# FRANK JONES INTEGRATION THEORY SOLUTIONS

JAEMINOH

#### CHAPTER 2: LEBESGUE MEASURE ON $\mathbb{R}^n$

#### section A: Construction.

In this section, we will construct Lebesgue measure from set of special rectangles. Although there are many other methods to construct measure on Euclidean space, method in our textbook looks like intuitive and good to study for beginners. Other textbooks use Caratéodory extension or Urysohn lemma to construct Lebesgue measure on Euclidean space.

Problem 3.

Consider the open set  $G \setminus P$  and x in that set. There is  $\varepsilon$  neighborhood of x contained in  $G \setminus P$ . But  $\varepsilon$  neighborhood contains special rectangle I whose measure is positive. Now, take  $P' = P \cup I$ .

Problem 5. 'at most countably many disjoint open sets'

Let  $G_i$  be nonempty set. Pick  $x \in G_i$  and consider  $\varepsilon$  neighborhood contained in  $G_i$ . That neighborhood contains point  $q_i$  whose components are all rational. Consider the injection  $G_i \mapsto q_i$  from  $\mathcal{I}$  to countable set. (It is clearly injection because each  $G_i$  is disjoint.)

Problem 6. 'the structure of open sets in real line'

Consider an equivalence relation  $x \sim y \leftrightarrow x, y$  belongs to some open interval contained in G. Equivalence class of x is a largest open interval containing x.

Problem 8. 'open disk cannot be expressed as disjoint union of open rectangles'

It is trivial since open disk is connected.

Note that regular space with countable basis, metrizable space, compact Hausdorff space are normal space. So Euclidean space is normal space. This fact is useful to prove property 4 of Lebesgue measure on compact sets.

Problem 17.

Let  $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_k}{3^k}$  where  $\alpha_j \in \{0, 2\}$ . Then  $1 - x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 - \alpha_k}{3^k}$  and  $2 - \alpha_k \in \{0, 2\}$ . Therefore,  $1 - x \in C \leftrightarrow x \in C$ .

### B. Properties of Lebesgue Measure.

- (1)  $\mathcal{L}$  is complement closed.
- (2)  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $\sigma \cap \cup$  closed.
- (3)  $\mathcal{L}$  is set minus closed.
- (4) Countable additivity of  $\lambda$ .
- (5) Continuity from below.
- (6) Continuity from above.
- (7)  $\mathcal{L}$  contains Borel algebra on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (8)  $\lambda$  is complete.
- (9) Theorem on approximation of  $\mathcal{L}$ .
- (10) On  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\lambda^* = \lambda_* = \lambda$ .
- (11)  $\lambda(B) = \lambda^*(A) + \lambda_*(B \setminus A)$  if  $A \subset B \in \mathcal{L}$ .
- (12)  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  if and only if  $\lambda^*(E) = \lambda^*(E \cap A) + \lambda^*(E \cap A^c)$  for all  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Problem 27.

If  $A \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$ , then  $\lambda^*(A) \leq \lambda^*(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^*(I_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(I_k)$ . Therefore  $\lambda^*(A) \leq \inf\{\}$ .

On the contrary, assume  $\lambda^*(A) < \inf\{\}$ . There is open set G containing A and  $\lambda(G) < \inf\{\}$ . From problem 9, G can be expressed as a countable union of nonoverlapping special rectangles. That is,  $\lambda(G) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(I_k)$  which is contradiction.

Problem 29.

Use 
$$\lambda(A \cup B) = \lambda(A \setminus B) + \lambda(B \setminus A) + \lambda(A \cap B)$$
.

Problem 30.

Let  $G_A$ ,  $G_B$  be open sets containing A, B respectively.  $\lambda(G_A) + \lambda(G_B) = \lambda(G_A \cup G_B) + \lambda(G_A \cap G_B) \ge \lambda^*(A \cup B) + \lambda^*(A \cap B)$ . Since  $G_A$ ,  $G_B$  are arbitrary,  $\lambda^*(A) + \lambda^*(B) \ge \lambda^*(A \cup B) + \lambda^*(A \cap B)$ .

