

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at:
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/30498421>

Characters of the Nullcone

Article *in* Mathematische Annalen · October 1980

DOI: 10.1007/BF01420081 · Source: OAI

CITATIONS

66

READS

28

1 author:



Wim H Hesselink

University of Groningen

130 PUBLICATIONS 1,607 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Mutual exclusion and performance [View project](#)

Characters of the Nullcone

Wim H. Hesselink

Mathematisch Instituut, Rijksuniversiteit Groningen, Postbus 800,
NL-9700 AV Groningen, Netherlands

1. Introduction

Let G be a semi-simple algebraic group over \mathbb{C} with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . The nullcone N of \mathfrak{g} consists of the nilpotent elements of \mathfrak{g} . Its co-ordinate ring $A(N)$ is a graded ring $\sum_{n \geq 0} A_n(N)$. We fix a maximal torus T of G and a dominant chamber C in the character group P of T . If $\lambda \in C$ let E_λ denote the irreducible G -module with highest weight λ . Let $d_n(\lambda)$ be the multiplicity of E_λ in the G -module $A_n(N)$.

Let R be the root system. Let R_+ be the set of the positive roots. Put $\varrho := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \alpha$. If $\chi \in P$ let $p_n(\chi)$ be the number of maps $f: R_+ \rightarrow \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ such that $n = \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} f(\alpha)$ and $\chi = \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} f(\alpha)\alpha$. Let W be the Weyl group. If $w \in W$, put $R(w) := R_+ \cap -wR_+$ and $n(w) := \# R(w)$ and $\varepsilon(w) := (-1)^{n(w)}$. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem. If $\lambda \in C$ then $d_n(\lambda) = \sum_{w \in W} \varepsilon(w) p_n(w(\lambda + \varrho) - \varrho)$.

Remarks. The sequence $d_*(\lambda)$ is equivalent to the sequence of generalized exponents $m_*(\lambda)$ introduced by B. Kostant in [5]. In fact we have

$$d_n(\lambda) = \# \{i \mid m_i(\lambda) = n\} \quad \text{and} \quad m_i(\lambda) = \min \left\{ m \mid i \leq \sum_{n=0}^m d_n(\lambda) \right\}.$$

March 1976 I obtained the Theorem using the cohomological methods of [4]. After a conversation with T. A. Springer it became clear that the cohomology could be eliminated from the proof, see below. September 1978 I learned that D. Peterson had obtained the same Theorem, independently and by different methods.

2. We may assume that G is simply connected. The Grothendieck group $R(T)$ of the (finite dimensional) T -modules is identified with the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[P]$. If E is a T -module its class in $R(T)$ is denoted by $\text{ch}(E)$. Let V be an affine T -variety. Assume that the co-ordinate ring $A(V)$ is graded in such a way that the

homogeneous parts $A_n(V)$ are T -modules. Then we define the character of V to be the formal power series

$$\text{CH}(V, z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{ch}(A_n(V)) z^n.$$

Let E be a T -module with $\text{ch}(E) = \sum m(i) e^{\chi(i)}$, so $m(i) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\chi(i) \in P$. Since the coordinate ring of E is the symmetric algebra $S(E^*)$ on the dual E^* we obtain

$$\text{CH}(E, z) = \prod (1 - e^{-\chi(i)} z)^{-m(i)}.$$

3. Lemma. *If we put $W(z) := \sum_{w \in W} z^{n(w)}$ the nullcone N satisfies*

$$\text{CH}(N, z) = W(z) \prod_{\alpha \in R} (1 - e^{\alpha} z)^{-1}.$$

Proof. Put $r = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})$. By Sect. 2 we have

$$\text{CH}(\mathfrak{g}, z) = (1 - z)^{-r} \prod_{\alpha \in R} (1 - e^{\alpha} z)^{-1}.$$

B. Kostant has shown that $A(\mathfrak{g}) = H \otimes A(\mathfrak{g})^G$ where $H = \sum H_n$ and the G -module H_n is isomorphic to $A_n(N)$ for every n , cf. [5] Theorem 11. Let m_1, \dots, m_r be the exponents of the root system R . Put $d(i) = m_i + 1$. The ring of invariants $A(\mathfrak{g})^G$ is generated by algebraically independent homogeneous polynomials f_1, \dots, f_r of degrees $d(1), \dots, d(r)$. This implies

$$\sum \text{ch}(A_n(\mathfrak{g})^G) z^n = \prod (1 - z^{d(i)})^{-1}.$$

It follows that

$$\text{CH}(N, z) = (1 - z)^{-r} \prod_{i=1}^r (1 - z^{d(i)}) \prod_{\alpha \in R} (1 - e^{\alpha} z)^{-1}.$$

So the lemma follows from the identity

$$W(z) = (1 - z)^{-r} \prod_{i=1}^r (1 - z^{d(i)}), \text{ cf. [6] 2.6.}$$

4. The Weyl group action on P is extended to the ring $R(T)[[z]]$ in such a way that z is W -invariant. Let J be the endomorphism of $R(T)[[z]]$ given by $J = \sum \varepsilon(w) w$.

