

## HW

2015160046 오재민

---

*Date:* May 10, 2020.

Comment: In problem 19 and 21, we treat the functions in  $L^1$ . So  $f(x) - g(x)$  is well defined except on null set  $N$  which is  $\{x : f(x) = \pm\infty \text{ or } g(x) = \pm\infty\}$ .

Problem 19.

It is trivial to check whether  $f$  is measurable or not. (Surely measurable.) There are countably many corresponding null sets. Let  $N$  be a union of such null sets. Then all assumptions of problem are valid except on  $N$ . Note that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |f_k(x)| \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g_k(x) = g(x)$  almost everywhere and  $g \in L^1$  so  $f \in L^1$ . Now consider  $g_k + g - |f - f_k| = h_k$  which is nonnegative measurable function except on  $N$ . By applying Fatou's lemma, we can get :

$$\begin{aligned} \int \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_k d\lambda &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int g d\lambda + \int (g_k - |f - f_k|) d\lambda \right) = \\ &\int g d\lambda - \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int (|f - f_k| - g_k) d\lambda \right) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\int g d\lambda + \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int (|f - f_k| - g_k) d\lambda \right) \leq 0$ . But we know that  $\int g d\lambda = \limsup \int g_k d\lambda$  and  $\limsup (a_k + b_k) \leq \limsup (a_k) + \limsup (b_k)$ . Thus,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int (g_k + |f - f_k| - g_k) d\lambda \right) \leq 0$$

which means  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int |f - f_k| d\lambda = 0$  because limsup of nonnegative sequence goes to positive (or infinity) when it does not go to 0.

Then  $\lim |\int f_k d\lambda - \int f d\lambda| = 0$ , which implies conclusion of our problem.

#### section D. Integration over subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Problem 20.

It is obvious that  $\{x \in E : -\infty \leq f(x) \leq t\} \subset E$  for every  $t \in [-\infty, \infty]$ . By completeness of Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$ , every subset of null set is measurable. Hence  $f$  is measurable.

Now consider  $0 \leq s \leq f_+ 1_E$  where  $s$  is nonnegative simple function.  $s$  can have positive value on subset of  $E$ . Therefore  $\int s d\lambda = 0$ . So  $\int f_+ 1_E d\lambda = 0$ . Similarly, we can show that  $\int f_- 1_E d\lambda = 0$ . Thus we get  $\int_E f d\lambda = 0$  for all measurable function defined on  $E$ .

Problem 21.

There are countably many corresponding null sets. Let  $N$  be a union of such null sets. Then all assumptions of problem are valid except on  $N$ . Now consider  $|f - f_k| \leq f + f_k = g_k \in L^1$  a.e. and  $\lim g_k = 2f$  exists a.e. and  $\lim \int g_k d\lambda = \int 2f d\lambda$ . So all the assumptions of problem 19 are satisfied.

Therefore  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int |f_k - f| d\lambda = \int \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |f_k - f| d\lambda = 0$ .

From above and  $\int_E f d\lambda \leq \int f d\lambda$  for nonnegative measurable function  $f$ , we can get

$$\lim \left| \int_E f d\lambda - \int_E f_k d\lambda \right| \leq \lim \int_E |f - f_k| d\lambda = 0$$

So  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_k d\lambda = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f d\lambda$ .

### section E. Generalization of Measure Space.

Problem 22.

Let  $A_1 = A$ ,  $A_2 = B \setminus A$ ,  $A_k = \emptyset$  for  $k \geq 3$ . Then by countable additivity of  $\mu$ ,  $\mu(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k) = \mu(B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B \setminus A)$ . Therefore  $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$  because  $B \setminus A \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mu(B \setminus A) \geq 0$ .

Problem 24.

Let  $B_1 = A_1$ ,  $B_k = A_k \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} A_j \subset A_k$  for  $k \geq 2$ . Then  $B_k$ 's are pairwise disjoint and in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Also  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$ . Therefore  $\mu(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k) = \mu(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_k)$ .

Problem 25.

Let  $B_1 = A_1$  and  $B_k = A_k \setminus A_{k-1}$  for  $k \geq 2$ . Then  $B_k$ 's are pairwise disjoint and union from index 1 to index  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$  is same for that of  $A_k$ 's. Therefore  $\mu(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k) = \mu(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_k) = \lim \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(B_k) = \lim \mu(A_n)$ .