Problem 31.

Clearly one point set is measure zero and closed. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. There exists open set  $G_i$  containing  $a_i$  such that  $\lambda(G_i \setminus a_i) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}$ . Therefore  $\lambda(A) < \varepsilon$  which means  $\lambda(A) = 0$ .

Problem 32.

Let  $I_k$  be special 'cube' centered at origin, length of side = k. Then  $a \times I_k \subset a \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . Therefore we get  $\lambda(a \times \mathbb{R}^n) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \lambda(a \times I_k) = 0$  by continuity from below.

Problem 34.

There is closed set of positive measure, no interior.

(1) 
$$[0,1] \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( q_k - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}}, q_k + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}} \right)$$

Problem 37.

4

For each positive integer k, choose open set  $G_k supset E$  such that  $\lambda(G_k) < \lambda^*(E) + \frac{1}{k}$ . Put  $A = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k$  which is measurable. Then  $\lambda(A) < \lambda^*(E) + \frac{1}{k}$  for all positive integer k. Therefore  $\lambda(A) \leq \lambda^*(E)$ . Reverse inequality is trivial because  $E \subset A$ . We can conclude that there exists measurable hull of E which has finite outer measure.

Problem 38.

First assume A is measurable hull of E.  $\lambda(A) = \lambda^*(E) < \infty$ . But we already know that  $\lambda(A) = \lambda^*(E) + \lambda_*(A \setminus E)$ . Therefore  $\lambda_*(A \setminus E) = 0$ .

Conversely assume  $\lambda_*(A \setminus E) = 0$ . From 11st property of Lebesgue measure, we can get A is measurable hull of E.

Problem 39.

Let  $E_k = B(0,k) \setminus B(0,k-1)$  which is measurable partition of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $E \cap E_k$  has finite outer measure. By problem 37, there exists measurable hull  $A_k$  of  $E \cap E_k$ . Put  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$  and consider the compact set  $K \subset A \setminus E$ . But  $\lambda(K \cap E_k) \leq \lambda_*(A_k \setminus E \cap E_k) = 0$ . By continuity from below,  $\lambda(K) = 0$ . So  $\lambda_*(A \setminus E) = 0$ .

Problem 40.

Let  $A_k$  be measurable hull of  $E_k$ .  $B_j = \bigcap_{i=j}^{\infty} A_i$  is also measurable hull of  $E_j$  and  $B_i \subset B_{i+1}$ . Then  $\lambda \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k\right) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \lambda \left(B_k\right) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \lambda^* \left(E_k\right)$ .

Also we know that  $\lambda^* \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k \right) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \lambda^* \left( B_k \right) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \lambda^* \left( E_k \right)$ . On the contrary, assume  $\lambda^* \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \right) < \lambda \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k \right)$ . There is open set G containing  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$  whose measure is strictly less than  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k$ . But outer measure of G is greater than  $E_k$  for each positive integer  $E_k$ . Which is contradiction because  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \lambda^* \left( E_k \right) = \lambda \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k \right) > \lambda \left( G \right) = \lambda^* \left( G \right)$ .

Problem 41.

Put  $B_k = \bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} A_j$ . Then  $B_k \supset B_{k+1}$ .  $\lambda (\limsup_{k \to \infty} A_k) = \lambda (\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \lambda (B_k)$ . But  $\lambda (B_k) \leq \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \lambda (A_k)$  which goes to 0 as  $k \to \infty$ . Therefore  $\lambda (B_k) \to 0$ .

Problem 42.

Use hint. Or, let  $h = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 1_{A_i}$ , then h is measurable function. So inverse image of [0,d] under h is measurable. Then we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(A_{i}\right) = \int_{h^{-1}([0,d])} h d\lambda \le \int_{h^{-1}([0,d])} d d\lambda = d\lambda \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{k}\right)$$

Problem 43.

 $B_k = A_k \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} A_j$  when  $k \geq 2$ . Obviously  $B_1 = A_1$ . Then  $\lambda(B_k) = \lambda(A_k \setminus A_1) = \lambda(A_k)$ . Therefore we get what we want.

