# mas541 homework

20208209 오재민

2020년 11월 23일

## Problem (1.1).

$$1 - \left| \frac{z - w}{1 - z\overline{w}} \right|^2 = 1 - \frac{(z - w)(\overline{z} - \overline{w})}{(1 - z\overline{w})(1 - \overline{z}w)}$$

$$= \frac{1 - \overline{z}w - z\overline{w} + |z|^2|w|^2 - |z|^2 - |w|^2 + z\overline{w} + \overline{z}w}{|1 - \overline{z}w|^2}$$

$$= \frac{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - |w|^2)}{|1 - \overline{z}w|^2}$$

## Problem (1.2).

Let f = u + iv.  $\partial f = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) (u + iv)$ . Then  $\overline{\partial f} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) (u - iv) = \overline{\partial f}$ .

## Problem (1.3).

If f is constant, then |f| is also constant. On the other hand, assume f = u + iv and  $|f|^2 = u^2 + v^2$  is positive real number. (if it is zero, then f must be zero)

$$u^2 + v^2 = R > 0$$

Differentiate both sides of the equation above with x and y respectively, we can get  $uu_x + vv_x = 0$ ,  $uu_y + vv_y = 0$ ,  $u_x = v_y$  and  $u_y = -v_x$ . By simple calculation we can get  $u_x = u_y = v_x = v_y = 0$ . Therefore u, v are constant.

#### Problem (1.4).

Note that  $\int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{ik\theta} d\theta = \int_{0}^{2\pi} (\cos k\theta + i \sin k\theta) d\theta = 0$  for positive integer k. Therefore  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (z_0 + re^{i\theta})^j d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{j} {j \choose k} z_0^k (re^{i\theta})^{j-k} d\theta = z_0^j$ . Similarly, we can get  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \overline{(z_0 + re^{i\theta})^j} d\theta = \bar{z_0}^j$ .

Since u is polynomial, we can write it as  $\sum_{l,k} a_{l,k} z^l \bar{z}^k$ . By direct computation, we can get  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u \left(z_0 + re^{i\theta}\right) d\theta = \sum_{l,k} a_{l,k} z^l_0 \bar{z}_0^k = u(z_0)$ .

# Problem (1.5).

Let 
$$f = u + iv$$
.  $(g \circ f)_x = g_u u_x + g_v v_x$ . Then

$$(g \circ f)_{xx} = (g_{uu}u_x + g_{uv}v_x) u_x + g_uu_{xx} + (g_{vu}u_x + g_{vv}v_x) v_x + g_vv_{xx}$$
$$(g \circ f)_{yy} = (g_{uu}u_y + g_{uv}v_y) u_y + g_uu_{yy} + (g_{vu}u_y + g_{vv}v_y) v_y + g_vv_{yy}$$

But we have Cauchy-Riemann equation and  $g_{uu} + g_{vv} = 0$  and  $g_{vu} = g_{uv}$ . Also, since f is  $C^2$  function, f is harmonic,  $u_{xy} = u_{yx}$ , and  $v_{xy} = v_{yx}$ . Using these equations, we can check that  $(g \circ f)_{xx} + (g \circ f)_{yy} = 0$ . Hence  $(g \circ f)$  is a harmonic function.

# Problem (2.1).

Let f = u + iv. Then  $\bar{f}f' = ff' - 2ivf'$ , where ff' is holomorphic. So,  $\int_{\gamma} \bar{f}f'dz = \int_{\gamma} -2ivf'dz = \int_{\gamma} -2iv(u_x + iv_x)dz = \int_{\gamma} -2iv(v_y + iv_x)dz = -i\int_{\sigma}^{b} (2vv_y + 2ivv_y)(\gamma_1' + i\gamma_2')dt = \alpha$  where  $\gamma = \gamma_1 + i\gamma_2$ .

Therefore, real part of  $\int_{\gamma} \bar{f} f' dz$  is equal to real part of  $\alpha$ . And it is also equal to  $-\int_a^b Im\left[(2vv_y+i2vv_x)(\gamma_1'+i\gamma_2')\right] dt = -\int_a^b (2vv_x\gamma_1'+2vv_y\gamma_2') dt = -\int_a^b \frac{d}{dt}(v^2\circ\gamma) dt = 0$  since  $\gamma$  is closed curve.

So,  $\int_{\gamma} \bar{f} f' dz$  is purely imaginary.

## Problem (2.2).

Let  $f = -u_y$  and  $g = u_x$ . Then f, g are continuous on U. Since u is harmonic,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}$  on  $U \setminus \{0\}$ . So there is  $v : U \to \mathbb{R}$  which is  $C^1$  function and  $v_x = f$ ,  $v_y = g$  by lemma 2.5.3.