Lemma. *Let V be a subset of R_+ . Put $W(V) = \{w \in W \mid R(w) \subset V\}$. Then we have*

$$J \left(e^{\varrho} \prod_{\alpha \in V} (1 - e^{-\alpha} z) \right) = J(e^{\varrho}) \sum_{w \in W(V)} z^{n(w)}.$$

Proof. If $A \subset R_+$ we put $|A| = \sum_{\alpha \in A} \alpha$. We have

$$J \left(e^{\varrho} \prod_{\alpha \in V} (1 - e^{-\alpha} z) \right) = \sum_{A \subset V} (-z)^{*A} J(e^{\varrho - |A|}).$$

In [6], p. 166, I.G. Macdonald proved that $J(e^{e^{-|A|}}) \neq 0$ if and only if $A = R(w)$ for some $w \in W$. Moreover the element w is necessarily unique. It satisfies $n(w) = \#A$ and $J(e^{e^{-|A|}}) = \varepsilon(w)J(e^e)$.

5. Proposition. $\text{CH}(N, z)J(e^e) = J\left(e^e \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} (1 - e^\alpha z)^{-1}\right)$.

Proof. Put $x = \prod_{\alpha \in R} (1 - e^\alpha z)^{-1}$ and $y = e^e \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} (1 - e^{-\alpha} z)$. Since x is W -invariant the righthand side of our formula is equal to $J(xy) = xJ(y)$. Now the equality follows from the Lemmas 3 and 4.

Remark. This proof is a simplification of an idea used in [4] p. 251.

6. Proof of the Theorem. The numbers $p_n(\chi)$ are determined by

$$\prod_{\alpha \in R_+} (1 - e^\alpha z)^{-1} = \sum_{\chi \in P, n \geq 0} p_n(\chi) e^\chi z^n.$$

The proposition implies

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}(A_n(N))J(e^e) &= \sum_{\chi \in P} p_n(\chi) J(e^{e+\chi}) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in C} \sum_{w \in W} \varepsilon(w) p_n(w(\lambda + \varrho) - \varrho) J(e^{\lambda + \varrho}). \end{aligned}$$

Since G -modules are characterized by their formal character, the Theorem follows by Weyl's character formula

$$\text{ch}(E_\lambda)J(e^e) = J(e^{\lambda + \varrho}),$$

cf. [1], Chap. 8, Sect. 9.

7. Remark. Let U be a maximal unipotent subgroup of G , normalized by T , whose weights are the positive roots. The ring of invariants $A(N)^U$ is a graded T -module with character

$$f(z) = \sum \text{ch}(A_n(N)^U) z^n = \sum_{\lambda \in C, n \geq 0} d_n(\lambda) e^\lambda z^n.$$

By the Theorem of Hadziev-Grosshans, cf. [3] and [2], the ring $A(N)^U$ is finitely generated. It follows that $f(z)$ is an element of the quotient field of the polynomial ring $R(T)[z]$.

Problem. Write $f(z)$ as a quotient $g(z)/h(z)$ with $g(z)$ and $h(z)$ in $R(T)[z]$ or rather in $\mathbb{Z}[C][z]$.

Remark. Let us define $D_n(\lambda) := \sum_{w \in W} \varepsilon(w) p_n(w(\lambda + \varrho) - \varrho)$ for all $\lambda \in P$, so that $D_n(\lambda) = d_n(\lambda)$ if $\lambda \in C$. The formal power series

$$F(z) := \sum_{\lambda \in P, n \geq 0} D_n(\lambda) e^\lambda z^n$$

satisfies

$$F(z) = e^{-\varrho} J\left(e^e \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} (1 - e^\alpha z)^{-1}\right).$$

Example 1. Let G be of type A_2 , with simple roots α and β . A weight $\lambda = x\alpha + y\beta$ satisfies $d_n(\lambda) = 1$ if and only if $d_n(\lambda) \neq 0$ if and only if x and y are integers with

$$\max\{2x - y, 2y - x\} \leq n \leq x + y.$$

It follows that

$$f(z) = \frac{1 + e^{\alpha + \beta} z^2 + e^{2\alpha + 2\beta} z^4}{(1 - e^{\alpha + \beta} z)(1 - e^{2\alpha + \beta} z^3)(1 - e^{\alpha + 2\beta} z^3)}.$$

Generators and relations for $A(N)^U$ are easily obtained.

Example 2. Let G be of type B_2 , with simple roots α (short) and β (long). A rather tedious calculation shows that

$$f(z) = \frac{1 + e^{3\alpha + 2\beta} z^4}{(1 - e^{\alpha + \beta} z^2)(1 - e^{2\alpha + 2\beta} z^2)(1 - e^{2\alpha + \beta} z)(1 - e^{2\alpha + \beta} z^3)}.$$

References

1. Bourbaki, N.: Groupes et algèbres de Lie, chap. 7 et 8. Paris: Hermann 1975
2. Grosshans, F.: Observable groups and Hilbert's fourteenth problem. Amer. J. Math. **95**, 229–253 (1973)
3. Hadziev, Dz.: Some questions in the theory of vector invariants. Math. USSR-Sbornik **1**, 383–396 (1967) (=Mat. Sbornik **72**, 114 No. 3 (1967))
4. Hesselink, W.H.: Cohomology and the resolution of the nilpotent variety. Math. Ann. **223**, 249–252 (1976)
5. Kostant, B.: Lie group representations on polynomial rings. Amer. J. Math. **85**, 327–404 (1963)
6. Macdonald, I.G.: The Poincaré series of a Coxeter group. Math. Ann. **199**, 161–174 (1972)

Received June 25, 1979