# Internet of Things
Semantic Interoperability

Jaime Jiménez

Co-chair, CoRE WG (IETF)
Chair IPSO Semantic WG (IPSO)
Ericsson Research

"The Internet Engineering Task Force is a loosely self-organized group of people who contribute to the engineering and evolution of Internet technologies.

It is the principal body engaged in the development of new Internet standard specifications."   (RFC 4677)

] [txt|pdf] [draft-saintandre-...] [Diff1] [Diff2]

Independent Submission
Request for Comments: 7649
Category: Informational
ISSN: 2070-1721

INFORMATIONAL

P. Saint-Andre
&yet
D. York
Internet Society
September 2015

The Jabber Scribe Role at IETF Meetings

Abstract

During IETF meetings, individual volunteers often help sessions run more smoothly by relaying information back and forth between the physical meeting room and an associated textual chatroom. Such volunteers are commonly called "Jabber scribes". This document summarizes experience with the Jabber scribe role and provides suggestions for fulfilling the role at IETF meetings.

Status of This Memo

This document is not an Interne...
published for informationa...

This is a cont...
RFC stre...

I E T F

"The mission of the IETF is to make the Internet work better by producing high quality, relevant technical documents that influence the way people design, use, and manage the Internet."

(RFC 3935)

Some Recent Areas of Work

- Web protocols (HTTP2)

- Security and privacy (RFC7258, UTA, TLS1.3)

- Enabling real-time communications from browsers (WebRTC)

- Management, orchestration, virtualisation, and data-model driven networking (NVO, SFC, YANG)

- Internet of Things

- Running code and open source

Some Observations

- A shift from closed, vertical solutions to open, general networking solutions (IP, IPv6, mobile networks, WLAN, web)

- Consolidation moving up the stack. Already happening on transfer protocols (HTTP, CoAP, MQTT).

- A shift from devices to thinking about systems, connections between systems, analytics, etc.

Resource View		
/temp	/light	/switch
REST (CoAP, HTTP)		
TCP/UDP		
IPv6		
6LoWPAN	WiFi	3G LTE
IEEE 802.15.4	BTLE	

- Security continues to be a big challenge. Privacy is even a bigger one; can you choose what cloud a gadget sends data to?

- Device Management, interoperability, and updatability

IETF and IOT Work

- Our role: Specify the underlying, fundamental Internet technologies

- "Permissionless innovation" — others can build on top

Run IP over <IOT media>	Security for IOT
Routing for lossy & low power networks	Thing-to-Thing communication (IRTF)
Web technology for IOT (CoRE)	Architectural oversight (IAB)

# The IAB Workshop on IOT Semantic Interoperability (IOTSI)

- Most systems run on standard L2, on IP, and on top of the web protocols

- Good interoperability from a network perspective

- But is there application-level interoperability?

- Different applications, different data models across the industry

???
HTTP
IP/UDP
LTE

AllSeen	Hub OSS	IPSO	Schema.org	OpenDOF	W3C
AIOTI	IAB	IoTDB	OGC	OMA	ZigBee
ETSI	IETF/IRTF	NIST	OIC/OCF	W3C	ZWave

Goal was not to make a new standard

HOW STANDARDS PROLIFERATE:
(SEE: A/C CHARGERS, CHARACTER ENCODINGS, INSTANT MESSAGING, ETC)

SITUATION:
THERE ARE
14 COMPETING
STANDARDS.

14?! RIDICULOUS!
WE NEED TO DEVELOP
ONE UNIVERSAL STANDARD
THAT COVERS EVERYONE'S
USE CASES.
YEAH!

SOON:

SITUATION:
THERE ARE
15 COMPETING
STANDARDS.

- Workshop goals: facilitate interaction, discuss how to interop/map, identify collaboration opportunities.

- 66 submissions (42 accepted)
  From 17 standards organisations, and vendors, operators, individuals and research organizations

- Several meetings co-located and many joint meetings

- Public mailing list open and a report in the works

Some of the topics

- Meta-models and higher abstraction layers.

- Interaction models (REST, Pub/Sub, RPC).

- Simple/general vs. specific/expressive tradeoff.

- Modularity and reuse, finding the atomic components.

- Runtime Discovery vs Predefined APIs and pushing code .

- Usefulness of code generation for developers.

- Translation: Model translation vs metadata translation / Translation Hubs.

Final Thoughts

- There is agreement on the need for interoperability on IOT.

- Translation between models will be required.

- Each org will try to converge on common representation formats and definitions.

- Co-operation and broad awareness needed in the relevant organisations.

- A global market needs global solutions.

Links

- Workshop site

    http://iab.org/activities/workshops/iotsi/

- Report (work in progress)

    http://draft-iab-iotsi-workshop-00

- Minutes

    https://docs.google.com/document/d/1cH-LiKFfD1wAN2sFDPWkvNDSwIIXzI2QTyGPLaHJcYc

- CoRE

    https://tools.ietf.org/wg/core/

Thank You

jaime.jimenez@ericsson.com   @jaim   http://jaimejim.github.io