Let F = u + iv. Then F is  $C^1$  function since u, v are  $C^1$ . Since  $v_x = f = -u_y$  and  $v_y = g = u_x$ , F satisfies Cauchy-Riemann equation on U. Thus F is holomorphic on U and real part of F is u.

## Problem (2.3).

(a) For  $z \notin [0,1]$ , the map  $w \mapsto \frac{1}{w-z}$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0,1]$ . Let  $\gamma(t) = t$  for  $t \in [0,1]$ . Then  $F(z) = \int_{\gamma} \frac{dw}{w-z} = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{t-z} dt$  is well defined.

 $\begin{array}{l} For\ z\notin [0,1],\ let\ d>0\ be\ distance\ between\ z\ and\ [0,1].\ For\ |h|<\frac{d}{2},\ consider\ \frac{F(z+h)-F(z)}{h}=\int_0^1\frac{1}{(t-z-h)(t-z)}dt.\ \ Then\ \left|\frac{1}{(t-z-h)(t-z)}-\frac{1}{(t-z)^2}\right|=\left|\frac{h}{(t-z)^2(t-z-h)}\right|\leq |h|\frac{2}{d^3}\ since\ |t-z|\geq d\ and\ |t-z-h|\geq \frac{d}{2}.\ \ Therefore,\ as\ |h|\to 0,\ integrand\ converges\ to\ \frac{1}{(t-z)^2}\ uniformly\ on\ t\in [0,1].\ \ So\ \lim_{h\to 0}\frac{F(z+h)-F(z)}{h}=\int_0^1\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{1}{(t-z-h)(t-z)}dt=\int_0^1\frac{1}{(t-z)^2}dt=F'(z). \end{array}$ 

By same reasoning, we get  $F''(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(t-z)^3} dt$ . From existence of F'', F' is continuous. Therefore F is  $C^1$  function. Existence of complex derivative and  $C^1$  implies F is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0,1]$ .

- (b) For  $s \in (0,1)$ ,  $F(s+i\varepsilon) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{t-s-i\varepsilon} dt = \int_0^1 \frac{t-s+i\varepsilon}{(t-s)^2+\varepsilon^2} dt = \int_0^1 \frac{t-s}{(t-s)^2+\varepsilon^2} dt + i \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{\varepsilon}{(t-s)^2+\varepsilon^2} dt$ . Let  $t-s = \varepsilon \tan \theta$ .  $\varepsilon \tan \theta_0 + s = 0$  and  $\varepsilon \tan \theta_1 + s = 1$  for  $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta_0, \theta_1 < \frac{\pi}{2}$ . Then  $\sec^2 \theta_0 = \frac{s^2}{\varepsilon^2} + 1$ ,  $\sec^2 \theta_1 = \frac{(1-s)^2}{\varepsilon^2} + 1$ ,  $\theta_0 = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{-s}{\varepsilon}\right)$ , and  $\theta_1 = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{1-s}{\varepsilon}\right)$ .
  - Then  $F(s+i\varepsilon) = \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1} \tan\theta d\theta + i \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1} d\theta = \log \left| \frac{\sec \theta_1}{\sec \theta_0} \right| + i (\theta_1 \theta_0)$ . As  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ ,  $F(s+i\varepsilon)$  goes to  $\frac{1-s}{s} + i\pi$  by simple calculation.

Similarly,  $F(s-i\varepsilon)$  goes to  $\frac{1-s}{s}-i\pi$  as  $\varepsilon\downarrow 0$ .

(c) Consider  $F(-\varepsilon) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{t+\varepsilon} dt = \log \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}$ . It goes to  $\infty$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ . Consider  $F(1+\varepsilon) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{t-1-\varepsilon} dt = \log \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}$ . It goes to  $-\infty$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ . Therefore, for s = 0, 1,  $\lim_{z \notin [0,1] \to s} F(z)$  does not exists.

# Problem (2.4).

First consider  $p \equiv 0$ . We can easily see that  $\sup_{z \in C} |z^{-n}| = 1$  so desired value  $\leq 1$ .

Note that  $|p(z)-z^{-n}|=|z^np(z)-1|$ . Thus,  $1=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C \frac{z^np(z)-1}{z}dz \le \sup_{z\in C}|z^np(z)-1|$ .

Those leads the conclusion.

# Problem (2.5).

It is enough to show  $\gamma$  and  $\mu$  are path homotopic. Definte  $H(t,s)=(1-s)\gamma(t)+\frac{\gamma(t)}{|\gamma(t)|}s$ . Then  $H(t,1)=\mu(t)$  and  $H(t,0)=\gamma(t)$  by reparametrization. And H is continuous because  $\gamma(t)\neq 0$ . Therefore H is path homotopy between  $\gamma$  and  $\mu$ . Since line integration is invariant under path homotopy, we get  $\int_{\gamma}F(\zeta)d\zeta=\int_{\mu}F(\zeta)d\zeta$ .

