OPTIMIZATION

The assessment of this project was made by using the Visual Studio profiler.

The first time the project is compiled and ran, we can see that it takes too long to run. In 5 minutes, it only computed 7 steps. So, as a first assessment it is not runnable. By looking at the CPU usage of the functions we have realized that the one that produces the bottleneck is the function “particle\_at()”. It is as in the function it makes a for loop to access all the elements in a list, whose memory is not contiguous. Furthermore, all the project is done by using doubles when floats do the same job and waste less memory and resources. Besides, the project properties are not set so that the project is optimized and runs faster while being more efficient.
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* Change nº 1

As a first change, we switch the particles from being a list to being a vector. We did that as it was the main reason why the project was taking so long to run. Of course it was also due to the particles\_at() function, which every time that it was called was doing a for loop until the particle was found. If you take this and the fact that it is a list and the objects inside it are not contiguous in memory into account, you have the reason of the low runnability of the project.

Summarizing, the first change that was made was changing the particle pool from a list to a vector and completely discarding the particles\_at() function by dereferencing directly the memory inside the vector.

The change was quite notorious as the project was running better than before and also, just by looking at the profiler the change was visible. As you can see below, the kernel usage went from 43.9% to just 14.3% just by making this change. This was because of the memory accessing that the list was making each time the function particle\_at() was being called. Now Captura de pantalla de computadora
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The change in fps is quite notorious, in both cases. On top we have the case were the particles are at max count and below we have an average particle count.

* Change nº 2

As the second change we realized that no project properties were set so that the whole project was optimized.

The properties that we changed are in the C/C++ and Linker fields:

In the C/C++ field this are the changes that we made:

* Optimization: Disabled -> Maximum Optimization (Favor Speed)
* Inline Function Expansion: Disabled -> Any Suitable
* Favor Size or Speed: Neither -> Favor fast code
* Whole Program Optimization: No -> Yes
* Struct Member Alignment: 2 bytes -> 4 bytes
* Enable Parallel Code Generation: No -> Yes
* Enable Enhanced Instruction Set: Not Set -> Streaming SIMD Extensions (SSE)
* Floating Point Model: Precise -> Fast
* Enable Floating Point Exceptions: Yes -> No
* Debug Information Format: Program Database for Edit and Continue -> None

In the Linker field this are the changes that we made:

* Link Time Code Generation: Default -> Use Link Time Code Generation
* References: No -> Yes
* Interfaz de usuario gráfica, Aplicación
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It can be seen that the frames have gone up, after the changes were made.