

Norwegian University of Science and Technology

McKay correspondence

Jacob Fjeld Grevstad
Department of Mathematical sciences, NTNU
31 May 2019

Overview



- Statement of the McKay correspondance
- History and motivation
- examples and proofs

McKay correspondance



The theorem involves $G \leq SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, $S = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$, and $R = S^G$. It establishes a correspondence between:

- The irreducible representations of G;
- The indecomposable projective modules of the skew group algebra S#G;
- The indecomposable projective modules of the endomorphism ring $End_R(S)$;
- The indecomposable MCM modules of R.

History and motivation



- Kleinian singularities, \mathbb{C}^2/G
- Resolution graphs ADE Dynkin diagrams
- McKay connected the geometry to representation theory
- Herzog showed that the MCM R-modules are direct summands of S
- Auslander showed the relationship between the projective S#G-modules and the MCM R-modules

The McKay quiver



The McKay quiver of G has verticies the irreducible representations of G, and an arrow from W to W' iff W' appears as a direct summand of $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W$, where V is the cannonical representation.

Example

$$G=\langle g
angle\cong \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z},\, g=egin{pmatrix}\omega^2 & 0 \ 0 & \omega^3 \end{pmatrix},\, \omega=\exp(2\pi i/5), & V_4 \stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\swarrow} V_1 \ V_3 & V_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

5

Non-Dynkin example



Example

$$G=\langle \mu,
ho
angle\cong \mathcal{S}_3,\, \mu=egin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\,
ho=egin{pmatrix} \exp(2\pi i/3) & 0 \ 0 & \exp(-2\pi i/3) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V_0 \longleftrightarrow \stackrel{igcap}{V} \longleftrightarrow V_{\mu}$$

The skew group algebra

Definition

If S is an algebra and G is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(S)$, then the *skew group algebra* S#G is the algebra generated by $s\cdot g$ with $s\in S$ and $g\in G$. The multiplication is given by

$$(s \cdot g)(t \cdot h) = st^g \cdot gh$$

Example

Let
$$S = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$$
, and $G = \left\{ e := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, g := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$.

Then $x \cdot e + y \cdot g$ and $(x - y) \cdot g$ are in S # G, and their product is

$$(x \cdot e + y \cdot g)((x - y) \cdot g) = x(x - y) \cdot g - y(x + y) \cdot e$$

7

Gabriel quiver



Definition

The *Gabriel quiver* of an algebra, *A* (where projective covers exist) has verticies the simple *A*-modules. If *M* and *N* are simple *A*-modules with minimal projective resolutions:

$$\cdots \to P_1^M \to P_0^M \to 0$$
 and $\cdots \to P_1^N \to P_0^N \to 0$.

Then there is an arrow from M to N iff P_0^N is a direct summand of P_1^M .

Example of Gabriel quiver



Example

$$S = \mathbb{C}[\![x,y]\!], \ G = \langle g \rangle, \ g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathfrak{m} = \langle x,y \rangle_{S\#G}$$
 $S\#G \cong S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \langle I+g \rangle \oplus S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \langle I-g \rangle$ $\langle I+g \rangle \longleftrightarrow \langle I-g \rangle$

The indecomposable projective S#G-modules

Theorem

There's a correspondance between the irreducible G representations and the indecomposable projective S#G-modules given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \textit{indecomposable projective} \\ \textit{S\#G-modules} \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \textit{irreducible} \\ \mathbb{C}\textit{G representations} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{F}: P \longmapsto P/\mathfrak{m}P$$

$$\mathcal{G}: \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W} \longleftarrow \mathcal{W}$$

McKay and Gabriel quiver

Theorem

The McKay quiver of G and the Gabriel quiver of S#G are isomorphic.

Proof.

Let $V = \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$. Then the minimal projective resolution of $\mathbb{C} = S/\mathfrak{m}$ is

$$0 \longrightarrow S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \bigwedge^{n} V \xrightarrow{\partial_{n}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \bigwedge^{1} V \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} S \longrightarrow 0.$$

Applying $-\otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W$ we get

$$\cdots \longrightarrow S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V \otimes W \longrightarrow S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W \longrightarrow 0.$$



Questions?