# Problem (3.1).

It suffices to show that  $\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = 0$  for rectangle  $\gamma$  whose edges are parallel to coordinate axes by Morera's theorem.

First, assume that  $\gamma$  intersects with [0,1] only finitely many points. Let p be such point. Then p must be on (wlog) left edge of  $\gamma$ . Let a+ib, a+ic be two vertices incident with left edge. (b>c) Let  $\rho(t)=a+i(tc+(1-t)b)$ . Consider  $f\circ\rho$ . It is continuous and equals to  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}F(\rho(t))$  except for  $\gamma^{-1}(p)$  where F is antiderivative of f on  $\mathbb{C}\setminus[0,1]$ . Then lemma 2.3.1 says  $f(\rho(t))=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}F(\rho(t))$  even for  $\gamma^{-1}(p)$ . Therefore  $\int_{\rho}f(z)dz=F(a+ic)-F(a+ib)$ . By using this result, we can easily calculate  $\int_{\gamma}f(z)dz=0$ .

Now, assume that (wlog) upper edge of  $\gamma$  intersects with [0,1]. Let  $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4$  which are upper edge, left edge, bottom edge, and right edge respectively, parametrized like  $\rho$  of above, positive oriented. Consider  $\varphi$  made by shrinking side edges of  $\gamma$  so that distance between of upper edges of  $\varphi$  and  $\gamma$  less than  $\delta$ , while bottom edge is fixed. Also note that  $\delta$  is chosen so that  $d(z_0, z_1) < \delta$  implies  $d(f(z_0), f(z_1)) < \varepsilon$ .

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f(z)dz - \int_{\varphi} f(z)dz \right| \le \left| \int_{\gamma_{2} - \varphi_{2}} f(z)dz + \int_{\gamma_{4} - \varphi_{4}} f(z)dz \right| + \left( \text{length of } \gamma_{1} \right) \varepsilon$$

And, second term of above goes to 0 as distance between  $\varphi_1$  and  $\gamma_1$  goes to 0 by continuity and result of first case. Actually  $\int_{\varphi} f(z)dz = 0$  because  $\varphi$  does not intersect with [0,1]. Thus we have shown that  $\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = 0$ .

By first, second case and Morera's thm, f is actually entire function.

## Problem (3.2).

For 0 < r < 1,  $|f^{(n)}(0)| \le \frac{n!}{r^n} \frac{1}{1-r}$  by using Cauchy estimate.  $r^n(1-r)$  is maximized when  $r = \frac{n}{n+1}$ . So, when  $r = \frac{n}{n+1}$ , we get best estimate of  $|f^{(n)}(0)|$ .

#### Problem (3.3).

(a) Since K is compact subset of open set U, there is r > 0 such that for all  $x \in K$ , closure of D(x,r) is in U. Then,  $|f(z)|^2 \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{\partial D(z,r)} \frac{f^2(w)}{w-z} dw \right| \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f^2(z+re^{i\theta})d\theta|$ . By multiplying  $\rho$  both sides and integrating from 0 to r, we can get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r^2}{2}|f(z)|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \rho |f^2(z + re^{i\theta})| d\theta d\rho \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\overline{D}(z,r)} |f|^2 dm \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{U} |f|^2 dm \end{aligned}$$

for all  $z \in K$ , where m is lebesgue measure, using Holder's inequality and polar coordinate integration.

Therefore  $C = \frac{1}{r\sqrt{\pi}}$ 

(b) If f is identically zero, possible.

Else if f is constant, then  $\int_{\mathbb{C}} |f| dm = \infty$  since measure of complex plane is  $\infty$ .

Else, that is f is nonconstant entire function, then f must be unbounded. So, there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f| \geq 1$  for all  $|z| > \delta$ . Then  $\int_{\mathbb{C}} |f| dm \geq m (\{z : |z| > \delta\}) = \infty$ .

**Problem** (3.4). (a) Since  $\frac{z}{e^z-1}$  is bounded near 0, it has removable singularity at 0. So we can regard it as holomorphic function. Note that  $e^z-1=0$  when z is integer multiple of  $2\pi i$ . So, given power series converges on unit disc. Now, multiply  $e^z-1$  both sides. Since  $e^z-1$  is entire and given power series converges absolutely on  $\bar{D}(0,r)$  where 0 < r < 1, we can write  $z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n!} z^n \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} z^n$ . Since z is entire, coefficient of power series is unique. By comparing coefficients of both sides, we can get given recursion formula.