Problem 44.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda(A_k) - \lambda(B_k)) = 0. \text{ It leads } \lambda(A_k) = \lambda(B_k). \text{ So } \lambda(A_k) = \lambda(A_k) - \lambda\left(A_k \cap \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} A_j\right)\right) \text{ which leads conclusion.}$$

Problem 45.

For each positive integer k, there are at most countable  $A_i$ 's such that  $\lambda\left(B(0,k)\cap A_i\right)>0$ . Collect such  $A_i$ 's. Obviously such collection is countable. Then for some positive integer k, there are at most countable  $A_i$ 's in collection such that  $\lambda\left(B(0,k)\cap A_i\right)>0$ . Actually, our collection is all of  $\{A_i:i\in\mathcal{I}\}$ . Because if  $A_i\cap B(0,k)$  has measure zero for all positive integer k, then  $\lambda\left(A_i\right)=0$  by continuity from below. This means  $i\notin\mathcal{I}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{I}$  is countable.

Problem 46.

Let  $B_k = \bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} A_j$ . Then  $B_k \supset B_{k+1}$ . If  $\lambda(B_1) < \infty$ , continuity from above implies

$$\lambda\left(\limsup_{k\to\infty}A_{k}\right)=\lim_{k\to\infty}\lambda\left(B_{k}\right)=\limsup_{k\to\infty}\lambda\left(B_{k}\right)\geq\limsup_{k\to\infty}\lambda\left(A_{k}\right)$$

lim inf case is similar to above.

Problem 47.

 $\varepsilon \leq \limsup \lambda(A_k) \leq \lambda(\limsup A_k)$ . Therefore  $\limsup A_k$  is not empty set because it has nonzero measure.

Problem 48.

Let  $B_k$  be open ball of radius k and centered at origin. Then  $A \cap B_k \in \mathcal{L}_0$ . There exist compact set  $C_k$  such that  $\lambda \left(A \cap B_k \setminus C_k\right) < \frac{1}{k}$ . Set  $K_k = \bigcup_{j=1}^k C_j \subset A \cap B_k$ . Then  $K_k \subset K_{k+1}$  and each  $K_k$  is compact. Then  $\lambda \left(A \cap B_k \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^\infty K_j^c\right) \leq \lambda \left(A \cap B_j \cap K_j^c\right) < \frac{1}{j}$  for all j > k. So  $\lambda \left(A \cap B_k \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^\infty K_j^c\right) = 0$ . By continuity from below, we get what we want.

# CHAPTER 5. ALGEBRAS OF SETS AND MEASURABLE FUNCTIONS

# section A. algebras and $\sigma$ algebras.

Let X be given set. Algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  on X denotes subset of  $2^X$  satisfying 3 conditions.

- (1)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $X \in \mathcal{A}$
- (2)  $\cup$  closed.
- (3) complement closed.

Similarly,  $\sigma$  algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  on X denotes subset of power set of X, which contains empty set, entire set and is  $\sigma - \cup$  closed and complement closed.

Problem 9.

Clearly,  $\mathcal{M}_0 \subset \mathcal{M}_1$ . So  $\mathcal{M}_1 \supset \sigma\left(\mathcal{M}_0\right)$  which is the smallest  $\sigma$  algebra containing  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_1$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume A is countable set. Then  $A = \{a_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and each  $\{a_i\} \in \mathcal{M}_0$ . Therefore A is a countable union of sets in  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . Which says  $\mathcal{M}_1 \subset \sigma\left(\mathcal{M}_0\right)$ .

Problem 11.

Let  $y \in A_x$ . Then  $A_y \subset A_x$ . If  $x \notin A_y$ ,  $x \in A_x \setminus A_y \in \mathcal{B}$ . So  $A_x \subset A_x \setminus A_y$  which is contradiction. All other procedure is easy to prove. Just follow the hint.

