# mas550 homework

20208209 오재민

2020년 10월 12일

#### **Problem** (1.1.2).

Let  $A = \prod_{i=1}^d (a_i, b_i]$ . Then

$$A = (\Pi_{i=1}^d [a_i - 1, b_i]) \cap (\Pi_{I=1}^d (a_i, b_i + 1))$$

which is intersection of open set and closed set. So,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^d$  therefore  $\sigma(S_d) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ .

On the other hand, let  $B = \prod_{i=1}^{d} (a_i, b_i)$  where  $-\infty < a_i < b_i < \infty$ . We can choose sequences  $\{a_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{b_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  for each  $1 \le i \le d$  such that  $a_{i,j} \downarrow a_i$  and  $b_{i,j} \uparrow b_i$ . Then  $B_n = \prod_{i=1}^{d} (a_{i,n}, b_{i,n}] \uparrow B$ . So B is a countable union of open rectangles, hence  $B \in \sigma(S_d)$ . Since such B forms basis of topology on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we can conclude that  $\mathcal{R}^d \subset \sigma(S_d)$ .

#### **Problem** (1.2.3).

Let F be a distribution function. It is nonnegative, nondecreasing. So  $\lim_{y\downarrow x} F(y)$  and  $\lim_{y\uparrow x} F(y)$  always exist. Let x be a point where F is discontinuous. Since F is discontinuous at x, we can assume without loss of generality  $\lim_{y\downarrow x} F(y) > F(x)$ . Choose a rational number  $q_x \in (F(x), \lim_{y\downarrow x} F(y))$ . Then function  $x\mapsto q_x$  is injective since F is nondecreasing. So there is injection from set of discontinuities to rational numbers. Now we can conclude that set of discontinuities is at most countable.

#### **Problem** (1.3.4).

- (a) Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Consider  $\mathcal{B} = \{U \subset \mathbb{R}: f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{R}^d\}$ . It is well known that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field. By continuity of f,  $\mathcal{B}$  contains every open set of  $\mathbb{R}$ , hence  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{B}$ . Therefore f is a measurable function.
- (b) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\sigma$ -field that makes all the continuous functions measurable. Let  $\pi_i : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be the projection on i-th factor, which is continuous. Then  $\cap_{i=1}^d \pi_i^{-1}((a_i,b_i)) = \prod_{i=1}^d (a_i,b_i) \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  contains every open rectangles in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we can conclude that  $\mathcal{R}^d \subset \mathcal{F}$ . This means  $\mathcal{R}^d$  is the smallest such  $\sigma$ -field. The fact that  $\mathcal{R}^d$  makes all the continuous functions measurable is written in (a).

## **Problem** (1.3.1).

Since  $\sigma(X)$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -field which makes X measurable, it sufficient to show that X is measurable with respect to  $\sigma(X^{-1}(A))$ .

Let  $X : \Omega \to S$ . It is clear that  $\{X \in A\} \in \sigma(X^{-1}(A))$  for all  $A \in A$ . But by theorem 1.3.1, since A generates S, X is measurable with respect to  $\sigma(X^{-1}(A))$ .

Therefore we can conclude that  $\sigma(X^{-1}(A)) \subset \sigma(X)$ , and reverse inclusion is canonical since  $X^{-1}(A) \subset \sigma(X)$ .

## **Problem** (1.4.1).

Let  $E_n = \{x : f(x) > \frac{1}{n}\}$ . Then  $\int f d\mu \ge \int_{E_n} f d\mu \ge \int_{E_n} \frac{1}{n} d\mu = \frac{1}{n} \mu(E_n)$ . Therefore  $\mu(E_n) = 0$  for every positive integer n. So,  $\mu(\{f > 0\}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n) = 0$ . This says f = 0 a.e.

**Problem** (1.4.2). Since  $E_{n+1,2m} \cup E_{n+1,2m+1} = E_{n,m}$  and  $\frac{2m+1}{2^{n+1}} \ge \frac{m}{2^n}$ , we can easily see that  $\sum_{m\ge 1} \frac{m}{2^n} \mu\left(E_{n,m}\right)$  is monotonically increasing as n grows.

For every positive integer M,  $\sum_{m=1}^{M} \frac{m}{2^n} \mu\left(E_{n,m}\right) \leq \int f d\mu$ . So  $\sum_{m\geq 1} \frac{m}{2^n} \mu\left(E_{n,m}\right) \leq \int f d\mu$ .