 $\lim_{z\to 0} \frac{z}{e^z-1} = 1 = B_0$ . From this, by simple calculation,  $B_1 = \frac{-1}{2}$ ,  $B_2 = \frac{1}{6}$ , and  $B_3 = 0$ .

Consider  $-z = f(z) - f(-z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2 \frac{B_{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} z^{2n+1}$ . This makes sense because f is holomorphic on unit disc. By comparing coefficient of this series, we can get  $B_{2m+1} = 0$  for  $m \ge 1$ .

(b) We already notice that  $e^z - 1$  is zero when z is integer multiple of  $2\pi i$ . But  $\lim_{z \to 2k\pi i} \frac{z}{e^z - 1}$  is not bounded when  $k \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $\frac{z}{e^z - 1}$  is holomorphic on  $D(0, 2\pi)$  and is not holomorphic outside of that disc. Since power seriese representation of holomorphic function at P has radius of convergence at least d(P,U), we can say radius of convergence of the series is  $2\pi$ .

# Problem (3.5).

 $f' \ is \ holomorphic \ on \ unit \ disc. \ Let \ r = \sup_{z \in K} |z|. \ Since \ K \ is \ compact,$   $|f'| \leq M \ on \ K \ and \ r \ is \ positive \ but \ less \ than \ 1. \ Let \ \gamma(t) = tz^n \ which \ connects$   $origin \ and \ z^n. \ |f(z^n) - f(0)| = \left|\int_{\gamma} f' dz\right| \leq M \sup_{z \in K} |z|^n = Mr^n. \ Therefore,$   $|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(z^n)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(z^n)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Mr^n < \infty \ because \ r \ is \ positive \ but \ less \ than \ 1.$ 

# Problem (4.1).

Notice that f does not vanish on  $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ . Therefore  $g(z)=\frac{1}{f(z)}$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ . Near 0, g is bounded since  $\sqrt{|z|}$  goes to 0 as z goes to 0. This means g has removable singularity at 0 and therefore entire. But  $g(z) \leq \sqrt{|z|}$ , so g must be constant by Cauchy integral formula.

Then f must be constant also, and this is contradiction. Therefore there is no such holomorphic function.

## Problem (4.2).

Let  $g(z) = f(\frac{1}{z})$ . Then  $g \to 0$  as  $z \to 0$ . Therefore g is entire. Also, g(z)/z is entire since  $\lim_{z\to 0} g(z)/z = g'(0)$  hence bounded near 0.

Now, consider given integral. Let  $\zeta=e^{it}$  and  $t=2\pi-s$ . Then given integral is  $\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(e^{-is})}{e^{-is}-z} i e^{-is} ds = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{g(e^{is})}{e^{is}-e^{2is}z} i e^{is} ds = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|\zeta|=1}^{g(\zeta)} \frac{g(\zeta)}{\zeta z\left(\frac{1}{z}-w\right)} d\zeta$ 

Therefore given integral is equal to  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=1} \frac{h(\zeta)}{\frac{1}{z}-\zeta} d\zeta$  where  $h(\zeta) = \frac{g(\zeta)}{\zeta z}$ . Thus, it is equal to -g(1/z) = -f(z).

## Problem (4.3).

f maps  $re^{i\theta}$  to  $\sqrt{r}e^{i\left(\frac{\theta}{2}+k(z)\pi\right)}$  where  $k(z) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . To f be continuous, k(z) must be all even or all odd.

First assume that k(z) is all even. Then  $f'(0) = \lim_{\mathbb{R} \ni h \to 0} \frac{f(h)}{h} = \lim_{\mathbb{R} \ni h \to 0} \frac{\sqrt{h}}{h} = \infty$ , which is contradiction.

Similarly, if k(z) is all odd, f'(0) does not exist.

Therefore existence of such f leads  $0 \notin U$ .

Let  $\iota$  be identity function of U. Since  $z \notin U$ ,  $\iota$  does not vanish on U, hence  $1/\iota$  is holomorphic on U. Since U is hsc,  $1/\iota$  has holomorphic antiderivative  $\varphi$ .

Now consider the derivative of  $\iota(z)e^{-\varphi(z)}$ . Simple calculation leads that it is equal to 0. Hence  $\iota(z)=ce^{\varphi(z)}$  for some constant c. Therefore  $\iota(z)=e^{\psi(z)}$  for some holomorphic  $\psi$  on U.

Take  $f = e^{\frac{1}{2}\psi}$ . Then f satisfies what we want.

#### Problem (4.4).

(a) Let  $\gamma_R$  be the contour used in example 4.6.5.