# section B. Borel Sets.

Borel algebra on X is the smallest  $\sigma$  algebra containing topology  $\tau$  on X. Borel set denotes member of Borel algebra on X. It is not easy to construct exact Borel algebra on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It is useful to understand Borel algebra on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by smallest  $\sigma$  algebra containing all the special rectangels.

# Problem 12.

- $G \in \tau \Rightarrow G = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$  where  $I_k$  is special rectangle. So  $G \in \mathcal{M} \Rightarrow \tau \subset mathcal M$ .
- $\tau \subset \mathcal{M} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{M}$ .
- $I_k \in \mathcal{N}$ . Since  $I_k$  is closed,  $I_k \in \mathbb{B}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{B}$ .
- c implies  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}$ .

# Problem 14.

By using approximation property of Lebesgue measure,  $\Rightarrow$  direction is clear. On the contrary, assume there is  $F_{\sigma}, G_{\delta}$  satisfying written properties. Then  $\lambda^* (A \setminus F_{\sigma}) \leq \lambda (G_{\delta} \setminus F_{\sigma}) = 0$ . Therefore  $A \setminus F_{\sigma} \in \mathcal{L}$  because of completeness. Then  $A = A \setminus F_{\sigma} \cup F_{\sigma} \in \mathcal{L}$ .

# section D. Measurable Functions.

Problem 16.

- a only constant functions
- b every functions
- c mapping which maps each  $E_i$  to constants.

Problem 17.

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{t} & \text{if } t \neq 0\\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\phi^{-1}([-\infty, d]) = \begin{cases} t \ge \frac{1}{a} & \text{if } a < 0 \\ 0 \text{ or } t < 0 & \text{if } a = 0 \\ t < 0 \text{ or } 0 \text{ or } t \ge \frac{1}{a} & \text{if } a > 0 \end{cases}$$

Therefore  $\phi: \mathbb{R} \to [-\infty, \infty]$  is Borel measurable.

Problem 18.

Observe that  $f_n \to f$ . Then measurability of f follows immediately.

Problem 19.

- $\{f > 0\} = E \notin \mathcal{L}$  so f is not  $\mathcal{L}$  measurable.  $t > 0 \Rightarrow f^{-1}(t) = \{lnt\} \in \mathcal{L}$ . Similarly, we can chect the other cases.

Problem 20.

$$f'(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f\left(x + \frac{1}{n}\right) - f\left(x\right)}{\frac{1}{n}}$$

Right hand side of above is Borel measurable because differentibility of f implies continuity of f.

# section E. Simple Functions.

Problem 21.

Consider  $s^{-1}([t,\infty])$  where  $s=\sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k 1_{A_k}$ . Rearrange  $\alpha_k$  as increasing order, i.e.  $\alpha_1<\alpha_2<\cdots<\alpha_m$ . Then inverse image of  $[t,\infty]$  is disjoint union of  $A_k$ 's. Therefore s is measurable if and only if each  $A_k$  is measurable.

Problem 23.

Actually,  $f^+ = \sup\{f, 0\}$  and  $f^- = -\inf\{f, 0\}$ . By MF6,  $f^{\pm}$  are all measurable.

Problem 24.

Consider the function below:

$$\phi_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{i-1}{2^n} & \text{if } \frac{i-1}{2^n} \le x \le \frac{i}{2^n} \\ n & \text{if } x \ge n \end{cases}$$

Since f is bounded, there exists  $M \geq 0$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq M$  for all  $x \in X$ . Also note that  $\phi_n$  converges to  $i: x \mapsto x$  where  $|x| \leq M$ . So  $\phi_n \circ f^{\pm}$  uniformly converges to  $f^{\pm}$  repectively. This means  $\phi_n \circ f^+ - \phi_n \circ f^-$  uniformly converges to f.

#### section A.

10

Problem 1. "the vanishing property"

First, assume that  $\int f d\mu = 0$ . Since f is measurable, there exists an increasing sequence of nonnegative simple functions which converges to f. Let denote them as  $s_n$ . Then  $f^{-1}((0,\infty]) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n^{-1}((0,\infty])$ , union of measure zero set. Therefore, we get  $\mu(f^{-1}((0,\infty])) = 0$ .

