

mas541 homework

20208209 오재민

2020년 9월 27일

**Problem (1).**

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - \left| \frac{z-w}{1-z\bar{w}} \right|^2 &= 1 - \frac{(z-w)(\bar{z}-\bar{w})}{(1-z\bar{w})(1-\bar{z}w)} \\
&= \frac{1 - \bar{z}w - z\bar{w} + |z|^2|w|^2 - |z|^2 - |w|^2 + z\bar{w} + \bar{z}w}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^2} \\
&= \frac{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - |w|^2)}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^2}
\end{aligned}$$

**Problem (2).**

Let  $f = u+iv$ .  $\partial f = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) (u+iv)$ . Then  $\bar{\partial} f = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) (u-iv) = \bar{\partial} \bar{f}$ .

**Problem (3).**

If  $f$  is constant, then  $|f|$  is also constant. On the other hand, assume  $f = u+iv$  and  $|f|^2 = u^2 + v^2$  is positive real number. (if it is zero, then  $f$  must be zero)

$$u^2 + v^2 = R > 0$$

Differentiate both sides of the equation above with  $x$  and  $y$  respectively, we can get  $uu_x + vv_x = 0$ ,  $uu_y + vv_y = 0$ ,  $u_x = v_y$  and  $u_y = -v_x$ . By simple calculation we can get  $u_x = u_y = v_x = v_y = 0$ . Therefore  $u, v$  are constant.

**Problem (4).**

Note that  $\int_0^{2\pi} e^{ik\theta} d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} (\cos k\theta + i \sin k\theta) d\theta = 0$  for positive integer  $k$ . Therefore  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (z_0 + re^{i\theta})^j d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} z_0^k (re^{i\theta})^{j-k} d\theta = z_0^j$ . Similarly, we can get  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (z_0 + re^{i\theta})^j d\theta = \bar{z}_0^j$ .

Since  $u$  is polynomial, we can write it as  $\sum_{l,k} a_{l,k} z^l \bar{z}^k$ . By direct computation, we can get  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(z_0 + re^{i\theta}) d\theta = \sum_{l,k} a_{l,k} z_0^l \bar{z}_0^k = u(z_0)$ .

**Problem (5).**

Let  $f = u+iv$ .  $(g \circ f)_x = g_u u_x + g_v v_x$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(g \circ f)_{xx} &= (g_{uu} u_x + g_{uv} v_x) u_x + g_u u_{xx} + (g_{vu} u_x + g_{vv} v_x) v_x + g_v v_{xx} \\
(g \circ f)_{yy} &= (g_{uu} u_y + g_{uv} v_y) u_y + g_u u_{yy} + (g_{vu} u_y + g_{vv} v_y) v_y + g_v v_{yy}
\end{aligned}$$

But we have Cauchy-Riemann equation and  $g_{uu} + g_{vv} = 0$  and  $g_{vu} = g_{uv}$ . Also, since  $f$  is  $C^2$  function,  $f$  is harmonic,  $u_{xy} = u_{yx}$ , and  $v_{xy} = v_{yx}$ . Using

these equations, we can check that  $(g \circ f)_{xx} + (g \circ f)_{yy} = 0$ . Hence  $(g \circ f)$  is a harmonic function.

**Problem (1).**

Let  $f = u + iv$ . Then  $\bar{f}f' = ff' - 2ivf'$ , where  $ff'$  is holomorphic. So,  $\int_{\gamma} \bar{f}f'dz = \int_{\gamma} -2ivf'dz = \int_{\gamma} -2iv(u_x + iv_x)dz = \int_{\gamma} -2iv(v_y + iv_x)dz = -i \int_a^b (2vv_y + 2ivv_x)(\gamma'_1 + i\gamma'_2)dt = \alpha$  where  $\gamma = \gamma_1 + i\gamma_2$ .

Therefore, real part of  $\int_{\gamma} \bar{f}f'dz$  is equal to real part of  $\alpha$ . And it is also equal to  $-\int_a^b \text{Im}[(2vv_y + i2vv_x)(\gamma'_1 + i\gamma'_2)]dt = -\int_a^b (2vv_x\gamma'_1 + 2vv_y\gamma'_2)dt = -\int_a^b \frac{d}{dt}(v^2 \circ \gamma)dt = 0$  since  $\gamma$  is closed curve.

So,  $\int_{\gamma} \bar{f}f'dz$  is purely imaginary.

**Problem (2).**

Let  $f = -u_y$  and  $g = u_x$ . Then  $f, g$  are continuous on  $U$ . Since  $u$  is harmonic,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}$  on  $U \setminus \{0\}$ . So there is  $v : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is  $C^1$  function and  $v_x = f$ ,  $v_y = g$  by lemma 2.5.3.

Let  $F = u + iv$ . Then  $F$  is  $C^1$  function since  $u, v$  are  $C^1$ . Since  $v_x = f = -u_y$  and  $v_y = g = u_x$ ,  $F$  satisfies Cauchy-Riemann equation on  $U$ . Thus  $F$  is holomorphic on  $U$  and real part of  $F$  is  $u$ .