First, consider  $\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x^a(x+1)} dx$ . To calculate this, take  $f(z) = z^{-a}/(1+z)$ where  $0 < arg(z) < 2\pi$ . By residue thm,  $2\pi i e^{-a\pi i} = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r^a(r+1)} dr \left(1 - e^{-2a\pi i}\right)$ . Therefore  $\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x^a(x+1)} dx = \pi \csc(\pi a)$ .

Now,  $\int_{\gamma_R} \frac{\log z}{z^a(1+z)} dz = 2\pi i e^{-a\pi i} \pi i$  by residue thm. But as  $R \to \infty$ , that integral goes to  $(1-e^{-2a\pi i}) \int_0^\infty \frac{\log r}{r^a(r+1)} dr - e^{-2a\pi i} \int_0^\infty \frac{2\pi i \log r}{r^a(r+1)} dr$ . By simple calculation, the value we want is equal to  $\frac{i\pi^2}{\sin(\pi a)} + \frac{\pi^2 e^{-a\pi i}}{\sin^2(\pi a)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{2\pi$ 

 $\frac{\pi^2 \cos(\pi a)}{\sin^2(\pi a)}$ 

(b) Consider  $f(z) = \frac{\pi \cot(\pi z)}{(z+\alpha)^2}$  and  $\Gamma_n = \text{square centered at origin, each edges}$ is parallel to real or imaginary axis, length of edge is 2n + 1.

Then  $\int_{\Gamma_n} f(z)dz$  goes to 0 as  $n \to \infty$  by considering modulus of f(z), and index of  $\Gamma_n$  at each singularities is 1, and residues are  $\frac{1}{(k+\alpha)^2}$  at z=kand  $-\frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi\alpha)^2}$  at  $z=-\alpha$ .

Above calculation leads the conclusion.

# Problem (4.5).

Note that  $f: \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  is holomorphic iff f is meromorphic on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ .

(a) First consider 'if' part. Let f be rational function. We already knows that rational function is meromorphic on entire complex plane. So, we need to show that rational function is meromorphic at  $\infty$ .

Let  $f(z) = \frac{(z-Q_1)^{m_1}\cdots(z-Q_l)^{m_l}}{(z-P_1)^{n_1}\cdots(z-P_k)^{n_k}}$ . Since f has finitely many pole in complex plane, we can choose M > 0 so that f has no pole on  $\{z : |z| > M\}$ . For  $0 < |w| < \frac{1}{M}$ , consider g(w) = f(1/w). Then g is holomorphic.

Let  $\sum_i n_i = N$  and  $\sum_j m_j = M$ . If M = N,  $g \to 1$  as  $z \to 0$ . If M > N,  $g \rightarrow 0$  as  $z \rightarrow 0$ . If  $M < N, g \rightarrow \infty$  if  $z \rightarrow 0$ . Hence g is meromorphic near 0, which means that f is meromorphic at  $\infty$ .

Second, consider 'only if' part. Either f has a pole or removable singularity at  $\infty$ , f has finitely many poles in complex plane. So f(z)(z- $(P_1)^{n_1} \cdots (z-P_k)^{n_k} = F(z)$  is entire where  $n_i$  is order of pole  $P_i$ .

Consider F(1/z) = g(z) for  $z \neq 0$ . As  $z \to 0$ ,  $g \to \infty$  or  $\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in$  $\mathbb{C}$  by simple calculation. Therefore F has a pole or removable singularity  $at \infty$ .

If F has removable singularity at  $\infty$ , F must be bounded, hence constant by Liouville's thm.

If F has a pole at  $\infty$ , F must be polynomial since its modulus diverges. In both cases, F must be rational function.

(b) Note that  $z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$  for  $ad-bc \neq 0$  is biholomorphic function of Riemann sphere. Also note that biholomorphic function of  $\mathbb{C}$  must have a form of  $\alpha z + \beta$  for  $\alpha \neq 0$  by fundamental thm of algebra.

Now consider biholomorphic f on Riemann sphere. Let  $f(\infty) = b$  and  $\varphi_b(z) = \frac{-\bar{b}-1}{z-b}$ . Then  $\varphi_b \circ f$  is biholomorphic function of Riemann sphere, which maps  $\infty \to \infty$ . Therefore  $\varphi_b \circ f$  is biholomorphic function of complex plane hence  $\varphi_b(f(z)) = \alpha z + \beta$ . Then  $f(z) = \frac{-b\alpha z - b\beta + 1}{-\alpha z - \beta - \bar{b}}$ , which is linear frational transformation.

## Problem (5.1).

Let  $P(z)=z^n+a_{n-1}z^{n-1}+\cdots+a_0$  and assume that P(z)=0 has no solution. Then by the argument principle,  $\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial D(Q,R)}\frac{P'(\zeta)}{P(\zeta)}d\zeta=0$  for all R>0. That integral is equal to  $\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{P'(Q+Re^{i\theta})}{P(Q+Re^{i\theta})}Rie^{i\theta}d\theta$ . But, as  $R\to\infty$ , integrand of above goes to in uniformly on  $0\le\theta\le 2\pi$ . Therefore, the integral above goes to n>0 which is the degree of P. It is contradiction. Thus P(z)=0 has at least one solution in complex plane.