Conversely, assume that  $\int f d\mu > 0$ . Then there exists nonnegative simple function  $s \leq f$  such that  $\int s d\mu > 0$ . Also we can write s as a linear combination of (measurable) characteristic functions, i.e.  $\int s d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \mu(A_i) > 0$ . So,  $\alpha_i \mu(A_i) > 0$  for at least one integer  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . By Observing the fact that  $A_i \subset f^{-1}((0,\infty])$ , we can conclude that  $f^{-1}((0,\infty])$  has measure zero implies  $\int f d\mu = 0$  by contrapositive.

Problem 2. "the finiteness property"

 $E = \{x: f(x) = \infty\}$  is a measurable set since f is measurable. Suppose  $\mu(E) > 0$ . For any  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ , choose nonnegative simple measurable function  $s_M \leq f$  such that  $s_M(x) \geq M$  for  $x \in E$ . Then  $\int f d\mu \geq \int s_M d\mu \geq M\mu(E)$ . Therefore,  $\int f d\mu \geq M\mu(E)$  for all positive integer M. It means that  $\int f d\mu = \infty$ . By taking contrapositive, we get what we want.

Problem 3. "compatibility to not finite nonnegative simple functions"

We are only interested in the case when  $\alpha_k = \infty, \mu(A_k) > 0$ . Since f is measurable, we can consider sequence of nonnegative finite simple function  $\{t_n\}$  such that  $t_n(x) \geq n$  for  $x \in A_k$ . Therefore,  $\int f d\mu \geq \int t_n d\mu \geq \mu(A_k)n$  for all positive integer n, which means  $\int f d\mu = \infty$ . For other cases, it is easy to check the compatibility.

Problem 4. "scalar multiplication is still valid for  $c = \infty$ "

First, assume  $\int f d\mu = 0$ . Then  $\mu(f^{-1}((0,\infty])) = 0$  by Problem 1. It is obvious that  $g^{-1}((0,\infty]) = f^{-1}((0,\infty])$  for  $g = \infty f$ . So,  $\int g d\mu = 0$ . Therefore we get  $\int \infty f d\mu = \int f d\mu$ .

Second, assume  $\int f d\mu > 0$ . Then measure of  $g^{-1}((0,\infty])$  is positive. So,  $\int \infty f d\mu = 0\mu(g^{-1}(0)) + \infty\mu(g^{-1}((0,\infty]))$  which is  $\infty$ .

Problem 5. "strict inequality for Fatou's lemma'

Consider this nonnegative finite simple function defined on real line:

$$s_n(x) = \begin{cases} n^2 & \text{if } x \in (0, \frac{1}{n}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 $\lim s_n = 0$  and  $\lim \int s_n d\mu = \infty$ .

Problem 6.

Let  $f_k=1_{A_k}$  where  $1_{A_k}$  is an indicator(characteristic) function. By Fatou's lemma (ILLLI),  $\int \liminf_{k\to\infty} 1_{A_k} d\mu \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} \int 1_{A_k} d\mu = \liminf_{k\to\infty} \mu(A_k)$ . Now, showing  $1_{\liminf A_k} \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} 1_{A_k}$  is left to us. If  $x\in \liminf A_k$  then  $x\in \bigcap_{i\geq n} A_i$  for some positive integer n. Then  $1_{A_i}(x)=1$  for all positive integer i greater than n. So,  $1_{\liminf A_k} \leq \inf_{i\geq n} 1_{A_i}$ . By letting  $n\to\infty$ , we get  $1_{\liminf A_k} \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} 1_{A_k}$ . Therefore,  $\mu(\liminf A_k)=\int 1_{\liminf A_k} d\mu \leq \lim \inf_{k\to\infty} 1_{A_k} d\mu \leq \lim \inf_{k\to\infty} \int 1_{A_k} d\mu = \lim \inf_{k\to\infty} \mu(A_k)$ .

