

mas541 homework

20208209 오재민

2020년 10월 18일

Problem (5.1).

Let $P(z) = z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$ and assume that $P(z) = 0$ has no solution. Then by the argument principle, $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D(Q,R)} \frac{P'(\zeta)}{P(\zeta)} d\zeta = 0$ for all $R > 0$. That integral is equal to $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{P'(Q+Re^{i\theta})}{P(Q+Re^{i\theta})} Rie^{i\theta} d\theta$. But, as $R \rightarrow \infty$, integrand of above goes to n uniformly on $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$. Therefore, the integral above goes to $n > 0$ which is the degree of P . It is contradiction. Thus $P(z) = 0$ has at least one solution in complex plane.

Problem (5.2).

Assume the existence of such f . Since f is bounded near 0, Riemann removable singularity theorem says that f can be extended to the function which is holomorphic on entire unit disc.

If modulus of $f(0)$ is equal to 1 or 2, then image of the unit disc under f is not open which contradicts to the open mapping theorem. So $f(0) \in \{w : 1 < |w| < 2\}$.

Since f is surjective function of the punctured unit disc onto the annulus, we can find $w \neq 0$ such that $f(0) = f(w)$. Choose two disjoint neighborhood U_w, U_0 of $w, 0$ respectively. Then by the open mapping theorem, $f(U_w)$ and $f(U_0)$ are open and $f(0) \in f(U_w) \cap f(U_0)$. Since $f(U_w) \cap f(U_0)$ is open, we can choose small neighborhood of $f(0)$ contained in the previous set. And therefore we can choose $f(0) \neq \alpha \in f(U_w) \cap f(U_0)$. This cannot be happen since f is injective.

Thus there is no such f .

Problem (5.3).

- (a) Choose $R > \lambda$, and choose n so large that $\lambda - 1 \geq 1/n$. Then $\bar{D}(R, R - \frac{1}{n}) \subset \text{Right half plane}$.

Then for $\zeta \in \partial D(R, R - 1/n)$, $|e^{-\zeta}| < 1 \leq \lambda - 1/n \leq |\zeta - \lambda|$. Put $f(z) = e^{-z} + z - \lambda$ and $g(z) = z - \lambda$. Then by above and Rouché's theorem, f and g has same zero on $D(R, R - 1/n)$. But any $z \in \text{Right half plane}$ must be inside of $D(R, R - 1/n)$ for some large R and n . This means f and g have same zero on the right half plane.

But $g(z) = 0$ has unique solution. Therefore $e^{-z} + z - \lambda = 0$ has unique solution on the right half plane.

(b) Fix $z' \in U$. Note that $U \setminus \{z'\}$ is still a domain. Let $g_k(z) = f_k(z) - f_k(z')$ for $z \in U \setminus \{z'\}$. Since f_j is an injective holomorphic function on U , g_k does not vanish on $U \setminus \{z'\}$. Uniform convergence of f_j on compact subsets of U implies uniform convergence of g_k on compact subsets of $U \setminus \{z'\}$. Since g_k is nonvanishing function, by Hurwitz's theorem, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g_k(z) = f(z) - f(z')$ does not vanish or identically zero.

If it is identically zero on $U \setminus \{z'\}$, then f must be constant function on U . If it is nonvanishing on $U \setminus \{z'\}$, then $f(z'') = f(z')$ implies $z'' = z'$. Thus f must be injective.

Problem (5.4).

It seems to be solved by the maximum modulus principle (or theorem), but I don't know where to start.

Problem (5.5).

For $z \in S$, $|\varphi(z)| = \left| \frac{e^{2\pi z i} - 1}{e^{2\pi z i} + 1} \right|$, and the real part of $e^{2\pi z i} > 0$ because $z \in S$. Then it is clear that $|\varphi(z)| < 1$. Also $\varphi(0) = 0$.

Therefore $\varphi \circ f : D \rightarrow D$ is holomorphic and it fixes the origin. Then Schwarz's lemma says $|\varphi'(0)f'(0)| \leq 1$. But $\varphi'(0) = \pi$. Therefore $|f'(0)| \leq 1/\pi$. The equality holds only if $\varphi(f(z)) = wz$ for some $|w| = 1$.