Let  $s_n = \sum_{m=1}^{n2^n} \frac{m}{2^n} 1_{E_{n,m}}$ . Then  $\int s_n d\mu \leq \sum_{m\geq 1} \frac{m}{2^n} \mu\left(E_{n,m}\right) \leq \int f d\mu$ . But  $s_n \uparrow f$  monotonically. By monotone convergence theorem,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int s_n d\mu = \int f d\mu$ . Hence by sandwich lemma, the desired result follows.

## **Problem** (1.5.1).

First, we will show that  $|g| \leq ||g||_{\infty}$  a.e.

It is true because

$$\mu\left(|g| > \|g\|_{\infty}\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{|g| \ge \|g\|_{\infty} + \frac{1}{n}\right\}\right)$$
$$\le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(\left\{|g| > \|g\|_{\infty} + \frac{1}{n}\right\}\right)$$
$$= 0$$

by definition of  $||g||_{\infty}$ .

Hence  $|g| \leq ||g||_{\infty}$  a.e.

Then,  $\int |fg| d\mu \le ||g||_{\infty} \int |f| d\mu = ||g||_{\infty} ||f||_{1}$ .

## Problem (1.5.3).

(a) Since p > 1,  $x \mapsto |x|^p$  is convex function.  $|f + g|^p \le 2^{p-1}(|f|^p + |g|^p)$  follows from convexity of  $|x|^p$ .

 $\int |f+g|^p d\mu \le \int 2^p |f|^p d\mu + \int 2^p |g|^p d\mu$ . Therefore finiteness of  $||f||_p$  and  $||g||_p$  leads  $||f+g||_p < \infty$ .

Now, consider  $\int |f+g|^p d\mu = \int |f+g||f+g|^{p-1} d\mu \le \int |f||f+g|^{p-1} d\mu + \int |g||f+g|^{p-1} d\mu$ . Let q be Holder conjugate of p. Then by applying Holder inequality, we get  $||f+g||_p^p \le ||f+g||_p^{p/q} (||f||_p + ||g||_p)$ . Simple calculating leads Minkowski's inequality.

(b) First consider p=1. By using triangle inequality, the result follows directly. Next consider  $p=\infty$ .  $|f+g| \le |f| + |g| \le ||f||_{\infty} + ||g||_{\infty}$  a.e. Therefore  $||f+g||_{\infty} \le ||f||_{\infty} + ||g||_{\infty}$ .

#### **Problem** (1.6.8).

First assume  $g=1_A$ . Then  $\int g d\mu = \mu(A) = \int_A f(x) dx = \int 1_A f dm$  where m is Lebesgue measure.

Next, assume  $g = \sum_i a_i 1_{A_i}$ , simple function. Then  $\int g d\mu = \sum_i a_i \mu(A_i) = \sum_i a_i \int 1_{A_i} f dm$ .

Next, assume g is nonnegative measurable. Let  $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be increasing sequence of simple function converges to g pointwisely. Then  $\int g d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int s_n d\mu =$ 

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}\int s_nfdm$ . But  $s_nf\uparrow gf$  since f is nonnegative. By monotone convergence theorem, we can get  $\int gd\mu = \int gfdm$ .

Last, assume g is integrable function. We can decompose g by  $g = g^+ - g^-$ . Applying 3rd step for  $g^+, g^-$  each, we can get  $\int g d\mu = \int g^+ f dm - \int g^- f dm = \int g f dm$  since f is nonnegative.

#### **Problem** (1.6.13).

Since  $X_n \uparrow X$ ,  $X_n^+ \uparrow X^+$  and  $X_n^- \downarrow X^-$ . And note that  $X_n^- \leq X_1^-$  which is integrable. Apply monotone convergence theorem to  $X_n^+$  and apply dominated convergence theorem to  $X_n^-$  to get  $\lim EX_n = \lim EX_n^+ - \lim EX_n^- = EX^+ - EX^- = EX$ .

## **Problem** (1.7.1).

We need to show that  $\int_{X\times Y} |f| d(\mu_1 \times \mu_2) < \infty$ .

Since  $|f|^{\pm}$  is nonnegative, by Fubini's theorem,  $\int_X \int_Y |f|^{\pm} \mu_2(dy) \mu_1(dx) < \infty$ . Then, their sum is also finite, and the sum is  $\int_{X\times Y} |f| d(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)$  by Fubini's theorem. This leads the conclusion of the exercise.

Corollary is immediate if we take  $\mu_1 = c$  and  $\mu_2 = \mu$ .

#### **Problem** (1.7.3).

1.

$$\int_{(a,b]} \{F(y) - F(a)\} dG(y) = \int_{(a,b]} \int_{(a,y]} 1\mu(dx)\nu(dy)$$

$$= \int_{a < x \le y \le b} 1d(\mu \times \nu)$$

$$= \mu \times \nu(1 < X \le Y \le b)$$

by Fubini's theorem on nonnegative function 1.