# Problem (5.2).

Assume the existence of such f. Since f is bounded near 0, Riemann removable singularity theorem says that f can be extended to the function which is holomorphic on entire unit disc.

If modulus of f(0) is equal to 1 or 2, then image of the unit disc under f is not open which contradicts to the open mapping theorem. So  $f(0) \in \{w: 1 < |w| < 2\}$ .

Since f is surjective function of the punctured unit disc onto the annulus, we can find  $w \neq 0$  such that f(0) = f(w). Choose two disjoint neighborhood  $U_w, U_0$  of w, 0 respectively. Then by the open mapping theorem,  $f(U_w)$  and  $f(U_0)$  are open and  $f(0) \in f(U_w) \cap f(U_0)$ . Since  $f(U_w) \cap f(U_0)$  is open, we can choose small neighborhood of f(0) contained in the previous set. And therefore we can choose  $f(0) \neq \alpha \in f(U_w) \cap f(U_0)$ . This cannot be happen since f is injective.

Thus there is no such f.

#### Problem (5.3).

(a) Choose  $R > \lambda$ , and choose n so large that  $\lambda - 1 \ge 1/n$ . Then  $\bar{D}(R, R - \frac{1}{n}) \subset Right \ half \ plane$ .

Then for  $\zeta \in \partial D(R, R-1/n)$ ,  $|e^{-\zeta}| < 1 \le \lambda - 1/n \le |\zeta - \lambda|$ . Put  $f(z) = e^{-z} + z - \lambda$  and  $g(z) = z - \lambda$ . Then by above and Rouche's theorem, f and g has same zero on D(R, R-1/n). But any  $z \in Right$  half plane must be inside of D(R, R-1/n) for some large R and n. This means f and g have same zero on the right half plane.

But g(z) = 0 has unique solution. Therefore  $e^{-z} + z - \lambda = 0$  has unique solution on the right half plane.

(b) Fix  $z' \in U$ . Note that  $U \setminus \{z'\}$  is still a domain. Let  $g_k(z) = f_k(z) - f_k(z')$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{z'\}$ . Since  $f_j$  is an injective holomorphic function on U,  $g_k$  does not vanish on  $U \setminus \{z'\}$ . Uniform convergence of  $f_j$  on compact subsets of U implies uniform convergence of  $g_k$  on compact subsets of  $U \setminus \{z'\}$ . Since  $g_k$  is nonvanishing function, by Hurwitz's theorem,  $\lim_{k \to \infty} g_k(z) = f(z) - f(z')$  does not vanish or identically zero.

If it is identically zero on  $U \setminus \{z'\}$ , then f must be constant function on U. If it is nonvanishing on  $U \setminus \{z'\}$ , then f(z'') = f(z') implies z'' = z'. Thus f must be injective.

# Problem (5.4).

It seems to be solved by the maximum modulus principle (or theorem), but I don't know where to start.

# Problem (5.5).

For  $z \in S$ ,  $|\varphi(z)| = \left|\frac{e^{2\pi zi}-1}{e^{2\pi zi}+1}\right|$ , and the real part of  $e^{2\pi zi} > 0$  because  $z \in S$ . Then it is clear that  $|\varphi(z)| < 1$ . Also  $\varphi(0) = 0$ .

Therefore  $\varphi \circ f: D \to D$  is holomorphic and it fixes the origin. Then Schwarz's lemma says  $|\varphi'(0)f'(0)| \leq 1$ . But  $\varphi'(0) = \pi$ . Therefore  $|f'(0)| \leq 1/\pi$ . The equality holds only if  $\varphi(f(z)) = wz$  for some |w| = 1.

## Problem (9.1).

First, by considering the Maclaurine series of  $\cos z$ ,  $\cos \sqrt{z}$  is an entire function. Now, note that  $\cos z = \frac{e^{iz} + e^{-iz}}{2}$ , so modulus of  $\cos \sqrt{z}$  is bounded by  $e^{|z|/2}$ . Therefore  $\lambda(\cos \sqrt{z}) \le 1/2$ . Since genus is nonnegative integer bounded by order, the genus of  $\cos \sqrt{z}$  must be 0.