# section B.

Problem 9. "iff condition for (finite) integrability"

Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ . Then  $\int f_{\pm}d\mu < \infty$ , so there sum is also finite  $(=\int |f|d\mu)$ . Conversely, assume  $|f| \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ . Then  $\int f_{\pm}d\mu \leq \int |f|d\mu < \infty$ . Therefore  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ .

Problem 10. "dominated integrability"

If f is measurable,  $f_{\pm}$  is also measurable. So  $f_{+} + f_{-} = |f|$  is measurable.  $\int |f| d\mu \leq \int |g| d\mu < \infty$ . Therefore,  $|f| \in \mathcal{L}^{1}(\mu)$  by Problem 9.

Problem 11.

It is obvious that  $|f_k| \leq |f|$  and  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ . So, if each  $f_k$ s are measurable, by dominated convergence thm, done. Actually,  $f_k = f \cdot 1_{A_k \cap E_k}$  where  $A_k = [-k, k]$  and  $E_k = f^{-1}([-k, k])$ . There exists sequence of nonnegative finite simple function  $\{s_i\}$  which converges to f since f is measurable. So,  $\lim s_i 1_{a_k \cap E_k} = f_k$  is measurable.

Problem 12.

Likewise, it is enough to show that  $f(x)e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{k}}=f_k(x)$  is measurable.  $e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{k}}$  is continuous, hence Borel measurable, hence Lebesgue measurable. There exists sequence of nonnegative finite simple function  $\{s_k\}$  which converges to f since f is measurable. So,  $\lim_{i\to\infty} s_i e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{k}}=f_k$  is measurable.

Problem 13. 'alternative proof for problem 2.42'

For each  $x \in X$ , there are at most  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  distinct  $A_k$  containing x. Fix positive integer N. Let  $I_x = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : k \leq N \text{ and } x \in A_k\}$ . Clearly  $\sum_{k=1}^N 1_{A_k} \leq d1_A$  where  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty A_i$ . By integrating both sides,  $\sum_{k=1}^N \mu(A_k) \leq d\mu(A)$ . By Letting  $N \to \infty$ , we got the result in Problem 2.42.

(similar, another)  $\sum_{k=1}^{N} 1_{A_k} \leq |I_x| \leq d = d1_A$  for all N. So  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 1_{A_k} \leq d1_A$ . By integrating both sides and monotone convergence thm, we got it.

Problem 14.

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be set of all sequences which are strictly increasing positive integers and length m. Such set is countable. Now,  $\bigcup_{i\in\mathcal{I}}\bigcap_{j=1}^m A_{i_j}=E_m$  and it is measurable. Similar to Problem 13,  $m1_{E_m}\leq\sum_{i=1}^\infty 1_{A_i}$ . So,  $m\mu(E_m)=\int m1_{E_m}d\mu\leq\int\sum_{i=1}^\infty 1_{A_i}d\mu=\sum_{i=1}^\infty\int 1_{A_i}d\mu=\sum_{i=1}^\infty\mu(A_i)$  by monotone convergence thm. section  $\mathbf{C}$ .

Problem 16.

Clearly, |f|=0 almost everywhere, and |f| is measurable. Consider nonnegative finite simple function  $s \leq |f|$ . Then s=0 almost everywhere and  $s=\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i 1_{A_i}$ , where  $A_i$  is null set if  $\alpha_i \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $\int s d\mu = 0$ , which implies  $\int |f| d\mu = 0$ . So,  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ ,  $|\int f d\mu| = 0 \Rightarrow \int f d\mu = 0$ .

Problem 17.

Note that  $f \sim g \Leftrightarrow f = g$  a.e. is an equivalence relation. So, g = h a.e. Let g be measurable and  $E_t = [-\infty, t], N = \{x : g(x) \neq h(x)\}$ . Then  $h^{-1}(E_t) \setminus g^{-1}(E_t) \subset N$  and  $g^{-1}(E_t) \setminus h^{-1}(E_t) \subset N$ . Since  $\mu$  is complete, they are all null sets. So  $h^{-1}(E_t) \cup g^{-1}(E_t)$  is measurable. Therefore,  $h^{-1}(E_t)$  is also measurable, which implies measurability of h.