2.

$$\begin{split} \int_{(a,b]} F(y) dG(y) &= \int_{(a,b]} \int_{-\infty}^{y} 1\mu(dx)\nu(dy) \\ &= \int_{(-\infty,a]} \int_{(a,b]} 1\nu(dy)\mu(dx) + \int_{(a,b]} \int_{[x,b]} \nu(dy)\mu(dx) \\ &= F(a) \left\{ G(b) - G(a) \right\} + G(b) \left\{ F(b) - F(a) \right\} \\ &- \int_{(a,b]} G(x)\mu(dx) + \int_{(a,b]} G(x) - G(x^{-})\mu(dx) \end{split}$$

We can get similar result for  $\int_{(a,b]} G(y)dF(y)$ . By simple calculation, we get the conclusion of (2).

3. If F = G continuous, Then  $\mu(\lbrace x \rbrace) = \nu(\lbrace x \rbrace) = F(x) - F(x^-) = G(x) - G(x^-) = 0$ ). Therefore, by using (2), we can get the conclusion.

# **Problem** (2.1.3).

1. If  $h(\alpha) = 0$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ , by mean value theorem,  $h'(\beta) = 0$  for some  $\beta \in (0, \alpha)$ . It contradicts to h'(x) > 0 for positive x. Therefore h > 0 for positive x.

x = y iff  $\rho(x, y) = 0$  iff  $h(\rho(x, y)) = 0$ . And  $h(\rho(x, y)) = h(\rho(y, x))$  since  $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x)$ .

Now consider  $x \geq y > 0$  and  $\frac{h(x+y)-h(x)}{y} = h'(x+\theta)$  and  $\frac{h(y)}{y} = h'(y-\delta)$ . Since h' is decreasing,  $h(x+y) - h(x) \leq h(y)$ . Using this, we can prove triangle inequality of  $h \circ \rho$ .

2.  $h(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{1+x}$  so  $h'(x) = \frac{1}{(1+x)^2}$  and  $h''(x) = \frac{-2}{(1+x)^3}$ . Given h satisfies all of (1).

# **Problem** (2.1.9).

Let  $A_1 = \{\{1,2\},\{1,3\}\}, A_2 = \{\{1,4\}\}.$  For  $A_1 \in A_1$  and  $A_2 \in A_2$ ,  $P(A_1 \cap A_2) = P(A_1)P(A_2) = 1/4$ . But,  $\sigma(A_1) = 2^{\Omega}$  and  $\sigma(A_2) = \{\Omega,\{1,4\},\{2,3\},\emptyset\}$ . They are not independent by considering  $A_1 = \{2,3,4\}$  and  $A_2 = \{2,3\}$ .

# **Problem** (2.2.3).

(a) 
$$f(U_i)$$
's are iid because  $P(\bigcap_i (f \circ U_i) \in B_i) = P(\bigcap_i \{U_i \in f^{-1}(B_i)\}) = \prod_i P(U_i \in f^{-1}(B_i)) = \prod_i P(f(U_i) \in B_i)$ . Also, for borel set  $B$ ,  $P(f(U_i) \in B) = P(U_i \in f^{-1}(B_i))$  are all same for  $i$ .

$$Ef(U_i) = \int_0^1 f(x) dx, \ E|f(U_i)| = \int_0^1 |f(x)| dx < \infty.$$

Now, by WLLN,  $\frac{\sum f(U_i)}{n}$  converges to  $\int_0^1 f(x) dx$  in probability.

(b) 
$$P(|I_n - I| > a/n^{0.5}) \le \frac{n}{a^2} E|I_n - I|^2 = \frac{n}{a^2} Var(I_n) = Var(\sum f(U_i))/na^2 = Var(f(U_i))/a^2 = \left[\int_0^1 f(x)^2 dx - \left(\int_0^1 f(x) dx\right)^2\right]/a^2.$$

# **Problem** (2.2.5).

Note that  $P(X_i \leq a) = 0$  for all a < e.

$$xP(X_i > x) = \frac{e}{\log x} \to 0 \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$

$$E|X_i| = EX_i = \int_e^\infty P(X_i > x) dx = \int_e^\infty \frac{e}{x \log x} dx = \infty$$
 since  $X_i \ge 0$  almost surely.

But  $\mu_n = \int_{|X_i| \le n} X_i dP \uparrow EX_i = \infty$  by monotone convergence theorem. Now, theorem 2.2.12 says  $\frac{s_n}{n} - \mu_n$  converges to 0 in probability.

