

# LINEAR CONGRUENTIAL GENERATORS

## CONTENTS

1. Conditions for large period	1
2. Relevant examples	2

We consider a finite dynamical system on  $\{0, 1, \dots, m\}$

$$X_{n+1} = aX_n + c \pmod{m}. \quad (0.1)$$

for some  $a, c, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Clearly, any orbit of the dynamical system (0.1) will be **eventually periodic**.

**Question:** How to choose  $a, c, m$  so that orbits of (0.1) look like random sequences?

- First choose  $m$  so that mod- $m$  operation is computationally simple.
- Requirements on  $a, c$  so that **period** is as **large** as possible.
- Requirements on  $a$  so **large potency**.
- **Spectral tests**

*Remark 1.* These are only necessary conditions in the sense that small period and potency give sequences with **poor statistical behavior**.

## 1. CONDITIONS FOR LARGE PERIOD

1.1. **Period length  $m$ .** Iff conditions for period  $m$ .

**Theorem 1.1** (Theorem A pg.17 in Knuth). *The LCG  $(X_0, a, c, m)$  has period  $m$  iff*

- $\gcd(c, m) = 1$ .
- Writing  $m = \prod_i p_i^{e_i}$  we have  $a = 1 \pmod{p_i}$  for all  $i$ .

*Proof. Step 1:* (Reduction to the case where  $m = p^e$ ): Suppose that  $m = m_1 m_2$  with  $\gcd(m_1, m_2) = 1$ . We form the sequences

$$Y_n = X_n \pmod{m_1} \quad Z_n = X_n \pmod{m_2}.$$

Then, if  $X_n = X_k \pmod{m}$

$$Y_n = \underbrace{(X_k + qm_1m_2)}_{X_n} + rm_1 = X_k + m_1(qm_2 + r)$$

so we must have  $Y_n = Y_k \pmod{m_1}$ . Similarly  $Z_n = Z_k$ . A similar reasoning shows  $X_n = X_k \pmod{m}$  iff  $Y_n = Y_k \pmod{m_1}$  and  $Z_n = Z_k \pmod{m_2}$ . Thus, the period  $\lambda$  of  $X_n$  satisfies  $\lambda = \gcd(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ .

**Step 2:** (Proof for  $m = p^e$ ): W.l.o.g take  $X_0 = 0$ . An elementary computation shows that

$$X_n = \frac{a^n - 1}{a - 1}c \pmod{m}$$

- We must have  $\gcd(c, m) \neq 1$ : If not we cannot have  $X_n = 1$  (write  $m = cm'$ ).
- $\lambda = p^e$  iff

$$a = 1 \sim p \quad (\text{if } p > 2) \quad \quad a = 1 \sim 4 \quad (\text{if } p = 2)$$

$\Rightarrow$  suppose  $\lambda = p^e$ . If  $a \not\equiv 1 \pmod p$  is easy to see that  $(a^n - 1)/(a - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^e}$  iff  $a^n - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^e}$ . **finish this direction**

$\Leftarrow$  Suppose  $a = 1 + qp^f$  with  $q \notin \mathbb{Z}p$  and  $f < e$ :

( $p^e$  is a multiple of  $\lambda$ ): By the auxiliary lemma below for any  $g \in \mathbb{N}$

$$a^{p^g} = 1 \sim p^{f+g} \quad \quad \text{but} \quad \quad a^{p^g} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^{f+g+1}}.$$

Thus, for any  $g \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(a^{p^g} - 1)/(a - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^g} \quad \quad \text{but} \quad \quad (a^{p^g} - 1)/(a - 1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{g+1}} \quad (1.1)$$

In particular holds for  $g = e$  and (**key:**)

$$(a^{p^e} - 1)/(a - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^e}.$$

Hence,  $p^e$  must be a multiple of  $\lambda$  (the period). In particular, since  $p$  is prime, we must have  $\lambda = p^{\tilde{g}}$  for some  $\tilde{g} \leq e$ .

( $p^e = \lambda$ ): On the other hand, from the definition of the period  $\lambda = p^{\tilde{g}}$ , it must satisfy

$$(a^{p^{\tilde{g}}} - 1)/(a - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^e}.$$

But writing  $p^e = p^{\tilde{g}}p^{e-\tilde{g}}$  the second inequality in (1.1) implies that  $\tilde{g} = e$ .

**Step 3:** (Proof auxiliary lemma): We want to show that for  $p$  prime, if

$$x = 1 \sim p^e \quad \quad x \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^{e+1}}$$

then

$$x^p = 1 \sim p^{e+1} \quad \quad x^p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^{e+2}}.$$

This is easy if we write  $x = 1 + qp^e$  with  $\gcd(q, p) = 1$ . □

## 1.2. Maximal period length if $c = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (Theorem B pg. 20 in Knuth).

We now give iff conditions to find primitive elements mod  $m$ .

**Theorem 1.3** (Theorem C pg. ... in Knuth).

## 2. RELEVANT EXAMPLES

- **L'Ecuyer:**

$$m = 2^{64} \quad \quad a = 3202034522624059733 \quad \quad c = 1.$$