also integrable and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[Y\mid X\right]\right] = \mathbb{E}Y.$$

Proof. First, suppose Y is positive. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[Y\mid X\right]\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\psi(X)\right]$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x)f_X(x)dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[Y\mid X=x\right]f_X(x)dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} yf_{Y\mid X}(y\mid x)dyf_X(x)dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y\frac{f_{XY}(x,y)}{f_X(x)}dyf_X(x)dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} yf_{XY}(x,y)dydx$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[Y\right].$$

If Y is not positive, we can split it into its positive and negative parts $Y = Y^+ - Y^-$.

The tower property also holds for discrete random variables, in which case the intuition is very easy to understand:

Example 1.5. Consider two bags, labelled a and b. We pick bag a with probability P and bag b with probability 1-p. We use the random variable X to indicate which bag we picked (i.e., X takes values in $\{a,b\}$). Both bags are filled with green and red balls. Define

$$p_a = \frac{\# \text{ of green balls in bag } a}{\text{total } \# \text{ of balls in bag } a}$$
 and $p_b = \frac{\# \text{ of green balls in bag } b}{\text{total } \# \text{ of balls in bag } b}.$

From the bag we have chosen, we pick a single ball. Let

$$Y = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if we picked a green ball} \\ 0 & \text{if we picked a red ball.} \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[Y \mid X = a] = p_a$$
 and $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid X = b] = p_b$.

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[Y\mid X\right]\right] = P\left(\mathbb{E}\left[Y\mid X=a\right]\right) + \left(1-P\right)\mathbb{E}\left[Y\mid X=b\right] = Pp_a + \left(1-P\right)p_b = \mathbb{E}\left[Y\right].$$




Figure 1: Circle with area π

2 Introduction to Monte Carlo methods

A Monte Carlo method is any algorithm that exploits the law of large numbers to compute a (determinstic) quantity. This is best motivated by example:

Example 2.1. Suppose we want to approximate the value of π . Recall that for a (Borel) function f,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(X)\right] = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx \quad \text{if } X \sim U[a,b].$$

The same is true in higher dimensions:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(X,Y)\right] = \frac{1}{d-c} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{c}^{d} \int_{a}^{b} f(x,y) dx dy \quad \text{if } X \sim U[a,b] \text{ and } Y \sim U[c,d].$$

Recall that π is the area of a unit circle. That is, letting $C = \{(x,y) \colon x^2 + y^2 \le 1\}$,

$$\pi = \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} I_C(x, y) dx dy.$$

In other words,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[I_C(X,Y)\right] = \frac{\pi}{4} \quad \text{where} \quad X, Y \sim U[-1,1].$$

Let $X_n, Y_n \sim U[-1, 1]$. Then, by the strong law of large numbers,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{I_C(X_1, Y_1) + \dots + I_C(X_N, Y_N)}{N} = \frac{\pi}{4} \text{ a.s.}$$

This gives us a very simple algorithm to approximate π :

- 1. Pick a positive integer N and set S_N to zero.
- 2. Generate two (independent) random variables X and Y from the uniform distribution U[-1,1]. If $X^2 + Y^2 \le 1$, increment S_N by one.
- 3. Repeat step (2) n times. Then, $\pi/4 \approx S_N/N$.

Some MATLAB code for this procedure is given below:

Algorithm 1 Monte Carlo method to approximate π

```
\% \ Generate \ (X,\ Y) \ pairs pairs = 2 * rand(2, N) - 1; 
 \% \ Count \ which \ pairs \ are \ in \ the \ circle 
 S_N = sum(sum(\ pairs.^2) <= 1); 
 pi_approximate = 4 * (S_N / N);
```

With N equal to 10 million, below are some results of running the code:

Run	Result
1	3.1414432
2	3.1416316
3	3.1418980
4	3.1416388
5	3.1424888

Even though we used 10 million samples in the above, our approximation is only accurate up to three significant digits! The slow convergence² is explained by the CLT, which says

$$\sqrt{N}\left(\frac{S_N}{N} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma)$$

where $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(I_C(X,Y))$. With a slight abuse of notation, we may write

$$|\pi_{\text{approximate}} - \pi| = \left| 4 \frac{S_N}{N} - \pi \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\right)$$

to represent this fact.

²There are much more effective ways to compute π (e.g., Chudnovsky algorithm), but they do not have to do with Monte Carlo.