**Problem (3).**

- (a) For  $z \notin [0, 1]$ , the map  $w \mapsto \frac{1}{w-z}$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, 1]$ . Let  $\gamma(t) = t$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $F(z) = \int_{\gamma} \frac{dw}{w-z} = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{t-z}dt$  is well defined.

For  $z \notin [0, 1]$ , let  $d > 0$  be distance between  $z$  and  $[0, 1]$ . For  $|h| < \frac{d}{2}$ , consider  $\frac{F(z+h)-F(z)}{h} = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(t-z-h)(t-z)}dt$ . Then  $\left| \frac{1}{(t-z-h)(t-z)} - \frac{1}{(t-z)^2} \right| = \left| \frac{h}{(t-z)^2(t-z-h)} \right| \leq |h| \frac{2}{d^3}$  since  $|t-z| \geq d$  and  $|t-z-h| \geq \frac{d}{2}$ . Therefore, as  $|h| \rightarrow 0$ , integrand converges to  $\frac{1}{(t-z)^2}$  uniformly on  $t \in [0, 1]$ . So  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(z+h)-F(z)}{h} = \int_0^1 \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{(t-z-h)(t-z)}dt = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(t-z)^2}dt = F'(z)$ .

By same reasoning, we get  $F''(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(t-z)^3}dt$ . From existence of  $F''$ ,  $F'$  is continuous. Therefore  $F$  is  $C^1$  function. Existence of complex derivative and  $C^1$  implies  $F$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, 1]$ .

- (b) For  $s \in (0, 1)$ ,  $F(s+i\varepsilon) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{t-s-i\varepsilon}dt = \int_0^1 \frac{t-s+i\varepsilon}{(t-s)^2+\varepsilon^2}dt = \int_0^1 \frac{t-s}{(t-s)^2+\varepsilon^2}dt + i \int_0^1 \frac{\varepsilon}{(t-s)^2+\varepsilon^2}dt$ . Let  $t-s = \varepsilon \tan \theta$ .  $\varepsilon \tan \theta_0 + s = 0$  and  $\varepsilon \tan \theta_1 + s = 1$  for  $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta_0, \theta_1 < \frac{\pi}{2}$ . Then  $\sec^2 \theta_0 = \frac{s^2}{\varepsilon^2} + 1$ ,  $\sec^2 \theta_1 = \frac{(1-s)^2}{\varepsilon^2} + 1$ ,  $\theta_0 = \tan^{-1}(\frac{-s}{\varepsilon})$ , and  $\theta_1 = \tan^{-1}(\frac{1-s}{\varepsilon})$ .

Then  $F(s+i\varepsilon) = \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1} \tan \theta d\theta + i \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1} d\theta = \log \left| \frac{\sec \theta_1}{\sec \theta_0} \right| + i(\theta_1 - \theta_0)$ . As  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ ,  $F(s+i\varepsilon)$  goes to  $\frac{1-s}{s} + i\pi$  by simple calculation.

Similarly,  $F(s - i\varepsilon)$  goes to  $\frac{1-s}{s} - i\pi$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ .

(c) Consider  $F(-\varepsilon) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{t+\varepsilon} dt = \log \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}$ . It goes to  $\infty$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ .

Consider  $F(1 + \varepsilon) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{t-1-\varepsilon} dt = \log \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}$ . It goes to  $-\infty$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ .

Therefore, for  $s = 0, 1$ ,  $\lim_{z \notin [0,1] \rightarrow s} F(z)$  does not exist.

**Problem (4).**

IDK where to start...

**Problem (5).**

It is enough to show  $\gamma$  and  $\mu$  are path homotopic. Define  $H(t, s) = (1-s)\gamma(t) + \frac{\gamma(t)}{|\gamma(t)|}s$ . Then  $H(t, 1) = \mu(t)$  and  $H(t, 0) = \gamma(t)$  by reparametrization. And  $H$  is continuous because  $\gamma(t) \neq 0$ . Therefore  $H$  is path homotopy between  $\gamma$  and  $\mu$ . Since line integration is invariant under path homotopy, we get  $\int_\gamma F(\zeta) d\zeta = \int_\mu F(\zeta) d\zeta$ .

**Problem (1).**

It suffices to show that  $\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = 0$  for rectangle  $\gamma$  whose edges are parallel to coordinate axes by Morera's theorem.

First, assume that  $\gamma$  intersects with  $[0, 1]$  only finitely many points. Let  $p$  be such point. Then  $p$  must be on (wlog) left edge of  $\gamma$ . Let  $a + ib, a + ic$  be two vertices incident with left edge. ( $b > c$ ) Let  $\rho(t) = a + i(tc + (1-t)b)$ . Consider  $f \circ \rho$ . It is continuous and equals to  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F(\rho(t))$  except for  $\gamma^{-1}(p)$  where  $F$  is antiderivative of  $f$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, 1]$ . Then lemma 2.3.1 says  $f(\rho(t)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F(\rho(t))$  even for  $\gamma^{-1}(p)$ . Therefore  $\int_{\rho} f(z)dz = F(a + ic) - F(a + ib)$ . By using this result, we can easily calculate  $\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = 0$ .