Now consider  $f(z) = \sin^2 z$ . Its zero set is  $\{k\pi\}$  where k is an integer. Note that the smallest nonnegative integer p satisfying  $\sum_{k\neq 0} |k\pi|^{-p-1}$  is 1. Therefore the rank of f is 1. Since  $f(z) = \frac{e^{2iz} + e^{-2iz} - 2}{-4}$ , its modulus is bounded by  $e^{2|z|}$ . Thus  $\lambda(f) \leq 1$ . But we know the relation :  $1 = rank \leq genus \leq order \leq 1$ . Therefore the genus of  $\sin^2 z$  is one.

Now consider  $g(z)=\sin z^2$ . The zero set of g is  $\{\sqrt{k\pi}\}$  where k is an integer. Note that the smallest nonnegative integer p satisfying  $\sum 2|\sqrt{k\pi}|^{-p-1}$  is 2. Therefore the rank of g is 2. Since  $g(z)=\frac{e^{iz^2}-e^{-iz^2}}{2i}$ , its modulus is bounded by  $e^{|z|^2}$ . Thus  $\lambda(g)\leq 2$ . So,  $2=rank\leq genus\leq order\leq 2$ .

Problem (9.2).

It is well known fact that  $\left\{e^{in\sqrt{2}\pi}:n\in\mathbb{N}\right\}$  is dense in  $S^1$ . Let  $a_n=\frac{2^n-1}{2^n}e^{in\sqrt{2}\pi}$ . Then every point on  $S^1$  is accumulation point of  $\left\{a_n\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Note that  $\sum 1-|a_n|=\sum 2^{-n}<\infty$ . Therefore the corresponding Blaschke product  $B(z)=\prod_n-\frac{a_n}{|a_n|}B_{a_n}(z)$  is holomorphic on the unit disc D and vanishes on  $\left\{a_n\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  exactly. But, if  $w\in\partial D$ , then w is accumulation point of the zero set of B. Thus if w is regular, then extension of B on small neighborhood of w is identically zero, which is contradiction. So B is the desired one.

Let f be an entire function. Let  $M(r) = \sup_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$ . Before #3 and #4, we need the followings:

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r)}{\log r} = \lambda$$

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{n \log n}{-\log |a_n|} = \lambda$$

where  $a_n$  is the *n*-th Maclaurine coefficient of f.

For the first formula, let  $\rho < a = \limsup \frac{\log \log M(r)}{\log r}$ . Then there is  $r_n \uparrow \infty$  such that  $\rho < \frac{\log \log M(r_n)}{\log r_n}$ . Then  $M(r_n) > \exp(r_n^{\rho})$  which says  $\lambda \geq \rho$ . Since  $\rho$  is arbitrary, we can deduce that  $\lambda \geq a$ .

For the other direction, let  $\rho < \lambda$ . Then there is increasing sequence  $r_n \uparrow \infty$  such that  $M(r_n) > \exp(r_n^{\rho})$ . Thus  $\log \log M(r_n)/\log r_n \ge \rho$  which leads  $a \ge \rho$ . Since  $\rho \le \lambda$  is arbitrary,  $a \ge \lambda$ .

For the second formula, let  $\mu = \limsup_n \frac{n \log n}{-\log |a_n|}$ . If  $\mu = \infty$ , then  $\lambda \leq \mu$  directly. So assume  $\mu < \infty$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then  $0 \leq \frac{n \log n}{-\log |a_n|} \leq \mu + \varepsilon$  for  $n \geq N$ . By simple calculation,  $|a_n| \leq n^{-n/(\mu+\varepsilon)}$ . Thus  $M(r) \leq \sum |a_n| r^n \leq \sum_{n < (2r)^{\mu+\varepsilon}} () + \sum_{n > (2r)^{\mu+\varepsilon}} () = S_1 + S_2$ .

$$S_1 \le r^{(2r)^{\mu+\varepsilon}} \sum_n n^{-n/(\mu+\varepsilon)}$$

$$= O(r^{(2r)^{\mu+\varepsilon}} = O(\exp((2r)^{\mu+\varepsilon} \log r))$$

$$= O(\exp(r^{\mu+2\varepsilon}))$$

And  $n^{-1/(\mu+\varepsilon)}r \leq 1/2$  yields  $S_2 \leq 1$ . Thus  $M(r) = O(\exp(r^{\mu+2\varepsilon}))$ , which implies  $\lambda \leq \mu + 2\varepsilon$ . By letting  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ , we get  $\lambda \leq \mu$ .