#### Problem (2.3.5).

(a) Let  $F_N = \{Y \leq N\}$  and  $Y_n = Y1_{F_n}$ . Then  $EY_n \uparrow EY$  by MCT. So choose N so that  $EY - EY_N < \varepsilon$ . Now consider  $|EX_n - EX| \leq E|X_n - X| \leq \int_{|X_n - X| > \varepsilon} 2YdP + \int_{|X_n - X| < \varepsilon} |X_n - X|dP \leq \varepsilon + \int_{|X_n - X| > \varepsilon} 2YdP$ .

Let  $E_n = \{|X_n - X| > \varepsilon\}$ . Then  $\int_{E_n} 2Y dP = \int_{E_n} 2Y - 2Y_N + 2Y_N dP \le E(2Y - 2Y_N) + 2NP(E_n)$ , where the last term goes to 0 as  $n \to \infty$ .

(b) Let h, g be continuous functions, h(0) = 0, g > 0 for large x,  $|h|/g \to 0$  as  $|x| \to \infty$ , and  $Eg(X_n) \le C < \infty$ .

Choose M so large that g > 0 on |x| > M.  $\varepsilon_M = \sup_{|x| \ge M} |h|/g$  and  $\bar{Y} = Y1_{|Y| \le M}$ .

Then  $|Eh(X_n) - Eh(X)| \leq E|h(X_n) - Eh(\bar{X_n})| + E|h(\bar{X_n} - h(\bar{X}))| + E|h(\bar{X}) - h(X)|$ . First term and third term are bounded by  $\varepsilon_M C$  which goes to 0 as  $M \to \infty$ . And the second term goes to 0 as  $n \to \infty$  by bounded convergence thm.

Therefore the conclusions hold.

# **Problem** (2.3.6.).

(a) We already show that  $\rho(x,y) = \frac{|x-y|}{1+|x-y|}$  is a metric in problem 2.1.3. First consider d(X,Y) = 0 iff  $E \frac{|X-Y|}{1+|X-Y|} = 0$  iff  $\frac{|X-Y|}{1+|X-Y|} = 0$  a.s. iff X = Y a.s.

Next, it is trivial to check d(X,Y) = d(Y,X).

Lastly,  $d(X,Z) = E\rho(X,Z) \le E(\rho(X,Y) + \rho(Y,Z)) = E\rho(X,Y) + E\rho(Y,Z) = d(X,Y) + d(Y,Z).$ 

Therefore given function is a metric of class of random variables.

(b) First assume  $X_n \to X$  in probability. Then  $\frac{|X_n - X|}{1 + |X_n - X|} \le 1$  and it goes to 0 in probability. So bounded convergence thm implies  $d(X_n, X) \to 0$ . Next assume  $d(X_n, X) \to 0$  as  $n \to 0$ .

$$P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) = P\left(\frac{|X_n - X|}{1 + |X_n - X|} > \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon}\right)$$

$$\leq E\frac{|X_n - X|}{1 + |X_n - X|} \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}$$

$$= d(X_n, X) \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \to 0$$

by Markov's inequality.

#### **Problem** (2.3.8).

Independence of  $A_n$  implies independence of  $A_n^c$ . Let  $B_n = \bigcap_{k=1}^n A_k^c$ . Then  $0 = P(\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty A_n^c) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P(B_n)$ .

So, for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a positive integer  $N_{\varepsilon}$  such that  $n \geq N_{\varepsilon}$  implies  $P(B_n) = P\left(\cap_{k=1}^n A_k^c\right) = \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 - P(A_k)\right) = e^{\sum_{k=1}^n \log(1 - P(A_k))} < \varepsilon$ . But as  $n \to \infty$ 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \log(1 - P(A_k))} = 0$$

This means that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log(1 - P(A_k)) = -\infty$ , therefore  $\log(1 - P(A_k))$  does not converge to 0, which is equivalent to that  $P(A_k)$  does not converge to 0. Therefore  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = \infty$ .

#### **Problem** (2.3.12).

Let  $\Omega = \{\omega_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume  $P(\{\omega_i\}) > 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If there is  $\omega_i$  such that  $X_n(\omega_i)$  does not converge to  $X(\omega_i)$ , then for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $n_N \geq N$  but  $|X_{n_N}(\omega_i) - X(\omega_i)| > \varepsilon$ .

This means  $\{|X_{n_N} - X| > \varepsilon\}$  contains  $\omega_i$  for all N. So  $0 < P(\{\omega_i\}) \le P(|X_{n_N} - X| > \varepsilon)$ .

But  $X_n \to X$  in probability implies  $X_{n_N} \to X$  in probability. This contradicts to above. Therefore there is no such  $w_i$  hence  $X_n$  converges to X almost surely.