Now, assume that (wlog) upper edge of  $\gamma$  intersects with  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4$  which are upper edge, left edge, bottom edge, and right edge respectively, parametrized like  $\rho$  of above, positive oriented. Consider  $\varphi$  made by shrinking side edges of  $\gamma$  so that distance between of upper edges of  $\varphi$  and  $\gamma$  less than  $\delta$ , while bottom edge is fixed. Also note that  $\delta$  is chosen so that  $d(z_0, z_1) < \delta$  implies  $d(f(z_0), f(z_1)) < \varepsilon$ .

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f(z)dz - \int_{\varphi} f(z)dz \right| \leq \left| \int_{\gamma_2 - \varphi_2} f(z)dz + \int_{\gamma_4 - \varphi_4} f(z)dz \right| + (\text{length of } \gamma_1) \varepsilon$$

And, second term of above goes to 0 as distance between  $\varphi_1$  and  $\gamma_1$  goes to 0 by continuity and result of first case. Actually  $\int_{\varphi} f(z)dz = 0$  because  $\varphi$  does not intersect with  $[0, 1]$ . Thus we have shown that  $\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = 0$ .

By first, second case and Morera's thm,  $f$  is actually entire function.

**Problem (2).**

For  $0 < r < 1$ ,  $|f^{(n)}(0)| \leq \frac{n!}{r^n} \frac{1}{1-r}$  by using Cauchy estimate.  $r^n(1-r)$  is maximized when  $r = \frac{n}{n+1}$ . So, when  $r = \frac{n}{n+1}$ , we get best estimate of  $|f^{(n)}(0)|$ .

**Problem (3).**

- (a) Since  $K$  is compact subset of open set  $U$ , there is  $r > 0$  such that for all  $x \in K$ , closure of  $D(x, r)$  is in  $U$ . Then,  $|f(z)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{\partial D(z, r)} \frac{f^2(w)}{w-z} dw \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f^2(z + re^{i\theta})| d\theta$ . By multiplying  $\rho$  both sides and integrating from 0 to  $r$ , we can get the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{r^2}{2} |f(z)|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \rho |f^2(z + re^{i\theta})| d\theta d\rho \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\bar{D}(z,r)} |f|^2 dm \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_U |f|^2 dm
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $z \in K$ , where  $m$  is lebesgue measure, using Holder's inequality and polar coordinate integration.

Therefore  $C = \frac{1}{r\sqrt{\pi}}$

(b) If  $f$  is identically zero, possible.

Else if  $f$  is constant, then  $\int_{\mathbb{C}} |f| dm = \infty$  since measure of complex plane is  $\infty$ .

Else, that is  $f$  is nonconstant entire function, then  $f$  must be unbounded. So, there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f| \geq 1$  for all  $|z| > \delta$ . Then  $\int_{\mathbb{C}} |f| dm \geq m(\{z : |z| > \delta\}) = \infty$ .

**Problem (4).** (a) Since  $\frac{z}{e^z - 1}$  is bounded near 0, it has removable singularity at 0. So we can regard it as holomorphic function. Note that  $e^z - 1 = 0$  when  $z$  is integer multiple of  $2\pi i$ . So, given power series converges on unit disc. Now, multiply  $e^z - 1$  both sides. Since  $e^z - 1$  is entire and given power series converges absolutely on  $\bar{D}(0, r)$  where  $0 < r < 1$ , we can write  $z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n!} z^n \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} z^n$ . Since  $z$  is entire, coefficient of power series is unique. By comparing coefficients of both sides, we can get given recursion formula.

$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{z}{e^z - 1} = 1 = B_0$ . From this, by simple calculation,  $B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $B_2 = \frac{1}{6}$ , and  $B_3 = 0$ .

Consider  $-z = f(z) - f(-z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2 \frac{B_{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} z^{2n+1}$ . This makes sense because  $f$  is holomorphic on unit disc. By comparing coefficient of this series, we can get  $B_{2m+1} = 0$  for  $m \geq 1$ .

(b) We already notice that  $e^z - 1$  is zero when  $z$  is integer multiple of  $2\pi i$ . But  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 2k\pi i} \frac{z}{e^z - 1}$  is not bounded when  $k \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $\frac{z}{e^z - 1}$  is holomorphic on  $D(0, 2\pi)$  and is not holomorphic outside of that disc. Since

power series representation of holomorphic function at  $P$  has radius of convergence at least  $d(P, U)$ , we can say radius of convergence of the series is  $2\pi$ .

**Problem (5).**

$f'$  is holomorphic on unit disc. Let  $r = \sup_{z \in K} |z|$ . Since  $K$  is compact,  $|f'| \leq M$  on  $K$  and  $r$  is positive but less than 1. Let  $\gamma(t) = tz^n$  which connects origin and  $z^n$ .  $|f(z^n) - f(0)| = \left| \int_{\gamma} f' dz \right| \leq M \sup_{z \in K} |z|^n = Mr^n$ . Therefore,  $|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(z^n)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(z^n)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Mr^n < \infty$  because  $r$  is positive but less than 1.