For the other direction, let  $0 < \tau < \mu$ . Then  $\tau \le \frac{n \log n}{-\log |a_n|}$  for infinitely many n which goes to  $\infty$ . For those n,  $\log |a_n| \ge \frac{-n \log n}{\tau}$ . By cauchy's thm, we know that  $|a_n| \le M(r)r^{-n}$ . So,

$$\log M(r) \ge \log |a_n| + n \log r$$

$$\ge n \left( \log r - \frac{\log n}{\tau} \right)$$

By taking  $r_n = (en)^{1/\tau}$ ,  $\log M(r_n) \ge n/\tau = r_n^{\tau}/(e\tau)$ . So

$$\frac{\log\log M(r_n)}{\log r_n} \ge \frac{\tau\log r_n - \log e\tau}{\log r_n}$$

thus  $\limsup \geq \tau$ . Since  $\tau$  is arbitrary, we get  $\lambda \geq \mu$  by the first formula.

Problem (9.3).

If  $\sum a_n z^n$  is an entire function, then its order is determined by  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{n\log n}{-\log|a_n|}$ .

(a) First represent f as the Maclaurine series. Let  $a_n$  be its n-th coefficient.

But  $\limsup_n \frac{n \log n}{-\log n - \log |a_n|} = \limsup_n \frac{n \log n}{-\log |a_n|}$ . So the order of f and f' are same.

(b) Note that  $\log E_n(z) = z^{n+1}/(n+1) + z^{n+2}/(n+2) + \cdots$  by power series. Also,  $\log |z| \le |\log z| = |\log |z| + i \arg(z)|$ . So  $\log |E_n(z)| \le |z|^{n+1}/(1 - |z|)$  for |z| < 1.

By definition of  $E_n$ , it is also clear that  $\log |E_n| \leq \log |E_{n-1}| + |z|^n$ . Now we claim that  $\log |E_n| \leq (2n+1)|z|^{n+1}$ . This can be done by the following:

$$\log |E_n| \le |z| \log |E_n| + |z|^{n+1}$$

$$\le |z| (\log |E_{n-1}| + |z|^n) + |z|^{n+1}$$

$$\le |z| (2n|z|^n) + |z|^{n+1}$$

for |z| < 1 and induction. The case when  $|z| \ge 1$  can be done by using the part of above.

Now put  $n = \mu = \text{genus}$ . Let P be the canonical product of given entire function with rate  $\mu$ . Then  $\log |P| \leq (2\mu + 1)|z|^{\mu+1} \sum_n |a_n|^{-\mu-1}$ . Since  $f = cz^m e^g P$  where the degree of g is less or equal to  $\mu$ , the order of f is thus determined by P. The above inequality implies  $\lambda(f) \leq \mu + 1$ .

(c) Let  $a_n$  be a sequence of zeros of f. Since we know that the order of f and f' are same,  $\lambda(f) \leq 1$ . Thus  $\sum_n |a_n|^{-1-1} < \infty$ . But  $\sum_n (\sqrt{n})^{-1-1} \leq \sum_n |a_n|^{-1-1} < \infty$  which is contradiction. Therefore f must be constant, so f(z) = 0 for every z.

#### **Problem** (9.4).

Let  $a_n$  be n-th coefficient of g. Then  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} |a_n|^{1/n} = 0$  so the radius of convergence is  $\infty$ , thus g is an entire function.

By Stirling's formula,  $\log(n!) = n \log n - n + O(\log n)$ . Therefore  $\frac{n \log n}{\log(n!)} \to 1$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

 $\frac{n \log n}{-\log a_n} = \frac{n \log n}{\alpha \log(n!)} \to 1/\alpha$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Therefore the order of g is  $1/\alpha$ .

Problem (9.5).

By considering the Maclaurine series of  $\sin z$ ,  $\sin \sqrt{z}/\sqrt{z}$  is holomorphic by the Riemann removable singularity theorem. And by simple calculation, its order is bigger than 0 and smaller or equal to 1/2.

Now, consider  $f(z)=\sin z/z$ . Since the order of f is finite and f is entire, it can omit at most one complex number. If f omit the value c, then f(z)-c is nonvanishing, so  $f(z)-c=\exp(g(z))$ . But the degree of g must be 0 or 1 since the order of f is less or equal to 1. If the degree of g is zero, then f(z)-c is constant which is contradiction. So we can say that  $f(z)-c=\exp(az+b)$ . But, as  $|z|\to\infty$ ,  $\left|\frac{f(z)-c}{\exp(az+b)}\right|\to 0$  which is contradiction because it must be equal to 1. Therefore, we can conclude that f(z) assumes every complex value.

Let  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  be given. Then the solution of f(z) = c exists, say  $\alpha$ . Then  $\alpha^2$  is a solution of  $f(\sqrt{z}) = c$ . Therefore c is in the image of  $f(\sqrt{z})$ , which is entire of nonintegral finite order. Thus there are infinitely many solutions of  $f(\sqrt{z}) = c$ , say  $w_1, w_2, \cdots$ . Then  $\sqrt{w_1}, \sqrt{w_2}, \cdots$  are the infinite solutions of f(z) = c.